# Ordy: ordered multicasts

#### Jordi Guitart

Adapted with permission from Johan Montelius (KTH)

February 7, 2020

#### Introduction

The task is to implement a multicast service that supports different message orderings. Before you start you should have good theoretical knowledge of causally-ordered multicast implemented using vector clocks and totally-ordered multicast where the processes jointly agree on the sequence numbers of the messages.

This assignment includes a number of coding and experimental milestones. On accomplishing each of them, the team must show their outcome to the professor <u>during the practical sessions</u>, so that he can track the progress and evaluate the team accordingly.

This assignment includes also a number of open questions. The team must answer each of them in a report, which must be formatted according to the provided template and must be uploaded in PDF format through the  $Rac\acute{o}$  before the deadline.

## 1 The architecture

We will have a set of workers that communicate with each other using multicast messages. Each worker will have access to a multicast process that will hide the complexity of the system. The multicast processes are connected to each other and will have to agree on an order on how to **deliver** the messages. There is a clear distinction between receiving a message, which is done by the multicast process, and delivering a message, which is when the worker sees the message. A multicast process will receive messages in unspecified order but only deliver the messages to its worker in a given order. In particular, we will consider causal and total order.

#### 1.1 The worker

In our example, a worker will represent a user in a distributed newsgroup. Each worker will publish posts at random intervals (or will respond to posts published by other workers) by sending multicast messages to the group.

Message ordering is desirable in a distributed newsgroup. In particular, if FIFO ordering is supported then every posting from a given user will be received in the same order, and users can talk consistently about that user's second posting. Causal ordering will ensure that response posts appear after the messages to which they refer. Finally, total ordering will allow the global

message numbering to be consistent between users, although maybe this is not compliant with FIFO and/or causal ordering.

We will experiment with different implementations and to prepare for the future we make the initialization a bit cumbersome. To start with, we provide some parameters to the worker to make experiments easier to manage. We can change the module of the multicast process and we can experiment with different values of sleep and jitter time. The sleep time is for up to how many milliseconds the workers should wait until the next new post is sent (responses to existing posts are sent immediately) and the jitter time is a parameter to the multicast process to simulate different network delays for the individual messages.

When started, the worker should register with a group manager and will be given the process identifier of the other members in the group.

Since the purpose of the exercise is not to debug the worker, the code is given in 'Appendix A'.

# 2 Basic multicast

Initially, we will use a process that implements basic multicast. We give a set of peer processes to this multicast process and when it is told to multicast a message the message is simply sent to the rest of peers, one by one.

To make the experiments more interesting we include a Jitter parameter when we start the process. The process will set an asynchronous timer up to these many milliseconds before sending each message. This will allow messages to interleave and possibly cause problems to the workers.

```
-module(basic).
-export([start/3]).
start(Id, Master, Jitter) ->
    spawn(fun() -> init(Id, Master, Jitter) end).
init(Id, Master, Jitter) ->
    receive
        {peers, Nodes} ->
            server(Id, Master, lists:delete(self(), Nodes), Jitter)
    end.
server(Id, Master, Nodes, Jitter) ->
    receive
        {send, Msg} ->
            multicast(Msg, Nodes, Jitter),
            Master ! {deliver, Msg},
            server(Id, Master, Nodes, Jitter);
        {multicast, Msg} ->
            Master ! {deliver, Msg},
            server(Id, Master, Nodes, Jitter);
        stop ->
            ok
    end.
```

You can use the following program to test the basic multicast system with different values for Sleep and Jitter. Sleep stands for up to how many milliseconds the workers should wait until the next message is sent. Jitter stands for up to how many milliseconds the messages are delayed in the network. Duration stands for the duration of the experiment in milliseconds. Note that we are using the name of the multicast module (i.e. basic) as a parameter to the start procedure. We will easily be able to test different multicast implementations. Note also that processes are spawned in different nodes, hence you need to start an Erlang runtime for each process and name it accordingly.

```
-module(ordy).
-export([start/4, stop/0, pause/0, resume/0]).
start(Module, Sleep, Jitter, Duration) ->
    register(ordy, spawn(fun() -> init(Module, Sleep, Jitter, Duration) end)).
stop() ->
    ordy! stop.
pause() ->
    ordy ! pause.
resume() ->
    ordy ! resume.
init(Module, Sleep, Jitter, Duration) ->
    Ctrl = self(),
    spawn('p1@127.0.0.1', fun() -> group_leader(whereis(user), self()),
                          worker:start("P1", Ctrl, Module, 1, Sleep, Jitter)
                          end),
    spawn('p2@127.0.0.1', fun() -> group_leader(whereis(user), self()),
                          worker:start("P2", Ctrl, Module, 2, Sleep, Jitter)
                          end),
    spawn('p3@127.0.0.1', fun() -> group_leader(whereis(user), self()),
                          worker:start("P3", Ctrl, Module, 3, Sleep, Jitter)
                          end),
    spawn('p4@127.0.0.1', fun() -> group_leader(whereis(user), self()),
```

```
worker:start("P4", Ctrl, Module, 4, Sleep, Jitter)
                          end),
    Workers = collect(4, [], []),
    run(Workers, Duration).
collect(N, Workers, Peers) ->
    if
        N == 0 ->
            lists:foreach(fun(W) -> W ! {peers, Peers} end, Workers),
            Workers;
        true ->
            receive
                {join, W, P} ->
                    collect(N-1, [W|Workers], [P|Peers])
            end
    end.
run(Workers, Duration) ->
    receive
        stop ->
            lists:foreach(fun(W) -> W ! stop end, Workers);
            lists:foreach(fun(W) -> W ! pause end, Workers),
            run(Workers, Duration);
        resume ->
            lists:foreach(fun(W) -> W ! resume end, Workers),
            run(Workers, Duration)
    after Duration ->
        io:format("Stopping...~n"),
        lists:foreach(fun(W) -> W ! stop end, Workers),
        io:format("Stopped~n")
    end.
```

EXPERIMENTAL MILESTONES (1 points)		
MS1 (1 points)	Set up the basic multicast system, make tests with different Sleep and Jitter parameters, and look for examples of the orderings that are not fulfilled.	

```
OPEN QUESTIONS (0.25 points)
```

a) Are the posts displayed in FIFO, causal, and total order? Justify why.

# 3 Causal order multicast

We will implement a causal order multicast service using vector clocks. Take a copy of the module basic and call it causal.

The vector clock of each process is going to be represented with a tuple. Its size corresponds to the number of processes in the group, and can be created

with this invocation: newVC(length(Nodes), []), being this function defined as follows:

```
newVC(0, List) ->
    list_to_tuple(List);
newVC(N, List) ->
    newVC(N-1, [0|List]).
```

You must modify the code to keep track of the vector clock of each process, to include its vector clock and its identifier when it sends a multicast message, and to update the vector clock when necessary. In particular, a process must increase its position before sending a multicast message and the position of the sender process after delivering a multicast message. You can use the Erlang functions element/2 and setelement/3 to read and update a position of the vector clock, respectively.

In addition, a process must postpone the delivery of a given message to the worker in a hold-back queue (i.e. Queue) until two conditions are met, namely that the message is the next one expected from the sender and the process has seen all the messages seen by the sender before sending the message.

This functionality is supported by the following two procedures:

```
%% Check if a message can be delivered to the master
checkMsg(_, _, _, 0) -> ready;
checkMsg(FromId, MsgVC, VC, FromId) ->
    if (... == ...) -> %% TODO: COMPLETE
           checkMsg(FromId, MsgVC, VC, FromId-1);
       true -> wait
    end:
checkMsg(FromId, MsgVC, VC, N) ->
    if (... =< ...) -> %% TODO: COMPLETE
           checkMsg(FromId, MsgVC, VC, N-1);
       true -> wait
    end.
%% Deliver to the master all the ready messages in the hold-back queue
deliverReadyMsgs(_, VC, [], Queue) ->
    {VC, Queue};
deliverReadyMsgs(Master, VC, [{FromId, MsgVC, Msg}|Rest], Queue) ->
    case checkMsg(FromId, MsgVC, VC, size(VC)) of
```

## CODE REVIEW (2.5 points)

Causally-ordered multicast: Erlang file causal.erl.

EXPERIMENTAL MILESTONES (1 points)		
MS2	Set up the causal order multicast system and repeat the tests on	
(1 points)	MS1 (looking for examples of the orderings that are not fulfilled).	

#### OPEN QUESTIONS (0.25 points)

b) Are the posts displayed in FIFO, causal, and total order? Justify why.

# 4 Total order multicast

To avoid messages to be delivered out of order, we will implement a total order multicast service using a distributed algorithm. The algorithm is the one used in the ISIS system and is based on requesting proposals from all nodes in a group.

We will here go through the code but you will have to do some programing yourself. We are leaving '...' at places in the code where you have to fill in the right values.

The server procedure has the following state:

- Master: the worker process to which messages are delivered
- $\bullet$   $\mathbf{MaxPrp}:$  the largest sequence number proposed so far

- MaxAgr: the largest agreed sequence number seen so far
- Nodes: all peers in the network
- Cast: a set of references to messages that have been sent out asking for proposals but for which no agreed sequence number exist yet
- Queue: hold-back queue holding messages that have been received but not yet delivered
- Jitter: this parameter induces some network delay

The sequence numbers are represented by a tuple {N, Id}, where N is an integer that is incremented every time we make a proposal and Id is our process identifier. In 'Appendix B', you will find a module seq to create, modify, and compare sequence numbers.

The Cast set is represented as a list of tuples {Ref, L, Max}, where L is the number of proposals that we are still waiting for and Max the highest proposal received so far.

The Queue is an ordered list of entries representing messages that we have received but we have not yet delivered. The list is ordered based in the proposed or agreed sequence number. The proposed entries are entries where we have proposed a sequence number. If we have entries with agreed sequence numbers at the front of the queue these can be removed and delivered to the worker.

# 4.1 Sending of a message

A send message is a directive to multicast a message. We first have to agree in which order to deliver the message and therefore send a request for proposals to all peers (using the function request/4).

The request should be sent to all nodes with a unique reference. This reference is also added to the set of pending proposals (Cast) with information on how many nodes have to report back (using the function cast/3).

```
server(Master, MaxPrp, MaxAgr, Nodes, Cast, Queue, Jitter) ->
receive
    {send, Msg} ->
        Ref = make_ref(),
        request(..., ..., ...),
        NewCast = cast(..., ..., ...),
        server(..., ..., ..., ...);
```

Note that we are also sending a request to ourselves. We will handle our own proposal in the same way as proposals from everyone else. This might look strange but it makes the code much easier.

#### 4.2 Receiving a request

When a process receives a request message it should reply with a new proposed sequence number. The sequence number to be proposed is calculated by incrementing by one the maximum of MaxPrp and MaxAgr, but including always as a suffix the process identifier of the actual process (you can use the maxfirst/2

function of module seq). What ever happens we must not propose a lower sequence number than the ones we have proposed already. It should also queue the message using the proposed sequence number as key. This is handled by the function insert/4.

```
{request, From, Ref, Msg} ->
    NewMaxPrp = ...,
    From ! {proposal, ..., ...},
    NewQueue = insert(..., ..., ...),
    server(..., ..., ..., ...);
```

# 4.3 Receiving a proposal

A proposal message is received as a reply to a request that we have sent earlier. It contains the message reference and the proposed sequence number.

If the proposal is the last one that we are waiting for, we can calculate the agreed sequence number. We implement this by calling the function proposal/3 that will update the set of pending proposals and either return {agreed, MaxSeq, NewCast} if an agreement was found or simply the updated Cast set.

If we have an agreement this should be sent to all nodes in the network. This is handled by the agree/3 procedure.

#### 4.4 Agree at last

An agreed message contains the agreed sequence number of a particular message. The message that is in the queue must be updated and possibly moved back in the queue (the agreed number could be higher than the proposed number). This is handled by the function update/3.

```
{agreed, Ref, Seq} ->
     NewQueue = update(..., ..., ...),
     {AgrMsg, NewerQueue} = agreed(...),
     deliver(..., ...),
     NewMaxAgr = ...,
     server(..., ..., ..., ..., ..., ...);
     stop ->
          ok
end.
```

If the first message in the queue now has an agreed sequence number it could be delivered. The function agreed/1 will remove the messages that can be

delivered from the queue and return them in a list (AgrMsg). These messages can then be delivered using the deliver/2 procedure. The largest agreed sequence number seen so far must be updated as the maximum of MaxAgr and Seq (you can use the max/2 function of module seq).

The remaining code that support the previous functionality is as follows:

```
%% Send a request message to all nodes
request(Ref, Msg, Nodes, 0) ->
    Self = self(),
    lists:foreach(fun(Node) ->
                      %% TODO: ADD SOME CODE
                  end,
                  Nodes);
request(Ref, Msg, Nodes, Jitter) ->
    Self = self(),
    lists:foreach(fun(Node) ->
                      T = rand:uniform(Jitter),
                      timer:send_after(T, Node, ...) %% TODO: COMPLETE
                  end.
                  Nodes).
%% Send an agreed message to all nodes
agree(Ref, Seq, Nodes)->
    lists:foreach(fun(Node)->
                      %% TODO: ADD SOME CODE
                  end,
                  Nodes).
%% Deliver messages to the master
deliver(Master, Messages) ->
    lists:foreach(fun(Msg)->
                      Master ! {deliver, Msg}
                  end,
                  Messages).
%% Add a new entry to the set of casted messages
cast(Ref, Nodes, Cast) ->
    L = length(Nodes),
    [{Ref, L, seq:null()}|Cast].
%% Update the set of pending proposals
proposal(Ref, Proposal, [{Ref, 1, Max}|Rest])->
    {agreed, seq:max(Proposal, Max), Rest};
proposal(Ref, Proposal, [{Ref, N, Max}|Rest])->
    [{Ref, N-1, seq:max(Proposal, Max)}|Rest];
proposal(Ref, Proposal, [Entry|Rest])->
    case proposal (Ref, Proposal, Rest) of
        {agreed, AgrNum, NewRest} ->
            {agreed, AgrNum, [Entry|NewRest]};
        Updated ->
```

```
[Entry|Updated]
    end.
\%\% Remove all messages in the front of the queue that have been agreed
agreed([{_Ref, Msg, agrd, _Agr}|Queue]) ->
    {AgrMsg, NewQueue} = agreed(Queue),
    {[Msg|AgrMsg], NewQueue};
agreed(Queue) ->
    {[], Queue}.
%% Update the queue with an agreed sequence number
update(Ref, AgrNum, [{Ref, Msg, propsd, _}|Rest])->
    queue(Ref, Msg, agrd, AgrNum, Rest);
update(Ref, AgrNum, [Entry|Rest])->
    [Entry|update(Ref, AgrNum, Rest)].
%% Insert a new message into the queue
insert(Ref, Msg, Proposal, Queue) ->
    queue(Ref, Msg, propsd, Proposal, Queue).
%% Queue a new entry using Proposal as key
queue(Ref, Msg, State, Proposal, []) ->
    [{Ref, Msg, State, Proposal}];
queue(Ref, Msg, State, Proposal, Queue) ->
    [Entry|Rest] = Queue,
    {_, _, _, Next} = Entry,
    case seq:lessthan(Proposal, Next) of
        true ->
            [{Ref, Msg, State, Proposal}|Queue];
        false ->
            [Entry|queue(Ref, Msg, State, Proposal, Rest)]
```

# CODE REVIEW (3 points) Totally-ordered multicast: Erlang file total.erl.

EXPERIMENTAL MILESTONES (1 points)		
MS3 (1 points)	Set up the total order multicast system and repeat the previous tests (looking for examples of the orderings that are not fulfilled).	

end.

# **OPEN QUESTIONS (1 points)**

- c) Are the posts displayed in FIFO, causal, and total order? Justify why.
- d) Given a multicast system that is both FIFO- and totally-ordered (using an implementation like ours, which guarantees that the sequence number of any message sent is greater than that of any received by the sender), justify whether it is also causally-ordered as a consequence.
- e) We have a lot of messages in the system. Derive a theoretical quantification of the number of messages needed to deliver a multicast message (from the sending by a worker to the delivery by all the workers) as a function of the number of workers.
- f) Compare with the basic multicast implementation regarding the number of messages needed.

# Appendix A: worker.erl

```
-module(worker).
-export([start/6]).
start(Name, Main, Module, Id, Sleep, Jitter) ->
    spawn(fun() -> init(Name, Main, Module, Id, Sleep, Jitter) end).
init(Name, Main, Module, Id, Sleep, Jitter) ->
    Cast = apply(Module, start, [Id, self(), Jitter]),
    Main ! {join, self(), Cast},
    receive
        {peers, Peers} ->
            Cast ! {peers, Peers},
            Wait = if Sleep == 0 -> 0; true -> rand:uniform(Sleep) end,
            {ok, Tref} = timer:send_after(Wait, new_topic),
            worker(Name, Id, Cast, 1, 1, Sleep, Tref),
            Cast ! stop
    end.
worker(Name, Id, Cast, NxtSnd, NxtRcv, Sleep, Tref) ->
    receive
        {deliver, {FromName, From, Msg, SenderN, Nre}} ->
            io:format("~s RECV(~4w) ; From: ~s(~4w) ; Subject: ~s~n",
                      [Name, NxtRcv, FromName, SenderN, Msg]),
            if From == Id ->
                  worker(Name, Id, Cast, NxtSnd, NxtRcv+1, Sleep, Tref);
               true ->
                  Op = rand:uniform(),
                  if (Op >= 0.8) and (Tref /= null) and (Nre < 3) ->
                        cast_response(Name, Id, Cast, Msg, NxtSnd, Nre+1),
                        worker(Name, Id, Cast, NxtSnd+1, NxtRcv+1, Sleep, Tref);
                        worker(Name, Id, Cast, NxtSnd, NxtRcv+1, Sleep, Tref)
                 end
            end;
        new_topic ->
            cast_new_topic(Name, Id, Cast, NxtSnd),
            Wait = if Sleep == 0 -> 0; true -> rand:uniform(Sleep) end,
            {ok, NewTref} = timer:send_after(Wait, new_topic),
            worker(Name, Id, Cast, NxtSnd+1, NxtRcv, Sleep, NewTref);
        pause ->
            timer:cancel(Tref),
            worker(Name, Id, Cast, NxtSnd, NxtRcv, Sleep, null);
        resume ->
            Wait = if Sleep == 0 -> 0; true -> rand:uniform(Sleep) end,
            {ok, NewTref} = timer:send_after(Wait, new_topic),
            worker(Name, Id, Cast, NxtSnd, NxtRcv, Sleep, NewTref);
        stop ->
            ok;
```

```
Error ->
            io:format("strange message: ~w~n", [Error]),
            worker(Name, Id, Cast, NxtSnd, NxtRcv, Sleep, Tref)
    end.
cast_new_topic(Name, Id, Cast, N) ->
    Sbj = lists:sublist(shuffle_list("abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz"), 8),
    Msg = {Name, Id, Sbj, N, 0},
    io:format("~s SEND(~4w) ; Subject: ~s~n", [Name, N, Sbj]),
    Cast ! {send, Msg}.
cast_response(Name, Id, Cast, Text, N, Nre) ->
    Sbj = "Re:" ++ Text,
    Msg = {Name, Id, Sbj, N, Nre},
    io:format("~s SEND(~4w) ; Subject: ~s~n", [Name, N, Sbj]),
    Cast ! {send, Msg}.
shuffle_list(L) ->
    [X||\{\_,X\} \leftarrow lists:sort([\{rand:uniform(), N\} || N \leftarrow L])].
```

# Appendix B: seq.erl

```
-module(seq).
-export([null/0, new/1, increment/1, maxfirst/2, max/2, lessthan/2]).
\ensuremath{\text{\%\%}} Functions to handle the sequence numbers.
null() ->
    {0,0}.
new(Id) ->
    {0, Id}.
increment({Pn, Pi}) ->
    {Pn+1, Pi}.
maxfirst({Pn, Pi}, {Nn, _}) ->
    {erlang:max(Pn, Nn), Pi}.
max(Proposal, Max) ->
    case lessthan(Proposal, Max) of
        true ->
             Max;
        false ->
             Proposal
    end.
lessthan({Pn, Pi}, {Nn, Ni}) ->
    (Pn < Nn) or ((Pn == Nn) \text{ and } (Pi < Ni)).
```