Lecture 4: Neural Networks and Backpropagation

Dr. José Ramón Iglesias
DSP-ASIC BUILDER GROUP
Director Semillero TRIAC
Ingenieria Electronica
Universidad Popular del Cesar

Administrative: Discussion Section

Discussion section tomorrow:

Backpropagation

Recap

- We have some dataset of (x,y)
- We have a **score function:** $s = f(x; W) \overset{\text{e.g.}}{=} Wx$
- We have a **loss function**:

$$L_i = -\log\left(\frac{e^{s_{y_i}}}{\sum_j e^{s_j}}\right)$$ Softmax

$$L_i = \sum_{j \neq y_i} \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1)$$ SVM

$$L = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} L_i + R(W)$$ Full loss



Finding the best W: Optimize with Gradient Descent



```
# Vanilla Gradient Descent

while True:
  weights_grad = evaluate_gradient(loss_fun, data, weights)
  weights += - step_size * weights_grad # perform parameter update
```

Gradient descent

$$\frac{df(x)}{dx} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h}$$

Numerical gradient: slow :(, approximate :(, easy to write :)
Analytic gradient: fast :), exact :), error-prone :(

In practice: Derive analytic gradient, check your implementation with numerical gradient

Stochastic Gradient Descent (SGD)

$$L(W) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} L_i(x_i, y_i, W) + \lambda R(W)$$

$$\nabla_W L(W) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \nabla_W L_i(x_i, y_i, W) + \lambda \nabla_W R(W)$$

Full sum expensive when N is large!

Approximate sum using a **minibatch** of examples
32 / 64 / 128 common

```
# Vanilla Minibatch Gradient Descent

while True:
  data_batch = sample_training_data(data, 256) # sample 256 examples
  weights_grad = evaluate_gradient(loss_fun, data_batch, weights)
  weights += - step_size * weights_grad # perform parameter update
```

Last time: fancy optimizers



SGD

SGD+Momentum

RMSProp

Adam

Last time: learning rate scheduling



Step: Reduce learning rate at a few fixed points. E.g. for ResNets, multiply LR by 0.1 after epochs 30, 60, and 90.

Cosine: $\alpha_t = \frac{1}{2}\alpha_0\left(1 + \cos(t\pi/T)\right)$

Linear: $\alpha_t = \alpha_0(1 - t/T)$

Inverse sqrt: $\alpha_t = \alpha_0/\sqrt{t}$

α_0 : Initial learning rate
α_t : Learning rate at epoch t
T : Total number of epochs

Today:

Deep Learning

Released yesterday: dall-e-2

"Teddy bears working on new AI research on the moon in the 1980s."

"Rabbits attending a college seminar on human anatomy.

"A wise cat meditating in the Himalayas searching for enlightenment."

Image source: Sam Altman, https://openai.com/dall-e-2/, https://twitter.com/sama/status/1511724264629678084





Ramesh et al., Hierarchical Text-Conditional Image Generation with CLIP Latents, 2022.

Neural Networks and Backpropagation

Neural Networks

Neural networks: the original linear classifier

(**Before**) Linear score function: $$f = Wx$$

$$x \in \mathbb{R}^D, W \in \mathbb{R}^{C \times D}$$

Neural networks: 2 layers

(**Before**) Linear score function: $f = Wx$

(**Now**) 2-layer Neural Network $f = W_2 \max(0, W_1 x)$

$$x \in \mathbb{R}^D, W_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{H \times D}, W_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{C \times H}$$

(In practice we will usually add a learnable bias at each layer as well)

Why do we want non-linearity?



Cannot separate red and blue points with linear classifier

Why do we want non-linearity?



Cannot separate red and blue points with linear classifier

$$f(x, y) = (r(x, y), \theta(x, y))$$



After applying feature transform, points can be separated by linear classifier

Neural networks: also called fully connected network

(**Before**) Linear score function: $f = Wx$

(**Now**) 2-layer Neural Network $f = W_2 \max(0, W_1 x)$

$$x \in \mathbb{R}^D, W_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{H \times D}, W_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{C \times H}$$

“Neural Network” is a very broad term; these are more accurately called “fully-connected networks” or sometimes “multi-layer perceptrons” (MLP)

(In practice we will usually add a learnable bias at each layer as well)

Neural networks: 3 layers

(**Before**) Linear score function: $f = Wx$

(**Now**) 2-layer Neural Network $f = W_2 \max(0, W_1 x)$

or 3-layer Neural Network

$$f = W_3 \max(0, W_2 \max(0, W_1 x))$$

$$x \in \mathbb{R}^D, W_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{H_1 \times D}, W_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{H_2 \times H_1}, W_3 \in \mathbb{R}^{C \times H_2}$$

(In practice we will usually add a learnable bias at each layer as well)

Neural networks: hierarchical computation

(**Before**) Linear score function: $f = Wx$

(**Now**) 2-layer Neural Network $f = W_2 \max(0, W_1 x)$



$$x \in \mathbb{R}^D, W_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{H \times D}, W_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{C \times H}$$

Neural networks: learning 100s of templates

(**Before**) Linear score function: $f = Wx$

(**Now**) 2-layer Neural Network $f = W_2 \max(0, W_1 x)$



Learn 100 templates instead of 10.

Share templates between classes

Neural networks: why is max operator important?

(**Before**) Linear score function: $f = Wx$

(**Now**) 2-layer Neural Network $f = W_2 \boxed{\max(0,} W_1 x)$

The function $\max(0, z)$ is called the **activation function.**

Q: What if we try to build a neural network without one?

$$f = W_2 W_1 x$$

Neural networks: why is max operator important?

(**Before**) Linear score function: $f = Wx$

(**Now**) 2-layer Neural Network $f = W_2 \boxed{\max(0,} W_1 x)$

The function $\max(0, z)$ is called the **activation function.**
Q: What if we try to build a neural network without one?

$$f = W_2 W_1 x \qquad W_3 = W_2 W_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{C \times H}, f = W_3 x$$

A: We end up with a linear classifier again!

Activation functions

ReLU is a good default choice for most problems

Sigmoid

$\sigma(x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-x}}$



tanh

$\tanh(x)$



ReLU

$\max(0, x)$



Leaky ReLU

$\max(0.1x, x)$



Maxout

$\max(w_1^T x + b_1, w_2^T x + b_2)$

ELU

$$\begin{cases} x & x \geq 0 \\ \alpha(e^x - 1) & x < 0 \end{cases}$$



Neural networks: Architectures





“2-layer Neural Net”, or
“1-hidden-layer Neural Net”

“3-layer Neural Net”, or
“2-hidden-layer Neural Net”

“Fully-connected” layers

Example feed-forward computation of a neural network




```
# forward-pass of a 3-layer neural network:
f = lambda x: 1.0/(1.0 + np.exp(-x)) # activation function (use sigmoid)
x = np.random.randn(3, 1) # random input vector of three numbers (3x1)
h1 = f(np.dot(W1, x) + b1) # calculate first hidden layer activations (4x1)
h2 = f(np.dot(W2, h1) + b2) # calculate second hidden layer activations (4x1)
out = np.dot(W3, h2) + b3 # output neuron (1x1)
```

Full implementation of training a 2-layer Neural Network needs ~20 lines:

```
import numpy as np
from numpy.random import randn

N, D_in, H, D_out = 64, 1000, 100, 10
x, y = randn(N, D_in), randn(N, D_out)
w1, w2 = randn(D_in, H), randn(H, D_out)

for t in range(2000):
  h = 1 / (1 + np.exp(-x.dot(w1)))
  y_pred = h.dot(w2)
  loss = np.square(y_pred - y).sum()
  print(t, loss)

  grad_y_pred = 2.0 * (y_pred - y)
  grad_w2 = h.T.dot(grad_y_pred)
  grad_h = grad_y_pred.dot(w2.T)
  grad_w1 = x.T.dot(grad_h * h * (1 - h))

  w1 -= 1e-4 * grad_w1
  w2 -= 1e-4 * grad_w2
```

Full implementation of training a 2-layer Neural Network needs ~20 lines:

```
import numpy as np
from numpy.random import randn

N, D_in, H, D_out = 64, 1000, 100, 10
x, y = randn(N, D_in), randn(N, D_out)
w1, w2 = randn(D_in, H), randn(H, D_out)

for t in range(2000):
  h = 1 / (1 + np.exp(-x.dot(w1)))
  y_pred = h.dot(w2)
  loss = np.square(y_pred - y).sum()
  print(t, loss)

  grad_y_pred = 2.0 * (y_pred - y)
  grad_w2 = h.T.dot(grad_y_pred)
  grad_h = grad_y_pred.dot(w2.T)
  grad_w1 = x.T.dot(grad_h * h * (1 - h))

  w1 -= 1e-4 * grad_w1
  w2 -= 1e-4 * grad_w2
```

Define the network

Full implementation of training a 2-layer Neural Network needs ~20 lines:

```
import numpy as np
from numpy.random import randn

N, D_in, H, D_out = 64, 1000, 100, 10
x, y = randn(N, D_in), randn(N, D_out)
w1, w2 = randn(D_in, H), randn(H, D_out)

for t in range(2000):
  h = 1 / (1 + np.exp(-x.dot(w1)))
  y_pred = h.dot(w2)
  loss = np.square(y_pred - y).sum()
  print(t, loss)

  grad_y_pred = 2.0 * (y_pred - y)
  grad_w2 = h.T.dot(grad_y_pred)
  grad_h = grad_y_pred.dot(w2.T)
  grad_w1 = x.T.dot(grad_h * h * (1 - h))

  w1 -= 1e-4 * grad_w1
  w2 -= 1e-4 * grad_w2
```

Define the network

Forward pass

Full implementation of training a 2-layer Neural Network needs ~20 lines:

```
import numpy as np
from numpy.random import randn

N, D_in, H, D_out = 64, 1000, 100, 10
x, y = randn(N, D_in), randn(N, D_out)
w1, w2 = randn(D_in, H), randn(H, D_out)

for t in range(2000):
  h = 1 / (1 + np.exp(-x.dot(w1)))
  y_pred = h.dot(w2)
  loss = np.square(y_pred - y).sum()
  print(t, loss)

  grad_y_pred = 2.0 * (y_pred - y)
  grad_w2 = h.T.dot(grad_y_pred)
  grad_h = grad_y_pred.dot(w2.T)
  grad_w1 = x.T.dot(grad_h * h * (1 - h))

  w1 -= 1e-4 * grad_w1
  w2 -= 1e-4 * grad_w2
```

Define the network

Forward pass

Calculate the analytical gradients

Full implementation of training a 2-layer Neural Network needs ~20 lines:

```python
import numpy as np
from numpy.random import randn

N, D_in, H, D_out = 64, 1000, 100, 10
x, y = randn(N, D_in), randn(N, D_out)
w1, w2 = randn(D_in, H), randn(H, D_out)

for t in range(2000):
  h = 1 / (1 + np.exp(-x.dot(w1)))
  y_pred = h.dot(w2)
  loss = np.square(y_pred - y).sum()
  print(t, loss)

  grad_y_pred = 2.0 * (y_pred - y)
  grad_w2 = h.T.dot(grad_y_pred)
  grad_h = grad_y_pred.dot(w2.T)
  grad_w1 = x.T.dot(grad_h * h * (1 - h))

  w1 -= 1e-4 * grad_w1
  w2 -= 1e-4 * grad_w2
```

Define the network

Forward pass

Calculate the analytical gradients

Gradient descent

Setting the number of layers and their sizes



more neurons = more capacity

Do not use size of neural network as a regularizer. Use stronger regularization instead:



(Web demo with ConvNetJS: http://cs.stanford.edu/people/karpathy/convnetjs/demo/classify2d.html)

$$L(W) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} L_i(f(x_i, W), y_i) + \lambda R(W)$$




Impulses carried toward cell body
dendrite
presynaptic terminal
axon
cell body
Impulses carried away from cell body


Impulses carried toward cell body
dendrite
presynaptic
terminal
axon
cell body
Impulses carried away
from cell body


x_0
w_0
synapse
axon from a neuron
w_0x_0
dendrite
cell body
$f\left(\sum_i w_ix_i + b\right)$
w_1x_1
$\sum_i w_ix_i + b$
f
output axon
activation
function
w_2x_2





sigmoid activation function

$$\frac{1}{1+e^{-x}}$$








```
class Neuron:
  # ...
  def neuron_tick(inputs):
    """ assume inputs and weights are 1-D numpy arrays and bias is a number """
    cell_body_sum = np.sum(inputs * self.weights) + self.bias
    firing_rate = 1.0 / (1.0 + math.exp(-cell_body_sum)) # sigmoid activation func
    return firing_rate
```

Biological Neurons:
Complex connectivity patterns



This image is CC0 Public Domain

Neurons in a neural network:
Organized into regular layers for computational efficiency



Biological Neurons:
Complex connectivity patterns



[This image](#) is [CC0 Public Domain](#)

But neural networks with random connections can work too!



Xie et al, "Exploring Randomly Wired Neural Networks for Image Recognition", arXiv 2019

Be very careful with your brain analogies!

Biological Neurons:

- Many different types
- Dendrites can perform complex non-linear computations
- Synapses are not a single weight but a complex non-linear dynamical system

[Dendritic Computation. London and Hausser]

Plugging in neural networks with loss functions

$$s = f(x; W_1, W_2) = W_2 \max(0, W_1 x)$$ Nonlinear score function

$$L_i = \sum_{j \neq y_i} \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1)$$ SVM Loss on predictions

$$R(W) = \sum_k W_k^2$$ Regularization

$$L = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} L_i + \lambda R(W_1) + \lambda R(W_2)$$ Total loss: data loss + regularization

Problem: How to compute gradients?

$$s = f(x; W_1, W_2) = W_2 \max(0, W_1 x)$$ Nonlinear score function

$$L_i = \sum_{j \neq y_i} \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1)$$ SVM Loss on predictions

$$R(W) = \sum_k W_k^2$$ Regularization

$$L = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} L_i + \lambda R(W_1) + \lambda R(W_2)$$ Total loss: data loss + regularization

If we can compute $\frac{\partial L}{\partial W_1}, \frac{\partial L}{\partial W_2}$ then we can learn W_1 and W_2

(Bad) Idea: Derive $\nabla_W L$ on paper

$$s = f(x; W) = Wx$$

$$L_i = \sum_{j \neq y_i} \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1)$$

$$= \sum_{j \neq y_i} \max(0, W_{j,:} \cdot x + W_{y_i,:} \cdot x + 1)$$

$$L = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} L_i + \lambda \sum_k W_k^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j \neq y_i} \max(0, W_{j,:} \cdot x + W_{y_i,:} \cdot x + 1) + \lambda \sum_k W_k^2$$

$$\nabla_W L = \nabla_W \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j \neq y_i} \max(0, W_{j,:} \cdot x + W_{y_i,:} \cdot x + 1) + \lambda \sum_k W_k^2 \right)$$

Problem: Very tedious: Lots of matrix calculus, need lots of paper

Problem: What if we want to change loss? E.g. use softmax instead of SVM? Need to re-derive from scratch =(

Problem: Not feasible for very complex models!

Better Idea: Computational graphs + Backpropagation



Convolutional network (AlexNet)

input image

weights

loss



Figure copyright Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever, and Geoffrey Hinton, 2012. Reproduced with permission.

Really complex neural networks!!

input image

loss



Figure reproduced with permission from a Twitter post by Andrej Karpathy.

Neural Turing Machine



Figure reproduced with permission from a Twitter post by Andrej Karpathy.

Solution: Backpropagation

Backpropagation: a simple example

$$f(x, y, z) = (x + y)z$$

Backpropagation: a simple example

$$f(x, y, z) = (x + y)z$$



Backpropagation: a simple example

$$f(x, y, z) = (x + y)z$$

e.g. x = -2, y = 5, z = -4



Backpropagation: a simple example

$$f(x, y, z) = (x + y)z$$

e.g. x = -2, y = 5, z = -4

$$q = x + y \qquad \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} = 1, \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} = 1$$



Backpropagation: a simple example

$$f(x, y, z) = (x + y)z$$

e.g. x = -2, y = 5, z = -4

$$q = x + y \qquad \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} = 1, \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} = 1$$

$$f = qz \qquad \frac{\partial f}{\partial q} = z, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = q$$



Backpropagation: a simple example

$$f(x, y, z) = (x + y)z$$

e.g. x = -2, y = 5, z = -4

$$q = x + y \qquad \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} = 1, \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} = 1$$

$$f = qz \qquad \frac{\partial f}{\partial q} = z, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = q$$

Want: $\dfrac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \dfrac{\partial f}{\partial y}, \dfrac{\partial f}{\partial z}$



Backpropagation: a simple example

$$f(x, y, z) = (x + y)z$$

e.g. x = -2, y = 5, z = -4



$$q = x + y \qquad \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} = 1, \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} = 1$$

$$f = qz \qquad \frac{\partial f}{\partial q} = z, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = q$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial f}$$

Want: $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}$

Backpropagation: a simple example

$$f(x, y, z) = (x + y)z$$

e.g. x = -2, y = 5, z = -4

$$q = x + y \qquad \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} = 1, \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} = 1$$

$$f = qz \qquad \frac{\partial f}{\partial q} = z, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = q$$

Want: $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}$



$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial f}$$

Backpropagation: a simple example

$$f(x, y, z) = (x + y)z$$

e.g. x = -2, y = 5, z = -4

$$q = x + y \qquad \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} = 1, \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} = 1$$

$$f = qz \qquad \frac{\partial f}{\partial q} = z, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = q$$

Want: $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}$



$\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}$

Backpropagation: a simple example

$$f(x,y,z) = (x+y)z$$

e.g. x = -2, y = 5, z = -4

$$q = x + y \qquad \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} = 1, \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} = 1$$

$$f = qz \qquad \frac{\partial f}{\partial q} = z, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = q$$

Want: $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}$



$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}$$

Backpropagation: a simple example

$$f(x, y, z) = (x + y)z$$

e.g. x = -2, y = 5, z = -4

$$q = x + y \qquad \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} = 1, \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} = 1$$

$$f = qz \qquad \frac{\partial f}{\partial q} = z, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = q$$

Want: $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}$



$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial q}$$

Backpropagation: a simple example

$$f(x,y,z) = (x+y)z$$

e.g. x = -2, y = 5, z = -4

$$q = x + y \qquad \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} = 1, \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} = 1$$

$$f = qz \qquad \frac{\partial f}{\partial q} = z, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = q$$

Want: $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}$



$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial q}$$

Backpropagation: a simple example

$$f(x, y, z) = (x + y)z$$

e.g. x = -2, y = 5, z = -4

$$q = x + y \qquad \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} = 1, \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} = 1$$

$$f = qz \qquad \frac{\partial f}{\partial q} = z, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = q$$

Want: $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}$



$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$$

Chain rule:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial q} \frac{\partial q}{\partial y}$$

Upstream gradient

Local gradient

Backpropagation: a simple example

$$f(x,y,z) = (x+y)z$$

e.g. x = -2, y = 5, z = -4

$$q = x + y \qquad \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} = 1, \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} = 1$$

$$f = qz \qquad \frac{\partial f}{\partial q} = z, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = q$$

Want: $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}$



$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$$

Chain rule:

$$\boxed{\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial q}\frac{\partial q}{\partial y}}$$

Upstream gradient

Local gradient

Backpropagation: a simple example

$$f(x,y,z) = (x+y)z$$

e.g. x = -2, y = 5, z = -4



$$\boxed{q = x + y \qquad \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} = 1, \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} = 1}$$

$$\boxed{f = qz \qquad \frac{\partial f}{\partial q} = z, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = q}$$

$$\boxed{\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}}$$

Want: $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}$

Chain rule:

$$\boxed{\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial q}\frac{\partial q}{\partial x}}$$

Upstream gradient

Local gradient

Backpropagation: a simple example

$$f(x, y, z) = (x + y)z$$

e.g. x = -2, y = 5, z = -4

$$q = x + y \qquad \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} = 1, \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} = 1$$

$$f = qz \qquad \frac{\partial f}{\partial q} = z, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = q$$

Want: $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}$



$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}$$

Chain rule:

$$\boxed{\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial q}\frac{\partial q}{\partial x}}$$

Upstream gradient

Local gradient


x
y
f
z


x
"local gradient"
$\frac{\partial z}{\partial x}$
f
z
$\frac{\partial z}{\partial y}$
y



x
y
"local gradient"
$\frac{\partial z}{\partial x}$
$\frac{\partial z}{\partial y}$
f
z
$\frac{\partial L}{\partial z}$
"Upstream gradient"


x
$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial z}\frac{\partial z}{\partial x}$
"Downstream gradients"
"local gradient"
$\frac{\partial z}{\partial x}$
f
$\frac{\partial z}{\partial y}$
y
z
$\frac{\partial L}{\partial z}$
"Upstream gradient"



x
$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial z}\frac{\partial z}{\partial x}$
"Downstream gradients"
y
$\frac{\partial L}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial z}\frac{\partial z}{\partial y}$
"local gradient"
$\frac{\partial z}{\partial x}$
f
$\frac{\partial z}{\partial y}$
z
$\frac{\partial L}{\partial z}$
"Upstream gradient"


x
$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial z}\frac{\partial z}{\partial x}$
"Downstream gradients"
y
$\frac{\partial L}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial z}\frac{\partial z}{\partial y}$
"local gradient"
$\frac{\partial z}{\partial x}$
f
$\frac{\partial z}{\partial y}$
z
$\frac{\partial L}{\partial z}$
"Upstream gradient"

Another example:

$$f(w,x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-(w_0x_0+w_1x_1+w_2)}}$$



Another example:

$$f(w,x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-(w_0x_0+w_1x_1+w_2)}}$$



Another example:

$$f(w,x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-(w_0x_0+w_1x_1+w_2)}}$$



Another example:

$$f(w,x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-(w_0x_0+w_1x_1+w_2)}}$$



$$f(x) = e^x \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = e^x$$

$$f_a(x) = ax \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = a$$

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{x} \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = -1/x^2$$

$$f_c(x) = c + x \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = 1$$

Another example:

$$f(w,x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-(w_0x_0+w_1x_1+w_2)}}$$



$$f(x) = e^x \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = e^x$$

$$f_a(x) = ax \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = a$$

$$\boxed{f(x) = \frac{1}{x} \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = -1/x^2}$$

$$f_c(x) = c + x \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = 1$$

Another example:

$$f(w,x)=\frac{1}{1+e^{-(w_0x_0+w_1x_1+w_2)}}$$



$$(1.00)\left(\frac{-1}{1.37^2}\right)=-0.53$$

$f(x)=e^x$	$\rightarrow$	$\dfrac{df}{dx}=e^x$
$f_a(x)=ax$	$\rightarrow$	$\dfrac{df}{dx}=a$
$f(x)=\dfrac{1}{x}$	$\rightarrow$	$\dfrac{df}{dx}=-1/x^2$
$f_c(x)=c+x$	$\rightarrow$	$\dfrac{df}{dx}=1$

Another example:

$$f(w,x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-(w_0x_0+w_1x_1+w_2)}}$$



$$f(x) = e^x \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = e^x$$

$$f_a(x) = ax \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = a$$

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{x} \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = -1/x^2$$

$$\boxed{f_c(x) = c + x \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = 1}$$

Another example:

$$f(w,x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-(w_0x_0+w_1x_1+w_2)}}$$



$$(-0.53)(1) = -0.53$$

$$f(x) = e^x \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = e^x$$

$$f_a(x) = ax \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = a$$

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{x} \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = -1/x^2$$

$$\boxed{f_c(x) = c + x \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = 1}$$

Another example:

$$f(w,x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-(w_0x_0+w_1x_1+w_2)}}$$



$$\boxed{f(x) = e^x \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = e^x}$$

$$f_a(x) = ax \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = a$$

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{x} \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = -1/x^2$$

$$f_c(x) = c + x \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = 1$$

Another example:

$$f(w,x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-(w_0x_0+w_1x_1+w_2)}}$$



$$(-0.53)(e^{-1}) = -0.20$$

$$\boxed{f(x) = e^x \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = e^x}$$

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{x} \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = -1/x^2$$

$$f_a(x) = ax \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = a$$

$$f_c(x) = c + x \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = 1$$

Another example:

$$f(w,x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-(w_0x_0+w_1x_1+w_2)}}$$



$$f(x) = e^x \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = e^x$$

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{x} \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = -1/x^2$$

$$f_a(x) = ax \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = a$$

$$f_c(x) = c + x \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = 1$$

Another example:

$$f(w,x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-(w_0x_0+w_1x_1+w_2)}}$$



$$f(x) = e^x \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = e^x$$

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{x} \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = -1/x^2$$

$$f_a(x) = ax \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = a$$

$$f_c(x) = c + x \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = 1$$

Another example:

$$f(w,x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-(w_0x_0+w_1x_1+w_2)}}$$



$$f(x) = e^x \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = e^x$$

$$f_a(x) = ax \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = a$$

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{x} \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = -1/x^2$$

$$f_c(x) = c + x \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = 1$$

Another example:

$$f(w,x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-(w_0x_0+w_1x_1+w_2)}}$$



[upstream gradient] x [local gradient]

[0.2] x [1] = 0.2

[0.2] x [1] = 0.2 (both inputs!)

$$f(x) = e^x \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = e^x$$

$$f_a(x) = ax \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = a$$

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{x} \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = -1/x^2$$

$$f_c(x) = c + x \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = 1$$

Another example:

$$f(w,x)=\frac{1}{1+e^{-(w_0x_0+w_1x_1+w_2)}}$$



$$f(x)=e^x \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx}=e^x$$

$$f_a(x)=ax \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx}=a$$

$$f(x)=\frac{1}{x} \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx}=-1/x^2$$

$$f_c(x)=c+x \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx}=1$$

Another example:

$$f(w,x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-(w_0x_0+w_1x_1+w_2)}}$$



[upstream gradient] x [local gradient]

w0: [0.2] x [-1] = -0.2

x0: [0.2] x [2] = 0.4

$$f(x) = e^x \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = e^x$$

$$f_a(x) = ax \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = a$$

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{x} \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = -1/x^2$$

$$f_c(x) = c + x \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{df}{dx} = 1$$

Another example:

$$f(w,x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-(w_0x_0+w_1x_1+w_2)}}$$

Computational graph representation may not be unique. Choose one where local gradients at each node can be easily expressed!

Sigmoid function

$$\boxed{\sigma(x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-x}}}$$



Another example:

$$f(w,x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-(w_0x_0+w_1x_1+w_2)}}$$

Computational graph representation may not be unique. Choose one where local gradients at each node can be easily expressed!

Sigmoid function

$$\boxed{\sigma(x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-x}}}$$



Sigmoid local gradient:

$$\frac{d\sigma(x)}{dx} = \frac{e^{-x}}{(1+e^{-x})^2} = \left(\frac{1+e^{-x}-1}{1+e^{-x}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{1+e^{-x}}\right) = (1-\sigma(x))\,\sigma(x)$$

Another example:

$$f(w,x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-(w_0x_0+w_1x_1+w_2)}}$$

Computational graph representation may not be unique. Choose one where local gradients at each node can be easily expressed!

Sigmoid function

$$\boxed{\sigma(x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-x}}}$$



[upstream gradient] x [local gradient]

[1.00] x [(1 - 1/(1+e^{-1})) (1/(1+e^{-1}))] = 0.2

Sigmoid local gradient:

$$\frac{d\sigma(x)}{dx} = \frac{e^{-x}}{(1+e^{-x})^2} = \left(\frac{1+e^{-x}-1}{1+e^{-x}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{1+e^{-x}}\right) = (1-\sigma(x))\,\sigma(x)$$

Another example:

$$f(w,x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-(w_0x_0+w_1x_1+w_2)}}$$

Computational graph representation may not be unique. Choose one where local gradients at each node can be easily expressed!

Sigmoid function

$$\boxed{\sigma(x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-x}}}$$



[upstream gradient] x [local gradient]

[1.00] x [(1 - 0.73) (0.73)] = 0.2

Sigmoid local gradient:

$$\frac{d\sigma(x)}{dx} = \frac{e^{-x}}{(1+e^{-x})^2} = \left(\frac{1+e^{-x}-1}{1+e^{-x}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{1+e^{-x}}\right) = (1-\sigma(x))\,\sigma(x)$$

Patterns in gradient flow

add gate: gradient distributor



Patterns in gradient flow

add gate: gradient distributor



mul gate: “swap multiplier”



Patterns in gradient flow

add gate: gradient distributor



mul gate: "swap multiplier"



copy gate: gradient adder



Patterns in gradient flow

add gate: gradient distributor



mul gate: “swap multiplier”



copy gate: gradient adder



max gate: gradient router



Backprop Implementation: “Flat” code



Forward pass:
Compute output

```
def f(w0, x0, w1, x1, w2):
    s0 = w0 * x0
    s1 = w1 * x1
    s2 = s0 + s1
    s3 = s2 + w2
    L = sigmoid(s3)
```

Backward pass:
Compute grads

```
    grad_L = 1.0
    grad_s3 = grad_L * (1 - L) * L
    grad_w2 = grad_s3
    grad_s2 = grad_s3
    grad_s0 = grad_s2
    grad_s1 = grad_s2
    grad_w1 = grad_s1 * x1
    grad_x1 = grad_s1 * w1
    grad_w0 = grad_s0 * x0
    grad_x0 = grad_s0 * w0
```

Backprop Implementation: "Flat" code



Forward pass: Compute output

Base case

```
def f(w0, x0, w1, x1, w2):
  s0 = w0 * x0
  s1 = w1 * x1
  s2 = s0 + s1
  s3 = s2 + w2
  L = sigmoid(s3)

  grad_L = 1.0
  grad_s3 = grad_L * (1 - L) * L
  grad_w2 = grad_s3
  grad_s2 = grad_s3
  grad_s0 = grad_s2
  grad_s1 = grad_s2
  grad_w1 = grad_s1 * x1
  grad_x1 = grad_s1 * w1
  grad_w0 = grad_s0 * x0
  grad_x0 = grad_s0 * w0
```

Backprop Implementation: “Flat” code



Forward pass:
Compute output

```
def f(w0, x0, w1, x1, w2):
  s0 = w0 * x0
  s1 = w1 * x1
  s2 = s0 + s1
  s3 = s2 + w2
  L = sigmoid(s3)
```

Sigmoid

```
  grad_L = 1.0
  grad_s3 = grad_L * (1 - L) * L
  grad_w2 = grad_s3
  grad_s2 = grad_s3
  grad_s0 = grad_s2
  grad_s1 = grad_s2
  grad_w1 = grad_s1 * x1
  grad_x1 = grad_s1 * w1
  grad_w0 = grad_s0 * x0
  grad_x0 = grad_s0 * w0
```

Backprop Implementation: "Flat" code



Forward pass:
Compute output

```
def f(w0, x0, w1, x1, w2):
    s0 = w0 * x0
    s1 = w1 * x1
    s2 = s0 + s1
    s3 = s2 + w2
    L = sigmoid(s3)
```

Add gate

```
    grad_L = 1.0
    grad_s3 = grad_L * (1 - L) * L
    grad_w2 = grad_s3
    grad_s2 = grad_s3
    grad_s0 = grad_s2
    grad_s1 = grad_s2
    grad_w1 = grad_s1 * x1
    grad_x1 = grad_s1 * w1
    grad_w0 = grad_s0 * x0
    grad_x0 = grad_s0 * w0
```

Backprop Implementation: "Flat" code



Forward pass: Compute output

```
def f(w0, x0, w1, x1, w2):
    s0 = w0 * x0
    s1 = w1 * x1
    s2 = s0 + s1
    s3 = s2 + w2
    L = sigmoid(s3)

    grad_L = 1.0
    grad_s3 = grad_L * (1 - L) * L
    grad_w2 = grad_s3
    grad_s2 = grad_s3
    grad_s0 = grad_s2
    grad_s1 = grad_s2
    grad_w1 = grad_s1 * x1
    grad_x1 = grad_s1 * w1
    grad_w0 = grad_s0 * x0
    grad_x0 = grad_s0 * w0
```

Add gate

Backprop Implementation: "Flat" code



Forward pass:
Compute output

```
def f(w0, x0, w1, x1, w2):
    s0 = w0 * x0
    s1 = w1 * x1
    s2 = s0 + s1
    s3 = s2 + w2
    L = sigmoid(s3)

    grad_L = 1.0
    grad_s3 = grad_L * (1 - L) * L
    grad_w2 = grad_s3
    grad_s2 = grad_s3
    grad_s0 = grad_s2
    grad_s1 = grad_s2
    grad_w1 = grad_s1 * x1
    grad_x1 = grad_s1 * w1
    grad_w0 = grad_s0 * x0
    grad_x0 = grad_s0 * w0
```

Multiply gate

Backprop Implementation: “Flat” code



Forward pass:
Compute output

```
def f(w0, x0, w1, x1, w2):
    s0 = w0 * x0
    s1 = w1 * x1
    s2 = s0 + s1
    s3 = s2 + w2
    L = sigmoid(s3)

    grad_L = 1.0
    grad_s3 = grad_L * (1 - L) * L
    grad_w2 = grad_s3
    grad_s2 = grad_s3
    grad_s0 = grad_s2
    grad_s1 = grad_s2
    grad_w1 = grad_s1 * x1
    grad_x1 = grad_s1 * w1
    grad_w0 = grad_s0 * x0
    grad_x0 = grad_s0 * w0
```

Multiply gate

“Flat” Backprop: Do this for assignment 1!

Stage your forward/backward computation!

E.g. for the SVM:

```
# receive W (weights), X (data)
# forward pass (we have 8 lines)
scores = #...
margins = #...
data_loss = #...
reg_loss = #...
loss = data_loss + reg_loss
# backward pass (we have 5 lines)
dmargins = # ... (optionally, we go direct to dscores)
dscores = #...
dW = #...
```

margins



“Flat” Backprop: Do this for assignment 1!

E.g. for two-layer neural net:

```
# receive W1,W2,b1,b2 (weights/biases), X (data)
# forward pass:
h1 = #... function of X,W1,b1
scores = #... function of h1,W2,b2
loss = #... (several lines of code to evaluate Softmax loss)
# backward pass:
dscores = #...
dh1,dW2,db2 = #...
dW1,db1 = #...
```

Backprop Implementation: Modularized API



Graph (or Net) object *(rough pseudo code)*

```
class ComputationalGraph(object):
    #...
    def forward(inputs):
        # 1. [pass inputs to input gates...]
        # 2. forward the computational graph:
        for gate in self.graph.nodes_topologically_sorted():
            gate.forward()
        return loss # the final gate in the graph outputs the loss
    def backward():
        for gate in reversed(self.graph.nodes_topologically_sorted()):
            gate.backward() # little piece of backprop (chain rule applied)
        return inputs_gradients
```

Modularized implementation: forward / backward API

Gate / Node / Function object: Actual PyTorch code



(x,y,z are scalars)

```
class Multiply(torch.autograd.Function):
  @staticmethod
  def forward(ctx, x, y):
    ctx.save_for_backward(x, y)
    z = x * y
    return z
  @staticmethod
  def backward(ctx, grad_z):
    x, y = ctx.saved_tensors
    grad_x = y * grad_z   # dz/dx * dL/dz
    grad_y = x * grad_z   # dz/dy * dL/dz
    return grad_x, grad_y
```

Need to cash some values for use in backward

Upstream gradient

Multiply upstream and local gradients

Example: PyTorch operators

pytorch / pytorch — Watch 1,221 — Unstar 26,770 — Fork 6,340

Code — Issues 2,286 — Pull requests 561 — Projects 4 — Wiki — Insights

Tree: 517c7c9861 — pytorch / aten / src / THNN / generic / — Create new file — Upload files — Find file — History

ezyang and facebook-github-bot Canonicalize all includes in PyTorch. (#14849) … — Latest commit 517c7c9 on Dec 8, 2018

..		
AbsCriterion.c	Canonicalize all includes in PyTorch. (#14849)	4 months ago
BCECriterion.c	Canonicalize all includes in PyTorch. (#14849)	4 months ago
ClassNLLCriterion.c	Canonicalize all includes in PyTorch. (#14849)	4 months ago
Col2Im.c	Canonicalize all includes in PyTorch. (#14849)	4 months ago
ELU.c	Canonicalize all includes in PyTorch. (#14849)	4 months ago
FeatureLPPooling.c	Canonicalize all includes in PyTorch. (#14849)	4 months ago
GatedLinearUnit.c	Canonicalize all includes in PyTorch. (#14849)	4 months ago
HardTanh.c	Canonicalize all includes in PyTorch. (#14849)	4 months ago
Im2Col.c	Canonicalize all includes in PyTorch. (#14849)	4 months ago
IndexLinear.c	Canonicalize all includes in PyTorch. (#14849)	4 months ago
LeakyReLU.c	Canonicalize all includes in PyTorch. (#14849)	4 months ago
LogSigmoid.c	Canonicalize all includes in PyTorch. (#14849)	4 months ago
MSECriterion.c	Canonicalize all includes in PyTorch. (#14849)	4 months ago
MultiLabelMarginCriterion.c	Canonicalize all includes in PyTorch. (#14849)	4 months ago
MultiMarginCriterion.c	Canonicalize all includes in PyTorch. (#14849)	4 months ago
RReLU.c	Canonicalize all includes in PyTorch. (#14849)	4 months ago
Sigmoid.c	Canonicalize all includes in PyTorch. (#14849)	4 months ago
SmoothL1Criterion.c	Canonicalize all includes in PyTorch. (#14849)	4 months ago
SoftMarginCriterion.c	Canonicalize all includes in PyTorch. (#14849)	4 months ago
SoftPlus.c	Canonicalize all includes in PyTorch. (#14849)	4 months ago
SoftShrink.c	Canonicalize all includes in PyTorch. (#14849)	4 months ago
SparseLinear.c	Canonicalize all includes in PyTorch. (#14849)	4 months ago
SpatialAdaptiveAveragePooling.c	Canonicalize all includes in PyTorch. (#14849)	4 months ago
SpatialAdaptiveMaxPooling.c	Canonicalize all includes in PyTorch. (#14849)	4 months ago
SpatialAveragePooling.c	Canonicalize all includes in PyTorch. (#14849)	4 months ago

SpatialClassNLLCriterion.c	Canonicalize all includes in PyTorch. (#14849)	4 months ago
SpatialConvolutionMM.c	Canonicalize all includes in PyTorch. (#14849)	4 months ago
SpatialDilatedConvolution.c	Canonicalize all includes in PyTorch. (#14849)	4 months ago
SpatialDilatedMaxPooling.c	Canonicalize all includes in PyTorch. (#14849)	4 months ago
SpatialFractionalMaxPooling.c	Canonicalize all includes in PyTorch. (#14849)	4 months ago
SpatialFullDilatedConvolution.c	Canonicalize all includes in PyTorch. (#14849)	4 months ago
SpatialMaxUnpooling.c	Canonicalize all includes in PyTorch. (#14849)	4 months ago
SpatialReflectionPadding.c	Canonicalize all includes in PyTorch. (#14849)	4 months ago
SpatialReplicationPadding.c	Canonicalize all includes in PyTorch. (#14849)	4 months ago
SpatialUpSamplingBilinear.c	Canonicalize all includes in PyTorch. (#14849)	4 months ago
SpatialUpSamplingNearest.c	Canonicalize all includes in PyTorch. (#14849)	4 months ago
THNN.h	Canonicalize all includes in PyTorch. (#14849)	4 months ago
Tanh.c	Canonicalize all includes in PyTorch. (#14849)	4 months ago
TemporalReflectionPadding.c	Canonicalize all includes in PyTorch. (#14849)	4 months ago
TemporalReplicationPadding.c	Canonicalize all includes in PyTorch. (#14849)	4 months ago
TemporalRowConvolution.c	Canonicalize all includes in PyTorch. (#14849)	4 months ago
TemporalUpSamplingLinear.c	Canonicalize all includes in PyTorch. (#14849)	4 months ago
TemporalUpSamplingNearest.c	Canonicalize all includes in PyTorch. (#14849)	4 months ago
VolumetricAdaptiveAveragePoolin…	Canonicalize all includes in PyTorch. (#14849)	4 months ago
VolumetricAdaptiveMaxPooling.c	Canonicalize all includes in PyTorch. (#14849)	4 months ago
VolumetricAveragePooling.c	Canonicalize all includes in PyTorch. (#14849)	4 months ago
VolumetricConvolutionMM.c	Canonicalize all includes in PyTorch. (#14849)	4 months ago
VolumetricDilatedConvolution.c	Canonicalize all includes in PyTorch. (#14849)	4 months ago
VolumetricDilatedMaxPooling.c	Canonicalize all includes in PyTorch. (#14849)	4 months ago
VolumetricFractionalMaxPooling.c	Canonicalize all includes in PyTorch. (#14849)	4 months ago
VolumetricFullDilatedConvolution.c	Canonicalize all includes in PyTorch. (#14849)	4 months ago
VolumetricMaxUnpooling.c	Canonicalize all includes in PyTorch. (#14849)	4 months ago
VolumetricReplicationPadding.c	Canonicalize all includes in PyTorch. (#14849)	4 months ago
VolumetricUpSamplingNearest.c	Canonicalize all includes in PyTorch. (#14849)	4 months ago
VolumetricUpSamplingTrilinear.c	Canonicalize all includes in PyTorch. (#14849)	4 months ago
linear_upsampling.h	Implement nn.functional.interpolate based on upsample. (#8591)	9 months ago
pooling_shape.h	Use integer math to compute output size of pooling operations (#14405)	4 months ago
unfold.c	Canonicalize all includes in PyTorch. (#14849)	4 months ago

PyTorch sigmoid layer

```c
#ifndef TH_GENERIC_FILE
#define TH_GENERIC_FILE "THNN/generic/Sigmoid.c"
#else

void THNN_(Sigmoid_updateOutput)(
          THNNState *state,
          THTensor *input,
          THTensor *output)
{
  THTensor_(sigmoid)(output, input);
}

void THNN_(Sigmoid_updateGradInput)(
          THNNState *state,
          THTensor *gradOutput,
          THTensor *gradInput,
          THTensor *output)
{
  THNN_CHECK_NELEMENT(output, gradOutput);
  THTensor_(resizeAs)(gradInput, output);
  TH_TENSOR_APPLY3(scalar_t, gradInput, scalar_t, gradOutput, scalar_t, output,
    scalar_t z = *output_data;
    *gradInput_data = *gradOutput_data * (1. - z) * z;
  );
}

#endif
```

Forward

$$\sigma(x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-x}}$$

Source

PyTorch sigmoid layer

```
#ifndef TH_GENERIC_FILE
#define TH_GENERIC_FILE "THNN/generic/Sigmoid.c"
#else

void THNN_(Sigmoid_updateOutput)(
          THNNState *state,
          THTensor *input,
          THTensor *output)
{
  THTensor_(sigmoid)(output, input);
}

void THNN_(Sigmoid_updateGradInput)(
          THNNState *state,
          THTensor *gradOutput,
          THTensor *gradInput,
          THTensor *output)
{
  THNN_CHECK_NELEMENT(output, gradOutput);
  THTensor_(resizeAs)(gradInput, output);
  TH_TENSOR_APPLY3(scalar_t, gradInput, scalar_t, gradOutput, scalar_t, output,
    scalar_t z = *output_data;
    *gradInput_data = *gradOutput_data * (1. - z) * z;
  );
}

#endif
```

Forward

$$\boxed{\sigma(x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-x}}}$$

```
static void sigmoid_kernel(TensorIterator& iter) {
  AT_DISPATCH_FLOATING_TYPES(iter.dtype(), "sigmoid_cpu", [&]() {
    unary_kernel_vec(
        iter,
        [=](scalar_t a) -> scalar_t { return (1 / (1 + std::exp((-a)))); },
        [=](Vec256<scalar_t> a) {
          a = Vec256<scalar_t>((scalar_t)(0)) - a;
          a = a.exp();
          a = Vec256<scalar_t>((scalar_t)(1)) + a;
          a = a.reciprocal();
          return a;
        });
  });
}
```

Forward actually defined elsewhere...

```
return (1 / (1 + std::exp((-a))));
```

Source

PyTorch sigmoid layer

```
#ifndef TH_GENERIC_FILE
#define TH_GENERIC_FILE "THNN/generic/Sigmoid.c"
#else

void THNN_(Sigmoid_updateOutput)(
          THNNState *state,
          THTensor *input,
          THTensor *output)
{
  THTensor_(sigmoid)(output, input);
}

void THNN_(Sigmoid_updateGradInput)(
          THNNState *state,
          THTensor *gradOutput,
          THTensor *gradInput,
          THTensor *output)
{
  THNN_CHECK_NELEMENT(output, gradOutput);
  THTensor_(resizeAs)(gradInput, output);
  TH_TENSOR_APPLY3(scalar_t, gradInput, scalar_t, gradOutput, scalar_t, output,
    scalar_t z = *output_data;
    *gradInput_data = *gradOutput_data * (1. - z) * z;
  );
}

#endif
```

Forward

$$\sigma(x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-x}}$$

```
static void sigmoid_kernel(TensorIterator& iter) {
  AT_DISPATCH_FLOATING_TYPES(iter.dtype(), "sigmoid_cpu", [&]() {
    unary_kernel_vec(
        iter,
        [=](scalar_t a) -> scalar_t { return (1 / (1 + std::exp((-a)))); },
        [=](Vec256<scalar_t> a) {
          a = Vec256<scalar_t>((scalar_t)(0)) - a;
          a = a.exp();
          a = Vec256<scalar_t>((scalar_t)(1)) + a;
          a = a.reciprocal();
          return a;
        });
  });
}
```

Forward actually defined elsewhere...

Backward

$$(1-\sigma(x))\sigma(x)$$

Source

So far: backprop with scalars

What about vector-valued functions?

Recap: Vector derivatives

Scalar to Scalar

$$x \in \mathbb{R}, y \in \mathbb{R}$$

Regular derivative:

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial x} \in \mathbb{R}$$

If x changes by a small amount, how much will y change?

Recap: Vector derivatives

Scalar to Scalar

$$x \in \mathbb{R}, y \in \mathbb{R}$$

Regular derivative:

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial x} \in \mathbb{R}$$

If x changes by a small amount, how much will y change?

Vector to Scalar

$$x \in \mathbb{R}^N, y \in \mathbb{R}$$

Derivative is **Gradient**:

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial x} \in \mathbb{R}^N \quad \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial x}\right)_n = \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_n}$$

For each element of x, if it changes by a small amount then how much will y change?

Recap: Vector derivatives

Scalar to Scalar

$$x \in \mathbb{R}, y \in \mathbb{R}$$

Regular derivative:

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial x} \in \mathbb{R}$$

If x changes by a small amount, how much will y change?

Vector to Scalar

$$x \in \mathbb{R}^N, y \in \mathbb{R}$$

Derivative is **Gradient**:

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial x} \in \mathbb{R}^N \quad \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial x}\right)_n = \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_n}$$

For each element of x, if it changes by a small amount then how much will y change?

Vector to Vector

$$x \in \mathbb{R}^N, y \in \mathbb{R}^M$$

Derivative is **Jacobian**:

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial x} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times M} \quad \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial x}\right)_{n,m} = \frac{\partial y_m}{\partial x_n}$$

For each element of x, if it changes by a small amount then how much will each element of y change?

Backprop with Vectors



Loss L still a scalar!

Backprop with Vectors



Backprop with Vectors



Backprop with Vectors



For each element of z, how much does it influence L?

Backprop with Vectors



Backprop with Vectors



Backprop with Vectors



Gradients of variables wrt loss have same dims as the original variable



Backprop with Vectors

4D input x:

[1]
[-2]
[3]
[-1]

f(x) = max(0,x)
(elementwise)

4D output z:

[1]
[0]
[3]
[0]

Backprop with Vectors

4D input x:

[1]
[-2]
[3]
[-1]

f(x) = max(0,x)
(elementwise)

4D output z:

[1]
[0]
[3]
[0]

4D dL/dz:

[4]
[-1]
[5]
[9]

Upstream gradient

Backprop with Vectors

4D input x:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ 3 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

f(x) = max(0,x)
(elementwise)

4D output z:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Jacobian dz/dx

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

4D dL/dz:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ -1 \\ 5 \\ 9 \end{bmatrix}$$

Upstream gradient

Backprop with Vectors

4D input x:

[1]
[-2]
[3]
[-1]

f(x) = max(0,x)
(elementwise)

4D output z:

[1]
[0]
[3]
[0]

[dz/dx] [dL/dz]

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ -1 \\ 5 \\ 9 \end{bmatrix}$$

4D dL/dz:

[4]
[-1]
[5]
[9]

Upstream gradient

Backprop with Vectors

4D input x:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ 3 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

f(x) = max(0,x)
(elementwise)

4D output z:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

4D dL/dx:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 0 \\ 5 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

[dz/dx] [dL/dz]

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ -1 \\ 5 \\ 9 \end{bmatrix}$$

4D dL/dz:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ -1 \\ 5 \\ 9 \end{bmatrix}$$

Upstream gradient

Backprop with Vectors

Jacobian is **sparse**: off-diagonal entries always zero! Never **explicitly** form Jacobian -- instead use **implicit** multiplication

4D input x:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ 3 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \boxed{\begin{array}{c} f(x) = \max(0,x) \\ \textit{(elementwise)} \end{array}} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 3 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

4D output z:

4D dL/dx: [dz/dx] [dL/dz] 4D dL/dz:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 0 \\ 5 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \leftarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ -1 \\ 5 \\ 9 \end{bmatrix} \leftarrow \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ -1 \\ 5 \\ 9 \end{bmatrix} \leftarrow$$

Upstream gradient

Backprop with Vectors

Jacobian is **sparse**: off-diagonal entries always zero! Never **explicitly** form Jacobian -- instead use **implicit** multiplication

4D input x:

[1]
[-2]
[3]
[-1]

f(x) = max(0,x)
(elementwise)

4D output z:

[1]
[0]
[3]
[0]

4D dL/dx:

[4]
[0]
[5]
[0]

[dz/dx] [dL/dz]

$$\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial x}\right)_i = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \boldsymbol{z}}\right)_i & \text{if } x_i > 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

4D dL/dz:

[4]
[-1]
[5]
[9]

Upstream gradient

Backprop with Matrices (or Tensors)

Loss L still a scalar!

dL/dx always has the same shape as x!



Backprop with Matrices (or Tensors)

Loss L still a scalar!



Backprop with Matrices (or Tensors)

Loss L still a scalar!

dL/dx always has the same shape as x!



Backprop with Matrices (or Tensors)

Loss L still a scalar!

dL/dx always has the same shape as x!

$[D_x \times M_x]$ $\boxed{x}$

$[D_x \times M_x]$ $\boxed{\frac{\partial L}{\partial x}} = \frac{\partial z}{\partial x}\frac{\partial L}{\partial z}$

"Downstream gradients"

Matrix-vector multiply

$[D_y \times M_y]$ $\boxed{y}$

$[D_y \times M_y]$ $\boxed{\frac{\partial L}{\partial y}} = \frac{\partial z}{\partial y}\frac{\partial L}{\partial z}$

"local gradients"

$\boxed{\frac{\partial z}{\partial x}}$ $[(D_x \times M_x) \times (D_z \times M_z)]$

$\boxed{\frac{\partial z}{\partial y}}$ $[(D_y \times M_y) \times (D_z \times M_z)]$

Jacobian matrices

For each element of y, how much does it influence each element of z?

$\boxed{z}$ $[D_z \times M_z]$

$\boxed{\frac{\partial L}{\partial z}}$ $[D_z \times M_z]$

"Upstream gradient"

For each element of z, how much does it influence L?

Backprop with Matrices

x: [N×D]
[2 **1** -3]
[-3 4 2]

w: [D×M]
[3 2 1 -1]
[2 1 3 2]
[3 2 1 -2]

Matrix Multiply

$$y_{n,m} = \sum_d x_{n,d} w_{d,m}$$

y: [N×M]
[13 9 -2 -6]
[5 2 17 1]

dL/dy: [N×M]
[2 3 -3 9]
[-8 1 4 6]

Also see derivation in the course notes:
http://cs231n.stanford.edu/handouts/linear-backprop.pdf

Backprop with Matrices

x: [N×D]
[2 **1** -3]
[-3 4 2]

w: [D×M]
[3 2 1 -1]
[2 1 3 2]
[3 2 1 -2]

Matrix Multiply

$$y_{n,m} = \sum_d x_{n,d} w_{d,m}$$

y: [N×M]
[13 9 -2 -6]
[5 2 17 1]

dL/dy: [N×M]
[2 3 -3 9]
[-8 1 4 6]

Jacobians:
dy/dx: [(N×D)×(N×M)]
dy/dw: [(D×M)×(N×M)]

For a neural net we may have
N=64, D=M=4096
Each Jacobian takes ~256 GB of memory! Must work with them implicitly!

Backprop with Matrices

y: [N×M]

$$\begin{bmatrix} 13 & 9 & -2 & -6 \\ 5 & 2 & 17 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

x: [N×D]

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & \boxed{1} & -3 \\ -3 & 4 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

w: [D×M]

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 & 1 & -1 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Matrix Multiply

$$y_{n,m} = \sum_d x_{n,d} w_{d,m}$$

dL/dy: [N×M]

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & -3 & 9 \\ -8 & 1 & 4 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

Q: What parts of y are affected by one element of x?

Backprop with Matrices

x: [N×D]

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & \boxed{1} & -3 \\ -3 & 4 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

w: [D×M]

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 & 1 & -1 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Matrix Multiply

$$y_{n,m} = \sum_d x_{n,d} w_{d,m}$$

y: [N×M]

$$\begin{bmatrix} \boxed{13 \quad 9 \quad -2 \quad -6} \\ 5 \quad 2 \quad 17 \quad 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

dL/dy: [N×M]

$$\begin{bmatrix} \boxed{2 \quad 3 \quad -3 \quad 9} \\ -8 \quad 1 \quad 4 \quad 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

Q: What parts of y are affected by one element of x?

A: $\boxed{x_{n,d}}$ affects the whole row $y_{n,\cdot}$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_{n,d}} = \sum_m \frac{\partial L}{\partial y_{n,m}} \frac{\partial y_{n,m}}{\partial x_{n,d}}$$

Backprop with Matrices

x: [N×D]

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & \boxed{1} & -3 \\ -3 & 4 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

w: [D×M]

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 & 1 & -1 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Matrix Multiply

$$y_{n,m} = \sum_d x_{n,d} w_{d,m}$$

y: [N×M]

$$\begin{bmatrix} 13 & 9 & \boxed{-2} & -6 \\ 5 & 2 & 17 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

dL/dy: [N×M]

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & -3 & 9 \\ -8 & 1 & 4 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

Q: What parts of y are affected by one element of x?

A: $\boxed{x_{n,d}}$ affects the whole row $y_{n,\cdot}$

Q: How much does $\boxed{x_{n,d}}$ affect $\boxed{y_{n,m}}$?

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_{n,d}} = \sum_m \frac{\partial L}{\partial y_{n,m}} \frac{\partial y_{n,m}}{\partial x_{n,d}}$$

Backprop with Matrices

x: [N×D]

[2 $\boxed{1}$ -3]
[-3 4 2]

w: [D×M]

[3 2 1 -1]
[2 1 $\boxed{3}$ 2]
[3 2 1 -2]

Matrix Multiply

$$y_{n,m} = \sum_d x_{n,d} w_{d,m}$$

y: [N×M]

[$\boxed{13\ \ 9\ \ \boxed{-2}\ \ -6}$]
[5 2 17 1]

dL/dy: [N×M]

[$\boxed{2\ \ 3\ -3\ \ 9}$]
[-8 1 4 6]

Q: What parts of y are affected by one element of x?

A: $\boxed{x_{n,d}}$ affects the whole row $y_{n,\cdot}$

Q: How much does $\boxed{x_{n,d}}$ affect $\boxed{y_{n,m}}$?

A: $\boxed{w_{d,m}}$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_{n,d}} = \sum_m \frac{\partial L}{\partial y_{n,m}} \frac{\partial y_{n,m}}{\partial x_{n,d}} = \sum_m \frac{\partial L}{\partial y_{n,m}} w_{d,m}$$

Backprop with Matrices

x: [N×D]

[2 1 -3]
[-3 4 2]

w: [D×M]

[3 2 1 -1]
[2 1 3 2]
[3 2 1 -2]

Matrix Multiply

$$y_{n,m} = \sum_d x_{n,d} w_{d,m}$$

y: [N×M]

[13 9 -2 -6]
[5 2 17 1]

dL/dy: [N×M]

[2 3 -3 9]
[-8 1 4 6]

Q: What parts of y are affected by one element of x?

A: $\boxed{x_{n,d}}$ affects the whole row $y_{n,\cdot}$

Q: How much does $\boxed{x_{n,d}}$ affect $\boxed{y_{n,m}}$?

A: $\boxed{w_{d,m}}$

[N×D] [N×M] [M×D]

$$\boxed{\frac{\partial L}{\partial x} = \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial y}\right) w^T}$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_{n,d}} = \sum_m \frac{\partial L}{\partial y_{n,m}} \frac{\partial y_{n,m}}{\partial x_{n,d}} = \sum_m \frac{\partial L}{\partial y_{n,m}} w_{d,m}$$

Backprop with Matrices

x: [N×D]

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & \boxed{1} & -3 \\ -3 & 4 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

w: [D×M]

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 & 1 & -1 \\ 2 & 1 & \boxed{3} & 2 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Matrix Multiply

$$y_{n,m} = \sum_d x_{n,d} w_{d,m}$$

y: [N×M]

$$\begin{bmatrix} \boxed{13 & 9 & \boxed{-2} & -6} \\ 5 & 2 & 17 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

dL/dy: [N×M]

$$\begin{bmatrix} \boxed{2 & 3 & -3 & 9} \\ -8 & 1 & 4 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

By similar logic:

[N×D] [N×M] [M×D]

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x} = \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial y}\right) w^T$$

[D×M] [D×N] [N×M]

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial w} = x^T \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial y}\right)$$

These formulas are easy to remember: they are the only way to make shapes match up!

Summary for today:

- **(Fully-connected) Neural Networks** are stacks of linear functions and nonlinear activation functions; they have much more representational power than linear classifiers
- **backpropagation** = recursive application of the chain rule along a computational graph to compute the gradients of all inputs/parameters/intermediates
- implementations maintain a graph structure, where the nodes implement the **forward**() / **backward**() API
- **forward**: compute result of an operation and save any intermediates needed for gradient computation in memory
- **backward**: apply the chain rule to compute the gradient of the loss function with respect to the inputs

Next Time: Convolutional Neural Networks!