

Data 612 - Project 1

Joseph Simone

2/11/2020

```
library(dplyr)
library(tidyr)
library(caTools)
```

Background

For this project, the recommender system I would like to put into effect would be one that would recommend Films, more specifically the Star Wars Skywalker Film Franchise.

This is an industry, where the Ratings or Critics Reviews for a specific Film could make or break a companies fiscal year. Therefore, there is an abundance of data to be collected on these various Films.

Data-Set

First, I wanted to do was created my own smaller Data-Set of the Star Wars Films using a simple scaling metric to interpret and the same Critics spanning from 1977-2019. Therefore, I decided to use the website Meta Critic.

Since this website includes various Critics that use the same numerical ratings system of a 0-100 scale. In addition, this gave me the opportunity to scrap real Reviews from the time of the Film's release, instead of using arbitrary values.

Data Import

```
star_wars_data <- read.csv("https://raw.githubusercontent.com/josephsimone/Data-612/master/project_1/star_wars_data.csv")
colnames(star_wars_data) <- gsub("i..Critics", "Critics", colnames(star_wars_data))
star_wars_data
```

##	Critics	The.Phantom.Menace	Attack.of.the.Clones	
## 1	Chicago Tribune	88	100	
## 2	Entertainment Weekly	67	88	
## 3	The New York Times	80	40	
## 4	The Globe and Mail	63	38	
## 5	The Washington Post	40	NA	
## 6	San Fransico	50	50	
## 7	Reel Views	88	83	
## 8	Daily News	63	91	
## 9	Los Angeles Times	NA	50	
##	Revenge.of.the.Sith	A.New.Hope	Empire.Strikes.Back	Return.of.the.Jedi
## 1	100	88	100	100
## 2	75	NA	100	83
## 3	93	80	NA	20
## 4	50	100	50	NA
## 5	90	100	60	70

```
## 6          50          100          100          75
## 7          NA          100           80          75
## 8          90          100           90         100
## 9          70          100          100          90
##   The.Force.Awakens The.Last.Jedi Rise.of.Skywalker
## 1          75          NA          75
## 2          83          83          50
## 3          90          90          50
## 4          63          50          50
## 5          75          75          50
## 6          NA          75          50
## 7          75          75          50
## 8          80          90          NA
## 9          60          100          50
```

Splitting Data into Training/Testing Sets

In this section, the Data-Frame was first converted to long format.

Then split into training and testing sets based on 0.75 split ratio.

```
split_star_wars_data <- star_wars_data %>% gather(key = Film, value = Review, -Critics)
```

```
set.seed(50)
split <- sample.split(split_star_wars_data$Review, SplitRatio = 0.75)
```

```
train_data_set <- split_star_wars_data
train_data_set$Review[!split] <- NA
head(train_data_set)
```

```
##           Critics          Film Review
## 1   Chicago Tribune The.Phantom.Menace    88
## 2 Entertainment Weekly The.Phantom.Menace    67
## 3   The New York Times The.Phantom.Menace    80
## 4   The Globe and Mail The.Phantom.Menace    63
## 5   The Washington Post The.Phantom.Menace    40
## 6      San Fransico The.Phantom.Menace    50
```

```
test_data_set <- split_star_wars_data
test_data_set$Review[split] <- NA
head(test_data_set)
```

```
##           Critics          Film Review
## 1   Chicago Tribune The.Phantom.Menace    NA
## 2 Entertainment Weekly The.Phantom.Menace    NA
## 3   The New York Times The.Phantom.Menace    NA
## 4   The Globe and Mail The.Phantom.Menace    NA
## 5   The Washington Post The.Phantom.Menace    NA
## 6      San Fransico The.Phantom.Menace    NA
```

Since, there are now two different dataset randomly selected, it is time to move onto the RMSE calculations.

```
raw_avg <- sum(train_data_set$Review, na.rm = TRUE) / length(which(!is.na(train_data_set$Review)))
rmse_raw_train <- sqrt(sum((train_data_set$Review[!is.na(train_data_set$Review)] - raw_avg)^2) /
                        length(which(!is.na(train_data_set$Review))))
rmse_raw_train
```

```
## [1] 20.39405
```

```
rmse_raw_test <- sqrt(sum((test_data_set$Review[!is.na(test_data_set$Review)] - raw_avg)^2) /
                      length(which(!is.na(test_data_set$Review))))
rmse_raw_test
```

```
## [1] 19.18502
```

We can observe that RMSE values are significantly larger than expected in a smaller sample space.

Baseline Predictors

```
Critics_bias <- train_data_set %>% filter(!is.na(Review)) %>%
  group_by(Critics) %>%
  summarise(sum = sum(Review), count = n()) %>%
  mutate(bias = sum/count-raw_avg) %>%
  select(Critics, CriticsBias = bias)
CriticsBias<-Critics_bias$CriticsBias
```

```
Film_bias <- train_data_set %>% filter(!is.na(Review)) %>%
  group_by(Film) %>%
  summarise(sum = sum(Review), count = n()) %>%
  mutate(bias = sum/count-raw_avg) %>%
  select(Film, FilmBias = bias)
FilmBias<-Film_bias$FilmBias
```

```
train_data_set <- train_data_set %>% left_join(Critics_bias, by = "Critics") %>%
  left_join(Film_bias, by = "Film") %>%
  mutate(RawAvg = raw_avg) %>%
  mutate(Baseline = RawAvg + CriticsBias + FilmBias)
train_data_set
```

##	Critics	Film	Review	CriticsBias
## 1	Chicago Tribune	The.Phantom.Menace	88	16.5357143
## 2	Entertainment Weekly	The.Phantom.Menace	67	-0.4071429
## 3	The New York Times	The.Phantom.Menace	80	-5.7738095
## 4	The Globe and Mail	The.Phantom.Menace	63	-15.4642857
## 5	The Washington Post	The.Phantom.Menace	40	-4.6071429
## 6	San Fransico	The.Phantom.Menace	50	-3.7738095
## 7	Reel Views	The.Phantom.Menace	88	0.5357143
## 8	Daily News	The.Phantom.Menace	NA	15.6428571
## 9	Los Angeles Times	The.Phantom.Menace	NA	3.7261905
## 10	Chicago Tribune	Attack.of.the.Clones	100	16.5357143

## 11	Entertainment Weekly	Attack.of.the.Clones	88	-0.4071429
## 12	The New York Times	Attack.of.the.Clones	40	-5.7738095
## 13	The Globe and Mail	Attack.of.the.Clones	38	-15.4642857
## 14	The Washington Post	Attack.of.the.Clones	NA	-4.6071429
## 15	San Fransico	Attack.of.the.Clones	NA	-3.7738095
## 16	Reel Views	Attack.of.the.Clones	83	0.5357143
## 17	Daily News	Attack.of.the.Clones	91	15.6428571
## 18	Los Angeles Times	Attack.of.the.Clones	NA	3.7261905
## 19	Chicago Tribune	Revenge.of.the.Sith	100	16.5357143
## 20	Entertainment Weekly	Revenge.of.the.Sith	NA	-0.4071429
## 21	The New York Times	Revenge.of.the.Sith	93	-5.7738095
## 22	The Globe and Mail	Revenge.of.the.Sith	50	-15.4642857
## 23	The Washington Post	Revenge.of.the.Sith	90	-4.6071429
## 24	San Fransico	Revenge.of.the.Sith	50	-3.7738095
## 25	Reel Views	Revenge.of.the.Sith	NA	0.5357143
## 26	Daily News	Revenge.of.the.Sith	NA	15.6428571
## 27	Los Angeles Times	Revenge.of.the.Sith	70	3.7261905
## 28	Chicago Tribune	A.New.Hope	NA	16.5357143
## 29	Entertainment Weekly	A.New.Hope	NA	-0.4071429
## 30	The New York Times	A.New.Hope	NA	-5.7738095
## 31	The Globe and Mail	A.New.Hope	100	-15.4642857
## 32	The Washington Post	A.New.Hope	100	-4.6071429
## 33	San Fransico	A.New.Hope	100	-3.7738095
## 34	Reel Views	A.New.Hope	NA	0.5357143
## 35	Daily News	A.New.Hope	100	15.6428571
## 36	Los Angeles Times	A.New.Hope	NA	3.7261905
## 37	Chicago Tribune	Empire.Strikes.Back	100	16.5357143
## 38	Entertainment Weekly	Empire.Strikes.Back	NA	-0.4071429
## 39	The New York Times	Empire.Strikes.Back	NA	-5.7738095
## 40	The Globe and Mail	Empire.Strikes.Back	50	-15.4642857
## 41	The Washington Post	Empire.Strikes.Back	60	-4.6071429
## 42	San Fransico	Empire.Strikes.Back	100	-3.7738095
## 43	Reel Views	Empire.Strikes.Back	80	0.5357143
## 44	Daily News	Empire.Strikes.Back	90	15.6428571
## 45	Los Angeles Times	Empire.Strikes.Back	100	3.7261905
## 46	Chicago Tribune	Return.of.the.Jedi	100	16.5357143
## 47	Entertainment Weekly	Return.of.the.Jedi	83	-0.4071429
## 48	The New York Times	Return.of.the.Jedi	20	-5.7738095
## 49	The Globe and Mail	Return.of.the.Jedi	NA	-15.4642857
## 50	The Washington Post	Return.of.the.Jedi	70	-4.6071429
## 51	San Fransico	Return.of.the.Jedi	NA	-3.7738095
## 52	Reel Views	Return.of.the.Jedi	75	0.5357143
## 53	Daily News	Return.of.the.Jedi	NA	15.6428571
## 54	Los Angeles Times	Return.of.the.Jedi	90	3.7261905
## 55	Chicago Tribune	The.Force.Awakens	75	16.5357143
## 56	Entertainment Weekly	The.Force.Awakens	NA	-0.4071429
## 57	The New York Times	The.Force.Awakens	90	-5.7738095
## 58	The Globe and Mail	The.Force.Awakens	63	-15.4642857
## 59	The Washington Post	The.Force.Awakens	75	-4.6071429
## 60	San Fransico	The.Force.Awakens	NA	-3.7738095
## 61	Reel Views	The.Force.Awakens	75	0.5357143
## 62	Daily News	The.Force.Awakens	80	15.6428571
## 63	Los Angeles Times	The.Force.Awakens	60	3.7261905
## 64	Chicago Tribune	The.Last.Jedi	NA	16.5357143

## 65	Entertainment Weekly	The.Last.Jedi	83	-0.4071429
## 66	The New York Times	The.Last.Jedi	90	-5.7738095
## 67	The Globe and Mail	The.Last.Jedi	50	-15.4642857
## 68	The Washington Post	The.Last.Jedi	75	-4.6071429
## 69	San Fransico	The.Last.Jedi	75	-3.7738095
## 70	Reel Views	The.Last.Jedi	75	0.5357143
## 71	Daily News	The.Last.Jedi	NA	15.6428571
## 72	Los Angeles Times	The.Last.Jedi	100	3.7261905
## 73	Chicago Tribune	Rise.of.Skywalker	75	16.5357143
## 74	Entertainment Weekly	Rise.of.Skywalker	50	-0.4071429
## 75	The New York Times	Rise.of.Skywalker	NA	-5.7738095
## 76	The Globe and Mail	Rise.of.Skywalker	NA	-15.4642857
## 77	The Washington Post	Rise.of.Skywalker	50	-4.6071429
## 78	San Fransico	Rise.of.Skywalker	50	-3.7738095
## 79	Reel Views	Rise.of.Skywalker	50	0.5357143
## 80	Daily News	Rise.of.Skywalker	NA	15.6428571
## 81	Los Angeles Times	Rise.of.Skywalker	50	3.7261905
##	FilmBias	RawAvg	Baseline	
## 1	-6.6071429	74.60714	84.53571	
## 2	-6.6071429	74.60714	67.59286	
## 3	-6.6071429	74.60714	62.22619	
## 4	-6.6071429	74.60714	52.53571	
## 5	-6.6071429	74.60714	63.39286	
## 6	-6.6071429	74.60714	64.22619	
## 7	-6.6071429	74.60714	68.53571	
## 8	-6.6071429	74.60714	83.64286	
## 9	-6.6071429	74.60714	71.72619	
## 10	-1.2738095	74.60714	89.86905	
## 11	-1.2738095	74.60714	72.92619	
## 12	-1.2738095	74.60714	67.55952	
## 13	-1.2738095	74.60714	57.86905	
## 14	-1.2738095	74.60714	68.72619	
## 15	-1.2738095	74.60714	69.55952	
## 16	-1.2738095	74.60714	73.86905	
## 17	-1.2738095	74.60714	88.97619	
## 18	-1.2738095	74.60714	77.05952	
## 19	0.8928571	74.60714	92.03571	
## 20	0.8928571	74.60714	75.09286	
## 21	0.8928571	74.60714	69.72619	
## 22	0.8928571	74.60714	60.03571	
## 23	0.8928571	74.60714	70.89286	
## 24	0.8928571	74.60714	71.72619	
## 25	0.8928571	74.60714	76.03571	
## 26	0.8928571	74.60714	91.14286	
## 27	0.8928571	74.60714	79.22619	
## 28	25.3928571	74.60714	116.53571	
## 29	25.3928571	74.60714	99.59286	
## 30	25.3928571	74.60714	94.22619	
## 31	25.3928571	74.60714	84.53571	
## 32	25.3928571	74.60714	95.39286	
## 33	25.3928571	74.60714	96.22619	
## 34	25.3928571	74.60714	100.53571	
## 35	25.3928571	74.60714	115.64286	
## 36	25.3928571	74.60714	103.72619	

```
## 37 8.2500000 74.60714 99.39286
## 38 8.2500000 74.60714 82.45000
## 39 8.2500000 74.60714 77.08333
## 40 8.2500000 74.60714 67.39286
## 41 8.2500000 74.60714 78.25000
## 42 8.2500000 74.60714 79.08333
## 43 8.2500000 74.60714 83.39286
## 44 8.2500000 74.60714 98.50000
## 45 8.2500000 74.60714 86.58333
## 46 -1.6071429 74.60714 89.53571
## 47 -1.6071429 74.60714 72.59286
## 48 -1.6071429 74.60714 67.22619
## 49 -1.6071429 74.60714 57.53571
## 50 -1.6071429 74.60714 68.39286
## 51 -1.6071429 74.60714 69.22619
## 52 -1.6071429 74.60714 73.53571
## 53 -1.6071429 74.60714 88.64286
## 54 -1.6071429 74.60714 76.72619
## 55 -0.6071429 74.60714 90.53571
## 56 -0.6071429 74.60714 73.59286
## 57 -0.6071429 74.60714 68.22619
## 58 -0.6071429 74.60714 58.53571
## 59 -0.6071429 74.60714 69.39286
## 60 -0.6071429 74.60714 70.22619
## 61 -0.6071429 74.60714 74.53571
## 62 -0.6071429 74.60714 89.64286
## 63 -0.6071429 74.60714 77.72619
## 64 3.6785714 74.60714 94.82143
## 65 3.6785714 74.60714 77.87857
## 66 3.6785714 74.60714 72.51190
## 67 3.6785714 74.60714 62.82143
## 68 3.6785714 74.60714 73.67857
## 69 3.6785714 74.60714 74.51190
## 70 3.6785714 74.60714 78.82143
## 71 3.6785714 74.60714 93.92857
## 72 3.6785714 74.60714 82.01190
## 73 -20.4404762 74.60714 70.70238
## 74 -20.4404762 74.60714 53.75952
## 75 -20.4404762 74.60714 48.39286
## 76 -20.4404762 74.60714 38.70238
## 77 -20.4404762 74.60714 49.55952
## 78 -20.4404762 74.60714 50.39286
## 79 -20.4404762 74.60714 54.70238
## 80 -20.4404762 74.60714 69.80952
## 81 -20.4404762 74.60714 57.89286
```

```
test_data_set <- test_data_set %>% left_join(Critics_bias, by = "Critics") %>%
  left_join(Film_bias, by = "Film") %>%
  mutate(RawAvg = raw_avg) %>%
  mutate(Baseline = RawAvg + CriticsBias + FilmBias)
test_data_set
```

```
##           Critics           Film Review CriticsBias
## 1 Chicago Tribune The.Phantom.Menace      NA 16.5357143
```

## 2	Entertainment Weekly	The.Phantom.Menace	NA	-0.4071429
## 3	The New York Times	The.Phantom.Menace	NA	-5.7738095
## 4	The Globe and Mail	The.Phantom.Menace	NA	-15.4642857
## 5	The Washington Post	The.Phantom.Menace	NA	-4.6071429
## 6	San Fransico	The.Phantom.Menace	NA	-3.7738095
## 7	Reel Views	The.Phantom.Menace	NA	0.5357143
## 8	Daily News	The.Phantom.Menace	63	15.6428571
## 9	Los Angeles Times	The.Phantom.Menace	NA	3.7261905
## 10	Chicago Tribune	Attack.of.the.Clones	NA	16.5357143
## 11	Entertainment Weekly	Attack.of.the.Clones	NA	-0.4071429
## 12	The New York Times	Attack.of.the.Clones	NA	-5.7738095
## 13	The Globe and Mail	Attack.of.the.Clones	NA	-15.4642857
## 14	The Washington Post	Attack.of.the.Clones	NA	-4.6071429
## 15	San Fransico	Attack.of.the.Clones	50	-3.7738095
## 16	Reel Views	Attack.of.the.Clones	NA	0.5357143
## 17	Daily News	Attack.of.the.Clones	NA	15.6428571
## 18	Los Angeles Times	Attack.of.the.Clones	50	3.7261905
## 19	Chicago Tribune	Revenge.of.the.Sith	NA	16.5357143
## 20	Entertainment Weekly	Revenge.of.the.Sith	75	-0.4071429
## 21	The New York Times	Revenge.of.the.Sith	NA	-5.7738095
## 22	The Globe and Mail	Revenge.of.the.Sith	NA	-15.4642857
## 23	The Washington Post	Revenge.of.the.Sith	NA	-4.6071429
## 24	San Fransico	Revenge.of.the.Sith	NA	-3.7738095
## 25	Reel Views	Revenge.of.the.Sith	NA	0.5357143
## 26	Daily News	Revenge.of.the.Sith	90	15.6428571
## 27	Los Angeles Times	Revenge.of.the.Sith	NA	3.7261905
## 28	Chicago Tribune	A.New.Hope	88	16.5357143
## 29	Entertainment Weekly	A.New.Hope	NA	-0.4071429
## 30	The New York Times	A.New.Hope	80	-5.7738095
## 31	The Globe and Mail	A.New.Hope	NA	-15.4642857
## 32	The Washington Post	A.New.Hope	NA	-4.6071429
## 33	San Fransico	A.New.Hope	NA	-3.7738095
## 34	Reel Views	A.New.Hope	100	0.5357143
## 35	Daily News	A.New.Hope	NA	15.6428571
## 36	Los Angeles Times	A.New.Hope	100	3.7261905
## 37	Chicago Tribune	Empire.Strikes.Back	NA	16.5357143
## 38	Entertainment Weekly	Empire.Strikes.Back	100	-0.4071429
## 39	The New York Times	Empire.Strikes.Back	NA	-5.7738095
## 40	The Globe and Mail	Empire.Strikes.Back	NA	-15.4642857
## 41	The Washington Post	Empire.Strikes.Back	NA	-4.6071429
## 42	San Fransico	Empire.Strikes.Back	NA	-3.7738095
## 43	Reel Views	Empire.Strikes.Back	NA	0.5357143
## 44	Daily News	Empire.Strikes.Back	NA	15.6428571
## 45	Los Angeles Times	Empire.Strikes.Back	NA	3.7261905
## 46	Chicago Tribune	Return.of.the.Jedi	NA	16.5357143
## 47	Entertainment Weekly	Return.of.the.Jedi	NA	-0.4071429
## 48	The New York Times	Return.of.the.Jedi	NA	-5.7738095
## 49	The Globe and Mail	Return.of.the.Jedi	NA	-15.4642857
## 50	The Washington Post	Return.of.the.Jedi	NA	-4.6071429
## 51	San Fransico	Return.of.the.Jedi	75	-3.7738095
## 52	Reel Views	Return.of.the.Jedi	NA	0.5357143
## 53	Daily News	Return.of.the.Jedi	100	15.6428571
## 54	Los Angeles Times	Return.of.the.Jedi	NA	3.7261905
## 55	Chicago Tribune	The.Force.Awakens	NA	16.5357143

## 56	Entertainment Weekly	The.Force.Awakens	83	-0.4071429
## 57	The New York Times	The.Force.Awakens	NA	-5.7738095
## 58	The Globe and Mail	The.Force.Awakens	NA	-15.4642857
## 59	The Washington Post	The.Force.Awakens	NA	-4.6071429
## 60	San Fransico	The.Force.Awakens	NA	-3.7738095
## 61	Reel Views	The.Force.Awakens	NA	0.5357143
## 62	Daily News	The.Force.Awakens	NA	15.6428571
## 63	Los Angeles Times	The.Force.Awakens	NA	3.7261905
## 64	Chicago Tribune	The.Last.Jedi	NA	16.5357143
## 65	Entertainment Weekly	The.Last.Jedi	NA	-0.4071429
## 66	The New York Times	The.Last.Jedi	NA	-5.7738095
## 67	The Globe and Mail	The.Last.Jedi	NA	-15.4642857
## 68	The Washington Post	The.Last.Jedi	NA	-4.6071429
## 69	San Fransico	The.Last.Jedi	NA	-3.7738095
## 70	Reel Views	The.Last.Jedi	NA	0.5357143
## 71	Daily News	The.Last.Jedi	90	15.6428571
## 72	Los Angeles Times	The.Last.Jedi	NA	3.7261905
## 73	Chicago Tribune	Rise.of.Skywalker	NA	16.5357143
## 74	Entertainment Weekly	Rise.of.Skywalker	NA	-0.4071429
## 75	The New York Times	Rise.of.Skywalker	50	-5.7738095
## 76	The Globe and Mail	Rise.of.Skywalker	50	-15.4642857
## 77	The Washington Post	Rise.of.Skywalker	NA	-4.6071429
## 78	San Fransico	Rise.of.Skywalker	NA	-3.7738095
## 79	Reel Views	Rise.of.Skywalker	NA	0.5357143
## 80	Daily News	Rise.of.Skywalker	NA	15.6428571
## 81	Los Angeles Times	Rise.of.Skywalker	NA	3.7261905
##	FilmBias	RawAvg	Baseline	
## 1	-6.6071429	74.60714	84.53571	
## 2	-6.6071429	74.60714	67.59286	
## 3	-6.6071429	74.60714	62.22619	
## 4	-6.6071429	74.60714	52.53571	
## 5	-6.6071429	74.60714	63.39286	
## 6	-6.6071429	74.60714	64.22619	
## 7	-6.6071429	74.60714	68.53571	
## 8	-6.6071429	74.60714	83.64286	
## 9	-6.6071429	74.60714	71.72619	
## 10	-1.2738095	74.60714	89.86905	
## 11	-1.2738095	74.60714	72.92619	
## 12	-1.2738095	74.60714	67.55952	
## 13	-1.2738095	74.60714	57.86905	
## 14	-1.2738095	74.60714	68.72619	
## 15	-1.2738095	74.60714	69.55952	
## 16	-1.2738095	74.60714	73.86905	
## 17	-1.2738095	74.60714	88.97619	
## 18	-1.2738095	74.60714	77.05952	
## 19	0.8928571	74.60714	92.03571	
## 20	0.8928571	74.60714	75.09286	
## 21	0.8928571	74.60714	69.72619	
## 22	0.8928571	74.60714	60.03571	
## 23	0.8928571	74.60714	70.89286	
## 24	0.8928571	74.60714	71.72619	
## 25	0.8928571	74.60714	76.03571	
## 26	0.8928571	74.60714	91.14286	
## 27	0.8928571	74.60714	79.22619	


```

## 28 25.3928571 74.60714 116.53571
## 29 25.3928571 74.60714 99.59286
## 30 25.3928571 74.60714 94.22619
## 31 25.3928571 74.60714 84.53571
## 32 25.3928571 74.60714 95.39286
## 33 25.3928571 74.60714 96.22619
## 34 25.3928571 74.60714 100.53571
## 35 25.3928571 74.60714 115.64286
## 36 25.3928571 74.60714 103.72619
## 37 8.2500000 74.60714 99.39286
## 38 8.2500000 74.60714 82.45000
## 39 8.2500000 74.60714 77.08333
## 40 8.2500000 74.60714 67.39286
## 41 8.2500000 74.60714 78.25000
## 42 8.2500000 74.60714 79.08333
## 43 8.2500000 74.60714 83.39286
## 44 8.2500000 74.60714 98.50000
## 45 8.2500000 74.60714 86.58333
## 46 -1.6071429 74.60714 89.53571
## 47 -1.6071429 74.60714 72.59286
## 48 -1.6071429 74.60714 67.22619
## 49 -1.6071429 74.60714 57.53571
## 50 -1.6071429 74.60714 68.39286
## 51 -1.6071429 74.60714 69.22619
## 52 -1.6071429 74.60714 73.53571
## 53 -1.6071429 74.60714 88.64286
## 54 -1.6071429 74.60714 76.72619
## 55 -0.6071429 74.60714 90.53571
## 56 -0.6071429 74.60714 73.59286
## 57 -0.6071429 74.60714 68.22619
## 58 -0.6071429 74.60714 58.53571
## 59 -0.6071429 74.60714 69.39286
## 60 -0.6071429 74.60714 70.22619
## 61 -0.6071429 74.60714 74.53571
## 62 -0.6071429 74.60714 89.64286
## 63 -0.6071429 74.60714 77.72619
## 64 3.6785714 74.60714 94.82143
## 65 3.6785714 74.60714 77.87857
## 66 3.6785714 74.60714 72.51190
## 67 3.6785714 74.60714 62.82143
## 68 3.6785714 74.60714 73.67857
## 69 3.6785714 74.60714 74.51190
## 70 3.6785714 74.60714 78.82143
## 71 3.6785714 74.60714 93.92857
## 72 3.6785714 74.60714 82.01190
## 73 -20.4404762 74.60714 70.70238
## 74 -20.4404762 74.60714 53.75952
## 75 -20.4404762 74.60714 48.39286
## 76 -20.4404762 74.60714 38.70238
## 77 -20.4404762 74.60714 49.55952
## 78 -20.4404762 74.60714 50.39286
## 79 -20.4404762 74.60714 54.70238
## 80 -20.4404762 74.60714 69.80952
## 81 -20.4404762 74.60714 57.89286

```

```
rmse_base_train <- sqrt(sum((train_data_set$Review[!is.na(train_data_set$Review)] -
                           train_data_set$Baseline[!is.na(train_data_set$Review)])^2) /
                        length(which(!is.na(train_data_set$Review))))
rmse_base_test  <- sqrt(sum((test_data_set$Review[!is.na(test_data_set$Review)] -
                           test_data_set$Baseline[!is.na(test_data_set$Review)])^2) /
                        length(which(!is.na(test_data_set$Review))))
```

RMSE

The Largest Bias are determined by the NA Critic's Reviews that did not review the Film.

```
rmse_base_train
```

```
## [1] 14.25514
```

```
rmse_base_test
```

```
## [1] 14.31247
```

The table below represents the RMSE values for both the Training and Testing Sets and the Raw Average and Baseline Predictors.

```
## Warning in kableExtra::kable_styling(., bootstrap_options = c("striped", :
## Please specify format in kable. kableExtra can customize either HTML or
## LaTeX outputs. See https://haozhu233.github.io/kableExtra/ for details.
```

	RMSE
Training: Raw Average	20.39405
Training: Baseline Predictor	14.25514
Testing: Raw Average	19.18502
Testing: Baseline Predictor	14.31247

Summary

The RMSE values improved the Baseline Predictors in both the Training and Test Sets.

Even with a smaller Data-Set that included incomplete values, this was enough information to be able to visualize and apply specific Films and Critic bias into our model.