

IFT2125 - Introduction to algorithms

The analysis of the algorithms (BB, Chapters 3 and 4)

Pierre McKenzie

DIRO, University of Montreal

Fall 2017

[IFT2125](#) Analysis of algorithms

1/22

Re-recall: orders

Page 2

Let $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$.

The **order** of f is

$$O(f) = \{t: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \mid (\exists c \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}) (\underbrace{\forall_{n \in \mathbb{N}}}_{\substack{\text{for all } n \\ \text{sufficiently large}}} [t(n) \leq cf(n)]\}$$

The **omega** f is

$$\Omega(f) = \{t: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \mid (\exists d \in \mathbb{R}_{+}) (\underbrace{\forall_{n \in \mathbb{N}}}_{\substack{\text{for all } n \\ \text{sufficiently large}}} [t(n) \geq df(n)]\}$$

The **exact order** of f is

$$\Theta(f) = Y(f) \cap \Omega(f).$$

[IFT2125](#) Analysis of algorithms

Reminder: Orders

2/22

Page 3

1. if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} \in \mathbb{R}^+$ Then $f(n) \in \Theta(g(n))$,
2. if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = 0$ then $f(n) \in o(g(n))$ but $f(n) \notin \Omega(g(n))$, and
3. if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = \pm \infty$ then $f(n) \in \omega(g(n))$ but $f(n) \notin O(g(n))$.

As an exercise in manipulating asymptotic notation, let us now prove a useful fact:

1. Passage extracted from BB, as well as any passage of my transparencies visibly reproduced from a book and not explicitly attributed.

[IFT2125](#) Analysis of algorithms Reminder: Orders

3/22

Our approach to the analysis of algorithms, in summary

Analytic

In the worst case

Often the number of executions b of an instruction there will be
barometer

We deem $t(n)$ to the **order** close, if possible at the **exact order** -près

- one that $\forall n$ and **any** size of copy No **majorises** this b
copy checks $t(n) \in O(f(n))$
- a g that $\forall n$ and **at least one** copy size n **minore**
this copy checks $t(n) \in \Omega(g(n))$
- provided that $g \in \Omega(f)$ t is concluded $t(n) \in \Theta(f(n))$

[IFT2125](#) Analysis of algorithms Reminder: Orders

[Our approach](#)

4/22

Algorithms versus Computational Complexity Theory

A problem P is given.

Spring **algorithmic**:

To develop an efficient algorithm to solve P

determine the exact order of the execution time **of the algorithm A** .

Spring **computational complexity**:

borrow algorithmic his best algorithm, A to P

demonstrate that **no algorithm** is better than A to P
conclude that the complexity **of the problem P** is given by time
 A performance.

The **memory** used.
Can we always cut in memory?
Can we always do it in exchange for a longer time?
The time **"parallel"**.
Access to m processors it accelerates?
Ideally: m times faster, or even better.

Supplement on orders (BB chapter 3)

O , Ω and Θ have more secrets (is not it?).

Conditional order of $f(n)$ = notation for declaring a bound on $f(n)$ **which is only valid for some n**

Coupled to a condition on f ,

$t(n) \in$ conditional order of $f(n) \Rightarrow t(n) \in$ unconditional order

Example: $\Omega(f(n) \mid n \text{ is power of } 2)$ versus $\Omega(f(n))$.

Formal definition (case O , same for the others)

Let $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ and $P: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \{\text{true}, \text{false}\}$.

So

$$O(f(n) \mid P(n))$$

East

$$\{T: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \mid \exists c \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, [P(n) \Rightarrow t(n) \leq cf(n)]\}.$$

If Θ (same for others)

$$b \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$$

$$t(n) \in \Theta(f(n) \mid n \text{ is power } b).$$

The harmony rule serves to eliminate " n is power b ":

Yes

$t(n)$ is *é.nd* (optionally non-decreasing), ie,

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, t(n) \leq t(n+1)$$

$f(n)$ is *smooth*, ie,

$$f(n) \text{ is } \text{é.nd} \text{ and } f(bn) \in O(f(n))$$

so

$$t(n) \in \Theta(f(n)).$$

$O(n^2 + n^3)$ has been set, but do $O(n^2) + O(n^3)$ has a direction? No !

It is therefore necessary to invent a definition. There she is :

$$O(f) + O(g)$$

East

$$\{H: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid \exists h_1 \in O(f), h_2 \in O(g) \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, [h(n) = h_1(n) + h_2(n)]\}$$

Sometimes useful to estimate the time of a block A followed by a block B .

Extends to other operators, for example \times instead of $+$, and to any any pair of sets of functions, such as $Y(f) + \Theta(g)$.

Recurrence Supplement (BB Section 4.7)

Method: estimate the shape of the solution by eye, then prove.

Eg significant recurrence (here n power b)

$$T(b_k) = \begin{cases} c = 0 & \text{if } k = k_0 \\ aT(b_{k-1}) + f(b_k) & \text{where } k > k_0, \end{cases}$$

where $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $a \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}$, $b \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$, $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{> 0}$.

$$T(b_k) = \begin{cases} c = 0 & \text{if } k = k_0 \\ aT(b_{k-1}) + f(b_k) & \text{where } k > k_0, \end{cases}$$

Let $g(b_{k_0}) = c / (a^{k_0})$ and $g(b_k) = f(b_k) / (a^k)$ for $k > k_0$.

By induction on $k \geq k_0$:

$$T(b_k) = a^k \times [g(b_{k_0}) + g(b_{k_0+1}) + \dots + g(b_k)].$$

Already $T(n) \in \Omega(n^{\log_b a})$ (n is the power of b).

$$(B^k)^{\log_b a} = a^k$$

To get better, we must analyze $[+ \dots +]$

$$T(b_k) = \begin{cases} c = 0 & \text{if } k = k_0 \\ aT(b_{k-1}) + f(b_k) & \text{where } k > k_0. \end{cases}$$

Lemma ("powers of b ")

If $\varepsilon > 0$ and $f(n) \in O(n^{\log_b a - \varepsilon})$ then

$T(n) \in \Theta(n^{\log_b a})$ (n is power b).

If $\varepsilon > 0$ and $f(n) \in O(n^{\log_b a + \varepsilon})$ then

$T(n) \in O(n^{\log_b a + \varepsilon})$ (n is the power of b).

If $\varepsilon \geq 0$ and $f(n) \in O(n^{\log_b a} (\log n)^\varepsilon)$ then

$T(n) \in O(n^{\log_b a} (\log n)^{\varepsilon+1})$ (n is power b).

Let $t: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ which is known only

$$t(n) \in {}_1 t(\lceil n/b \rceil) + a_2 t(\lfloor n/b \rfloor) + O(f(n)),$$

or

$$f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$$

$$a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, a_1 + a_2 \geq 1$$

$$b \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}.$$

The following theorem solves this recurrence:

Theorem (Solution of the asymptotic recurrence)

Let $a = a_1 + a_2$.

- 1 If $\varepsilon > 0$ and $(\log_b a - \varepsilon) \geq 0$ and $f(n) \in O(n^{\log_b a - \varepsilon})$ then $t(n) \in O(n^{\log_b a})$.
- 2 If $\varepsilon > 0$ and $f(n) \in O(n^{\log_b a + \varepsilon})$ then $t(n) \in O(n^{\log_b a + \varepsilon})$.
- 3 If $\varepsilon \geq 0$ and $f(n) \in O(n^{\log_b a} (\log n)^\varepsilon)$ then $t(n) \in O(n^{\log_b a} (\log n)^{\varepsilon+1})$.

Also valid when

$$t(n) \in {}_1 t(\lceil n/b \rceil) + a_2 t(\lfloor n/b \rfloor) + \Omega(f(n)),$$

and all the "O" replaced by " Ω ".

$$t(n) \in {}_1 t(\lceil n/b \rceil) + a_2 t(\lfloor n/b \rfloor) + O(n^{\log_b a - \varepsilon})$$

- 1 Select c and k_0 such that for all $n \geq b^{k_0}$,

$$t(n) \leq {}_1 t(\lceil n/b \rceil) + a_2 t(\lfloor n/b \rfloor) + cn^{\log_b a - \varepsilon}$$

note: not decreasing
- 2 Show that for all n , $t(n) \leq T(n)$ where

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} \max_{0 \leq i \leq k-1} \{t(0), t(1), \dots, t(b^{k-i})\} & \text{if } n \leq b^{k-1} \\ T(\lceil n/b \rceil) + a + cn + \log_b a - \varepsilon & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- 3 Lemma powers $\Rightarrow T(n) \in O(n^{\log_b a})$ if n is the power of b
- 4 Demo 3 $\Rightarrow T(n)$ is bounded
- 5 $n^{\log_b a}$ is harmonious
- 6 Smoothness \Rightarrow rule $T(n) \in O(n^{\log_b a})$
- 7 Points (2) and (6) $\Rightarrow t(n) \in O(n^{\log_b a})$.

[IFT2125 Analysis of algorithms](#) [Supplement on recurrences](#) [Method "to the eye"](#) 18/22

Method of the characteristic equation

Already studied in the prerequisite courses for the resolution of recurrences linear equations with constant coefficients.

Several examples in BB.

[IFT2125 Analysis of algorithms](#) [Supplement on recurrences](#) [Characteristic Equations](#) 19/22

Page 20 Recurrence resolution

Linear homogeneous recurrences with constant coefficients:

Either the recurrence

$$a_0 t_n + a_1 t_{n-1} + \dots + a_k t_{n-k} = 0$$

Here are the steps of the resolution:

- 1) Find the characteristic polynomial $P(x)$ of the recurrence R
- 2) Find the roots of $P(x)$

If these roots are distinct

$$3) \text{ The general solution is of the form } t_n = \sum_{i=1}^k c_i r_i^n$$

- 4) Solve the system of linear equations given by the conditions Initial to find the value of the constants c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k

- 5) Write the solution according to these constants

IFT2125, Sylvie Hamel
Montreal university

Recurrence Resolution

3

[IFT2125 Analysis of algorithms](#) [Supplement on recurrences](#) [Characteristic Equations](#) 20/22

Page 21 Recurrence resolution

Linear homogeneous recurrences with constant coefficients:

Either the recurrence

$$0 \cdot t_n + 1 \cdot t_{n-1} + \dots + a_k \cdot t_{n-k} = 0$$

Here are the steps of the resolution:

1) Find the characteristic polynomial $P(x)$ of the recurrence

2) Find the roots of $P(x)$

If these roots are not all distinct

3) The general solution is of the form $t_n = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=0}^{m_i-1} c_{ij} n^j r_i^n$
where we have roots of multiplicity m_i

4) Solve the system of linear equations given by the conditions
Initial to find the value of the constants c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k

5) Write the solution according to these constants

Type of recurrence:

$$0 \cdot t_n + 1 \cdot t_{n-1} + \dots + a_k \cdot t_{n-k} = b_n$$

where a_i, b_j are constants,
 $p_i(n)$ are polynomials.

Take as characteristic equation:

$$(A_0 x^k + 1 x^{k-1} + \dots + a_k) (x - b_1)^{+1 \text{ degree } (p_1)} (x - b_2)^{+1 \text{ degree } (p_2)} = 0 \dots$$