

trying to Prove Radon-nikodym (Durrett §5.3.3 p. 206)

R_N for $([0,1], \mathcal{B})$ with λ P.m μ & δ , $\rho = \frac{\mu + \delta}{2}$

$$I_{n,k} = \left(\frac{k-1}{2^n}, \frac{k}{2^n} \right], \quad k=1, 2, \dots, n=1, 2, \dots$$

$$\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma \{ I_{n,k} \}_{1 \leq k \leq 2^n}, \quad \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{F}_{n+1}$$

$$X_n(t) = \frac{\mu(I_{n,k})}{\rho(I_{n,k})}, \quad t \in I_{n,k}.$$

① $\{X_n, \mathcal{F}_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ on $([0,1], \mathcal{B}, \rho)$ $\Rightarrow X_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\text{a.s.}} \mathbb{X}$. MFT

② $0 \leq X_n \leq 2$. sometimes people write $\mathbb{X} = \frac{d\mu}{d\rho}$

③ $\mu(A) = \int_A \mathbb{X} d\rho$, $A \in \mathcal{B}$.

④ $\int_A 2 - \mathbb{X} d\mu = \int_A \mathbb{X} d\rho$, $A \in \mathcal{B}$. Also, $\mathbb{P}\{X=2\}=0$.

$$\int_A 2 - \mathbb{X} d\mu = \int_A \mathbb{X} \frac{d\mu + d\rho}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\int_A \mathbb{X} d\mu + \int_A \mathbb{X} d\rho \right]$$

why is $d\rho = \frac{d\mu + d\delta}{2}$

$$\int_A 2 - \mathbb{X} d\mu = \int_A \mathbb{X} d\rho$$

Goal (intuition).

$$(2 - \mathbb{X}) d\mu = \mathbb{X} d\rho$$

$$d\mu = \frac{1}{2-\mathbb{X}} d\rho \quad \text{we want to get}$$

\mathbb{X} can be 0.

$$\textcircled{3} \int_{(0,1)} \mathbb{1}_A \cdot (2 - \mathbb{X}) d\mu = \int_{(0,1)} \mathbb{1}_A \mathbb{X} d\rho, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}.$$

Replace by simple function $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \cdot \mathbb{1}_{A_i} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$. By DCT.

Select γ in smart way: if x is close to $2-\epsilon$ then zero, otherwise $\gamma > 0$
 Take $\gamma = \frac{\mathbb{1}_{\{x < 2-\epsilon\}} \cap A}{2-x} \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon}$

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \mu(A \cap \{x \leq 2-\epsilon\}) = \int_{A \cap \{x \leq 2-\epsilon\}} \frac{x}{2-x} d\gamma$$

Since $\{0,1\}$ $\downarrow \epsilon \rightarrow 0$

$$\mu(A \cap \{x \leq 2\}) = \int_{A \cap \{x \leq 2\}} \frac{x \mathbb{1}_{\{x \leq 2\}}}{2-x} d\gamma$$

$$\mu_r(A) =$$

↑ If regular we are splitting into 2 measure the singular and the regular.

not necessarily Prob. measure, depends on γ ≠ 2

$$\frac{d\mu_r}{d\gamma} = \frac{x \mathbb{1}_{\{x \leq 2\}}}{2-x} \quad \text{Relation Between } r \text{ & } \gamma$$

observe:

$$\gamma(A) = 0 \Rightarrow \mu_r(A) = 0.$$

We say μ_r is Absolutely continuous w.r.t. γ Denoted $\mu_r \ll \gamma$

Rudin Nikodym says if $\mu_r \ll \gamma$ then $\exists \frac{d\mu_r}{d\gamma}$

If $\mu_r((0,1)) < 1$ μ_r -singular

We define $\mu_s(A) = \mu(A) - \mu_r(A)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_r + \mu_s &= \mu. \\ \uparrow & \text{Alike when } x = 2. \end{aligned}$$

In the Measure space > 0 .

$$\mu_r(A) = \mu(A \cap \{x \leq 2\})$$

$$\mu_s(A) = \mu(A \cap \{x = 2\})$$

(one is positive measure)

$$\gamma(x=2) = 0.$$

Final Example: $\gamma = x$ on $[0,1]$.

$$\mu(A) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 1/2 \in A \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$m(A) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } A \in A \\ 0 & \text{if } A \in A^c \end{cases}$$

$$\mu = \delta_{\{A\}},$$

$$M_S = m, \quad \text{we started with } \frac{\partial m}{\partial P}$$

$$M_R = 0$$

$$M_\gamma$$

$\stackrel{f_n}{=} \frac{m(I_{n,k})}{\delta(I_{n,k})}, \quad f \in I_{n,k}.$
Assume positive

$$\{Y_n, \mathcal{F}_n\}_{n \geq 1} \quad M.G. \text{ w.r.t. } \gamma$$

then super M.G. converge Almost surely..

$$Y_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{a.s.} Y, \quad E_\gamma(Y) \leftarrow \infty \leq 1 \quad \text{by dominated convergence}$$

$$Y_n = \frac{X_n}{2-X_n} \quad \uparrow \text{strictly less than 1}$$

this is Lebesgue Decomposition!

$$E(Y) = 0 \Rightarrow M_S = M \text{ w.r.t. } \gamma$$

γ is not in L^2 . in measure writing tensor

Hakutoni: $M = M_1 + M_2 + M_3 + \dots$ a p.o on \mathbb{R}^∞

$$P = P_1 \times P_2 \times P_3 \quad \dots \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^\infty$$

$$M = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} M_k \quad M_k \subset \subset P_k \quad \forall k = 1, 2, \dots$$

$$P = \bigotimes_{k=1}^{\infty} P_k$$

$$X_n = \sigma \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \quad X_k(\omega) = \omega_k.$$

$$Y_n = \frac{\partial m}{\partial P} \Big|_{\mathcal{F}_n} \approx \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial m_k}{\partial P_k}$$

What do we get with point meas on M_i

Kalkulation:

$$\text{Let } Y_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\text{a.s.}} Y$$

$$\text{Either } E_p(Y) = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad E_p(Y) = 1$$

$$M = M_s$$



$$M = M_r$$



$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} E_p\left(\sqrt{\frac{d\mu}{dP_k}}\right) = 0$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} E_p\left(\sqrt{\frac{d\mu_k}{dP_k}}\right) > 0$$