

things we covered.

① $\{E_{x_n}(x)\}_{n \geq 1}$ is LI if $E(|x|) < \infty$

② $\{x_n, \mathcal{F}_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ MG and LI then $\exists X, E|X| < \infty$
and $x_n = E_{\mathcal{F}_n}(x)$ ($x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$ a.s.)

③ $\mathcal{F}_n \uparrow \mathcal{F}$ and $E|x| < \infty$ then

calls this MGCT extension.

$$E(x) \xrightarrow[\mathcal{F}_n]{\text{a.s. L}} E_{\mathcal{F}}(x)$$

④ Buried in Proof.

If $x_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0$, $|x_n| \leq Y$, $E(Y) < \infty$ $\forall n$

then $E_{\mathcal{F}_n}(x_n) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\text{a.s.}} E_{\mathcal{F}}(x)^\circ$

⑤ $\underline{E} \leq \{Y_n, Z_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ IND.

$$Y_n \sim \text{Bernoulli}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \quad n \geq 1 \quad X_n \equiv Z_n Y_n$$

$$Z_n \sim n \cdot \text{Bernoulli}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \quad n \geq 2$$

$$\mathcal{F} = \sigma\{Y_n\}_{n \geq 1}$$

$$P(X_n > 0) = P(Y_n = 1, Z_n = n) = \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{n} = \frac{1}{n^2}$$

Given \mathcal{F} , Y_n are constant.

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(X_n > 0) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} < \infty \quad \text{BCT} \Rightarrow X_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\text{a.s.}} 0$$

$$E_{\mathcal{F}}(x_n) = E(Z_n Y_n)$$

$$= Y_n E(Z_n)$$

$$= Y_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(Y_n = 1) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} = \infty \quad \text{BCT says } P(Y_n = 1 \text{ i.o.}) = 1$$

$$\text{Also } P(Y_n = 0 \text{ i.o.}) = 1 \\ \text{say } Y_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{P} 0$$

Observation $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ LI

$$E(x_n) = \frac{1}{n} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 \quad \text{Def. of LI}$$

check LI look at $\sup_n E(x_n; X_n \geq m) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$

The moral of the story is that LI $\not\Rightarrow$ DCT.

In this example: how does $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ dist. After conditioning on \mathcal{F}

looks like at $\omega \in \Omega$? y becomes fixed.

$$\exists n_k \uparrow \infty \text{ so that } Y_n(\omega) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \in \{n_k\}_{k \geq 1} \\ 0 & \text{if } n \notin \{n_k\}_{k \geq 1} \end{cases}$$

Z is IND. therefore don't care about conditioning $\mathcal{F} = \sigma\{Y_n\}$

$$(0 \text{ a.s. } \cap \mathcal{E}_{\{\omega_k\}_{k \geq 1}})$$

Z is Ind. therefore does not care about conditioning. $\mathcal{F} = \sigma\{\gamma_n\}$

$$X_n = \begin{cases} Z_{n_k} & \text{if } n \in \{n_k, k \geq 1\} \\ 0 & \text{if } n \notin \{n_k, k \geq 1\} \end{cases}$$

$\{Z_{n_k}, k \geq 1\}$ same since truncate at M .

$$Z_n \sim \text{Bern}(1/n)$$

$$E(Z_n) = 1/n = 1$$

So after conditioning we lose UI but not DCT.

$$P(W_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0) = 1$$

$$P_{\mathcal{F}}(W_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0) = 1 \text{ a.s.} \quad \begin{matrix} \downarrow \text{converges} \\ \text{goes through} \\ \text{The conditioning.} \end{matrix}$$

$$\text{if } Y \geq |W_n|, E|Y| < \infty$$

$$\text{then } E_{\mathcal{F}}(Y) \geq E_{\mathcal{F}}(|W_n|) \geq |E_{\mathcal{F}}|W_n| \text{ a.s.}$$

★ D.C.T. under conditioning.
For conditional expectation.

$$Y_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} Y, |Y_n| \leq Z, E(Z) < \infty, n \geq 1$$

$$\text{Assume } \mathcal{F}_n \uparrow \mathcal{F} \text{ then } E_{\mathcal{F}_n}(Y_n) \xrightarrow{a.s.} E_{\mathcal{F}}(Y)$$

Proof:

$$\text{by } E(Y) \xrightarrow{a.s.} E_{\mathcal{F}}(Y) \quad \text{if we add proves}$$

$$\xrightarrow{\text{Enough}} \text{ETS } E_{\mathcal{F}_n}(Y_n - Y) \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0$$

$$w_n = Y_n - Y, w_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0, |w_n| \leq 2Z, E(2Z) < \infty$$

$$|E_{\mathcal{F}_n}(w_n)| \leq E_{\mathcal{F}_n}(|w_n|) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_{\mathcal{F}_n}(|w_n|) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_{\mathcal{F}_n}\left(\sup_{k=0,1,2,\dots} |w_{n+k}|\right)$$

Fix N

$$= E_{\mathcal{F}} \sup_{k \geq 0} \{ |w_{n+k}| \} \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0$$

Do we have bounded? Yes $2Z$
we know because $w_n \rightarrow 0$

Done with section 5 onto 6. (Durrett § 5.6 p.225)

Backwards (Reverse) Martingale (MG).

Imagine MG. is index by negative numbers.

$$\mathcal{F}_{-n} \uparrow \text{as } -n \uparrow (\Leftrightarrow n \downarrow)$$

$\{X_{-n}, \mathcal{F}_{-n}\}_{n=0,1,2,\dots}$ is MG. such that X_{-2}, X_{-1}, X_0

Therefore $\mathcal{F}_0 \supseteq \mathcal{F}_{-n}$.

$$E(X_{-n}) = X_{-(n+1)} \quad n \geq 0$$

Optional Sampling Lemma is still in effect. is just index by \mathbb{Z}

σ -alg

Upcrossing Lemma is still in effect. is just index B_2
 \therefore MGCT still holds.
 negative numbers.

Observe: $E_{\mathcal{F}_n}(X_0) = X_{-\infty}$

$$\Rightarrow \left\{ X_{-n} \right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{ UI} \quad \text{A.s. a.s. -}$$

MGCT still holds.

$$X_{-n} \xrightarrow{L' \text{ a.s.}} X_{-\infty}$$

Claim: $X_{-\infty} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(X_n)$ used notation
 $\mathcal{F}_{-\infty} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_n$

$$\begin{aligned} E(X_0; A) &= E(X_{-n}; A) \quad A \in \mathcal{F}_{-\infty} \subset \mathcal{F}_n \\ &= E(X_{-\infty}; A) \end{aligned}$$

Says as we go to infinity X_n becomes more constant
 since the \mathcal{F}_n becomes more finite.

to talk about we show X_n by the filtration

is shrink $\mathcal{F}_n \downarrow$

Application: Prove SLLN.