

```

1 |----- MODULE MonadsAndPipes -----|
2 | EXTENDS Sequences, Naturals |

The goal of this module is to explore the similarity between monads and pipes (as defined below).

The lemmas/theorems/assumptions are provided without proof (neither formal nor informal), and
could be wrong. A mistake could invalidate the relationship in some or all cases, but could also
be fixable.

There could also be technical mistakes in the spec, as no formal verification has been performed,
and I haven't gone over everything carefully.

16 |-----|
    | Utility definitions |

21 |  $Range(f) \triangleq \{f[x] : x \in \text{DOMAIN } f\}$ 
23 |  $AddFirst(seq, x) \triangleq \langle x \rangle \circ seq$ 
25 |  $Last(seq) \triangleq seq[Len(seq)]$ 
27 |  $RemoveN(seq, n) \triangleq SubSeq(seq, 1, Len(seq) - n)$ 
29 |  $RemoveLast(seq) \triangleq RemoveN(seq, 1)$ 

31 |-----|

We begin by specifying the monadic type  $M(A)$  through its constructors and deconstructors. We
do it this way because it will be necessary when looking at the operational semantics of a bind .
(Constants are module parameters that don't dynamically change over time.)

41 | CONSTANTS Constructors(-),
42 |           Deconstructors(-)

We assume a monadic value is constructed by a set of constructors. A constructor is a function of
a list of values in A. The constructors don't correspond with the data constructors of the monadic
value, rather, they are only functions of a list of As, and so "reify" any other arguments, and
there can be infinitely many of them.

For example, the Maybe monad would only have two constructors here, but the Either monad
would have one corresponding to Right , and infinitely many corresponding to Left (one for each
possible value)

55 | ASSUME  $\forall A : \forall cons \in Constructors(A) : \text{DOMAIN } cons \subseteq Seq(A)$ 

58 | Our monadic type
59 |  $M(A) \triangleq \text{UNION } \{Range(cons) : cons \in Constructors(A)\}$ 

61 | Constructors' ranges are disjoint (but cover all of  $M(A)$ )
62 | ASSUME  $\forall A : \forall c1, c2 \in Constructors(A) : c1 \neq c2 \Rightarrow Range(c1) \cap Range(c2) = \{\}$ 

64 | Deconstructors' domains are disjoint, but cover all of  $M(A)$ 
65 | ASSUME  $\forall A :$ 

```

```

66       $\wedge \forall des \in Deconstructors(A) : \exists SMA \in SUBSET M(A) : des \in [SMA \rightarrow Seq(A)]$ 
67       $\wedge \forall d1, d2 \in Deconstructors(A) : d1 \neq d2 \Rightarrow DOMAIN d1 \cap DOMAIN d2 = \{\}$ 
68       $\wedge UNION \{DOMAIN des : des \in Deconstructors(A)\} = M(A)$ 

70  ASSUME  $\forall A :$ 
71       $\wedge \forall des \in Deconstructors(A) : \exists cons \in Constructors(A) : \forall ma \in M(A) : cons[des[ma]] = ma$ 
72       $\wedge \forall cons \in Constructors(A) : \exists des \in Deconstructors(A) : \forall as \in DOMAIN cons : des[cons[as]] = as$ 

```

There's actually more we can say about the de/constructors and other functions here. That they're parametric means that the parametericity theorem ("free theorems") holds. But we won't bother specifying it.

We'll later define our *bind* using a monoidal *compose*

```

84  CONSTANTS Return(-),
85            Compose(-)

87  ASSUME  $\forall A : Return(A) \in [A \rightarrow M(A)]$ 

89   $IsUnit(unit, A) \triangleq$ 
90       $\forall ma \in M(A) : \wedge Compose(A)[ma, unit] = ma$ 
91       $\wedge Compose(A)[unit, ma] = ma$ 

93   $Unit(A) \triangleq CHOOSE unit \in M(A) : IsUnit(unit, A)$ 

95  ASSUME  $\forall A :$ 
96      LET compose  $\triangleq Compose(A)$  IN
97       $\wedge compose \in [M(A) \times M(A) \rightarrow M(A)]$ 
98       $\wedge \exists unit \in M(A) : IsUnit(unit, A)$ 
99       $\wedge \forall x, y, z \in M(A) : compose[compose[x, y], z] = compose[x, compose[y, z]]$ 

```

For any constructor the composition of values yielded by two arg lists is the value yielded from the concatenation of the lists. Is this true? Partly true?

```

106 THEOREM  $\forall A : \forall xs, ys \in Seq(A), cons \in Constructors(A) :$ 
107       $Compose(A)[cons[xs], cons[ys]] = cons[xs \circ ys]$ 

109  $ConsOf(A, ma) \triangleq CHOOSE cons \in Constructors(A) : ma \in Range(cons)$ 

111  $Deconstruct(A, ma) \triangleq LET des \triangleq CHOOSE des \in Deconstructors(A) : ma \in DOMAIN des$ 
112      IN  $des[ma]$ 

114

```

115 MODULE *Bind*

We'll specify the operational semantics of a single composition of bind:

$\text{Bind} : M\ a \rightarrow (a \rightarrow M\ b) \rightarrow M\ b$

122 CONSTANT A, B

124 CONSTANT F This is the monadic function

125 ASSUME $F \in [A \rightarrow M(B)]$

Since the monad is parametric, the only way for us to get values in A is by deconstructing the monadic value. However we can apply F to any of them any (finite) number of times.

Bind could rely on the $M(B)$ value returned from F to determine further invocations of F . This may or may not be true for all monads – I haven't thought about it enough (*e.g.* it may or may not break associativity), but if it doesn't, it will require us to add another capability to our pipes.

So in order to express the restriction that we cannot rely on the return value for further invocations, we'll assume there is some function Ap , that computes a list of A values to be passed, one by one, to F from the monadic value input. Ap is not the same as *Deconstruct*, as it can also depend on the constructor.

145 CONSTANT $Ap(-)$

146 ASSUME $\forall T : Ap(T) \in [M(T) \rightarrow Seq(T)]$

148 VARIABLES $x,$ The monadic input

149 $as,$ The values that F will be consecutively applied to

150 $mb,$ The most recent return value from F

151 y The “current” monadic value in $M(B)$

153 $vars \triangleq \langle x, y, as, mb \rangle$

By convention, *TypeOK* is a “type” invariant on all variables

158 $TypeOK \triangleq \wedge x \in M(A)$

159 $\wedge as \in Seq(A)$

160 $\wedge mb \in M(B)$

161 $\wedge y \in M(B)$

When we begin, xs are the deconstruction (with Ap of the first argument to bind.

168 $Init \triangleq \wedge \exists ma \in M(A) : as = Ap(A)[ma]$

169 $\wedge y = Unit(B)$

171 $Next \triangleq \wedge as' \neq \langle \rangle$

172 $\wedge as' = Tail(as)$

173 $\wedge LET\ a \triangleq Head(as)$

174 $IN\ \wedge mb' = F[a]$

175 $\wedge y' = Compose(B)[y, mb']$

176 \wedge UNCHANGED x

178 $Spec \triangleq Init \wedge \Box[Next]_{vars}$

180 THEOREM $Spec \Rightarrow \Box TypeOK$

We can talk about the denotation of our spec in the functional denotational semantics of *FP*.
We'll use this opportunity to specify the monad laws

187 $MonadLaws(bind) \triangleq$
 188 $\wedge bind \in [M(A) \times [A \rightarrow M(B)] \rightarrow M(B)]$
 189 $\wedge \forall a \in A, f \in [A \rightarrow M(B)] : bind[Return(A)[a], f] = f[a]$
 190 $\wedge A = B \Rightarrow \forall ma \in M(A) : bind[ma, Return(A)] = ma$
 191 $\wedge LET \ kl[f \in [A \rightarrow M(A)], g \in [B \rightarrow M(B)]] \triangleq [a \in A \mapsto bind[f[a], g]]$
 192 $IN \quad \forall C : \forall f \in [A \rightarrow M(A)], g \in [B \rightarrow M(B)], h \in [C \rightarrow M(C)] :$
 193 $kl[kl[f, g], h] = kl[f, kl[g, h]]$

195 $MonadDenotation \triangleq$
 196 $\exists bind \in [M(A) \times [A \rightarrow M(B)] \rightarrow M(B)] :$
 197 $\wedge \forall ma \in M(A) : (x = ma) \wedge Spec \Rightarrow \Diamond \Box (y = bind[ma, F])$
 198 $\wedge MonadLaws(bind)$

201

203

To talk about pipes, we must first define them. In order to deserve the name, they must resemble POSIX-style shell pipes:

$p_1|p_2|\dots|p_n$

In this first attempt, a pipes input (and a process's output) is a stream of monadic values. We'll later address that.

Intuitively, you can think of the process as reading values of type A and emitting values of type $M(A)$, and the pipes as doing the converse.

217 Specifies some component that can write to in and read from out

218 $ReaderWriter(A, in, out) \triangleq$

219 $\vee \wedge \exists x \in Seq(A) : in' = x \circ in$

220 $\wedge UNCHANGED\ out$

221 $\vee \wedge \exists n \in 0 \dots Len(out) : out' = SubSeq(out, 1, n)$

222 $\wedge UNCHANGED\ in$

224 $\overline{\hspace{10cm}} \text{ MODULE } Process1 \text{ } \overline{\hspace{10cm}}$

226 CONSTANT F

228 VARIABLES in, out $LIFO$ channels

230 $Init \triangleq in \in Seq(DOMAIN\ F)$

232 $Compute \triangleq \wedge in \neq \langle \rangle$

233 $\wedge in' = RemoveLast(in)$

234 $\wedge out' = AddFirst(out, F[Last(in)])$

236 $Environment \triangleq ReaderWriter(DOMAIN\ F, in, out)$

238 $Next \triangleq Compute \vee Environment$

240 $Spec \triangleq Init \wedge \Box[Next]_{\langle in, out \rangle}$

242 $\overline{\hspace{10cm}}$

245 $\overline{\hspace{10cm}} \text{ MODULE } Pipe1 \text{ } \overline{\hspace{10cm}}$

Note that in a composition chaining a single pipe and a single bind don't perform the same function. A pipe performs half the function of the previous bind and half of that of the next.

253 CONSTANT A

255 CONSTANT $Ap(-)$

256 ASSUME $Ap(A) \in [M(A) \rightarrow Seq(A)]$

258 VARIABLES $in,$ $The\ channel$

259 $out,$

```

260      state    Our current state
262  vars   $\triangleq \langle in, out, state \rangle$ 
264  TypeOK  $\triangleq \wedge in \in Seq(M(A))$ 
265           $\wedge state \in M(A)$ 
266           $\wedge out \in Seq(A)$ 
268  Init  $\triangleq \wedge in \in Seq(M(A)) \wedge in \neq \langle \rangle$ 
269           $\wedge state = Last(in)$ 
270           $\wedge out \in Seq(A)$ 
272  Receive  $\triangleq \wedge in \neq \langle \rangle$ 
273           $\wedge in' = RemoveLast(in)$ 
274           $\wedge state' = Compose(A)[state, Last(in)]$ 
276  Send  $\triangleq \wedge in = \langle \rangle$ 
277           $\wedge out' = Deconstruct(A, state) \circ out$ 
278           $\wedge UNCHANGED\ state$ 
280  Environment  $\triangleq \wedge ReaderWriter(A, in, out)$ 
281           $\wedge UNCHANGED\ state$ 
283  Next  $\triangleq Receive \vee Send \vee Environment$ 
285  Spec  $\triangleq Init \wedge \Box[Next]_{vars}$ 
287  THEOREM Spec  $\Rightarrow \Box TypeOK$ 
289  ───────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────
291  ─────────────────── MODULE PipesAndProcess1 ───────────────────────────────────
293  We compose two pipes and a process ( $|p|$ )
295  ───────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────
297  CONSTANT A, B
298  CONSTANT F
299  CONSTANT Ap1(-), Ap2(-)
301  VARIABLES in1, state1,
302          p_in, p_out,
303          out2, state2
305  Pipe1  $\triangleq$  INSTANCE Pipe1 WITH Ap  $\leftarrow$  Ap1, in  $\leftarrow$  in1, state  $\leftarrow$  state1, out  $\leftarrow$  p_in
306  Process  $\triangleq$  INSTANCE Process1 WITH in  $\leftarrow$  p_in, out  $\leftarrow$  p_out
307  Pipe2  $\triangleq$  INSTANCE Pipe1 WITH A  $\leftarrow$  B,
308          Ap  $\leftarrow$  Ap2, in  $\leftarrow$  p_out, state  $\leftarrow$  state2, out  $\leftarrow$  out2
310  Spec  $\triangleq Pipe1!Spec \wedge Process!Spec \wedge Pipe2!Spec$ 

```

```

312 |_____|
314 |_____ MODULE MonadsArePipes1 _____|
316 CONSTANTS A, B
317 CONSTANT F
318 CONSTANT Ap1(_), Ap2(_)
320 VARIABLES x, as, mb, y

The main refinement theorem

327 Monad  $\triangleq$  INSTANCE Bind WITH Ap  $\leftarrow$  Ap1
329 Pipes(out2, p_out)  $\triangleq$ 
    We hide out2, because, being part of the function of the next bind
    in the chain, it is not modeled by this monad instance.
    We also hide p_out, as it's not directly modeled by our Bind .
337     INSTANCE PipesAndProcess1 WITH in1  $\leftarrow$   $\langle x \rangle$ ,
338                                state1  $\leftarrow$   $\langle x \rangle$ ,
339                                p_in  $\leftarrow$  as,
340                                state2  $\leftarrow$  y

342 THEOREM MonadsArePipes1  $\triangleq$   $\exists$  out2, p_out : Monad!Spec  $\Rightarrow$  Pipes(out2, p_out)!Spec
344 |_____|
346

```

The pipes so far may have been a little disappointing because we usually think of pipes as letting data values flow, but here processes emit monadic values.

We can do better. Our processes will emit a stream of only simple (nonmonadic) data values, and, in addition, pass a single control value to the pipe (perhaps like a signal or line on stderr).

Intuitively, think of the control value a process emits as a constructor of a monadic value, and the data values it emits as the arguments to that constructor.

361 A tombstone value, which will be used later. Must not be a constructor
 362 $EMPTY \triangleq \text{CHOOSE } x : \forall A : x \notin \text{Constructors}(A)$

364 $\text{MODULE } Process2$

366 $\text{CONSTANTS } A, B$

367 $\text{CONSTANT } F$

369 $\text{ASSUME } F \in [A \rightarrow M(B)]$

371 $\text{VARIABLES } in, out,$

372 control

To simplify matters, we assume that the consumer (pipe) reads all elements from *out* and sets *control_out* to *EMPTY* at once.

379 $Environment \triangleq \wedge \text{ReaderWriter}(\text{DOMAIN } F, in, out)$
 380 $\wedge \vee \text{UNCHANGED } out$
 381 $\vee control' = EMPTY$

383 $TypeOK \triangleq \wedge in \in Seq(A)$
 384 $\wedge out \in Seq(B)$
 385 $\wedge control \in \text{Constructors}(B) \cup \{EMPTY\}$

387 $Init \triangleq \wedge in \in Seq(\text{DOMAIN } F)$
 388 $\wedge out = \langle \rangle$
 389 $\wedge control = EMPTY$

391 $Compute \triangleq \wedge in \neq \langle \rangle$
 392 $\wedge in' = \text{RemoveLast}(in)$
 393 $\wedge \text{LET } mb \triangleq F[\text{Last}(in)]$
 394 $\text{IN } \wedge out' = \text{Deconstruct}(B, mb) \circ out$
 395 $\wedge control' = \text{ConsOf}(B, mb)$

397 $Next \triangleq Compute \vee Environment$

399 $Spec \triangleq Init \wedge \square[Next]_{\langle in, out, control \rangle}$

401 $\text{THEOREM } Spec \Rightarrow \square TypeOK$


```

403 |-----|
405 |----- MODULE Pipe2 -----|
    |
    | Note that in a composition chaining a single pipe and a single bind don't perform the same function.
    | A pipe performs half the function of the previous bind and half of that of the next.
    |
413 CONSTANT A
415 CONSTANT Ap(-)
416 ASSUME  $Ap(A) \in [M(A) \rightarrow Seq(A)]$ 
418 VARIABLES in,
419             control,
420             collect,
421             state,
422             out
424 vars  $\triangleq \langle in, control, collect, state, out \rangle$ 
426 TypeOK  $\triangleq \wedge control \in Constructors(A) \cup \{EMPTY\}$ 
427              $\wedge in \in Seq(A)$ 
428              $\wedge out \in Seq(A)$ 
429              $\wedge collect \in Seq(A)$ 
430              $\wedge state \in M(A)$ 
432 Environment  $\triangleq \wedge ReaderWriter(A, in, out)$ 
433              $\wedge \vee UNCHANGED in$ 
434              $\vee control' \neq EMPTY$ 
435              $\wedge UNCHANGED collect$ 
437 Init  $\triangleq \wedge in \in Seq(M(A)) \wedge in \neq \langle \rangle$ 
438              $\wedge control = \langle \rangle$ 
439              $\wedge out \in Seq(A)$ 
441 Receive  $\triangleq \wedge control \neq EMPTY$ 
442              $\wedge UNCHANGED control$ 
443              $\wedge IF in \neq \langle \rangle THEN \wedge in' = RemoveLast(in)$ 
444                  $\wedge collect' = AddFirst(collect, Last(in))$ 
445             ELSE UNCHANGED in
447 Send  $\triangleq \wedge LET cons \triangleq control$ 
448              $ma \triangleq cons[collect]$ 
449             IN  $\wedge state' = Compose(A)[state, ma]$ 
450              $\wedge out' = Ap(A)[state']$ 
451              $\wedge collect' = \langle \rangle$ 
452              $\wedge control' = EMPTY$ 
453              $\wedge UNCHANGED in$ 

```

```

455  $\text{Next} \triangleq \text{Receive} \vee \text{Send} \vee \text{Environment}$ 
457  $\text{Spec} \triangleq \text{Init} \wedge \square[\text{Next}]_{\text{vars}}$ 
459 ┌──────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────┐
461 │────────────────────────────────── MODULE PipesAndProcess2 ────────────────────│
463 CONSTANT A, B
464 CONSTANT F
465 CONSTANT Ap1(-), Ap2(-)
467 VARIABLES in1, control1, state1, collect1,
468           p_in, p_out,
469           control2, collect2, state2, out2
471 Pipe1     $\triangleq$  INSTANCE Pipe2    WITH Ap  $\leftarrow$  Ap1, in  $\leftarrow$  in1, control  $\leftarrow$  control1,
472                                     collect  $\leftarrow$  collect1, state  $\leftarrow$  state1, out  $\leftarrow$  p_in
473 Process    $\triangleq$  INSTANCE Process2 WITH in  $\leftarrow$  p_in, out  $\leftarrow$  p_out, control  $\leftarrow$  control2
474 Pipe2      $\triangleq$  INSTANCE Pipe2    WITH A  $\leftarrow$  B,
475                                     Ap  $\leftarrow$  Ap2, in  $\leftarrow$  p_out, control  $\leftarrow$  control2,
476                                     collect  $\leftarrow$  collect2, state  $\leftarrow$  state2, out  $\leftarrow$  out2
478 Spec       $\triangleq$  Pipe1!Spec  $\wedge$  Process!Spec  $\wedge$  Pipe2!Spec
480 └──────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────────┘
482 │────────────────────────────────── MODULE MonadsArePipes2 ────────────────────│
484 CONSTANTS A, B
485 CONSTANT F
486 CONSTANT Ap1(-), Ap2(-)
488 VARIABLES x, as, mb, y

```

The main refinement theorem

```

494  Monad  $\triangleq$  INSTANCE Bind WITH  $A_p \leftarrow Ap1$ 

```

In addition to the variables we hide (intermediate ones and ones *Bind* doesn't model, we also quantify over *control2* and *collect2*, as we only look at them at the moment of *Pipe2* send (*i.e.*, when the monadic value is constructed).

```

502 DuringSend(in)  $\triangleq$  in =  $\langle \rangle$ 
504 Pipes(control2, collect2,
505       control1, collect1, p_out, out2)  $\triangleq$ 
506   INSTANCE PipesAndProcess2 WITH in1       $\leftarrow \langle x \rangle$ ,
507                                p_in          $\leftarrow as$ ,
508                                state1       $\leftarrow x$ ,

```

