Summary of GMM Estimates

your old pal Jo
July 18, 2024

Joint GMM Estimation of Production and Demand - Preferred Specification

	€-	τ, g	ϵ_x	H	δ	1	δ	2
	$(\kappa = 0)$	$\kappa = 1$	$(\kappa = 0)$	$(\kappa = 1)$	$(\kappa = 0)$	$(\kappa = 1)$	$(\kappa = 0)$	$(\kappa = 1)$
•	0.20^{+}	0.20+	0.50	0.50	0.13	0.17	0.83	0.83
	(0.05)	(0.05)	(0.09)	(0.09)	(0.03)	(0.04)	(0.02)	(0.02)
	ỗ _m ∙ Moti	her's Time	ỗ c Fath	er's Time	ỗπ: Cl	nildcare	φo.	TFP
	$(\kappa = 0)$	$(\kappa = 1)$	$(\kappa = 0)$	$(\kappa = 1)$	$(\kappa = 0)$	$(\kappa = 1)$	$(\kappa = 0)$	$(\kappa = 1)$
Constant	8.33	8.22	4.25	4.13	-1.21	-1.19	1.30	1.10
	(1.94)	(1.90)	(1.28)	(1.27)	(0.41)	(0.41)	(0.42)	(0.47)
Single	0.08	0.10	-	-	0.61	0.61	-0.15	-0.20
	(0.37)	(0.37)	-	-	(0.21)	(0.21)	(0.06)	(0.07)
Type 2	-0.96	-0.96	-	-	0.08	0.08	0.04	-0.05
	(0.55)	(0.55)	-	-	(0.30)	(0.30)	(0.08)	(0.09)
Type 3	-2.41	-2.41	-	-	0.10	0.10	-0.30	-0.43
	(0.93)	(0.93)	-	-	(0.31)	(0.31)	(0.11)	(0.14)
Mother some coll.	-0.52	-0.50	-	-	0.00	0.01	0.10	$0.05^{'}$
	(0.46)	(0.45)	-	-	(0.20)	(0.20)	(0.07)	(0.07)
Mother coll+	-1.74	-1.71	-	_	-0.22	-0.23	-0.03	-0.08
	(0.74)	(0.74)	-	_	(0.19)	(0.19)	(0.09)	(0.11)
Child's age	-0.61	-0.60	-0.49	-0.48	-0.07	-0.07	-0.13	-0.13
_	(0.18)	(0.17)	(0.18)	(0.17)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.04)
Num. of children 0-5	$0.50^{'}$	0.46	0.66	0.64	$0.07^{'}$	0.06	0.08	0.06
	(0.30)	(0.30)	(0.42)	(0.42)	(0.12)	(0.12)	(0.04)	(0.05)
Father some coll.	-	-	-1.08	-1.04	-0.01^{+}	-0.00^{+}	0.01	-0.01
	-	-	(0.72)	(0.72)	(0.25)	(0.25)	(0.07)	(0.07)
Father coll+	-	-	-0.98	-0.95	-0.64	-0.63	$0.22^{'}$	$0.17^{'}$
	_	-	(0.68)	(0.68)	(0.24)	(0.23)	(0.07)	(0.08)
Year = 2002	_	-	` -	-	` - '	-	-0.34	-0.30
	_	_	_	_	_	_	(0.05)	(0.06)

Joint GMM Estimation of Demand - Preferred Specification

	(τ, g	ϵ	x,H	Correl.	residuals
	(1)	(2)	(1)	(2)	(1)	(2)
	0.20 (0.05)	0.37 (0.17)	0.51 (0.09)	0.77 (0.09)	0.88	0.88
	$\tilde{\phi}_m$: Mot (1)	ther's Time (2)	$\tilde{\phi}_f$: Fatl	ner's Time (2)	$\tilde{\phi}_x$: Cl (1)	hildcare (2)
Constant	8.37	5.55	4.11	3.35	-1.19	-1.45
	(1.97)	(1.67)	(1.28)	(0.76)	(0.40)	(0.28)
Single	0.29	0.11	-	-	0.62	0.63
	(0.38)	(0.21)	-	-	(0.21)	(0.14)
Type 2	-1.14	-0.49	-	-	0.03	0.00
	(0.59)	(0.46)	-	-	(0.29)	(0.20)
Type 3	-2.49	-1.09	-	-	-0.04	-0.09
	(0.96)	(0.86)	-	-	(0.30)	(0.21)
Mother some coll.	-0.44	-0.13	-	-	-0.00	-0.06
	(0.45)	(0.28)	-	-	(0.19)	(0.13)
Mother coll+	-1.81	-0.76	-	-	-0.20	-0.28
	(0.77)	(0.65)	-	-	(0.19)	(0.13)
Child's age	-0.60	-0.34	-0.48	-0.24	-0.07	-0.04
	(0.18)	(0.15)	(0.18)	(0.14)	(0.03)	(0.02)
Num. of children 0-5	0.33	0.16	0.59	0.29	0.09	0.08
	(0.29)	(0.18)	(0.42)	(0.26)	(0.12)	(0.08)
Father some coll.	-	- '	-1.08	-0.41	0.06	0.01
	-	-	(0.74)	(0.45)	(0.25)	(0.17)
Father coll+	-	-	-0.84	-0.22	-0.54	-0.41
	_	-	(0.66)	(0.44)	(0.23)	(0.16)

Table 1: Joint GMM Estimation - Fully Restricted Case, No Binding Constraints

		$\epsilon_{ au}$	g			$\epsilon_{x,}$	Н				i_1				δ_2	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(
	0.29^{+}	0.26+	0.20^{+}	0.18+	0.53	0.47^{+}	0.50	0.50	0.12	0.16	0.13	0.13	0.82	0.84	0.83	0
	(0.05)	(0.05)	(0.05)	(0.05)	(0.09)	(0.08)	(0.09)	(0.09)	(0.04)	(0.04)	(0.03)	(0.04)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0
		$\tilde{\phi}_m$: Moth	er's Time			$\tilde{\phi}_f$: Fathe	r's Time			$\tilde{\phi}_x$: Cl	nildcare			$\phi_{ heta}$:	TFP	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(
Constant	5.53	6.39	8.33	12.69	3.52	3.72	4.25	4.36	-1.21	-1.24^{+}	-1.21	-1.61	1.07	1.17	1.30	2
	(0.78)	(1.03)	(1.94)	(3.74)	(0.81)	(0.92)	(1.28)	(1.42)	(0.31)	(0.42)	(0.41)	(0.62)	(0.44)	(0.48)	(0.42)	(0
Single	0.18	0.18	0.08	0.10	-	-	-	-	0.55	0.61	0.61	0.61	-0.15	-0.14	-0.15	-(
	(0.25)	(0.28)	(0.37)	(0.40)	-	-	-	-	(0.20)	(0.22)	(0.21)	(0.21)	(0.06)	(0.07)	(0.06)	(0
Mother some coll.	-0.36	-	-0.52	-0.54	-	-	-	-	0.04	-	0.00	0.04	0.11	-	0.10	0
	(0.30)	-	(0.46)	(0.50)	-	-	-	-	(0.18)	-	(0.20)	(0.20)	(0.06)	-	(0.07)	(0
Mother coll+	-1.07	-	-1.74	-1.86	-	-	-	-	-0.19	-	-0.22	-0.21	0.03	-	-0.03	-(
	(0.41)		(0.74)	(0.84)	-	-	-	-	(0.18)	-	(0.19)	(0.19)	(0.08)	-	(0.09)	(0
Child's age	-0.42	-0.48^{+}	-0.61	-0.66	-0.31^{+}	-0.37	-0.49	-0.53	-0.06	-0.07^{+}	-0.07	-0.07	-0.10	-0.14	-0.13	-(
	(0.09)	(0.11)	(0.18)	(0.21)	(0.09)	(0.11)	(0.18)	(0.21)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0
Num. of children 0-5	0.35	0.44	0.50	0.61	0.43	0.49	0.66	0.75	0.10	0.10	0.07	0.09	0.08	0.09	0.08	0
	(0.20)	(0.23)	(0.30)	(0.35)	(0.27)	(0.30)	(0.42)	(0.47)	(0.12)	(0.13)	(0.12)	(0.12)	(0.04)	(0.05)	(0.04)	(0
Γ ype 2	-	-0.64	-0.96	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.09	0.08	-	-	0.07	0.04	
	-	(0.39)	(0.55)	-	-	-	-	-	-	(0.32)	(0.30)	-	-	(0.09)	(0.08)	
Гуре 3	-	-1.70	-2.41	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.12	0.10	-	-	-0.30	-0.30	
	-	(0.58)	(0.93)	-	-	-	-	-	-	(0.32)	(0.31)	-	-	(0.12)	(0.11)	
ι_k	-	-	-	-2.66	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.21	-	-	-	-(
	-	-	-	(1.10)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(0.26)	-	-	-	(0
ather some coll.	-	-	-	-	-0.58	-0.67	-1.08	-1.10	-0.01^{+}	0.07	-0.01^{+}	-0.05^{+}	0.03	0.03	0.01	0
	-	-	-	-	(0.45)	(0.50)	(0.72)	(0.80)	(0.23)	(0.27)	(0.25)	(0.25)	(0.07)	(0.07)	(0.07)	(0
ather coll+	-	-	-	-	-0.45	-0.44	-0.98	-1.10	-0.62^{+}	-0.64^{+}	-0.64	-0.69	0.24	$0.25^{'}$	$0.22^{'}$	0
	-	-	-	-	(0.41)	(0.43)	(0.68)	(0.76)	(0.21)	(0.23)	(0.24)	(0.24)	(0.06)	(0.07)	(0.07)	(0
Y = 2002	-	-	-	-	- ′	` - ´	- ′	-		- /		-	-0.32	-0.32	-0.34	-(
	_	-	_	_	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	-	(0.04)	(0.05)	(0.05)	(0

Table 2: Joint GMM Estimation - Fully Restricted Case, No Borrowing or Saving

		$\epsilon_{ au}$,g			ϵ_x	H			(δ_1				δ_2	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(
	0.30^{+}	0.26^{+}	0.20^{+}	0.18^{+}	0.53	0.47^{+}	0.50	0.50	0.15	0.20	0.17	0.16	0.82	0.83	0.83	(
	(0.05)	(0.05)	(0.05)	(0.05)	(0.09)	(0.08)	(0.09)	(0.09)	(0.04)	(0.05)	(0.04)	(0.04)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0
		$\tilde{\phi}_m$: Moth	er's Time			$\tilde{\phi}_f$: Fath	er's Time	;		$\tilde{\phi}_x$: C	hildcare			$\phi_{ heta}$:	TFP	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(
Constant	5.43	6.25^{+}	8.22	12.56	3.44	3.59	4.13	4.29	-1.19	-1.23	-1.19	-1.59	0.76	0.81	1.10	2
	(0.77)	(0.99)	(1.90)	(3.68)	(0.81)	(0.90)	(1.27)	(1.40)	(0.31)	(0.42)	(0.41)	(0.61)	(0.50)	(0.55)	(0.47)	(0
Single	0.18	0.21	0.10	0.13	-	-	-	-	0.55	0.61	0.61	0.62	-0.20	-0.19	-0.20	-0
	(0.25)	(0.28)	(0.37)	(0.40)	-	-	-	-	(0.20)	(0.22)	(0.21)	(0.21)	(0.06)	(0.07)	(0.07)	(0
Mother some coll.	-0.34	-	-0.50	-0.53	-	-	-	-	0.04	-	0.01	0.04	0.07	-	0.05	0
	(0.30)	-	(0.45)	(0.50)	-	-	-	-	(0.18)	-	(0.20)	(0.20)	(0.07)	-	(0.07)	(0
Mother coll+	-1.04	-	-1.71	-1.83	-	-	-	-	-0.20	-	-0.23	-0.20	-0.01	-	-0.08	-(
	(0.40)	-	(0.74)	(0.83)	-	-	-	-	(0.18)	-	(0.19)	(0.19)	(0.09)	-	(0.11)	(0
Child's age	-0.40	-0.46^{+}	-0.60	-0.66	-0.30	-0.35	-0.48	-0.52	-0.06	-0.07	-0.07	-0.07	-0.09	-0.13	-0.13	-(
	(0.09)	(0.10)	(0.17)	(0.21)	(0.09)	(0.11)	(0.17)	(0.20)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.04)	(0.04)	(0
Num. of children 0-5 $$	0.34	0.41	0.46	0.58	0.42	0.48	0.64	0.73	0.09	0.09	0.06	0.08	0.07	0.06	0.06	0
	(0.20)	(0.22)	(0.30)	(0.34)	(0.26)	(0.30)	(0.42)	(0.47)	(0.12)	(0.13)	(0.12)	(0.12)	(0.05)	(0.05)	(0.05)	(0
Type 2	-	-0.62	-0.96	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.10	0.08	-	-	-0.06	-0.05	
	-	(0.38)	(0.55)	-	-	-	-	-	-	(0.32)	(0.30)	-	-	(0.10)	(0.09)	
Type 3	-	-1.68	-2.41	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.13	0.10	-	-	-0.43	-0.43	
	-	(0.57)	(0.93)	-	-	-	-	-	-	(0.32)	(0.31)	-	-	(0.14)	(0.14)	
μ_k	-	-	-	-2.64	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.20	-	- '	-	-(
	-	-	-	(1.09)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(0.26)	-	-	-	(0
Father some coll.	-	-	-	-	-0.56	-0.63	-1.04	-1.08	-0.02^{+}	0.07	-0.00^{+}	-0.05^{+}	0.01	-0.00	-0.01	C
	-	-	-	-	(0.45)	(0.49)	(0.72)	(0.79)	(0.23)	(0.27)	(0.25)	(0.25)	(0.07)	(0.08)	(0.07)	(0
Father coll+	-	-	-	-	-0.43	-0.40	-0.95	-1.07	-0.62^{+}	-0.64^{+}	-0.63	-0.69^{+}	0.18	0.18	0.17	(
	-	-	-	-	(0.40)	(0.43)	(0.68)	(0.75)	(0.21)	(0.23)	(0.23)	(0.24)	(0.07)	(0.09)	(0.08)	((
Year = 2002	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 1	-		-	- ′	-0.28	-0.26	-0.30	-
	-	-	_	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	_	(0.05)	(0.06)	(0.06)	(

Note: Superscripts indicate results of Lagrange Multiplier test of individual parameter restrictions. Rejection for a test of size 5%, 1% and 0.1% is indicated by *, **, and ***.

Table 3: Joint GMM Estimation - Unrestricted, No Borrowing or Saving

	$\epsilon_{ au,g}$ Rel. Dem.	Prod.	$\epsilon_{x,H}$ Rel. Dem.	Prod.	δ_1	δ_2 -	$2N(Q_N - \tilde{Q}_N)$
	0.21 (0.05)	0.56 (21.96)	0.50 (0.09)	-	0.17 (0.05)	0.82 (0.03)	1.72 (0.42)
	$\tilde{\phi}_m$: Mothe Rel. Dem.	r's Time Prod.	$\tilde{\phi}_f$: Father' Rel. Dem.	s Time Prod.	$ ilde{\phi}_x$: Rel. Dem.	Childcare Prod.	ϕ_{θ} : TFP
	Kei. Deili.	Prod.	nei. Deili.	Prod.	nei. Deili.	Prod.	
Constant	7.79	-	3.93	-	-1.18	-	1.21
	(1.69)		(1.17)		(0.41)		(0.62)
Single	0.11	-	-	-	0.60	-	-0.17
	(0.34)				(0.21)		(0.14)
Type 2	-0.87	-	-	-	0.07	-	-0.09
	(0.50)				(0.30)		(0.16)
Type 3	-2.19	-	-	-	0.10	-	-0.55
	(0.82)				(0.31)		(0.30)
Mother some coll.	-0.47	-	-	-	0.01	-	0.02
	(0.42)				(0.20)		(0.12)
Mother coll+	-1.55	-	-	-	-0.22	-	-0.18
	(0.65)				(0.19)		(0.22)
Child's age	-0.56	-	-0.43	-	-0.07	-	-0.16
	(0.15)		(0.16)		(0.03)		(0.04)
Num. of children 0-5	$0.43^{'}$	-	0.60	-	$0.05^{'}$	-	0.07
	(0.27)		(0.38)		(0.12)		(0.05)
Father some coll.	- 1	-	-0.95	-	0.00	-16.20	-0.08
			(0.67)		(0.25)	(130762285.78)	(0.58)
Father coll+	-	-	-0.83	-	-0.63	-	$0.12^{'}$
·			(0.61)		(0.24)		(0.10)
Year = 2002	-	-	` - '	-	-	-	-0.30
							(0.06)

Table 4: Joint GMM Estimation - Unrestricted, No Binding Constraints

	$\epsilon_{ au,g}$ Rel. Dem.	Prod.	$\epsilon_{x,H}$ Rel. Dem.	Prod.	$rac{\delta_1}{-}$	δ_2 -	$2N(Q_N - \tilde{Q}_N)$
	0.21 (0.05)	0.55 (27.77)	0.50 (0.09)	-	0.14 (0.05)	0.83 (0.03)	1.98 (0.37)
	$\tilde{\phi}_m$: Mothe Rel. Dem.	r's Time Prod.	$\tilde{\phi}_f$: Father Rel. Dem.		$ ilde{\phi}_x$: Rel. Dem.	Childcare Prod.	ϕ_{θ} : TFP
Constant	7.86	_	4.06	_	-1.19		1.36
	(1.70)		(1.17)		(0.41)		(0.59)
Single	0.08	_	-	_	0.60	-	-0.13
o .	(0.34)				(0.21)		(0.14)
Type 2	-0.87	-	-	-	0.07	-	0.01
• •	(0.50)				(0.30)		(0.14)
Type 3	-2.17	_	-	_	0.10	-	-0.40
• •	(0.81)				(0.31)		(0.28)
Mother some coll.	-0.47	-	-	-	0.01	-	0.07
	(0.42)				(0.20)		(0.11)
Mother coll+	-1.55°	-	-	-	-0.22	-	-0.11
	(0.65)				(0.19)		(0.21)
Child's age	-0.56	-	-0.44	-	-0.07	-	-0.16
	(0.16)		(0.16)		(0.03)		(0.04)
Num. of children 0-5	$0.45^{'}$	-	0.61	-	0.06	-	0.08
	(0.27)		(0.38)		(0.12)		(0.05)
Father some coll.	- ′	-	-0.96	-	-0.00	-18.19	-0.05
			(0.66)		(0.25)	(1094529195.59)	(0.57)
Father coll+	-	-	-0.84	-	-0.64	- ′	$0.17^{'}$
			(0.61)		(0.24)		(0.10)
Year = 2002	-	-	-	-	- 1	-	-0.33
							(0.06)

 ∞

Table 5: Joint GMM Estimation - Fully Restricted Case, Unconstrained, Older Children

			ρ				δ_1		δ_2			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	0.24	0.18	0.11	0.06	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.92	0.93	0.92	0.93
	(0.06)	(0.06)	(0.07)	(0.07)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)
		$\tilde{\phi}_m$: Mot	her's Tin	ne		$\tilde{\phi}_f$: Fath	her's Tim	e	$ ilde{\phi}_{ heta} \colon \mathrm{TFP}$			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Constant	6.06	7.51	12.63	36.51	3.66	4.45	6.53	11.26	2.18	2.40	2.25	2.47
	(2.18)	(3.08)	(7.84)	(40.30)	(2.39)	(3.21)	(5.91)	(13.97)	(0.35)	(0.35)	(0.36)	(0.35)
Single	0.43	0.49	0.52	0.83	-	-	-	-	-0.08	-0.07	-0.07	-0.07
	(0.46)	(0.61)	(1.00)	(1.93)	-	-	-	-	(0.06)	(0.06)	(0.06)	(0.06)
Mother some coll.	-0.76	-	-1.71	-2.84	-	-	-	-	-0.04	-	-0.02	-0.01
	(0.60)	-	(1.63)	(3.94)	-	-	-	-	(0.06)	-	(0.06)	(0.06)
Mother coll+	-1.23	-	-3.21	-5.63	-	-	-	-	-0.07	-	-0.05	-0.04
	(0.73)	-	(2.58)	(7.07)	-	-	-	-	(0.07)	-	(0.07)	(0.07)
Child's age	-0.49	-0.59	-0.96	-1.76	-0.37	-0.53	-0.94	-1.82	-0.12	-0.12	-0.12	-0.12
	(0.21)	(0.30)	(0.69)	(2.04)	(0.24)	(0.35)	(0.77)	(2.24)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)
Num. of children 0-5	$0.37^{'}$	0.61	$0.85^{'}$	1.96	$0.62^{'}$	$0.79^{'}$	1.33	$2.21^{'}$	-0.00	-0.02	-0.02	-0.01
	(0.44)	(0.59)	(1.01)	(2.63)	(0.54)	(0.71)	(1.35)	(3.07)	(0.06)	(0.06)	(0.06)	(0.06)
Type 2		-1.67	-2.99	` - ´	-	` - ´	` - ′	-	` - ´	-0.06	-0.07	` - ´
-	_	(1.05)	(2.37)	_	-	-	-	_	-	(0.07)	(0.07)	_
Type 3	-	-3.20	-5.48	_	-	-	-	_	-	-0.07	-0.12	-
* -	-	(1.63)	(3.99)	-	-	-	-	-	-	(0.13)	(0.11)	-
μ_k	_	` - ´	` - ´	-11.02	_	-	-	_	-	` - ´		-0.08
,	_	_	_	(13.25)	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	(0.12)
Father some coll.	-	_	_	-	-1.11	-1.72	-3.23	-6.53	-0.03	-0.05	-0.04	-0.02
	_	_	_	_	(0.84)	(1.19)	(2.78)	(8.33)	(0.08)	(0.08)	(0.08)	(0.08)
Father coll+	-	-	-	-	-1.08	-1.76	-3.50	-7.27	$0.14^{'}$	$0.12^{'}$	$0.14^{'}$	$0.14^{'}$
	_	_	_	_	(0.77)	(1.12)	(2.92)	(9.12)	(0.08)	(0.08)	(0.07)	(0.08)
Year = 2002	_	_	_	_	-	-	-	-	-0.31	-0.32	-0.30	-0.32
	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	(0.04)	(0.04)	(0.04)	(0.04)

9

Table 6: Joint GMM Estimation - Mother's Share Unrestricted, No Borrowing or Saving

	$\epsilon_{ au,g}$		$\epsilon_{x,H}$		δ_1	δ_2	$2N(Q_N - \tilde{Q}_N)$
	Rel. Dem.	Prod.	Rel. Dem.	Prod.			
	0.20	-	0.50	-	0.17	0.83	0.00
	(0.05)	-	(0.09)	-	(0.05)	(0.02)	(0.97)
	$\tilde{\phi}_m$: Mother	r's Time	$\tilde{\phi}_f$: Father	's Time	$\tilde{\phi}_x$: Chile	dcare	ϕ_{θ} : TFP
	Rel. Dem.	Prod.	Rel. Dem.	Prod.	Rel. Dem.	Prod.	-
Constant	8.19	8.10	4.12	_	-1.19	_	1.11
	(1.89)	(9.53)	(1.27)		(0.41)		(0.73)
Single	0.10	` - ´	- ′	-	$0.61^{'}$	-	-0.20
	(0.37)				(0.21)		(0.34)
Type 2	-0.96	-	-	-	0.08	-	-0.05
	(0.55)				(0.30)		(0.24)
Type 3	-2.41	-	-	-	0.10	-	-0.43
	(0.92)				(0.31)		(0.45)
Mother some coll.	-0.50	-	-	-	0.01	-	0.05
	(0.45)				(0.20)		(0.16)
Mother coll+	-1.70	-	-	-	-0.23	-	-0.08
	(0.73)				(0.19)		(0.34)
Child's age	-0.59	-	-0.47	-	-0.07	-	-0.13
	(0.17)		(0.17)		(0.03)		(0.09)
Num. of children 0-5	$0.46^{'}$	-	0.64	-	0.06	-	0.06
	(0.29)		(0.42)		(0.12)		(0.06)
Father some coll.	- ′	-	-1.04	-	-0.00	-	-0.01
			(0.72)		(0.25)		(0.09)
Father coll+	-	-	-0.95	-	-0.63	-	$0.17^{'}$
			(0.67)		(0.24)		(0.12)
Year = 2002	-	-		-	- /	-	-0.30
							(0.07)

Table 7: Joint GMM Estimation - Mother's Share Unrestricted, No Binding Constraints

	$\epsilon_{ au, y}$ Rel. Dem.	g Prod.	$\epsilon_{x,E}$ Rel. Dem.	I Prod.	δ_1	δ_2	$2N(Q_N - \tilde{Q}_N)$
	0.20 (0.05)	-	0.50 (0.09)	- - -	0.14 (0.04)	0.83 (0.02)	0.02 (0.88)
	$\tilde{\phi}_m$: Mother Rel. Dem.	er's Time Prod.	$\tilde{\phi}_f$: Father Rel. Dem.	's Time Prod.	$ ilde{\phi}_x$: Chil Rel. Dem.	dcare Prod.	$\phi_{ heta}\colon \mathrm{TFP}$ -
Constant	8.43	8.80	4.28	_	-1.21	_	1.28
0	(1.99)	(11.14)	(1.30)		(0.41)		(0.87)
Single	0.08	-	(1.50)	_	0.61	_	-0.14
Single	(0.37)				(0.21)		(0.27)
Type 2	-0.98	_	_	_	0.08	_	0.04
- J F	(0.56)				(0.30)		(0.24)
Type 3	-2.44	_	_	_	0.10	_	-0.29
-JP0 0	(0.95)				(0.31)		(0.48)
Mother some coll.	-0.53	_	_	_	0.00	_	0.10
	(0.46)				(0.20)		(0.16)
Mother coll+	-1.77	_	_	_	-0.22	_	-0.02
THE COLL	(0.76)				(0.19)		(0.37)
Child's age	-0.62	_	-0.49	_	-0.07	_	-0.13
2	(0.18)		(0.18)		(0.03)		(0.11)
Num. of children 0-5	0.50	_	0.67	_	0.07	_	0.08
	(0.30)		(0.43)		(0.12)		(0.06)
Father some coll.	-	_	-1.10	_	-0.01	_	0.01
			(0.74)		(0.25)		(0.09)
Father coll+	_	_	-1.01	_	-0.64	_	0.21
			(0.69)		(0.24)		(0.11)
Year = 2002	_	_	-	_	-	_	-0.34
							(0.06)

_

Table 8: Direct GMM Estimation - Relaxed Demand Specification

	$_{(1)}^{\rho}$	$\gamma $ (1)	δ_1 (1)	$\frac{\delta_2}{(1)}$
	[-3.00, -0.04]	[-6.79, 2.17]	[-0.13, 0.07]	[0.71, 0.96]
	$\tilde{\phi}_m$: Mother's Time (1)	$\tilde{\phi}_f$: Father's Time (1)	$\tilde{\phi}_x$: Childcare (1)	ϕ_{θ} : TFP (1)
Constant	[-3.88, 2.75]	[-3.93, 0.99]	[-2.59, 1.80]	[-4.65, 0.58]
Single	-	-	[-4.40, 2.43]	[-0.01, 1.84]
Mother some coll.	-	-	-	[-3.19, 0.89]
Mother coll+	-	-	-	[-0.16, 2.17]
Father some coll.	-	-	-	[-1.16, 2.97]
Father coll+	-	-	-	[-0.11, 2.35]
Child's age	-	-	-	[-0.01, 0.52]
Num. of children 0-5	-	-	-	[0.45, 4.13]
Year = 2002	-	-	-	[-0.71, -0.25]

This table reports the 10th and 90th percentiles of the bootstrapped distribution of parameter estimates from the direct estimation method. To avoid convergence issues, each bootstrap trial is terminated after 100 LBFGS iterations followed by 10 iterations of Newton's method.

Table 9: Monte Carlo Simulation - Direct vs Indirect Methods

	Bias	ρ Std. Dev.	Bias	a Std. Dev.	Bias	δ Std. Dev.
Method 1, $N = 500$	24.69	23.76	-0.01	0.42	-0.01	0.03
Method 1, $N = 500$ Method 1, $N = 1000$	22.36	23.82	-0.03	0.39	-0.01	0.02
Method 1, $N = 2000$	20.25	23.41	-0.02	0.36	-0.00	0.02
Method 2, $N = 500$	23.27	23.42	0.01	0.43	-0.00	0.03
Method 2, $N = 1000$ Method 2, $N = 2000$	25.71 28.04	23.62 23.41	-0.01 -0.02	$0.42 \\ 0.40$	-0.00 -0.00	$0.02 \\ 0.02$
Method 3, $N = 500$	0.39	1.69	0.00	0.04	-0.00	0.03
Method 3, $N = 1000$	0.11	0.74	-0.00	0.03	0.00	0.02
Method 3, $N = 2000$	0.07	0.49	-0.00	0.02	-0.00	0.02
Method 4, $N = 500$ Method 4, $N = 1000$	$0.00 \\ 0.00$	$0.00 \\ 0.00$	-0.00 -0.02	$0.38 \\ 0.34$	-0.00 -0.00	$0.03 \\ 0.02$
Method 4, $N = 1000$ Method 4, $N = 2000$	0.00	0.00	-0.02	0.28	-0.00	0.02

This table presents results from 500 Monte Carlo samples of each estimator. Estimator 1 assumes inputs are perfectly measured and performs NLLS on production outcomes. Estimator 2 assumes measurement error in input 2 and uses relative demand observations to impute the second input, but does not impose cross-equation restrictions between demand and production when performing NLLS. Estimator 3 imposes the cross-equation restrictions. Estimator 4 implements estimator 1 assuming the true value of ρ .

Table 10: Monte Carlo Simulation - Increasing Relative Price Variation

		ρ		a		δ
	Bias	Std. Dev.	Bias	Std. Dev.	Bias	Std. Dev.
Method 1, $N = 500$	23.62	23.68	-0.00	0.41	-0.01	0.03
Method 1, $N = 1000$	22.35	23.64	-0.01	0.38	-0.00	0.02
Method 1, $N = 2000$	18.97	23.10	0.01	0.36	0.00	0.02
Method 2, $N = 500$	24.53	24.03	0.02	0.42	-0.00	0.03
Method 2, $N = 1000$	24.73	24.04	-0.01	0.40	-0.00	0.02
Method 2, $N = 2000$	23.04	23.83	0.01	0.37	-0.00	0.02
Method 3, $N = 500$	0.08	0.52	-0.00	0.04	-0.00	0.03
Method 3, $N = 1000$	0.03	0.35	-0.00	0.03	0.00	0.02
Method 3, $N = 2000$	0.03	0.25	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.02
Method 4, $N = 500$	0.00	0.00	-0.01	0.37	-0.00	0.03
Method 4, $N = 1000$	0.00	0.00	-0.01	0.32	-0.00	0.02
Method 4, $N = 2000$	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.27	0.00	0.02

Same simulation results with σ_{π} doubled.

Table 11: Monte Carlo Simulation - Increasing Idiosyncratic Preference Variation

ho		a		δ	
Bias	Std. Dev.	Bias	Std. Dev.	Bias	Std. Dev.
23.62	23.68	-0.00	0.41	-0.01	0.03
22.35	23.64	-0.01	0.38	-0.00	0.02
18.97	23.10	0.01	0.36	0.00	0.02
24.53	24.03	0.02	0.42	-0.00	0.03
24.73	24.04	-0.01	0.40	-0.00	0.02
23.04	23.83	0.01	0.37	-0.00	0.02
0.08	0.52	-0.00	0.04	-0.00	0.03
0.03	0.35	-0.00	0.03	0.00	0.02
0.03	0.25	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.02
0.00	0.00	-0.01	0.37	-0.00	0.03
0.00	0.00	-0.01	0.32	-0.00	0.02
0.00	0.00	0.02	0.27	0.00	0.02
	23.62 22.35 18.97 24.53 24.73 23.04 0.08 0.03 0.03 0.00 0.00	Bias Std. Dev. 23.62 23.68 22.35 23.64 18.97 23.10 24.53 24.03 24.73 24.04 23.04 23.83 0.08 0.52 0.03 0.35 0.03 0.25 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	Bias Std. Dev. Bias 23.62 23.68 -0.00 22.35 23.64 -0.01 18.97 23.10 0.01 24.53 24.03 0.02 24.73 24.04 -0.01 23.04 23.83 0.01 0.08 0.52 -0.00 0.03 0.35 -0.00 0.03 0.25 0.00 0.00 -0.01 -0.01 0.00 -0.00 -0.01	Bias Std. Dev. Bias Std. Dev. 23.62 23.68 -0.00 0.41 22.35 23.64 -0.01 0.38 18.97 23.10 0.01 0.36 24.53 24.03 0.02 0.42 24.73 24.04 -0.01 0.40 23.04 23.83 0.01 0.37 0.08 0.52 -0.00 0.04 0.03 0.35 -0.00 0.03 0.03 0.25 0.00 0.02 0.00 0.00 -0.01 0.37 0.00 0.00 -0.01 0.32	Bias Std. Dev. Bias Std. Dev. Bias 23.62 23.68 -0.00 0.41 -0.01 22.35 23.64 -0.01 0.38 -0.00 18.97 23.10 0.01 0.36 0.00 24.53 24.03 0.02 0.42 -0.00 24.73 24.04 -0.01 0.40 -0.00 23.04 23.83 0.01 0.37 -0.00 0.08 0.52 -0.00 0.04 -0.00 0.03 0.35 -0.00 0.03 0.00 0.03 0.25 0.00 0.02 0.00 0.00 0.00 -0.01 0.37 -0.00 0.00 0.00 -0.01 0.37 -0.00 0.00 0.00 -0.01 0.32 -0.00

Same simulation results with all residual variation in relative demand attributed to true variation instead of measurement error.