

03-12

Wednesday, March 12, 2025 11:30 AM

Last time: Monday

① $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_n, P) \quad E|X| < \infty$

$\{E_{\mathcal{F}_n}(X); \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{F}_0\}$ is UI

② $\{\mathcal{F}_n, \mathcal{F}_\infty\}_{n \geq 1}$ UI and MG. Then

$X_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{L^1, a.s.} X \quad X_n \xrightarrow{L^1} X \Leftrightarrow E|X_n - X| \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} 0$

③ $X_n = E_{\mathcal{F}_n}(X) \quad n \geq 1$

~~~~~

Theorem  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0, P)$

is Algebra not  $\sigma$ -Alg.

Assume  $E|X| < \infty \quad \mathcal{F}_n \uparrow \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{F}_0 \quad \mathcal{F}_n \subset \mathcal{F}_{n+1}, n \geq 1$  and  $\sigma\left\{\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_n\right\} = \mathcal{F}$  is

then  $E_{\mathcal{F}_n}(X) \xrightarrow{a.s. L^1} E_{\mathcal{F}}(X)$  here convergence of filtration which is given

Proof. 1st observation  $\{E_{\mathcal{F}_n}(X), \mathcal{F}_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  MG. and it is UI

By Result 2, we get.

$E_{\mathcal{F}_n}(X) \xrightarrow{a.s. L^1} X_\infty \in \mathcal{F}$  where  $X_\infty$  is sup integrable measurable w.r.t  $\mathcal{F}$

what is the relationship between  $X_n$  &  $X$

Claim:  $X_\infty = E_{\mathcal{F}}(X) \Leftrightarrow E(X_\infty; A) = E(X; A), \forall A \in \mathcal{F}$

We know.  $X_n = E_{\mathcal{F}_n}(X_\infty) \Leftrightarrow E(X_n; A) = E(X_\infty; A), \forall A \in \mathcal{F}_n$   
 $\Rightarrow E(X_{n+1}; A) = E(X_n; A) = E(X_\infty; A)$

$n+1 \geq n, \therefore$

$X_n \xrightarrow{a.s. L^1} X_\infty$

$E(X_n; A) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} E(X_\infty; A)$   
 $A \in \mathcal{F}_N \quad N = \text{fixed. (Arbitrary)}$

$E|X_n - X_\infty| \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} 0$   
 the  $L^1$  distance  $E|X_n - X_\infty|$  is bigger then

$\Rightarrow E(X_n; A) = E(X_\infty; A), A \in \mathcal{F}_n, A \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_n \quad \mathcal{F} \text{ by Dynkin.}$   
 $= E(X; A)$

we need for all  $\mathcal{F}$ . "Dynkin"  $\pi$ - $\lambda$  system.

Application (a)  $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  Filtration.  $\mathcal{F} = \sigma\{\mathcal{F}_n, n \geq 1\}$

Let  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  then  $P_{\mathcal{F}_n}(A) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{a.s. L^1} 1_A$   $\mathcal{F}_n$  is more & more refined information about omega

(b) New Proof of Kolmogorov 0-1 Law. then either 0 or 1

Eventually  
 Kolmogorov 0-1 if say  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  i.i.d.  $\rightarrow 1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$

kolmogorov 0-1 if say  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  then  $n \rightarrow \infty$   
 then tail event is either 0 or 1.

let  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  ind.,  $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ ,  $T = \{\text{tail events}\}$

$T = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty \sigma\{x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots\}$ . decreasing set of  $\sigma$ -Alg, which is  $\sigma$ -Alg.

let  $A \in T$   
 ind of  $\mathcal{F}_n$ .  
 $A \in \sigma\{x_{n+1}, \dots\}$

$\mathcal{F} = \sigma\{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$

says this is #. (I guess correct!)

Probability  $P_{\mathcal{F}_n}(A) = P(A) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{a.s.} 1_A$

$\Rightarrow P(A) \in \{0, 1\}$ .

Dominated convergence theorem for Condition Expectation before general result.

Lemma  $\{x_n\} \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0$ ,  $x_n \geq 0$ ,  $E(x_1) < \infty$  (also use dominated convergence)  $\Rightarrow E(x_n) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} 0$

then  $E_{\mathcal{F}_n}(x_n) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{a.s.} 0$

Proof  $0 \leq E_{\mathcal{F}_n}(x_n) \leq x_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0$  (wts  $x = 0$ )  
 $E(x_1) \geq E_{\mathcal{F}_n}(x_1) \geq 0$   
 $\Rightarrow E(E_{\mathcal{F}_n}(x_n)) \leq E(x_1) < \infty$   
 $\Rightarrow E(x_n) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} 0$

$0 \leq E_{\mathcal{F}_n}(x_n) \leq x_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0$  since  $x_n \geq 0$  we get a.s.  $x_n \geq 0$

Extension  $x_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0$ ,  $|x_n| \leq Y$ ,  $n \geq 1$ ,  $E(Y) < \infty$

then  $E_{\mathcal{F}_n}(x_n) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{a.s.} 0$

Proof  $|x_n| \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0$  then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{ |x_n| \} = 0$  a.s.

Monotone goes to 0.  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \{ |x_n|, |x_{n+1}|, \dots \} = 0$   
 $Y \geq Y_n = \sup \{ |x_n|, |x_{n+1}|, \dots \} \downarrow 0$  a.s.  
 $\Rightarrow E_{\mathcal{F}_n}(Y_n) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{a.s.} 0$

$0 \leq E_{\mathcal{F}_n}(Y_n) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{a.s.} 0$

this is dominated convergence

corollary let  $x_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0$ ,  $|x_n| \leq Y$ ,  $n \geq 1$ ,  $E(Y) < \infty$

then  $E_{\mathcal{F}_n}(x_n) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{a.s.} 0$

why not uniformly n? is not whether

example  $\{Y_n \neq Z_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  all ind

Example  $\{Y_n, Z_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  all IID

$$P_i \begin{matrix} \frac{1}{n} & 1 \\ \swarrow & \\ 1-\frac{1}{n} & 0 \end{matrix}$$

$$Z_n \begin{matrix} \frac{1}{n} & 0 \\ \swarrow & \\ 1-\frac{1}{n} & 1 \end{matrix}$$

$$X_n = Y_n Z_n, \quad E(X_n) = E(Y_n) = E(Z_n) = \frac{1}{n} = \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 0$$

$$\tilde{X} = 0 \text{ s.t. } Y, Z$$

$$E_g(X_n) = E_g[Y_n Z_n]$$

$$= Y_n E_g(Z_n) \quad \text{since IID}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n}$$

$$\sum P(X = 1) = \sum \frac{1}{n} = \infty \quad \text{by Borel-Cantelli}$$

when given quite in advance, we know