

things we covered.

① $\{E_{\mathcal{F}_n}(X)\}_{n \geq 1}$ is LI if $E(|X|) < \infty$

② $\{X_n, \mathcal{F}_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ MG and LI then $\exists X, E|X| < \infty$
and $X_n = E_{\mathcal{F}_n}(X)$ ($X = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n$ a.s.)

③ $\mathcal{F}_n \uparrow \mathcal{F}$ and $E|X| < \infty$ then
calls this MGCT extension

$$E_{\mathcal{F}_n}(X) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\text{a.s. L}} E_{\mathcal{F}}(X)$$

④ Buried in Proof.

if $X_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0$, $|X_n| \leq Y$, $E(Y) < \infty$, $n \geq 1$
then $E_{\mathcal{F}_n}(X_n) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\text{a.s.}} E_{\mathcal{F}}(X) = 0$

⑤ $\mathbb{E} \neq \{Y_n, \mathcal{Z}_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ I.I.D.

$$Y_n \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\frac{1}{n}) \quad n \geq 1$$

$$Z_n \sim n \cdot \text{Bernoulli}(\frac{1}{n}) \quad n \geq 1$$

$$X_n = Z_n \cdot Y_n$$

$$P(X_n > 0) =$$

$$\mathcal{F} = \sigma\{Y_n\}_{n \geq 1}$$

$$P(Y_n = 1, Z_n = n) = \frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{n} = \frac{1}{n^2}$$

Given \mathcal{F} , Y_n are constant.

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(X_n > 0) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} < \infty \quad \text{BCI} \Rightarrow X_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\text{a.s.}} 0$$

$$E_{\mathcal{F}}(X_n) = E(Z_n \cdot Y_n)$$

$$= Y_n E(Z_n)$$

$$= Y_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(Y_n = 1) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} = \infty \quad \text{BCII says } P(Y_n = 1 \text{ i.o.}) = 1$$

$$\text{Also } P(Y_n = 0 \text{ i.o.}) = 1$$

$$\text{So } Y_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\text{P}} 0$$

Observation $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ LI

$$E(X_n) = \frac{1}{n} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} 0 \quad \text{Def. of LI}$$

check LI look at $\sup_n E(X_n; X_n > n) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} 0$

The moral of the story is that LI \nRightarrow DCT.

In this example: how does $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ dist. After conditioning on \mathcal{F}

look like at $\omega \in \Omega$? Y becomes fixed.

$$\exists n_k \uparrow \infty \text{ so that } Y_n(\omega) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \in \{n_k\}_{k \geq 1} \\ 0 & \text{if } n \notin \{n_k\}_{k \geq 1} \end{cases}$$

Z is I.I.D. therefore Don't care about conditioning $\mathcal{F} = \sigma\{Y_n\}$

$$(0, \infty) \cap \{n_k\} \neq \emptyset$$

Z is Ind. therefore Due not care about conditioning $\mathcal{F} = \sigma\{Y_n\}$

$$X_n = \begin{cases} Z_{n_k} & \text{if } n \in \{n_k, k \geq 1\} \\ 0 & \text{if } n \notin \{n_k, k \geq 1\} \end{cases}$$

$\{Z_{n_k}, k \geq 1\}$ not UI, same since truncate at M .

$$Z_n \sim n \text{ Bern}(1/n)$$

$$E(Z_n) = n/n = 1$$

So After Conditioning we lose UI but not DCT.

$$P(W_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{a.s.} 0) = 1$$

$$P_{\mathcal{F}}(W_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{a.s.} 0) = 1 \text{ a.s.}$$

converges goes through the conditioning.

$$\text{if } Y \geq |W_n|, \quad E|Y| < \infty$$

$$\text{then } E_{\mathcal{F}}(Y) \geq E_{\mathcal{F}}(|W_n|) \geq |E_{\mathcal{F}}(W_n)| \text{ a.s.}$$



D.C.T. under conditioning.
For conditional Expectation.

$$Y_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{a.s.} Y, \quad |Y_n| \leq Z, \quad E(Z) < \infty, \quad n \geq 1$$

$$\text{Assume } \mathcal{F}_n \uparrow \mathcal{F} \text{ then } E_{\mathcal{F}_n}(Y_n) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{a.s.} E_{\mathcal{F}}(Y)$$

Proof:

$$\text{by } E(Y) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{a.s.} E_{\mathcal{F}}(Y)$$

if we add proof

$$\text{ETS } E_{\mathcal{F}_n}(Y_n - Y) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{a.s.} 0$$

$$W_n \equiv Y_n - Y, \quad \Rightarrow |W_n| \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{a.s.} 0, \quad |W_n| \leq 2Z, \quad E(2Z) < \infty$$

$$|E_{\mathcal{F}_n}(W_n)| \leq E_{\mathcal{F}_n}(|W_n|) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_{\mathcal{F}_n}(|W_n|) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_{\mathcal{F}_n}(\sup_{k=0,1,2,\dots} |W_{n+k}|)$$

Fit N

$$= E_{\mathcal{F}} \sup_{k \geq 0} \{ |W_{n+k}| \} \xrightarrow[N \rightarrow \infty]{a.s.} 0$$

Do we have bounded? yes $2Z$
we know because $W_n \rightarrow 0$

Done with section 5 on to 6.

(Durrett § 5.6 p.225)

Backwards (Reverse) Martingale (MG).

Imagine MG. is index by negative numbers.

$$\mathcal{F}_{-n} \uparrow \text{ as } -n \uparrow (\Leftrightarrow n \downarrow)$$

$$\{X_{-n}, \mathcal{F}_{-n}\}_{n=0,1,2,\dots} \text{ is MG. s.t. } X_{-2}, X_{-1}, X_0$$

Therefore $\mathcal{F}_0 \supset \mathcal{F}_{-n}$.

$$E(X_{-n} | \mathcal{F}_{-(n+1)}) = X_{-(n+1)} \quad n \geq 0$$

upcrossing Lemma is still in effect. is just index by n not $-n$.

Q-1m1)

upcrossing lemma is still in effect. is just index B_2
∴ MGC still holds.
negative numbers.

observe: $E_{\mathcal{F}_n}(X_0) = X_{-n}$

⇒ $\{X_{-n}\}_{n=1}^\infty$ UI Automatically -

$$X_{-n} \xrightarrow{L^1} X_{-\infty}$$

MGC still holds.

Claim: $X_{-\infty} = E(X_0)$
 $\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty \mathcal{F}_{-n}$

used notation
 $\mathcal{F}_{-\infty} = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty \mathcal{F}_{-n}$

$$\begin{aligned} E(X_0; A) &= E(X_{-n}; A) \quad A \in \mathcal{F}_{-\infty} \subset \mathcal{F}_{-n} \\ &= E(X_{-\infty}; A) \end{aligned}$$

says as we go to infinity X_{-n} becomes more constant
since the \mathcal{F}_{-n} becomes more finite.

to talk about we show X_n on the filtration
is shrink $\mathcal{F}_n \downarrow$

Application: Prove SLLN.