



LearnZillion

User Churn

Huey Tran

10/06/2014

Initial problem

At a startup, there is a focus on finding a leading indicator for a user who would turn into an engaged user. Work streams would then optimize around this metric.



7 friends in 10 days



1-day retention



Follow \geq 30 people



Put \geq one file in one Dropbox folder on one device



X connections in Y days

Question: What is LearnZillion's leading indicator for a user who would turn into an engaged user?

List of possible *levers*

- Viewed a lesson page
- Played a video
- Viewed Common Core Navigator
- Viewed Courses
- Downloaded a document
- Used search
- Created a class
- Added students
- Created an assignment

Timeframe

- One day
- 7 days
- 14 days
- 30 days

Initial thoughts and ideas for what LearnZillion's leading engagement metric could be

- Viewed 3 videos within 14 days since account created
- Created a class within 14 days since account created
- Downloaded 2 documents in 14 days since account created
- Some combination of *levers* within 14 days since account created

Acquiring and processing data

Acquiring the data proved to be difficult

- Mixpanel
- API call needs to be executed to get hundreds of thousands of event data
- Original script contained errors
- Wanted to convert JSON into CSV
- Next iteration of script contained more errors
- Success (finally)!

Processing the data revealed non-trivial inconsistencies in our data

- Renaming of properties
- Inactive properties
- Inconsistent formatting
- Users were double-counted
- Pre-processing the data was A LOT of fun!

These were the parameters for the dataset

- **Users**

- Churned Users
 - Account created within 6 month timeframe
 - Last visited was ≥ 30 days ago
- Active users
 - Account created within same 6 month timeframe
 - Last visited was ≤ 30 days ago
- Relevant properties
 - Account created date
 - Number of classes created
 - Number of videos played
 - Number of documents downloaded
 - Number of times visited

- **Events**

- Visited
- Video played
- Document downloaded
- Class created
- Timeframe
 - Same timeframe as on the left

But before I did anything too crazy, I ran simple correlation tests

- Ran correlation between X variable event (e.g. played video, downloaded resource, created class) and Y variable event (i.e. visited)
 - Based on Pearson's r correlation, there was a **weak positive relationship** for
 - (played video, visited)
 - (downloaded resource, visited)
 - This is somewhat to be expected since the variables are orthogonal.
 - Based on Pearson's r correlation, there was **no or negligible relationship** for
 - (create class, visited)
 - In summary, weak correlations all around!
 - Should I waste time putting in effort to move forward if correlations are weak?
 - NAH!

Reframing the problem

LearnZillion may not have a leading engagement metric

- Leading engagement indicators fit in three categories
 - Network density
 - Content added
 - Visit frequency
- LearnZillion
 - No built-in virality factor(s) or element of community to enhance this
 - No ability for users to participate in any form beyond consuming content
 - Visit frequency entirely dependent, then, on user experience and academic content (finite)

Enter: New problem

- How does LearnZillion identify those users who, within the first 14 days of account creation, exhibit behavior consistent with “churned” users?
- Enter data science!
 - Classification problem
 - Use three modeling techniques
 - Support vector machine
 - Random forest
 - K-Nearest-Neighbors
- Helps business with:
 - Retargeting campaigns
 - Inbound / Outbound marketing or demand generation
 - Content marketing

“Some combination of levers within 14 days of account created”

- Created dataset for all users who created an account within specified timeframe with the following properties
 - User ID
 - Account created
 - Videos played
 - Resources downloaded
 - Classes created
 - Visited
 - Churn
 - where value = **true** if user belongs to group that has not been on the site ≥ 30 days
 - where value = **false** if user belongs to group that has been on the site ≤ 30 days

The actual data science part

sklearn, cv, svm, rf, knn, oh my!

- Import __Future__, Pandas
- Read in CSV and created dataframe
- Defined X and y (where y = 1 if true and y = 0 if false for “Churn”) for feature space
- From sklearn:
 - Imported StandardScaler
 - Imported KFold
 - Imported SVC for SVM
 - Imported RF for RF
 - Imported KNN for KNN
- Import numpy
- Defined accuracy (where y = y) for SVM, RF, and KNN
- Profit!

Results

- Support vector machine
 - 87.5%
- Random forest
 - 86.4 %
- K-Nearest-Neighbor
 - 85.5%

Implications and Conclusions

What do I do with this information and knowledge? How can I improve it?

- Information can help business with:
 - Retargeting campaigns
 - Inbound / Outbound marketing or demand generation
 - Content marketing
- Clean up our data! This will help save lots of time for future projects
- Product: consider feature ideas that can help our viral coefficient
- Get probability of churn vs. classifier churn or no churn
- Approach algorithm differently to get $\geq 90\%$ accuracy