Polymorphism vs. Overloading

In ML, the + operator is overloaded, and the map function is polymorphic. Both operators can work on different datatypes. What's the difference?

As we know, + can work on both ints and reals. However, it is not the same function at runtime. When the compiler sees a + sign, it determines whether it is acting on ints or on reals, and decides, before runtime, which addition function to call.

This is not the case for polymorphic functions. In this case, the compiler generates **one** piece of code, that will be used for any data type passed through it. However, different types have different sizes: a **real** often takes more bytes than anint, and custom types could be even larger. How does the compiler generate a single piece of machine code, if it doesn't know how many bytes to allocate for the function argument?

The solution to that is to exclusively pass by reference in a polymorphic function. All pointers are the same size, so the compiler only needs to allocate enough space for a pointer for the argument. This solution comes at a cost. Passing by reference is often less efficient than passing by value, which is a reason why many languages do not support polymorphic functions.

The code for swap illustrates the difference between polymorphism and overloading.

The C++ version doesn't generate a single piece of code for each type. It generates unique code for each type. The C++ swap is overloaded because different code is executed at run time depending on the type. While the ML swap is polymorphic because the same code is generated independent of the types. This require a uniform representation, which in case of ML consists of a pointer. For example, the variable temp will correspond to a pointer to an int, in case we are swapping integers. Instead, the variable tmp in the C++ code will be allocated on the stack and it will occupy the space for an int.