| Introduction to algorithms | | Fall 2017 | |
|--|-----------------|-----------------------|--|
| IFT2125-6001 | Demonstration 6 | TA: Maëlle Zimmermann | |
| | | | |
| 1 | | | |
| Question: Run the Prim algorithm on the following graph: | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Solution: By running the algorithm, we get:

| Iteration (u, v) | | В |
|------------------|----------|---------------|
| 0 | - | {1} |
| 1 | (1,2) | {1,2} |
| 2 | (2,3) | {1,2,3} |
| 3 | (1,4) | {1,2,3,4} |
| 4 | (4,5) | {1,2,3,4,5} |
| 5 | (4.7) | {1,2,3,4,5,7} |
| 6 | (7.6) {1 | ,2,3,4,5,7,6} |

Thus the underweight shaft of minimum weight is of weight 17.

2

Question: Show that the Prim algorithm can, like Kruskal's, be implemented using piles. Show that it then takes a time in Θ (alog n).

Solution: Let us first consider the Prim algorithm naively implemented without monceaux:

```
def Prim (V, E)
  def weight ((u, v, c)): return c
  F = sorted (E, key = weight)
  T = []
  Set B = (V [1])

# as long as all vertices are not covered
  while len (B)! = len (V)
    for (u, v, _) in F:
        if (! u in B) = (v in B)
        break
        T.append ((u, v))
        B.update ([u, v])
    return T
```

In the worst case, this algorithm takes a time in O (an) (while execution loop exactly n-1 times and path of F in integer which contains a edges). But there is a better implementation. Here is the algorithm of Prim implemented with heaps:

```
def Prim_heap (V, E)
    if len (V) == 0:
        return []
    x = V [0] # current top
```

2

Page 3

```
T = [] \# \text{ minimum partial tree} \\ B = \text{Set (V [1])} \# \text{ summits covered by T} \\ H = [] \# \text{ empty heap} \\ \# \text{ build neighbors of vertices} \\ \text{neighbors} = [[] \text{ for v in V}] \\ \text{for (u, v, c) in E:} \\
```

neighbors [u] .append ((v, c)) neighbors [v] .append ((u, c))

```
# calculation of the tree
while len (T) <len (V) - 1:
# sets all neighbors of x in the heap
for (y, c) in neighboring [x]
    heappush (H, (c, (x, y)))

# remove the edge to the minimum weight c
(c, (u, v)) = heappop (H)

# continues to withdraw until the edge passes through B and V\B
while (u in B) == (v in B):
    (c, (u, v)) = heappop (H)

# update x, T and B
x = u if u not in B else v
T.append ((u, v))
B.add (x)
return T</pre>
```

In a heap, the push and pop operations take a time in Θ (log k) and Θ (log k) respectively (where uuk is the number of elements in the pile). Let n = |V| and a = |E|, then:

- The loop that builds neighbors is executed a times so takes a long time in Θ (a).
- Each edge (u, v) is added at most 2 times in the heap either via u or via
 v. There are thus at most 2a push operations which each require a time of
 Θ (log a). So the total time of the additions is in Θ (alog a).
- Similarly, there are at most 2a pop operations that each require a time of Θ (log a). So the total withdrawal time is in Θ (alog a).
- The append and add operations are executed as many times as there are iterations of the algorithm, therefore at most n 1 times.

3

Page 4

The execution time of the algorithm is thus in Θ (alog a), which is also written Θ (alog n) for the same reasons as for the Kruskal algorithm.

