

After a couple of hours of detailed study I've come to an answer.

17

As we all know the RSA algorithm works as follows:

- Choose two prime numbers p and q ,
- Compute the modulus in which the arithmetic will be done: $N = pq$,
- Pick a public encryption key $e \in \mathbb{Z}_n$,
- Compute the private decryption key d as $ed \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(N)}$,
- Encryption of message m : $c = m^e \pmod{N}$,
- Decryption of crypto message c : $m = c^d \pmod{N}$.

While these statements and equations can stand true for some fixed values of p, q, m, e, d in order to define the RSA as a general cryptographic algorithm we must prove their generality for any message m we wish to encrypt.

This is therefore the reason why the proof of the correctness of the RSA algorithm is needed.

Getting to the proof we can formalise it as follows:

Hypothesis:

- $\text{GCD}(p, q) = 1$
- $N = pq$
- $ed \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(N)}$

Thesis:

- $(m^e)^d \equiv m \pmod{N} \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}_n$

NOTE: The important part is $\forall m \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ the for all part...

Proof:

Being $m \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ there are only two possible cases to analyse:

$$1) \text{GCD}(m, N) = 1$$

In this case Euler's Theorem stands true, assessing that

$$m^{\phi(N)} \equiv 1 \pmod{N}.$$

As for the Thesis to prove, because of Hypothesis number 3, we can write:

$$(m^e)^d \equiv m^{ed} \equiv m^{1+k\phi(N)} \pmod{N},$$

furthermore

$$m^{1+k\phi(N)} \equiv m * m^{k\phi(N)} \equiv m * (m^{\phi(N)})^k \pmod{N},$$

and for Euler's Theorem

$$m * (m^{\phi(N)})^k \equiv m \pmod{N}.$$

Proving that the thesis stands in this case.

$$2) \text{GCD}(m, N) \neq 1$$

In this case Euler's Theorem does not stand true any more.

For a result of the Chinese Remainder Theorem (check this SO question - [Chinese Remainder Theorem and RSA](#) - or just [wiki](#) it) it is true that if $\text{GCD}(p, q) = 1$ then:

$$x \equiv y \pmod{p} \wedge x \equiv y \pmod{q} \Rightarrow x \equiv y \pmod{pq}$$

So by proving the following two statements we would have finished:

- $(m^e)^d \equiv m \pmod{p}$,
- $(m^e)^d \equiv m \pmod{q}$.

Because $\text{GCD}(m, N) \neq 1$ one between $\text{GCD}(m, N) = p$ and $\text{GCD}(m, N) = q$ must stand true. I will demonstrate that both the above statements

stand true in the case $GCD(m, N) = p$ $GCD(m, N) = p$, being it absolutely identical (by switching letters) to prove it for $GCD(m, N) = q$ $GCD(m, N) = q$ as well.

So let it be $GCD(m, N) = p$ $GCD(m, N) = p$, this implies that $m = kp$ $m = kp$ for some $k > 0$ $k > 0$ which means that $m \bmod p = 0$ $m \bmod p = 0$. By concerning the first statement we also have

$$(m^e)^d = ((kp)^e)^d$$

$$(me)^d = ((kp)e)^d$$

which therefore results to be a multiple of p , and so it is equal to zero.

So the first statement becomes $0 = 0$ $0 = 0$ and is proven to be satisfied.

Concerning the second statement we have that Euler's Theorem results to be proved in \mathbb{Z}_q \mathbb{Z}_q since $GCD(m, q) = 1$ $GCD(m, q) = 1$, so:

$$m^{\phi(q)} = 1 \pmod{q}.$$

$$m^{\phi(q)} = 1 \pmod{q}.$$

This implies that we can write:

$$(m^e)^d = m^{ed}$$

$$= m^{ed-1} m$$

$$= m^{h(p-1)(q-1)} m$$

$$= (m^{q-1})^{h(p-1)} m$$

$$= 1^{h(p-1)} m = m \bmod q.$$

$$(me)^d = me^{d-1} m = m^{h(p-1)(q-1)} m = (m^{q-1})^{h(p-1)} m = 1^{h(p-1)} m = m \bmod q.$$

which definitively proves the second statement and theorem.

share improve this answer

edited Apr 13 at 12:48



Community ♦

1

answered Jun 13 '12 at 20:49



Matteo

600 2 7 21

2 you wrote " $e \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ "; but e should be chosen with this criteria $\gcd(\phi(N), e) = 1$; in other words e should be coprime with $\phi(N)$. See here crypto.stackexchange.com/questions/12255/... – Jako Jun 12 '16 at 16:38

add a comment