## Coordinated Electric System Interconnect Review

**Distributed Energy Resources - NYSSIR** 

Doc. # CDG-00472

Page 1 of 10

Template Version 1.1 - 8/14/18

## For

Interconnection Customer: Delaware River Solar
Applicant: Rosario Giufre
5,000 kW Solar Generation System
39,49 Marycrest Road, Blooming Grove, NY 10950

Interconnection to Orange & Rockland Utilities

NY Central Division

Monroe Substation

13.2 kV Feeder 61-8-13

THIS DOCUMENT AND ANY ATTACHMENTS HERETO ("DOCUMENT") IS MADE AVAILABLE BY ORANGE & ROCKLAND UTILITIES UPON AND SUBJECT TO THE EXPRESS UNDERSTANDING THAT: (A) NEITHER ORANGE & ROCKLAND UTILITIES NOR ANY OF ITS OFFICERS, DIRECTORS, AFFILIATES, AGENTS, OR EMPLOYEES MAKES ANY WARRANTY, ASSURANCE, GUARANTY, OR REPRESENTATION WITH RESPECT TO THE CONTENTS OF THE DOCUMENT OR THE ACCURACY OR COMPLETENESS OF THE INFORMATION CONTAINED OR REFERENCED IN THE DOCUMENT, AND (B) ORANGE & ROCKLAND UTILITIES, ITS OFFICERS, DIRECTORS, AFFILIATES, AGENTS, AND EMPLOYEES SHALL NOT HAVE ANY LIABILITY OR RESPONSIBILITY FOR INACCURACIES, ERRORS, OR OMISSIONS IN, OR ANY BUSINESS OR POLICY DECISIONS MADE BY ANY DIRECT OR INDIRECT RECIPIENT IN RELIANCE ON, THIS DOCUMENT OR THE INFORMATION CONTAINED OR REFERENCED THEREIN; ALL SUCH LIABILITY IS EXPRESSLY DISCLAIMED.

## Coordinated Electric System Interconnect Review

Doc. # CDG-00472

Page 2 of 10

**Distributed Energy Resources - NYSSIR** 

Template Version 1.1 - 8/14/18

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Sect	<u>ion</u>	<u>Page</u>
1.0	INTRODUCTION	3
2.0	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	3
3.0	COMPANY EPS PARAMETERS	3
4.0	INTERCONNECTION CUSTOMER SITE	4
5.0	SYSTEM IMPACT ANALYSIS	5
6.0	MITIGATIONS FOR SYSTEM IMPACT ANALYSIS FAILURES	7
7.0	CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATE	8
8.0	REVISION HISTORY	10

## Coordinated Electric System Interconnect Review

Distributed Energy Resources - NYSSIR

Doc. # CDG-00472 Page 3 of 10

Template Version 1.1 - 8/14/18

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report presents the analysis results of the Orange and Rockland Utilities ("Orange and Rockland" or the "Company") interconnection study based on the proposed interconnection and design submittal from the Interconnection Customer in accordance with the Company. The intent of this report is to assess this project's feasibility, determine its impact to the existing electric power system (EPS), determine interconnection scope and installation requirements, and determine costs associated with interconnecting the Interconnection Customer's generation to the Company's Electric Power System (EPS). This Coordinated Electric System Impact Review (CESIR) study; according to the New York State Standardized Interconnection Requirements (NYSSIR) Section I.C Step 6; identifies the scope, schedule, and costs specific to this Interconnection Customer's installation requirements.

#### 2.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The total estimated planning grade cost of the work associated with the interconnection of the Interconnection Customer is **\$532,494**.

The interconnection was found to be feasible with modifications to the existing Company EPS and operating conditions, which are described in detail in the body of this Study.

The study was performed between daylight hours of 0800-2000 hours.

#### 3.0 COMPANY EPS PARAMETERS

Substation	Monroe
Transformer Name (list multiple where normally tied to common bus)	Bank #261
Transformer Peak Load (kW)	28,840
Contingency Condition Load, N-1 Criteria (kW) (as applicable)	n/a
Daytime Light Load (kW)	7,160
Generation: Total, Connected, Queued (kW)	11,715; 1,646; 10,069
Contingency Condition Generation: Total, Connected, Queued (kW)	n/a
Supply Voltage (kV)	69
Transformer Maximum Nameplate Rating (kVA)	50,000
Distribution Bus Voltage Regulation	Yes
Transmission GFOV Status	Not installed
Bus Tie	Open
Number of Feeders Served from this Bus	5

## Coordinated Electric System Interconnect Review

Page 4 of 10

Doc. # CDG-00472

**Distributed Energy Resources - NYSSIR** 

Template Version 1.1 - 8/14/18

Connecting Feeder/Line	61-8-13
Peak Load on feeder (kW)	3,980
Daytime Light Load on Feeder (kW)	640
Feeder Primary Voltage at POI (kV)	13.2
Line Phasing at POI	1
Circuit distance from POI to substation	1.96 miles
Distance from POI to nearest 3-phase, (if applicable)	0.20 miles
Line Regulation	No
Line/Source Grounding Configuration at POI	Effective
Other Generation: Total, Connected, Queued Ahead (kW)	5,599; 556; 5,043

System Fault Characteristics without Interconnection Customer DG at POI with System Upgrades described in Section 6	
	39,49 Marycrest Road,
	Blooming Grove, NY 10950
Interconnection Customer POI Location (Pole X/Y)	(53359/48622)
I 3-phase (3LLL)	5,830 Amps
I Line to Ground (3I0)	4,511 Amps
Z1 (100 MVA base)	0.4932 + j1.3194 [PU]
Z0 (100 MVA base)	0.7786 + j2.3250 [PU]

#### 4.0 INTERCONNECTION CUSTOMER SITE

The Interconnection Customer is proposing a new primary service connection at a new customer location. The service voltage is 13.2 kV. The applicant proposes installing one Solar Generation System with AC power rating of 5,000 kW. The proposed solar project is interfaced with two (2) inverters and two (2) medium voltage transformers.

The proposed point of interconnection (POI) is on Feeder 61-8-13 supplied from Transformer Bank #261 at the Monroe substation. The POI is on a single-phase line section.

The study was performed between the hours of 0800-2000 hours.

The proposed 5,000 kW solar generation system consists of:

- 2 SUNGROW SG 3425 UD-MV Inverters each rated 3425 kVA (limited to 2,500 kVA) at 600 Volts AC.
- 2 Generator Step Up transformer, 3,425 kVA, grounded wye primary and wye secondary winding configuration, 13,200 primary volts, 600 secondary volts.

## Coordinated Electric System Interconnect Review

**Distributed Energy Resources - NYSSIR** 

Doc. # CDG-00472 Page 5 of 10

Template Version 1.1 - 8/14/18

### 5.0 SYSTEM IMPACT ANALYSIS

The analysis was run at the rated project size in normal system configuration connected to the feeder 61-8-13. The following table shows the impact study results of 5,000 kW at 1.0 power factor project interconnecting to the distribution system.

#	Category	Criteria	Limit	Result
1	Voltage	Overvoltage	< 105% (ANSI C84.1)	Pass
	With the addition of the subject project the maximum voltage as modeled on the Feeder is 103.6% of nominal.			ler is 103.6% of
2	Voltage	Undervoltage	> 95% (ANSI C84.1)	Pass
	With the addition of the subject project the minimum voltage as modeled on the Feeder is 101.6 nominal.			er is 101.6% of
3	Voltage	Substation Regulation for Reverse Power	<100% minimum load criteria	Fail
	_	ration on Bank #261 is 11.71 MW. The ration to load ratio is 133.04%.	minimum load on this substation	bank is 8.81
4	Voltage	Feeder Regulation for Reverse Power	<100% Minimum load to generation ratio	n/a
	Not applicable	(no feeder voltage regulation installed	)	
5	Voltage	Fluctuation	<3% steady state from	Pass
			proposed generation on feeder	
	The greatest voltage fluctuation on the feeder occurs at proposed project POI.			
	The resulting f	luctuation at the POI is 1.25% due to the	ne proposed project.	
6	Voltage	Fluctuation	<5% steady state from aggregate DER on substation bus	Pass
The maximum component voltage fluctuation on the stepping from 0% to 100%.			system is 2.32% due to all genera	ation output
7	Voltage	Fluctuation	Regulator tap movement exceeds 1 position,	n/a
			generation change of 75% of	
			nameplate rating does not	
			result in voltage change > ½ the bandwidth of any feeder	
			voltage regulating device.	
	Not applicable	(Study feeder has no voltage regulator	rs)	
	<u></u>			

## Coordinated Electric System Interconnect Review

Page 6 of 10

**Distributed Energy Resources - NYSSIR** 

Template Version 1.1 - 8/14/18

Doc. # CDG-00472

8	Voltage	Flicker	Screen H Flicker	Pass
	The Pst for the le	ocation with the greatest voltage fluct	uation is 0.04 and the emissions	limit is 0.35.
9	Equipment Ratings	Thermal (continuous current)	< 100% thermal limits assuming no load	Fail
		erator's full output current is 218.69 A 5k) are overloaded with the proposed	•	: 40k) and cmp
10	Equipment Ratings	Withstand (fault current)	<90% withstand limits	Pass
		ault current contribution from the sub the POI is 2.16%. The fault contribution		
11	Protection	Unintentional Islanding	Unintentional Islanding Document & Company Guidelines	Fail
	of 5.0 MW, exce minimum load re 133.04%. The po	oject project is 5.0 MW. The total general eds the 2/3 of the minimum feeder locatio for a possible feeder island is 468 ower factor is above 99% at the possibnanufacturer makes up at least 2/3 of	ading and substation loading. The .20% and for a possible substatio le islands for extended period of	e generation to n island is time. Also, no
12	Protection	Protective device coordination	Company Guidelines	Fail
	Project will require a recloser that coordinates with the feeder breaker and upstream reclosers. A detailed protection study is required to evaluate the protection coordination impact due to fault current increase by the proposed project.			
13	Protection	Fault Sensitivity	Rated capabilities of EPS equipment	Fail
	A detailed protection study is required due to fault current increase by the proposed project.			roject.
14	Protection	Ground Fault Detection	Reduction of reach > 10% (by Utility)	Fail
	The proposed system interconnection transformers' winding configuration is Yg (primary) – Y (secondary). The utility will verify and evaluate the impact of proposed project on reduction of reach by conducting a detailed protection study.			
15	Protection	Overvoltage - Transmission System Fault	Company 3V0 criteria	Fail
	planning thresho the distribution	to load ratio on the serving distribution of the serving distribution of the serving distribution of the protection mitigation methods	overvoltage become an electrication the existing EPS has been perform	al hazard due to

## Coordinated Electric System Interconnect Review

Page 7 of 10

Doc. # CDG-00472

**Distributed Energy Resources - NYSSIR** 

Template Version 1.1 - 8/14/18

16	Protection	Overvoltage - Distribution System Fault	< 138% voltage rise	Pass	
	With subject project interconnected the modeled voltage rise on the unfaulted phases of the system is 115%.				
17	Protection	Effective Grounding	IEEE 142 (0 <r0 x1<1;<br="">0<x0 th="" x1<3)<=""><th>Fail</th></x0></r0>	Fail	
	With subject project interconnected the modeled RO/X1 is 0.59 PU and the XO/X1 is 1.76 PU; The proposed system interconnection transformers' winding configuration is Yg (primary) – Y (secondar A detailed protection study will be conducted to verify effective grounding and recommend a grounding transformer accordingly.				
18	SCADA	Required EMS Visibility for Generation Sources	Monitoring & Control Requirements	Yes	
	The 5.0 MW subject project triggers the requirement for SCADA reporting to the Utility.				
19	Auto-Loop			Pass	
	The study feeder 61-8-13 has no auto-loop connection.				

### 6.0 MITIGATIONS FOR SYSTEM IMPACT ANALYSIS FAILURES

Detail below is intended to provide sufficient information and clarity to give the Interconnection Customer an understanding to the relationship of costs and scope associated with the DER interconnection and the system modifications due to the DER impact. This includes any required EPS equipment upgrades. Where scope items are identified, associated labor, equipment rentals and indirect project support functions (such as engineering and project management) are intended and implied.

Upgrade Required	Failures Addressed
Convert the single-phase line section from cmp 5299470 to cmp 5900485 (approximately 1100 ft) to three-phase 477 AAC.	Construction to facilitate the interconnection
Upgrade station LTC controls	Reverse power flow at substation
Upgrade station metering	Reverse power flow at substation
Upgrade the fuses at cmp 8243991 and cmp 5297363 with higher size fuses, or replace them with electronic reclosers	Thermal overload
Perform detailed grounding and protection study	Protective device coordination, Fault sensitivity, Ground fault detection, Effective grounding
Install electronic recloser	Monitoring & Control
Install primary metering cluster	Monitoring & Control
Design and Inspections	Monitoring & Control
Commissioning Time Post Installation & Monitoring	Monitoring & Control
Reclose Delay	Risk of islanding

## Coordinated Electric System Interconnect Review

**Distributed Energy Resources - NYSSIR** 

Doc. # CDG-00472 Page 8 of 10

Template Version 1.1 - 8/14/18

Install 3V0 protection	Overvoltage - Transmission System Fault
------------------------	---

Additional details on the scope of mitigations can be found below:

The Substation upgrades required to facilitate the proposed installation include the following:

- Upgrade station LTC controls to work properly with the reverse power flow.
- Upgrade the existing substation meter with a bi-directional meter.
- Install 3V0 protection at substation

The Distribution upgrades required to facilitate the proposed installation include the following:

- Convert the single-phase line section from cmp 5299470 to cmp 5900485 (approximately 1100 ft) to three-phase 477 AAC.
- Upgrade the fuses at cmp 8243991 and cmp 5297363 with higher size fuses, or replace them with electronic reclosers
- Install an electronic recloser at the POI.
- A detailed grounding and protection study is required to evaluate sensitivity, reduction of reach, protection coordination, and effective grounding
- Implement reclose delay on upstream protective devices to avoid reclosing into live island

This study was conducted based upon this facility being served by the interconnecting circuit during normal utility operating conditions. The terms, conditions, notification requirements, and other obligations of both the Company and the facility pertaining to disconnection of the facility are set forth in the applicable section(s) of the NYSSIR and the Interconnection Agreement that will be executed for the project(s) that were studied in this CESIR. Any change in system size and/or design is subject to the requirements of the NYSSIR, as well as supplemental documents developed by the Interconnection Technical Working Group and Interconnection Policy Working Group.

#### 7.0 CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATE

The following items are a good faith estimate for the scope and work required to interconnect the project estimated under rates and schedules in effect at the time of this study in accordance with the most recent version of the NYSSIR.

### **Planning Grade Estimate**

Description	Option 1
Upgrade Conductor from 3/0 ACSR to 477 ACSR	\$46,200
(1-phase 1100ft)	
Install Conductor 477 ACSR (2-phases 1100ft)	\$28,600
Install Recloser (1)	\$80,000
Install Smart Cap (1)	\$40,700

## Coordinated Electric System Interconnect Review

Page 9 of 10

Doc. # CDG-00472

**Distributed Energy Resources - NYSSIR** 

Template Version 1.1 - 8/14/18

Install Junction Pole, Fuse Pole	\$20,000
Install Primary Metering Cluster	\$6,800
Commissioning Time Post Installation	\$5,500
Submittal Review, Redbook development, Site Inspections	\$5,000
SCADA Monitoring/Power Quality Metering	\$40,000
Contingency (15%)	\$40,920
Total Distribution Estimate	\$313,720

### The following table shows the costs for 3V<sub>0</sub> installation (applicable to Option 1):

Engineering	\$12,000
Design/Drafting	\$10,500
Construction Administration	\$7,840
Safety	\$10,000
ECC	\$10,400
OH Line	\$23,520
Substation Operations - Electricians	\$48,620
Substation Operations - Relay Techs	\$38,000
Relays and/or Panels	\$20,600
Connectors	\$258
Control Wire & Misc - Stores	\$8,500
Contingency (15%)	\$28,536
Total Substation Estimate	\$218,774

The total interconnection cost estimate:

Option 1 is \$532,494.

#### Notes:

- 1. These estimated costs are based upon the results of this study and are subject to change. All costs anticipated to be incurred by the Company are listed.
- 2. The Company will reconcile actual charges upon project completion and the Interconnection Customer will be responsible for all final charges, which may be higher or lower than estimated according to the NYSSIR I.C step 11.

## Coordinated Electric System Interconnect Review

\_ .. ..

Page 10 of 10

Doc. # CDG-00472

**Distributed Energy Resources - NYSSIR** 

Template Version 1.1 - 8/14/18

- 3. This estimate does not include the following:
  - additional interconnection study costs, or study rework
  - additional application fees,
  - applicable surcharges,
  - property taxes,
  - [overall project sales tax],
  - future operation and maintenance costs,
  - adverse field conditions such as weather and Interconnection Customer equipment obstructions,
  - extended construction hours to minimize outage time or Company's public duty to serve.
  - the cost of any temporary construction service, or
  - any required permits.
- 4. Cost adders estimated for overtime would be based on 1.5 and 2 times labor rates if required for work beyond normal business hours. Per Diems are also extra costs potentially incurred for overtime labor.

#### 8.0 REVISION HISTORY

### Revision Date Description of Revision

1.0 08/23/2022 Initial document