Coordinated Electric System Interconnect Review

Distributed Energy Resources - NYSSIR

Doc. # CDG-00472

Page 1 of 11

Template Version 1.1 - 8/14/18

For

Interconnection Customer: Delaware River Solar
Applicant: Rosario Giufre
5,000 kW Solar Generation System
39,49 Marycrest Road, Blooming Grove, NY 10950

Interconnection to Orange & Rockland Utilities

Central Division

Monroe Substation

13.2 kV Feeder 61-8-13

THIS DOCUMENT AND ANY ATTACHMENTS HERETO ("DOCUMENT") IS MADE AVAILABLE BY ORANGE & ROCKLAND UTILITIES UPON AND SUBJECT TO THE EXPRESS UNDERSTANDING THAT: (A) NEITHER ORANGE & ROCKLAND UTILITIES NOR ANY OF ITS OFFICERS, DIRECTORS, AFFILIATES, AGENTS, OR EMPLOYEES MAKES ANY WARRANTY, ASSURANCE, GUARANTY, OR REPRESENTATION WITH RESPECT TO THE CONTENTS OF THE DOCUMENT OR THE ACCURACY OR COMPLETENESS OF THE INFORMATION CONTAINED OR REFERENCED IN THE DOCUMENT, AND (B) ORANGE & ROCKLAND UTILITIES, ITS OFFICERS, DIRECTORS, AFFILIATES, AGENTS, AND EMPLOYEES SHALL NOT HAVE ANY LIABILITY OR RESPONSIBILITY FOR INACCURACIES, ERRORS, OR OMISSIONS IN, OR ANY BUSINESS OR POLICY DECISIONS MADE BY ANY DIRECT OR INDIRECT RECIPIENT IN RELIANCE ON, THIS DOCUMENT OR THE INFORMATION CONTAINED OR REFERENCED THEREIN; ALL SUCH LIABILITY IS EXPRESSLY DISCLAIMED.

Coordinated Electric System Interconnect Review

Doc. # CDG-00472

Page 2 of 11

Distributed Energy Resources - NYSSIR

Template Version 1.1 - 8/14/18

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| <u>Secti</u> | <u>on</u> | <u>Page</u> |
|--------------|---|-------------|
| 1.0 | INTRODUCTION | 3 |
| 2.0 | EXECUTIVE SUMMARY | 3 |
| 3.0 | COMPANY EPS PARAMETERS | 3 |
| 4.0 | INTERCONNECTION CUSTOMER SITE | 4 |
| 5.0 | SYSTEM IMPACT ANALYSIS | 5 |
| 6.0 | MITIGATIONS FOR SYSTEM IMPACT ANALYSIS FAILURES | 8 |
| 7.0 | CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATE | 10 |
| 8.0 | REVISION HISTORY | 11 |

Coordinated Electric System Interconnect Review

Distributed Energy Resources - NYSSIR

Doc. # CDG-00472 Page 3 of 11

Template Version 1.1 - 8/14/18

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report presents the analysis results of the Orange and Rockland Utilities ("Orange and Rockland" or the "Company") interconnection study based on the proposed interconnection and design submittal from the Interconnection Customer in accordance with the Company. The intent of this report is to assess this project's feasibility, determine its impact to the existing electric power system (EPS), determine interconnection scope and installation requirements, and determine costs associated with interconnecting the Interconnection Customer's generation to the Company's Electric Power System (EPS). This Coordinated Electric System Impact Review (CESIR) study; according to the New York State Standardized Interconnection Requirements (NYSSIR) Section I.C Step 6; identifies the scope, schedule, and costs specific to this Interconnection Customer's installation requirements.

2.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The total estimated planning grade cost of the work associated with the interconnection of the Interconnection Customer is **\$532,494**.

The interconnection was found to be feasible with modifications to the existing Company EPS and operating conditions, which are described in detail in the body of this Study.

The study was performed between daylight hours of 0800-2000 hours.

3.0 COMPANY EPS PARAMETERS

| Substation | Monroe |
|--|-----------------------|
| Transformer Name (list multiple where normally tied to common bus) | Bank #261 |
| Transformer Peak Load (kW) | 28,840 |
| Contingency Condition Load, N-1 Criteria (kW) (as applicable) | n/a |
| Daytime Light Load (kW) | 7,160 |
| Generation: Total, Connected, Queued (kW) | 11,715; 1,646; 10,069 |
| Contingency Condition Generation: Total, Connected, Queued (kW) | n/a |
| Supply Voltage (kV) | 69 |
| Transformer Maximum Nameplate Rating (kVA) | 50,000 |
| Distribution Bus Voltage Regulation | Yes |
| Transmission GFOV Status | Not installed |
| Bus Tie | Open |
| Number of Feeders Served from this Bus | 5 |

Coordinated Electric System Interconnect Review

Page 4 of 11

Doc. # CDG-00472

Distributed Energy Resources - NYSSIR

Template Version 1.1 - 8/14/18

| Connecting Feeder/Line | 61-8-13 |
|---|-------------------|
| Peak Load on feeder (kW) | 3,980 |
| Daytime Light Load on Feeder (kW) | 640 |
| Feeder Primary Voltage at POI (kV) | 13.2 |
| Line Phasing at POI | 1 |
| Circuit distance from POI to substation | 1.96 miles |
| Distance from POI to nearest 3-phase, (if applicable) | 0.20 miles |
| Line Regulation | No |
| Line/Source Grounding Configuration at POI | Effective |
| Other Generation: Total, Connected, Queued Ahead (kW) | 5,599; 556; 5,043 |

| System Fault Characteristics without Interconnection Customer DG at POI with System Upgrades described in Section 6 | |
|---|-------------------------|
| Interconnection Customer POI Location (Pole X/Y) | 53359/48622 |
| I 3-phase (3LLL) | 4639 Amps |
| I Line to Ground (310) | 4340 Amps |
| Z1 (100 MVA base) | 0.03888 + j0.96514 [PU] |
| Z0 (100 MVA base) | 0.07792 + j1.12632 [PU] |

4.0 INTERCONNECTION CUSTOMER SITE

The Interconnection Customer is proposing a new primary service connection at a new customer location. The service voltage is 13.2 kV. The applicant proposes installing one Solar Generation System with AC power rating of 5,000 kW. The proposed solar project is interfaced with two (2) inverters and two (2) medium voltage transformers.

The proposed point of interconnection (POI) is on Feeder 61-8-13 supplied from Transformer Bank #261 at the Monroe substation. The POI is on a single-phase line section.

The study was performed between the hours of 0800-2000 hours.

The proposed 5,000 kW solar generation system consists of:

- 2 SUNGROW SG 3425 UD-MV Inverters each rated 3425 kVA (limited to 2,500 kVA) at 600 Volts AC.
- 2 Generator Step Up transformer, 3,425 kVA, grounded wye primary and wye secondary winding configuration, 13,200 primary volts, 600 secondary volts.

Coordinated Electric System Interconnect Review

Page 5 of 11

Distributed Energy Resources - NYSSIR

Template Version 1.1 - 8/14/18

Doc. # CDG-00472

5.0 SYSTEM IMPACT ANALYSIS

The analysis was run at the rated project size in normal system configuration connected to the feeder 61-8-13. The following table shows the impact study results of 5,000 kW at 1.0 power factor project interconnecting to the distribution system.

| # | Category | Criteria | Limit | Result |
|--|--|--|---|------------------|
| 1 | Voltage | Overvoltage | < 105% (ANSI C84.1) | Pass |
| | With the addition of the subject project the maximum voltage as modeled on the Feeder is 10 nominal. | | | ler is 103.6% of |
| 2 | Voltage | Undervoltage | > 95% (ANSI C84.1) | Pass |
| | With the addition nominal. | on of the subject project the minimum | voltage as modeled on the Feed | er is 101.6% of |
| 3 | Voltage | Substation Regulation for Reverse Power | <100% minimum load criteria | Fail |
| | _ | ation on Bank #261 is 11.71 MW. The ration to load ratio is 133.04%. | minimum load on this substation | bank is 8.81 |
| 4 | Voltage | Feeder Regulation for Reverse | <100% Minimum load to | n/a |
| | Not applicable (| Power no feeder voltage regulation installed) | generation ratio | |
| | | | · | |
| 5 | Voltage | Fluctuation | <3% steady state from proposed generation on | Pass |
| | | | feeder | |
| | | tage fluctuation on the feeder occurs | | |
| | The resulting hu | ctuation at the POI is 1.25% due to th | e proposed project. | |
| 6 | Voltage | Fluctuation | <5% steady state from | Pass |
| | | | aggregate DER on substation bus | |
| The maximum component voltage fluctuation on the system is 2.32% due to all generation stepping from 0% to 100%. | | ition output | | |
| 7 | Voltage | Fluctuation | Regulator tap movement | n/a |
| | | | exceeds 1 position, generation change of 75% of | |
| | | | nameplate rating does not | |
| | | | result in voltage change > ½ | |
| | | | the bandwidth of any feeder | |
| | | | voltage regulating device. | |
| | Not applicable (Study feeder has no voltage regulators) | | | |
| 8 | Voltage | Flicker | Screen H Flicker | Pass |

Coordinated Electric System Interconnect Review

Page 6 of 11

Distributed Energy Resources - NYSSIR

Template Version 1.1 - 8/14/18

Doc. # CDG-00472

| # | Category | Criteria | Limit | Result |
|----|---|---|---|--|
| | The Pst for the location with the greatest voltage fluctuation is 0.04 and the emissions limit is 0.35. | | | |
| 9 | Equipment Ratings | Thermal (continuous current) | < 100% thermal limits assuming no load | Fail |
| | | erator's full output current is 218.69 A 5k) are overloaded with the proposed | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | : 40k) and cmp |
| 10 | Equipment Ratings | Withstand (fault current) | <90% withstand limits | Pass |
| | does not cause f | rconnecting to the O&R electric powe ault current to exceed the short curre he upstream reclosers. | - | - |
| 11 | Protection | Unintentional Islanding | Unintentional Islanding Document & Company Guidelines | Fail |
| | of 5.0 MW, exce minimum load re 133.04%. The po | oject project is 5.0 MW. The total generated the 2/3 of the minimum feeder locatio for a possible feeder island is 468 ower factor is above 99% at the possibnanufacturer makes up at least 2/3 of | ading and substation loading. Th .20% and for a possible substation le islands for extended period of | e generation to n island is time. Also, no |
| 12 | Protection | Protective device coordination | Company Guidelines | Fail |
| | CDG-00472 will require an ORU owned recloser that coordinates with the 61-8-13 feeder breaker a upstream recloser. The project and O&R owned site recloser will not cause any issues with coordination of upstream distribution protection devices. | | | |
| 13 | Protection | Fault Sensitivity | Rated capabilities of EPS equipment | Fail |
| | | rconnecting to the O&R electric powe dverse issues with fault sensitivity. | r system with the recommended | grounding bank |
| 14 | Protection | Ground Fault Detection | Reduction of reach > 10% (by Utility) | Fail |
| | results in a maxi faults. This will h bank, the reduct | rconnecting to the O&R electric power mum reduction of reach of 3.9% for 3 nave no adverse effects on protection tion of reach is 70.6% for phase to groory. Refer to mitigations section for Groovers. | phase faults and 5.7% for phase device coordination. Without the und faults which is unacceptable | to ground grounding Grounding |
| 15 | Protection | Overvoltage - Transmission System Fault | Company 3V0 criteria | Fail |
| | planning threshouthe distribution | to load ratio on the serving distribution of the serving distribution of the serving distribution of that protection mitigation methods | overvoltage become an electrication the existing EPS has been perform | al hazard due to |

Coordinated Electric System Interconnect Review

Page 7 of 11

Doc. # CDG-00472

Distributed Energy Resources - NYSSIR

Template Version 1.1 - 8/14/18

| # | Category | Criteria | Limit | Result |
|----|--------------------|--|------------------------------------|-----------------|
| | | | | |
| 16 | Protection | Overvoltage - Distribution System | < 138% voltage rise | Pass |
| | | Fault | | |
| | CDG-00472 inter | rconnecting to the O&R electric power | r system with the recommended | grounding bank |
| | results in a Grou | nd Fault Overvoltage (GFOV) of 173% | when islanded. A grounding ban | k is mandatory. |
| 17 | Protection | Effective Grounding | IEEE C62.92.6 | Fail |
| | | | Coefficient of Grounding < 0.8 | |
| | Based on IEEE Co | 52.92.6 the definition of effective grou | unding is when the Coefficient of | Grounding |
| | (CoG) is less that | n or equal to 0.8. CoG is defined as V l | G(fault) /V L L(no fault). CoG wit | hout the |
| | grounding bank | is 1.0 CoG with the recommended gro | ounding bank is 0.58. | |
| 18 | SCADA | Required EMS Visibility for | Monitoring & Control | Yes |
| | | Generation Sources | Requirements | |
| | The 5.0 MW sub | ject project triggers the requirement | for SCADA reporting to the Utility | <i>'</i> . |
| | | | | |
| 19 | Auto-Loop | | | Pass |
| | The study feede | r 61-8-13 has no auto-loop connectior | 1. | |
| | The study recue | 1 01 0 13 has no date loop connection | 11 | |

Coordinated Electric System Interconnect Review

Page 8 of 11

Distributed Energy Resources - NYSSIR

Template Version 1.1 - 8/14/18

Doc. # CDG-00472

6.0 MITIGATIONS FOR SYSTEM IMPACT ANALYSIS FAILURES

Detail below is intended to provide sufficient information and clarity to give the Interconnection Customer an understanding to the relationship of costs and scope associated with the DER interconnection and the system modifications due to the DER impact. This includes any required EPS equipment upgrades. Where scope items are identified, associated labor, equipment rentals and indirect project support functions (such as engineering and project management) are intended and implied.

| Upgrade Required | Failures Addressed |
|---|--|
| Convert the single-phase line section from cmp 5299470 to cmp 5900485 (approximately 1100 ft) to three-phase 477 AAC. | Construction to facilitate the interconnection |
| Upgrade station LTC controls | Reverse power flow at substation |
| Upgrade station metering | Reverse power flow at substation |
| Upgrade the fuses at cmp 8243991 and cmp 5297363 with higher size fuses, or replace them with electronic reclosers | Thermal overload |
| Grounding Bank Specifications | Ground Fault Overvoltage (GFOV) |
| Install electronic recloser | Monitoring & Control |
| Install primary metering cluster | Monitoring & Control |
| Design and Inspections | Monitoring & Control |
| Commissioning Time Post Installation & Monitoring | Monitoring & Control |
| Reclose Delay | Risk of islanding |
| Install 3V0 protection | Overvoltage - Transmission System Fault |

Additional details on the scope of mitigations can be found below:

The Substation upgrades required to facilitate the proposed installation include the following:

- Upgrade station LTC controls to work properly with the reverse power flow.
- Upgrade the existing substation meter with a bi-directional meter.
- Install 3V0 protection at substation

The Distribution upgrades required to facilitate the proposed installation include the following:

- Convert the single-phase line section from cmp 5299470 to cmp 5900485 (approximately 1100 ft) to three-phase 477 AAC.
- Upgrade the fuses at cmp 8243991 and cmp 5297363 with higher size fuses, or replace them with electronic reclosers
- Install an electronic recloser at the POI
- Implement reclose delay on upstream protective devices to avoid reclosing into live island

Coordinated Electric System Interconnect Review

Page 9 of 11

Doc. # CDG-00472

Distributed Energy Resources - NYSSIR

Template Version 1.1 - 8/14/18

| Grounding Transformer Specifications: | |
|--|-------------------------------|
| Zig-Zag or Y-Delta | Y-Delta |
| Delta Load (If Y-Delta configuration) | N/A |
| Delta Voltage (If Y-Delta configuration) | To be determined by developer |
| Voltage (Line-to-Line) | 13.2 kV |
| Frequency | 60 Hz |
| Basic Impulse Level (BIL) | To be determined by developer |
| Rated thermal current (worst case ground fault current through the grounding transformer) This is the transient value through the neutral. Also called Short-time neutral current. | 388 Amps |
| Rated Time (withstand of rated thermal current) Also called short-time neutral current duration | 10 seconds, minimum |
| Rated continuous current (3% of thermal or more conservative value). This is the steady state value through the neutral. | 43.7 Amps |
| Resistor provided at Neutral Terminal | NO |
| If "Yes" please provide resistor value | NONE |
| Zero-Sequence Impedance (% or Ohms/Phase) | 21.55 Ohms/Phase, +/- 10% |
| Minimum X0 / R0 Ratio | 4 |
| Coil Material | To be determined by developer |
| Insulation Class | To be determined by developer |
| Temperature Rise | To be determined by developer |
| NEMA Rating | To be determined by developer |

This study was conducted based upon this facility being served by the interconnecting circuit during normal utility operating conditions. The terms, conditions, notification requirements, and other obligations of both the Company and the facility pertaining to disconnection of the facility are set forth in the applicable section(s) of the NYSSIR and the Interconnection Agreement that will be executed for the project(s) that were studied in this CESIR. Any change in system size and/or design is subject to the requirements of the NYSSIR, as well as supplemental documents developed by the Interconnection Technical Working Group and Interconnection Policy Working Group.

Coordinated Electric System Interconnect Review

Page 10 of 11

Distributed Energy Resources - NYSSIR

Template Version 1.1 - 8/14/18

Doc. # CDG-00472

7.0 CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATE

The following items are a good faith estimate for the scope and work required to interconnect the project estimated under rates and schedules in effect at the time of this study in accordance with the most recent version of the NYSSIR.

Distribution Planning Grade Estimate

| Distribution Flaming Grade Estima | ic |
|--|-----------|
| Upgrade Conductor from 3/0 ACSR to 477 ACSR (1-phase 1100ft) | \$46,200 |
| Install Conductor 477 ACSR (2-phases 1100ft) | \$28,600 |
| Install Recloser (1) | \$80,000 |
| Install Smart Cap (1) | \$40,700 |
| Install Junction Pole, Fuse Pole | \$20,000 |
| Install Primary Metering Cluster | \$6,800 |
| Commissioning Time Post Installation | \$5,500 |
| Submittal Review, Redbook Inspections | \$5,000 |
| SCADA Monitoring/Power Quality Metering | \$40,000 |
| Contingency (15%) | \$40,920 |
| Total Distribution Estimate | \$313,720 |

Substation 3V₀ installation

| Engineering | \$12,000 |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|
| Design/Drafting | \$10,500 |
| Construction Administration | \$7,840 |
| Safety | \$10,000 |
| ECC | \$10,400 |
| OH Line | \$23,520 |
| Substation Operations - Electricians | \$48,620 |
| Substation Operations - Relay Techs | \$38,000 |
| Relays and/or Panels | \$20,600 |
| Connectors | \$258 |
| Control Wire & Misc - Stores | \$8,500 |
| Contingency (15%) | \$28,536 |
| Total Substation Estimate | \$218,774 |

The total interconnection cost estimate: \$532,494.

Coordinated Electric System Interconnect Review

Distributed Energy Resources - NYSSIR

Doc. # CDG-00472

Page 11 of 11

Template Version 1.1 - 8/14/18

Notes:

- 1. These estimated costs are based upon the results of this study and are subject to change. All costs anticipated to be incurred by the Company are listed.
- 2. The Company will reconcile actual charges upon project completion and the Interconnection Customer will be responsible for all final charges, which may be higher or lower than estimated according to the NYSSIR I.C step 11.
- 3. This estimate does not include the following:
 - additional interconnection study costs, or study rework
 - additional application fees,
 - applicable surcharges,
 - property taxes,
 - overall project sales tax,
 - future operation and maintenance costs,
 - adverse field conditions such as weather and Interconnection Customer equipment obstructions,
 - extended construction hours to minimize outage time or Company's public duty to serve.
 - · the cost of any temporary construction service, or
 - any required permits.
- 4. Cost adders estimated for overtime would be based on 1.5 and 2 times labor rates if required for work beyond normal business hours. Per Diems are also extra costs potentially incurred for overtime labor.

8.0 REVISION HISTORY

| Revision Date | Description of Revision |
|----------------|--|
| 2.0 07/05/2023 | Effective Grounding Protection and Coordination Study complete. Recommended Grounding Transformer Specifications provided. |
| 1.0 08/23/2022 | Initial document |