Summary of GMM Estimates

your old pal Jo
July 18, 2024

Joint GMM Estimation of Production and Demand - Preferred Specification

	ϵ	τ, g	ϵ_x	H	δ	1	δ	2
	$(\kappa = 0)$	$(\kappa = 1)$	$(\kappa = 0)$	$(\kappa = 1)$	$(\kappa = 0)$	$(\kappa = 1)$	$(\kappa = 0)$	$(\kappa = 1)$
	0.21+	0.21+	0.49^{+}	0.50^{+}	0.11	0.13	0.87	0.87
	(0.05)	(0.05)	(0.09)	(0.09)	(0.04)	(0.04)	(0.01)	(0.02)
	$\tilde{\phi}_m$: Mot	her's Time	$\tilde{\phi}_{\mathfrak{f}}$: Fath	er's Time	$\tilde{\phi}_x$: Ch	ildcare	φ _α :	TFP
	$(\kappa = 0)$	$(\kappa = 1)$	$(\kappa = 0)$	$(\kappa = 1)$	$(\kappa = 0)$	$(\kappa = 1)$	$(\kappa = 0)$	$(\kappa = 1)$
Constant	7.95	7.86	4.39	4.34	-1.17	-1.18	2.44	2.29
	(1.72)	(1.69)	(1.21)	(1.20)	(0.41)	(0.41)	(0.42)	(0.47)
Single	0.17	0.18	-	-	0.63	0.63	-0.18	-0.22
	(0.34)	(0.34)	-	-	(0.21)	(0.21)	(0.07)	(0.08)
Type 2	-1.08	-1.08	-	-	-0.02	-0.02	0.18	0.13
	(0.53)	(0.53)	-	-	(0.30)	(0.30)	(0.09)	(0.10)
Type 3	-2.24	-2.24	-	-	-0.02	-0.02	-0.10	-0.18
	(0.83)	(0.82)	-	-	(0.31)	(0.31)	(0.12)	(0.14)
Mother some coll.	-0.51	-0.50	-	-	0.08	0.08	0.10	0.06
	(0.42)	(0.42)	-	-	(0.20)	(0.20)	(0.07)	(0.08)
Mother coll+	-1.62	-1.58	-	-	-0.12	-0.12	-0.03	-0.07
	(0.67)	(0.66)	-	-	(0.20)	(0.20)	(0.09)	(0.11)
Child's age	-0.56	-0.55	-0.48^{+}	-0.47	-0.07	-0.07	-0.19	-0.19
	(0.16)	(0.15)	(0.16)	(0.16)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.04)
Num. of children 0-5	0.43	0.42	0.54	0.54	0.05	0.05	0.07	0.06
	(0.27)	(0.27)	(0.38)	(0.38)	(0.12)	(0.12)	(0.05)	(0.05)
Father some coll.	-	-	-1.15	-1.15	-0.04	-0.04	-0.02	-0.03
	-	-	(0.69)	(0.68)	(0.25)	(0.25)	(0.07)	(0.08)
Father coll+	-	-	-0.88	-0.87	-0.58	-0.58	0.20	$0.17^{'}$
	_	-	(0.62)	(0.62)	(0.24)	(0.23)	(0.07)	(0.08)
Year = 2002	_	-	-	-	- /	-	-0.39	-0.36
	_	_	_	_	_	_	(0.05)	(0.06)

Joint GMM Estimation of Demand - Preferred Specification

	(τ, g	ϵ	x,H	Correl.	residuals
	(1)	(2)	(1)	(2)	(1)	(2)
	0.20 (0.05)	0.37 (0.17)	0.51 (0.09)	0.77 (0.09)	0.88	0.88
	$\tilde{\phi}_m$: Mot (1)	ther's Time (2)	$\tilde{\phi}_f$: Fatl	ner's Time (2)	$\tilde{\phi}_x$: Cl (1)	hildcare (2)
Constant	8.37	5.55	4.11	3.35	-1.19	-1.45
	(1.97)	(1.67)	(1.28)	(0.76)	(0.40)	(0.28)
Single	0.29	0.11	-	-	0.62	0.63
	(0.38)	(0.21)	-	-	(0.21)	(0.14)
Type 2	-1.14	-0.49	-	-	0.03	0.00
	(0.59)	(0.46)	-	-	(0.29)	(0.20)
Type 3	-2.49	-1.09	-	-	-0.04	-0.09
	(0.96)	(0.86)	-	-	(0.30)	(0.21)
Mother some coll.	-0.44	-0.13	-	-	-0.00	-0.06
	(0.45)	(0.28)	-	-	(0.19)	(0.13)
Mother coll+	-1.81	-0.76	-	-	-0.20	-0.28
	(0.77)	(0.65)	-	-	(0.19)	(0.13)
Child's age	-0.60	-0.34	-0.48	-0.24	-0.07	-0.04
	(0.18)	(0.15)	(0.18)	(0.14)	(0.03)	(0.02)
Num. of children 0-5	0.33	0.16	0.59	0.29	0.09	0.08
	(0.29)	(0.18)	(0.42)	(0.26)	(0.12)	(0.08)
Father some coll.	-	- '	-1.08	-0.41	0.06	0.01
	-	-	(0.74)	(0.45)	(0.25)	(0.17)
Father coll+	-	-	-0.84	-0.22	-0.54	-0.41
	_	-	(0.66)	(0.44)	(0.23)	(0.16)

Table 1: Joint GMM Estimation - Fully Restricted Case, No Binding Constraints

			τ,g			ϵ_{z}	c,H			δ	1				δ_2	
_	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(1)	(2)	(3)	
	0.31^{+} (0.05)	0.29^{+} (0.05)	0.21 ⁺ (0.05)	0.20^{+} (0.05)	0.52 ⁺ (0.09)	0.48 ⁺ (0.08)	0.49 ⁺ (0.09)	0.49 ⁺ (0.09)	0.09 (0.03)	0.16 (0.05)	0.11 (0.04)	0.10 (0.04)	0.87 (0.01)	0.88 (0.02)	0.87 (0.01)	(
		$\tilde{\phi}_m$: Mot	her's Tim	ne		$\tilde{\phi}_f$: Fath	ner's Time			$\tilde{\phi}_x$: Ch	ildcare			ϕ_{θ} :	TFP	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(1)	(2)	(3)	
Constant	5.32	5.96	7.95	11.99	3.63+	3.72	4.39	4.58	-1.26+	-1.15 ⁺	-1.17	-1.39	2.61	2.14	2.44	
ingle	(0.72) 0.24	(0.88) 0.20	(1.72) 0.17	(3.32) 0.23	(0.77)	(0.84)	(1.21)	(1.35)	(0.32) 0.57	(0.42) 0.64	(0.41) 0.63	(0.62) 0.64	(0.44)	(0.57)	(0.42)	(
Mother some coll.	(0.24) -0.34	(0.26)	(0.34) -0.51	$(0.37) \\ -0.54$	-	-	-	-	(0.20) 0.11	(0.22)	(0.21) 0.08	(0.21) 0.11	$(0.06) \\ 0.12$	(0.08)	(0.07) 0.10	(
Mother coll+	(0.28) -1.01	-	(0.42) -1.62	$(0.47) \\ -1.74$	-	-	-	-	$(0.19) \\ -0.11$	-	(0.20) -0.12	(0.21) -0.11	$(0.06) \\ 0.02$	-	(0.07) -0.03	(
Child's age	(0.38) -0.39	-0.42	(0.67) -0.56	(0.76) -0.62	-0.31^{+}	-0.34	-0.48^{+}	-0.53^{+}	$(0.19) \\ -0.06$	-0.07^{+}	(0.20) -0.07	(0.20) -0.07	(0.08) -0.17	- -0.20	(0.09) -0.19	(
Num. of children 0-5	(0.08) 0.30	(0.09) 0.38	(0.16) 0.43	(0.19) 0.52	(0.09) 0.36	(0.10) 0.40	(0.16) 0.54	(0.19) 0.63	(0.03) 0.08	(0.03) 0.08	(0.03) 0.05	(0.03) 0.07	(0.03) 0.06	(0.04) 0.07	(0.03) 0.07	(
	(0.18)	(0.20)	(0.27)	(0.31)	(0.25)	(0.27)	(0.38)	(0.42)	(0.12)	(0.13)	(0.12)	(0.13)	(0.05)	(0.05)	(0.05)	(
Type 2	-	-0.70 (0.36)	-1.08 (0.53)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-0.02 (0.31)	-0.02 (0.30)	-	-	0.19 (0.10)	0.18 (0.09)	
Type 3	-	-1.53 (0.50)	-2.24 (0.83)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-0.03 (0.31)	-0.02 (0.31)	-	-	-0.14 (0.13)	-0.10 (0.12)	
$^{\prime}k$	-	-	-	-2.47^{+} (0.98)	-	-	-	-	-	-	` - <i>′</i>	0.08 (0.25)	-	` - <i>'</i>	-	
ather some coll.	-	-	-	-	-0.62	-0.70	-1.15	-1.19	-0.06	0.05	-0.04	-0.10^{+}	0.05	-0.02	-0.02	(
ather coll+	-	-	-	-	(0.43) -0.37	(0.46) -0.31	(0.69) -0.88	(0.76) -0.99	(0.24) -0.58^+	(0.27) -0.53^+	(0.25) -0.58	(0.25) -0.62^+	(0.07) 0.23	(0.09) 0.20	(0.07) 0.20	(
V = 2002	-	-	-	-	(0.38)	(0.39)	(0.62)	(0.69)	(0.21)	(0.23)	(0.24)	(0.24)	(0.07) -0.39	(0.09) -0.37	(0.07) -0.39	
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(0.05)	(0.06)	(0.05)	

 \sim

Table 2: Joint GMM Estimation - Fully Restricted Case, No Borrowing or Saving

		$\epsilon_{ au}$	\cdot,g			ϵ_x	,H			δ	1			δ	δ_2	
_	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	0.31^{+}	0.29^{+}	0.21^{+}	0.20	0.52^{+}	0.48^{+}	0.50^{+}	0.50	0.12	0.18	0.13	0.13	0.86	0.87	0.87	0.87
	(0.05)	(0.05)	(0.05)	(0.05)	(0.09)	(0.08)	(0.09)	(0.09)	(0.04)	(0.05)	(0.04)	(0.04)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)
	Ċ	$\tilde{\phi}_m$: Moth	ner's Tim	e		$\tilde{\phi}_f$: Fath	er's Time	:		$\tilde{\phi}_x$: Ch	ildcare			ϕ_{θ} :	TFP	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Constant	5.28	5.92	7.86	11.94	3.62	3.69	4.34	4.57	-1.25	-1.15	-1.18	-1.37	2.17	1.79	2.29	2.94
	(0.71)	(0.87)	(1.69)	(3.31)	(0.77)	(0.83)	(1.20)	(1.35)	(0.32)	(0.42)	(0.41)	(0.61)	(0.51)	(0.57)	(0.47)	(0.44)
Single	0.25	0.24	0.18	0.25	-	-	-	-	0.57	0.65	0.63	0.65	-0.21	-0.22	-0.22	-0.19
	(0.24)	(0.26)	(0.34)	(0.37)	-	-	-	-	(0.20)	(0.22)	(0.21)	(0.21)	(0.07)	(0.08)	(0.08)	(0.08)
Mother some coll.	-0.34	-	-0.50	-0.53	-	-	-	-	0.11	-	0.08	0.11	0.08	-	0.06	0.06
	(0.28)	-	(0.42)	(0.47)	-	-	-	-	(0.19)	-	(0.20)	(0.20)	(0.07)	-	(0.08)	(0.07)
Mother coll+	-1.00	-	-1.58	-1.73	-	-	-	-	-0.11	-	-0.12	-0.11	-0.03	-	-0.07	-0.09
	(0.38)	-	(0.66)	(0.76)	-	-	-	-	(0.18)	-	(0.20)	(0.20)	(0.09)	-	(0.11)	(0.11)
Child's age	-0.38	-0.42	-0.55	-0.62	-0.31	-0.34	-0.47	-0.53	-0.06	-0.07	-0.07	-0.07	-0.16	-0.17	-0.19	-0.20
	(0.08)	(0.09)	(0.15)	(0.19)	(0.09)	(0.10)	(0.16)	(0.19)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.04)	(0.04)	(0.04)	(0.04)
Num. of children 0-5	0.28	0.36	0.42	0.50	0.35	0.39	0.54	0.62	0.07	0.07	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.04
	(0.18)	(0.20)	(0.27)	(0.31)	(0.25)	(0.27)	(0.38)	(0.42)	(0.12)	(0.12)	(0.12)	(0.12)	(0.05)	(0.05)	(0.05)	(0.05)
Type 2	-	-0.70	-1.08	-	-	-	-	-	-	-0.01	-0.02	-	-	0.10	0.13	-
	-	(0.36)	(0.53)	-	-	-	-	-	-	(0.30)	(0.30)	-	-	(0.10)	(0.10)	-
Type 3	-	-1.50	-2.24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-0.03	-0.02	-	-	-0.25	-0.18	-
	-	(0.50)	(0.82)	-	-	-	-	-	-	(0.31)	(0.31)	-	-	(0.15)	(0.14)	-
μ_k	-	-	-	-2.46	-	-	-	-	-	· -	-	0.07	-	-	· -	-0.29
	-	-	-	(0.98)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(0.25)	-	-	-	(0.15)
Father some coll.	-	-	-	-	-0.63	-0.69	-1.15	-1.19	-0.06	0.05	-0.04	-0.09	0.03	-0.04	-0.03	-0.00
	-	-	-	-	(0.43)	(0.45)	(0.68)	(0.76)	(0.24)	(0.27)	(0.25)	(0.25)	(0.08)	(0.09)	(0.08)	(0.08)
Father coll+	-	-	-	-	-0.37	-0.30	-0.87	-0.98	-0.58^{+}	-0.53^{+}	-0.58	-0.62^{+}	0.20	$0.17^{'}$	$0.17^{'}$	$0.17^{'}$
	-	-	-	-	(0.38)	(0.39)	(0.62)	(0.69)	(0.21)	(0.23)	(0.23)	(0.24)	(0.08)	(0.09)	(0.08)	(0.08)
Year = 2002	-	-	-	-	-	` - '	` - '	` - '	` - '	-	` -	-	-0.35	-0.32	-0.36	-0.35
	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_	(0.06)	(0.07)	(0.06)	(0.06)

Note: Superscripts indicate results of Lagrange Multiplier test of individual parameter restrictions. Rejection for a test of size 5%, 1% and 0.1% is indicated by *, **, and ***.

6

Table 3: Joint GMM Estimation - Unrestricted, No Borrowing or Saving

	$\epsilon_{ au,g}$		$\epsilon_{x,H}$		δ_1	δ_2	$2N(Q_N - \tilde{Q}_N)$
	Rel. Dem.	Prod.	Rel. Dem.	Prod.			
	0.23 (0.05)	0.91 (9.43)	$0.50 \\ (0.09)$	-0.00 (0.34)	0.14 (0.04)	0.87 (0.02)	2.45 (0.29)
	$\tilde{\phi}_m$: Mother Rel. Dem.	r's Time Prod.	$\tilde{\phi}_f$: Father Rel. Dem.	's Time Prod.	$ ilde{\phi}_x$: Chile Rel. Dem.	dcare Prod.	ϕ_{θ} : TFP
Constant	7.54		4.13		-1.13		2.55
	(1.53)		(1.11)		(0.40)		(0.45)
Single	0.18	_	(1.11)	_	0.61	_	-0.19
5111810	(0.32)				(0.21)		(0.13)
Type 2	-0.99	_	_	_	-0.02	_	0.06
<i>J</i> 1	(0.49)				(0.29)		(0.16)
Type 3	-2.04	_	_	_	-0.01	_	-0.29
<i>J</i> F	(0.74)				(0.30)		(0.27)
Mother some coll.	-0.47	_	_	_	0.06	_	$0.02^{'}$
	(0.39)				(0.19)		(0.13)
Mother coll+	-1.46	_	_	_	-0.14	_	-0.17
	(0.60)				(0.19)		(0.19)
Child's age	-0.52	-	-0.44	_	-0.07	-	-0.23
o o	(0.14)		(0.15)		(0.03)		(0.04)
Num. of children 0-5	0.39	-	$0.52^{'}$	_	$0.03^{'}$	-	0.06
	(0.25)		(0.35)		(0.12)		(0.06)
Father some coll.	` - ´	-	-1.06	-	-0.06	-	-0.06
			(0.63)		(0.25)		(0.08)
Father coll+	_	-	-0.76	-	-0.58	-	0.10
			(0.57)		(0.23)		(0.10)
Year = 2002	-	-	` - ´	-	` - ´	-	-0.36
							(0.06)

Table 4: Joint GMM Estimation - Unrestricted, No Binding Constraints

	$\epsilon_{ au,g}$		$\epsilon_{x,H}$		δ_1	δ_2	$2N(Q_N - \tilde{Q}_N)$
	Rel. Dem.	Prod.	Rel. Dem.	Prod.			
	0.22 (0.05)	-2.12 (-25.72)	0.50 (0.09)	-0.00 (0.59)	0.12 (0.03)	0.87 (0.02)	2.70 (0.44)
	$\tilde{\phi}_m$: Mother Rel. Dem.	er's Time Prod.	$\tilde{\phi}_f$: Father Rel. Dem.	's Time Prod.	$\tilde{\phi}_x$: Chil Rel. Dem.	dcare Prod.	ϕ_{θ} : TFP
Constant	7.79	_	4.34	_	-1.14	_	2.70
	(1.64)		(1.17)		(0.41)		(0.70)
Single	$0.17^{'}$	_	-	_	$0.64^{'}$	_	-0.24
O .	(0.33)				(0.21)		(0.40)
Type 2	-1.04	_	-	-	-0.05	-	0.13
V 1	(0.51)				(0.29)		(0.11)
Type 3	-2.14	_	-	-	-0.04	-	-0.19
• •	(0.79)				(0.30)		(0.15)
Mother some coll.	-0.49	_	-	-	$0.05^{'}$	-	0.06
	(0.41)				(0.20)		(0.11)
Mother coll+	-1.53	_	-	-	-0.14	-	-0.11
	(0.64)				(0.19)		(0.11)
Child's age	-0.55	-	-0.47	-0.31	-0.07	-	-0.23
	(0.15)		(0.16)	(1.18)	(0.03)		(0.07)
Num. of children 0-5	$0.41^{'}$	-	$0.52^{'}$	` - ´	$0.05^{'}$	-	$0.07^{'}$
	(0.27)		(0.36)		(0.12)		(0.08)
Father some coll.	- 1	-	-1.10	-	-0.05	-	-0.08
			(0.66)		(0.25)		(0.23)
Father coll+	-	-	-0.77	-	-0.57	-	0.11
			(0.59)		(0.23)		(0.18)
Year = 2002	-	-	-	-	- 1	-	-0.40
							(0.05)

1

 ∞

Table 5: Joint GMM Estimation - Fully Restricted Case, Unconstrained, Older Children

			ρ				δ_1		δ_2			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	0.24	0.18	0.11	0.06	0.01	-0.00	0.01	0.00	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95
	(0.06)	(0.06)	(0.07)	(0.07)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)
		$\tilde{\phi}_m$: Mot	her's Tin	ne	$\tilde{\phi}_f$: Father's Time					$ ilde{\phi}_{ heta}$:	TFP	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Constant	6.78	8.62	14.52	39.05	3.44	4.34	6.47	10.57	2.90	2.86	2.93	3.00
	(2.30)	(3.40)	(9.10)	(43.55)	(2.39)	(3.26)	(6.06)	(13.55)	(0.34)	(0.32)	(0.34)	(0.34)
Single	0.60	0.63	0.89	1.47	-	-	-	-	-0.05	-0.06	-0.05	-0.05
	(0.48)	(0.64)	(1.12)	(2.37)	-	-	-	-	(0.07)	(0.06)	(0.06)	(0.06)
Mother some coll.	-0.85	-	-1.94	-3.10	-	-	-	-	-0.11	-	-0.08	-0.08
	(0.61)	-	(1.78)	(4.24)	-	-	-	-	(0.07)	-	(0.07)	(0.07)
Mother coll+	-1.21	-	-3.20	-5.47	-	-	-	-	-0.15	-	-0.13	-0.11
	(0.74)	-	(2.65)	(6.98)	-	-	-	-	(0.08)	-	(0.08)	(0.08)
Child's age	-0.56	-0.70	-1.16	-2.03	-0.36	-0.54	-0.98	-1.82	-0.14	-0.13	-0.14	-0.15
	(0.23)	(0.33)	(0.82)	(2.35)	(0.24)	(0.36)	(0.81)	(2.27)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)
Num. of children 0-5	$0.42^{'}$	0.69	$0.97^{'}$	$2.24^{'}$	$0.76^{'}$	0.98	$1.65^{'}$	2.83	-0.02	-0.02	-0.03	-0.02
	(0.45)	(0.61)	(1.09)	(2.92)	(0.55)	(0.75)	(1.50)	(3.65)	(0.06)	(0.07)	(0.06)	(0.07)
Type 2	- ′	-1.59	-2.89	` - ´	-	` - ´	` - ′	-	` - ´	$0.02^{'}$	0.01	-
	-	(1.05)	(2.39)	_	-	-	-	_	-	(0.08)	(0.08)	-
Type 3	-	-3.17	-5.47	_	-	-	-	-	-	0.06	0.00	-
* -	-	(1.65)	(4.11)	_	-	-	-	-	-	(0.14)	(0.12)	-
μ_k	_	` - ´	` - ´	-10.92	_	-	-	-	-	` - ´		0.03
,	_	_	_	(13.30)	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	(0.14)
Father some coll.	-	_	_	-	-1.05	-1.68	-3.20	-6.29	-0.01	-0.05	-0.00	0.01
	_	_	_	_	(0.85)	(1.21)	(2.86)	(8.18)	(0.09)	(0.09)	(0.09)	(0.09)
Father coll+	-	-	-	-	-1.06	-1.75	-3.50	-7.16	$0.17^{'}$	0.13	$0.17^{'}$	0.18
	-	_	_	-	(0.77)	(1.14)	(3.01)	(9.10)	(0.09)	(0.08)	(0.08)	(0.09)
Year = 2002	_	_	_	_	-	-	-	-	-0.34	-0.36	-0.35	-0.35
	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	(0.05)	(0.05)	(0.05)	(0.05)

9

Table 6: Joint GMM Estimation - Mother's Share Unrestricted, No Borrowing or Saving

	$\epsilon_{ au,arrho}$	7	$\epsilon_{x,E}$	Ī	δ_1	δ_2	$2N(Q_N - \tilde{Q}_N)$
	Rel. Dem.	Prod.	Rel. Dem.	Prod.	-	-	-
	0.21	-	0.50	-	0.13	0.87	0.04
	(0.05)	-	(0.09)	-	(0.04)	(0.02)	(0.85)
	$\tilde{\phi}_m$: Mothe	r's Time	$\tilde{\phi}_f$: Father	's Time	$\tilde{\phi}_x$: Chil	dcare	ϕ_{θ} : TFP
	Rel. Dem.	Prod.	Rel. Dem.	Prod.	Rel. Dem.	Prod.	-
Constant	7.91	8.41	4.35	_	-1.18	_	2.24
	(1.71)	(10.34)	(1.21)		(0.41)		(1.18)
Single	0.18	-	-	-	0.63	-	-0.20
	(0.34)				(0.21)		(0.25)
Type 2	-1.09	-	-	-	-0.02	-	0.14
	(0.54)				(0.30)		(0.31)
Type 3	-2.26	-	-	-	-0.02	-	-0.15
	(0.83)				(0.31)		(0.59)
Mother some coll.	-0.51	-	-	-	0.08	-	0.07
	(0.42)				(0.20)		(0.18)
Mother coll+	-1.60	-	-	-	-0.12	-	-0.05
	(0.67)				(0.20)		(0.43)
Child's age	-0.55	-	-0.48	-	-0.07	-	-0.18
	(0.15)		(0.16)		(0.03)		(0.13)
Num. of children 0-5	0.42	-	0.55	-	0.05	-	0.06
	(0.27)		(0.38)		(0.12)		(0.06)
Father some coll.	-	-	-1.16	-	-0.04	-	-0.03
			(0.69)		(0.25)		(0.09)
Father coll+	-	-	-0.88	-	-0.58	-	0.17
			(0.62)		(0.23)		(0.11)
Year = 2002	-	-	-	-	-	-	-0.36
							(0.06)

Table 7: Joint GMM Estimation - Mother's Share Unrestricted, No Binding Constraints

	$\epsilon_{ au,}$ Rel. Dem.	g Prod.	$\epsilon_{x,H}$ Rel. Dem.	I Prod.	δ_1	δ_2	$2N(Q_N - \tilde{Q}_N)$
	0.21 (0.05)	- - -	0.49 (0.09)	- - -	0.11 (0.04)	0.87 (0.01)	0.09 (0.76)
	$\tilde{\phi}_m$: Mother Rel. Dem.	er's Time Prod.	$\tilde{\phi}_f$: Father Rel. Dem.	's Time Prod.	$ ilde{\phi}_x$: Chil Rel. Dem.	dcare Prod.	$\phi_{ heta}\colon \mathrm{TFP}$ -
Constant	8.03	8.82	4.40	_	-1.17	_	2.37
0	(1.76)	(11.81)	(1.22)		(0.41)		(1.22)
Single	0.17	-	-	_	0.64	_	-0.17
	(0.35)				(0.21)		(0.20)
Type 2	-1.10	_	_	_	-0.02	_	0.20
V F	(0.54)				(0.30)		(0.30)
Type 3	-2.27	_	_	_	-0.02	_	-0.07
- J F - 3	(0.84)				(0.31)		(0.57)
Mother some coll.	-0.51	_	_	_	0.08	_	0.11
	(0.43)				(0.20)		(0.18)
Mother coll+	-1.65	_	_	_	-0.12	_	-0.01
	(0.68)				(0.20)		(0.44)
Child's age	-0.57	_	-0.48	_	-0.07	_	-0.18
9	(0.16)		(0.17)		(0.03)		(0.14)
Num. of children 0-5	0.43	_	$0.55^{'}$	_	0.05	_	0.07
	(0.28)		(0.38)		(0.12)		(0.05)
Father some coll.	-	-	$-1.17^{'}$	_	-0.04	_	-0.01
			(0.70)		(0.25)		(0.08)
Father coll+	_	_	-0.90	_	-0.58	_	0.19
•			(0.63)		(0.24)		(0.10)
Year = 2002	_	_	-	_	-	_	-0.39
							(0.05)

_

Table 8: Direct GMM Estimation - Relaxed Demand Specification

	$_{(1)}^{\rho}$	$\gamma \ (1)$	$\delta_1 \ (1)$	$ \delta_2 $ (1)
	[-5.35, 10.72]	[-17.05, 3.12]	[-0.12, 0.12]	[0.91, 0.96]
	$\tilde{\phi}_m$: Mother's Time (1)	$\tilde{\phi}_f$: Father's Time (1)	$\tilde{\phi}_x$: Childcare (1)	ϕ_{θ} : TFP (1)
Constant	[-6.71, 8.76]	[-4.23, 6.64]	[-7.15, 3.83]	[-2.09, 2.63]
Single	-	-	[-10.99, 10.17]	[-0.55, 0.31]
Mother some coll.	-	-	-	[-1.49, 1.68]
Mother coll+	-	-	-	[-1.26, 1.32]
Father some coll.	-	-	-	[-1.91, 1.23]
Father coll+	-	-	-	[-0.16, 1.27]
Child's age	-	-	-	[-0.18, 0.04]
Num. of children 0-5	-	-	-	[-0.44, 0.67]
Year = 2002	-	-	-	[-0.04, 0.25]

This table reports the 10th and 90th percentiles of the bootstrapped distribution of parameter estimates from the direct estimation method. To avoid convergence issues, each bootstrap trial is terminated after 100 LBFGS iterations followed by 10 iterations of Newton's method.

Table 9: Monte Carlo Simulation - Direct vs Indirect Methods

	Bias	ρ Std. Dev.	Bias	a Std. Dev.	Bias	δ Std. Dev.
Method 1, $N = 500$	24.69	23.76	-0.01	0.42	-0.01	0.03
Method 1, $N = 500$ Method 1, $N = 1000$	22.36	23.82	-0.03	0.39	-0.01	0.02
Method 1, $N = 2000$	20.25	23.41	-0.02	0.36	-0.00	0.02
Method 2, $N = 500$	23.27	23.42	0.01	0.43	-0.00	0.03
Method 2, $N = 1000$ Method 2, $N = 2000$	25.71 28.04	23.62 23.41	-0.01 -0.02	$0.42 \\ 0.40$	-0.00 -0.00	$0.02 \\ 0.02$
Method 3, $N = 500$	0.39	1.69	0.00	0.04	-0.00	0.03
Method 3, $N = 1000$	0.11	0.74	-0.00	0.03	0.00	0.02
Method 3, $N = 2000$	0.07	0.49	-0.00	0.02	-0.00	0.02
Method 4, $N = 500$ Method 4, $N = 1000$	$0.00 \\ 0.00$	$0.00 \\ 0.00$	-0.00 -0.02	$0.38 \\ 0.34$	-0.00 -0.00	$0.03 \\ 0.02$
Method 4, $N = 1000$ Method 4, $N = 2000$	0.00	0.00	-0.02	0.28	-0.00	0.02

This table presents results from 500 Monte Carlo samples of each estimator. Estimator 1 assumes inputs are perfectly measured and performs NLLS on production outcomes. Estimator 2 assumes measurement error in input 2 and uses relative demand observations to impute the second input, but does not impose cross-equation restrictions between demand and production when performing NLLS. Estimator 3 imposes the cross-equation restrictions. Estimator 4 implements estimator 1 assuming the true value of ρ .

Table 10: Monte Carlo Simulation - Increasing Relative Price Variation

		ρ		a		δ
	Bias	Std. Dev.	Bias	Std. Dev.	Bias	Std. Dev.
Method 1, $N = 500$	23.62	23.68	-0.00	0.41	-0.01	0.03
Method 1, $N = 1000$	22.35	23.64	-0.01	0.38	-0.00	0.02
Method 1, $N = 2000$	18.97	23.10	0.01	0.36	0.00	0.02
Method 2, $N = 500$	24.53	24.03	0.02	0.42	-0.00	0.03
Method 2, $N = 1000$	24.73	24.04	-0.01	0.40	-0.00	0.02
Method 2, $N = 2000$	23.04	23.83	0.01	0.37	-0.00	0.02
Method 3, $N = 500$	0.08	0.52	-0.00	0.04	-0.00	0.03
Method 3, $N = 1000$	0.03	0.35	-0.00	0.03	0.00	0.02
Method 3, $N = 2000$	0.03	0.25	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.02
Method 4, $N = 500$	0.00	0.00	-0.01	0.37	-0.00	0.03
Method 4, $N = 1000$	0.00	0.00	-0.01	0.32	-0.00	0.02
Method 4, $N = 2000$	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.27	0.00	0.02

Same simulation results with σ_{π} doubled.

Table 11: Monte Carlo Simulation - Increasing Idiosyncratic Preference Variation

ho		a		δ	
Bias	Std. Dev.	Bias	Std. Dev.	Bias	Std. Dev.
23.62	23.68	-0.00	0.41	-0.01	0.03
22.35	23.64	-0.01	0.38	-0.00	0.02
18.97	23.10	0.01	0.36	0.00	0.02
24.53	24.03	0.02	0.42	-0.00	0.03
24.73	24.04	-0.01	0.40	-0.00	0.02
23.04	23.83	0.01	0.37	-0.00	0.02
0.08	0.52	-0.00	0.04	-0.00	0.03
0.03	0.35	-0.00	0.03	0.00	0.02
0.03	0.25	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.02
0.00	0.00	-0.01	0.37	-0.00	0.03
0.00	0.00	-0.01	0.32	-0.00	0.02
0.00	0.00	0.02	0.27	0.00	0.02
	23.62 22.35 18.97 24.53 24.73 23.04 0.08 0.03 0.03 0.00 0.00	Bias Std. Dev. 23.62 23.68 22.35 23.64 18.97 23.10 24.53 24.03 24.73 24.04 23.04 23.83 0.08 0.52 0.03 0.35 0.03 0.25 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	Bias Std. Dev. Bias 23.62 23.68 -0.00 22.35 23.64 -0.01 18.97 23.10 0.01 24.53 24.03 0.02 24.73 24.04 -0.01 23.04 23.83 0.01 0.08 0.52 -0.00 0.03 0.35 -0.00 0.03 0.25 0.00 0.00 -0.01 -0.01 0.00 -0.00 -0.01	Bias Std. Dev. Bias Std. Dev. 23.62 23.68 -0.00 0.41 22.35 23.64 -0.01 0.38 18.97 23.10 0.01 0.36 24.53 24.03 0.02 0.42 24.73 24.04 -0.01 0.40 23.04 23.83 0.01 0.37 0.08 0.52 -0.00 0.04 0.03 0.35 -0.00 0.03 0.03 0.25 0.00 0.02 0.00 0.00 -0.01 0.37 0.00 0.00 -0.01 0.32	Bias Std. Dev. Bias Std. Dev. Bias 23.62 23.68 -0.00 0.41 -0.01 22.35 23.64 -0.01 0.38 -0.00 18.97 23.10 0.01 0.36 0.00 24.53 24.03 0.02 0.42 -0.00 24.73 24.04 -0.01 0.40 -0.00 23.04 23.83 0.01 0.37 -0.00 0.08 0.52 -0.00 0.04 -0.00 0.03 0.35 -0.00 0.03 0.00 0.03 0.25 0.00 0.02 0.00 0.00 0.00 -0.01 0.37 -0.00 0.00 0.00 -0.01 0.37 -0.00 0.00 0.00 -0.01 0.32 -0.00

Same simulation results with all residual variation in relative demand attributed to true variation instead of measurement error.