Quadratic Programming (QP)

(Jing Li, Miami University)

Big Picture

- 1. QP is a special case of nonlinear programming
- 2. The objective function is quadratic, a second order polynomial of decision variables
- 3. Global minimum exists <u>if</u> the quadratic form is positive definite (or the function is strictly convex)
- 4. There may be constraints, which may or may not be binding

Example 1: Unconstrained QP

1. For example, consider minimizing a quadratic function without constraints

$$\min -8x_1 - 16x_2 + x_1^2 + 4x_2^2 \tag{1}$$

2. To see why this function has a minimum, we complete the square, and rewrite it as

$$-8x_1 - 16x_2 + x_1^2 + 4x_2^2 = (x_1 - 4)^2 + 4(x_2 - 2)^2 - 32 \ge -32$$
 (2)

where the last equality holds when $x_1 = 4, x_2 = 2$. The global minimum value is -32.

- 3. Using jargon, there is a <u>global</u> minimum because the objective function is strictly convex.
- 4. Alternatively, we can solve this problem by taking (partial) derivatives, and setting them to zero

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} = 0, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} = 0 \Rightarrow x_1 = 4, x_2 = 2 \tag{3}$$

5. Next we show how R uses matrix form to solve QP problem

Inner Product and Quadratic Form I

From matrix algebra, we define inner product as a row vector multiplied by a column vector. Inner product is a scalar (a 1×1 matrix)

$$(x_1, x_2) \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} = ax_1 + bx_2 \tag{4}$$

A <u>quadratic form</u> is also a scalar, and it is a row vector multiplied by a squared matrix multiplied by the transpose of the row vector

$$(x_1, x_2) \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = ax_1^2 + 2bx_1x_2 + cx_2^2$$

$$(5)$$

Exercise: use definition of inner product (4) to prove (5)

Inner Product and Quadratic Form II

1. We can use inner product to rewrite

$$-8x_1 - 16x_2 = -(8, 16) \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = -\begin{pmatrix} 8 \\ 16 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$$
 (6)

where A' is the transpose of A. The transpose of a column vector is a row vector.

2. Moreover, we can use quadratic form to rewrite

$$x_1^2 + 4x_2^2 = (x_1, x_2) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 8 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$$
(7)

3. We organize our matrix in such a special way in order to use R package quadprog

R Package "quadprog"

The R package "quadprog" can solve the QP with the form of

$$\min -d'b + \frac{1}{2}b'Db \quad (\text{with constraint } A'b \ge b_0) \tag{8}$$

where

- 1. b is the column vector of decision variables
- 2. D is the square matrix in the middle of quadratic form multiplied by 2
- 3. *d* is the <u>column</u> vector specifying the linear part in the objective function
- 4. The constrain is given by $A'b \ge b_0$

For Example 1,
$$b = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$$
, $D = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 8 \end{pmatrix}$, $d = \begin{pmatrix} 8 \\ 16 \end{pmatrix}$, $A = 0$, $b_0 = 0$.

R codes

```
library(quadprog)
Dmat = matrix(c(2,0,0,8),2,2,byrow=T)
dvec = c(8,16)
Amat = matrix(c(0,0,0,0),2,2,byrow=T)
bvec = c(0,0)
solve.QP(Dmat,dvec,Amat,bvec)
$'solution'
\lceil 1 \rceil \mid 4 \mid 2 \mid
$value
[1] -32
```

Note that Amat is a zero matrix, and bvec is a zero column vector. We get the same answer as using the calculus.

Example 2: a QP problem that has no minimum

Let's change *D* and see what happens

```
Dmat = matrix(c(2,4,4,8),2,2,byrow=T)
solve.QP(Dmat,dvec,Amat,bvec)
```

```
Error in solve.QP(Dmat, dvec, Amat, bvec):
matrix D in quadratic function is not positive definite!
```

Exercise:

- 1. write down the objective function
- 2. is there constraint?
- 3. take partial derivatives, and set them to zero. Can you solve them?
- 4. we have trouble here because *D* is not positive definite!

Positive Definite Matrix–I

To investigate this issue, let's complete the square for a general second-order polynomial

$$ax_1^2 + bx_1x_2 + cx_2^2 = a\left(x_1 + \frac{b}{2a}x_2\right)^2 + \left(c - \frac{b^2}{4a}\right)x_2^2 \tag{9}$$

It is positive for all x_1, x_2 (so a global minimum exists) only if

$$a > 0$$
, and $c - \frac{b^2}{4a} > 0$ (10)

Using quadratic form we have

$$ax_1^2 + bx_1x_2 + cx_2^2 = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} a & \frac{b}{2} \\ \frac{b}{2} & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$$
(11)

Positive Definite Matrix-II

1. The matrix $\begin{pmatrix} a & \frac{b}{2} \\ \frac{b}{2} & c \end{pmatrix}$ is <u>positive definite</u> if and only if all its <u>leading principal minors</u> are strictly positive, i.e.,

$$a > 0$$
, and $ac - \frac{b}{2}\frac{b}{2} > 0$,

which is the same as (10). In short, there is global minimum only if the square matrix in the quadratic form is positive definite.

2. Exercise: show the square matrix is NOT positive definite for Example 2

Example 3: Constrained QP with non-binding constraints

1. Still consider

$$\min -8x_1 - 16x_2 + x_1^2 + 4x_2^2$$

2. but now we add constraints

$$x_1 + x_2 \ge 5, x_1 \ge 3, x_1 \ge 0, x_2 \ge 0$$
 (12)

3. Note that the <u>unconstrained</u> solution $x_1 = 4, x_2 = 2$ shown on page 7 satisfies (12). So constraint (12) has no effect, so is non-binding

Example 3: R

```
Dmat = matrix(c(2,0,0,8),2,2,byrow=T)
dvec = c(8,16)
Amat = matrix(c(1,1,1,0),2,2,byrow=T)
bvec = c(5,3)
solve.QP(Dmat,dvec,Amat,bvec)
$'solution'
[1] 4 2
$value
[1] -32
```

Pay attention how we define Amat and bvec.

Amat and bvec

The way we define Amat and byec is based on the matrix form of the constraint: The first two constraints in (12) can be rewritten as

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{array}\right) \ge \left(\begin{array}{c} 5 \\ 3 \end{array}\right)$$

Exercise: use definition of inner product (4) to simplify the above inequality

Example 4: Some constraints are binding

```
Dmat = matrix(c(2,0,0,8),2,2,byrow=T)
dvec = c(8,16)
Amat = matrix(c(1,1,1,0),2,2,byrow=T)
bvec = c(5,4.5)
solve.QP(Dmat,dvec,Amat,bvec)

$'solution'
[1] 4.5 2.0
$value
[1] -31.75
```

Can you write down the constraints (Hint: look at Amat and bvec)? Here only x_1 changes to satisfy the new constraint. Note that the unconstrained minimum value -32 is <u>less</u> than the constrained minimum value -31.75. Does that make sense?

Example 5: Some constraints are binding

```
Dmat = matrix(c(2,0,0,8),2,2,byrow=T)
dvec = c(8,16)
Amat = matrix(c(1,1,1,0),2,2,byrow=T)
bvec = c(7,3)
solve.QP(Dmat,dvec,Amat,bvec)

$'solution'
[1] 4.8 2.2
$value
[1] -31.2
```

Can you write down the constraints? Here both x_1 and x_2 change to satisfy the new constraint.

Homework 3 (see syllabus for due date)

Still consider

$$\min -8x_1 - 16x_2 + x_1^2 + 4x_2^2$$

but the new constraint is

$$x_1 + x_2 \le 5, x_1 \le 3, x_1 \ge 0, x_2 \ge 0 \tag{13}$$

Please use R to solve this QP problem. (Hint: a < b is the same as -a > -b)