

CONNECTIONS ON TENSOR BUNDLES

These are notes I have taken from Lee RM. In what follows, Let M be a smooth manifold, and let ∇ be a connection on TM . There is a canonical way we extend ∇ to every tensor bundle:

Definition. On each tensor bundle $T^{(k,l)}TM$, we define

$$\nabla^{(k,l)} : \mathfrak{X}(M) \times \Gamma(T^{(k,l)}TM) \rightarrow \Gamma(T^{(k,l)}TM)$$

by the following. On T^*M , we set

$$\left(\nabla_X^{(0,1)}\omega\right)(Y) := X(\omega(Y)) - \omega(\nabla_X Y),$$

and on $T^{(k,l)}TM$, we set

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\nabla_X^{(k,l)}F\right)(\omega^1, \dots, \omega^k, Y_1, \dots, Y_l) &= X(F(\omega^1, \dots, \omega^k, Y_1, \dots, Y_l)) \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^k F(\omega^1, \dots, \nabla_X \omega^i, \dots, \omega^k, Y_1, \dots, Y_l) \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=1}^l F(\omega^1, \dots, \omega^k, Y_1, \dots, \nabla_X Y_j, \dots, Y_l). \end{aligned}$$

It is straightforward to verify that each $\nabla_X^{(k,l)}F$ is indeed a (k, l) -tensor field, and that each $\nabla^{(k,l)}$ is a connection.

The following proposition shows how to compute $\nabla^{(k,l)}$ in coordinates.

Proposition. Let $(U, (x^i))$ be a chart. Then

$$\nabla_X^{(0,1)}\omega = X\omega_k dx^k - X^j \omega_i \Gamma_{jk}^i dx^k,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_X^{(k,l)}F &= \left(X F_{j_1 \dots j_l}^{i_1 \dots i_k} + \sum_{s=1}^k X^m F_{j_1 \dots j_l}^{i_1 \dots p \dots i_k} \Gamma_{mp}^{i_s} - \sum_{s=1}^l X^m F_{j_1 \dots p \dots j_l}^{i_1 \dots i_k} \Gamma_{mj_s}^p \right) \times \\ &\quad \partial_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \partial_{i_k} \otimes dx^{j_1} \otimes \dots \otimes dx^{j_l}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For the first formula, evaluating both sides at Y gives $Y^i X \omega_i - \omega_i X^j Y^l \Gamma_{jl}^i$. I didn't have time to prove the second formula, but I think the approach is the same. \square

The following proposition shows why the connections defined above are “natural”.

Proposition. The connections $\nabla^{(k,l)}$ satisfy the following properties:

- (i) $\nabla^{(1,0)}$ is equal to the original connection ∇ .
- (ii) $\nabla^{(0,0)}$ is just differentiation of functions: $\nabla_X^{(0,0)}f = Xf$.
- (iii) We have a product rule for tensor products:

$$\nabla_X(F \otimes G) = \nabla_X F \otimes G + F \otimes \nabla_X G.$$

- (iv) ∇ commutes with contraction: $\nabla_X(\text{tr } F) = \text{tr } (\nabla_X F)$.
 (v) We have a product rule for the natural pairing:

$$\nabla_X \langle \omega, Y \rangle = \langle \nabla_X \omega, Y \rangle + \langle \omega, \nabla_X Y \rangle.$$

Proof. The only property that I found difficult to prove is (iv). I worked it out by writing $\nabla_X(\text{tr } F)$ and $\text{tr } (\nabla_X F)$ in coordinates using the formula given in the previous proposition. \square

Given a (k, l) -tensor field $F \in \Gamma(T^{(k, l)}TM)$, we define the *total covariant derivative of F* as the $(k, l + 1)$ -tensor field given by

$$\nabla F : \underbrace{\mathfrak{X}^*(M) \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{X}^*(M)}_{k\text{-copies}} \times \underbrace{\mathfrak{X}(M) \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{X}(M)}_{l+1\text{-copies}} \rightarrow C^\infty(M),$$

$$(\nabla F)(\omega^1, \dots, \omega^k, Y_1, \dots, Y_l, X) := (\nabla_X F)(\omega^1, \dots, \omega^k, Y_1, \dots, Y_l).$$

The map ∇F is a $(k, l + 1)$ -tensor field because it is $C^\infty(M)$ -multilinear.