

$\mu \rightarrow$ the measure
 $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$
 "Algebra" collection

$$\mathcal{Q} = \{A : A \subseteq \Omega\}$$
 that satisfy.

① $\Omega \in \mathcal{A}$ contains whole set

② $A \in \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow A^c \in \mathcal{A}$ closed under complement.

③ $A, B \in \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow A \cap B \in \mathcal{A}$ semi open - closed under finite intersection

Main example $A \in \mathcal{A}$ as $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (a_i, b_i]$, $a_i < b_i$

Assume to be disjoint. if not we can overlap
 merge non-disjoint
 $\left(\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline I & J \\ \hline \end{array} \right) \dots$ if $\left(\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline I & J \\ \hline \end{array} \right)$
 $= \left(\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline I & J \\ \hline \end{array} \right)$

Measure μ

- ① $\mu(A) \geq 0, A \in \mathcal{A}$
 - ② $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$
 - ③ $\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_i)$ if $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in \mathcal{A}, A_i \in \mathcal{A}$
- Why is this true?

Main sample

Set of semi-open sets
 $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (a_i, b_i)$, $a_i < b_i$
 \Rightarrow disjoint =

Measure μ

- Nonnegative
- Measure of Emptyset is 0
- Sigma additivity

General form of Measure

$$\mu([a, b]) = F(b) - F(a)$$

Ex. of measure

$$\mu([a, b]) = b - a$$

Lebesgue measure

$$\mu(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i - a_i$$

The μ (interval) is length(I)

"want Auls because completeness"

Non decreasing.

② Let $F: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $F(x) = F(y)$ $x = y$.

Right continuous.

Generalize measure
 $\mu([a, b]) = F(b) - F(a)$

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow x} F(y) = F(x)$$

Let Assume Ω not \mathbb{R} .

We want to verify $\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right)$ from Req 3.

work for Main Example.

Lebesgue

is finite.

Assume: $\Omega = \{A \subseteq (0, 1]\}$, $\mu((0, 1]) = 1 - 0 = 1 \therefore \mu(\Omega) < \infty$

$\Omega = \{A \subseteq (0, 1]\}$ that is All the semi-open sets

If $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in \Omega$ then $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in \Omega$ and $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \subseteq \Omega$

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \subseteq \Omega$$

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i + \bigcup_{i=n+1}^{\infty} B_i$$

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = \left[\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_i) \right] + \mu\left(\bigcup_{i=n+1}^{\infty} B_i\right)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_i) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i = \emptyset \quad (B_i \downarrow \emptyset)$$

We see $B_n \supseteq B_{n+1}$, $\bigcap B_n = \emptyset$

$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_i)$
 we see $B_n > B_{n+1}$, $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n = \emptyset$ ($B_n \downarrow \emptyset$)
 what about $D_n = [0, \frac{1}{n}]$, $n=1, 2, \dots$
 $D_n \downarrow \emptyset$
 $M(P_n) = \frac{1}{n} \downarrow 0$

if $M(B_n) \downarrow 0$ then $\exists \alpha > 0$ st. $M(B_n) \geq \alpha$, $n \geq 1$
 by cont. D. def. $[E, J]$ closed interval.
 Compact subset of \mathbb{R} is closed and bounded subset
 If B_n are all compact. $B_n > B_{n+1}$, $n \geq 1$ then $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \neq \emptyset$

$b_n \in B_n$, $n \geq 1$
 $b_{n_k} \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} b^* \in B$,
 subsequence

ADD PT. \uparrow Then becomes compact.
 (C_n)

Let $C_n \subset B_n$, $\bar{C}_n \subset B_n$
 $M(B_n \setminus C_n) \leq \frac{\alpha}{2^n}$, $n \geq 1$

what is the distance between $B_n \setminus C_n$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & M(B_n \setminus \bigcap_{i=1}^n C_i) & M(A \cup B) \leq M(A) + M(B), \\
 & \geq M(B_n \cap (\bigcap_{i=1}^n C_i)^c) & \\
 & = M(B_n \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^n (C_i)^c) & \\
 & \leq M\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i \cap (C_i)^c\right) & \\
 & \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} M(B_i \cap C_i) & \text{smallest geometric series.} \\
 & \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha}{2^i} < \alpha
 \end{aligned}$$

What happens if $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} C_i = \emptyset$? Does not happen.

$\Rightarrow \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} C_i \neq \emptyset$ $\therefore C_i$ is not compact.

$\bar{C}_i \leftarrow$ ADD A point.

$\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \bar{C}_i \Rightarrow \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} C_i \neq \emptyset$.

$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n > \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \bar{C}_i \neq \emptyset$

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n > \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} C_i \neq \emptyset \rightarrow \leftarrow$$

$\hookrightarrow M(B_r) \downarrow 0$

σ -Algebraen. α^*

- ① $\emptyset \in \alpha^*$
- ② $A \in \alpha^* \Rightarrow A^c \in \alpha^*$ countable union
- ③ $A_i \in \alpha^* \Rightarrow \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in \alpha^*$

then measure M :

- ① $M(A) \geq 0, A \in \alpha$
- ② $M(\emptyset) = 0$
- ③ $M\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} M(A_i), A_i \in \alpha^*$

$\sigma(\alpha)$ - "minimal" σ -Algebra $> \alpha$

$\sigma(\alpha)$ in our example is called "Borel σ -algebra"

Countable algebra is bigger.

Fri:aus Chess online