A specification of Tic-Tac-Toe in the Behavioral Programming style, after Harel et al., CACM 2012, http://www.wisdom.weizmann.ac.il/~harel/papers/Behavioral%20programming%20.pdf

The idea of Behavioral Programming is that specifications be constructed iteratively and interactively, by gradually adding rules, each specifying a "b-thread" (which corresponds to a TLA+ formula, not a TLA+ behavior), and allowing verification at each stage. The rules below do not follow precisely those of Harel, but they follow them in spirit; the variables and definitions below are therefore introduced as needed. The properties defined after each rule can be verified in the model checker before the following rules are defined, thus forming an incremental style of specification.

The goal of this specification is to examine the viability of specifying in the bahvioral programming style in  $\mathrm{TLA}+$ .

## Historical note

In the 1830s (probably, he does not provide a date), having become convinced that "every game of skill is susceptible of being played by an automaton," and after contemplating chess and finding it too taxing, Charles Babbage decided to build a machine that would play Tic-Tac-Toe ("the simplest game with which I am acquainted") against itself, "surrounded with such attractive circumstances that a very popular and profitable exhibition might be produced" that would raise money to fund his Analytical Engine, which would have been, had it been built, the first general purpose computer. Not only was the first computer able to play the game over one hundred years away, Babbage would not have been able to write a formal specification similar to the one below. George Boole's algebra would be invented only some years later, based on Babbage's (and George Peacock's) pioneering work in abstract algebra, and formal logic as we know was forty or fifty years away. Babbage would not have been pleased with the following specification, which would have made the attractive animatronic effects he had planned redundant, as the play tactics always lead to a draw.

(see Charles Babbage, Passages from the Life of a Philosopher, 1864)

## Conclusions

Rules 1-3, which specify the rules of the game, feel a bit contrived specified in the behavioral way, however, specifying them in this way felt quite easy, allowing to focus on one concept at a time. Rules 4-7, containing the play tactics, are a natural fit for the behavioral style, but in this particular specification, because they have no state or temporal features of their own, would have been just as easily composed in the ordinary specification style. However, one can easily imagine temporal rules, which may benefit from the behavioral style. While the result is not conclusive, I think the style deserves further consideration. Some changes to TLC (based on the comments inline, especially with regards to creating the conjoined specification can make the experience more pleasant, by allowing a more elegant, less tedious way of enabling and disabling some of the rules to examine their effect.

EXTENDS Naturals, FiniteSets

 $\frac{53}{54}$ 

```
1. Board: At each step, an X or an O is marked on the board
     VARIABLE board, pretty_board
     v1 \triangleq \langle board, pretty\_board \rangle
     egin{array}{lll} N & \stackrel{\triangle}{=} & 3 \\ Empty & \stackrel{\triangle}{=} & "-" \\ Player & \stackrel{\triangle}{=} & \left\{ \text{"X"}, \text{"O"} \right\} \\ Mark & \stackrel{\triangle}{=} & Player \end{array}
     Square \triangleq \{Empty\} \cup Player
      BoardType \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \land board
                                              \in [(1 ... N) \times (1 ... N) \rightarrow Square] This is more convenient
69
                               \land pretty\_board \in [1 ... N \rightarrow [1 ... N \rightarrow Square]] Displayed more nicely in TLC output
70
     Pretty(b) \stackrel{\triangle}{=} [x \in 1 ... N \mapsto [y \in 1 ... N \mapsto b[x, y]]]
     BoardFull \triangleq \forall i, j \in 1 ... N : board[i, j] \neq Empty
                        \begin{array}{l} \wedge \; board \\ \wedge \; pretty\_board = [i, \, j \in 1 \ldots N \mapsto Empty] \\ \end{array} 
     Init1 \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \wedge board
76
77
      Next1 \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \land \exists i, j \in 1 ... N, mark \in Mark : \land board[i, j] = Empty
79
                                                                               \land board' = [board \ EXCEPT \ ![i, j] = mark]
80
                        \land pretty\_board' = Pretty(board')
81
     Board \triangleq Init1 \wedge \Box [Next1]_{v1}
      TicTacToe1 \triangleq Board
85
       Properties we can state at this point:
     THEOREM Board \Rightarrow BoardType
88
      OnceSetAlwaysSet \triangleq
90
           \forall i, j \in 1 ... N : \exists mark \in Mark : board[i, j] = mark \Rightarrow \Box(board[i, j] = mark)
91
     THEOREM TicTacToe1 \Rightarrow OnceSetAlwaysSet
94 |
```

95

```
00
```

## 2. EnforceTurns: X and O play in alternating turns

Alternating  $\triangleq \Box[current' \neq current]_{v2}$ THEOREM EnforceTurns  $\Rightarrow$  Alternating

```
101 VARIABLE current,
                    turn Necessary for some properties we may wish to state
102
     v2 \triangleq \langle v1, turn, current \rangle
     Other(player) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \text{ if } player = \text{``X''} \text{ Then ``O''} \text{ else ``X''} \\ Opponent \stackrel{\Delta}{=} Other(current)
      TurnType \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \land current \in Player
108
                         \land turn \in Nat
109
     Init2 \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \wedge turn = 0
111
                    \land current = "X" X starts
112
      Next2 \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \land turn' = turn + 1
114
                    \land \ current' = Opponent
115
                    116
117
      EnforceTurns \triangleq Init2 \land \Box [Next2]_{v2}
119
      TicTacToe2 \triangleq TicTacToe1 \land EnforceTurns
121
       Properties we can state at this point:
123
      THEOREM EnforceTurns \Rightarrow TurnType
125
```

130 131

```
3. DetectWin: Detect win or draw and end game
136 VARIABLE win
      v3 \triangleq \langle v2, win \rangle
          \begin{array}{ll} Result & \triangleq \ Player \cup \{ \text{``Draw''} \} \\ WinType & \triangleq \ win \in \{ Empty \} \cup Result \end{array} 
        Result
        GameEnd \stackrel{\triangle}{=} win \in Result
        \begin{array}{ll} Line \ \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \ \{[i \in 1 \mathinner{\ldotp\ldotp\ldotp} N \mapsto \langle i, \, y \rangle] : y \in 1 \mathinner{\ldotp\ldotp\ldotp} N\} & \text{horizontal} \\ \cup \, \{[i \in 1 \mathinner{\ldotp\ldotp\ldotp} N \mapsto \langle x, \, i \rangle] : x \in 1 \mathinner{\ldotp\ldotp\ldotp} N\} & \text{vertical} \\ \cup \, \{[i \in 1 \mathinner{\ldotp\ldotp\ldotp} N \mapsto \langle i, \, i \rangle]\} \cup \{[i \in 1 \mathinner{\ldotp\ldotp\ldotp} N \mapsto \langle i, \, N-i+1 \rangle]\} & \text{diagonal} \end{array} 
144
145
       f \circ g \stackrel{\triangle}{=} [x \in \text{DOMAIN } g \mapsto f[g[x]]]
        BoardLine(line) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} board \circ line
         Won(player) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \exists line \in Line : BoardLine(line) = [i \in 1 ... N \mapsto player]
                                   \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \neg \exists player \in Player : Won(player)'
                                   \stackrel{\triangle}{=} board' = board unchanged board - fails TLC
        StopGame
        Init3 \stackrel{\triangle}{=} win = Empty
        Next3 \triangleq \lor \land win = Empty
                                 \land \lor \exists player \in Player : Won(player)' \land win' = player
156
                                      \vee NoWin \wedge BoardFull' \wedge win' = "Draw"
157
                                      \vee NoWin \wedge \neg BoardFull' \wedge UNCHANGED win
158
                             \lor \land win \in Player
159
                                  ∧ UNCHANGED win
160
                                  \land StopGame
161
         DetectWin \stackrel{\Delta}{=} Init3 \wedge \Box [Next3]_{v3}
163
         TicTacToe3 \triangleq TicTacToe2 \land DetectWin
165
           Properties we can state at this point:
167
        THEOREM DetectWin \Rightarrow WinType
168
         GameEndsWhenPlayerWins \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \Box (win \in Player \Rightarrow \Box [board' = board]\_v3) (Temporal formulas containing actions must be of formulas GameEndsWhenPlayerWins \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \Box [(win \in Player \Rightarrow UNCHANGED\ board)]_{v3} SANY wants parentheses
170
         THEOREM TicTacToe3 \Rightarrow GameEndsWhenPlayerWins
         AtLeast5TurnsToWin \stackrel{\triangle}{=} win \neq Empty \Rightarrow turn \geq 2 * N - 1
        THEOREM TicTacToe3 \Rightarrow \Box(AtLeast5TurnsToWin)
         GameEndsWhenBoardFull \stackrel{\triangle}{=} BoardFull \Rightarrow GameEnd
        THEOREM TicTacToe3 \Rightarrow \Box(GameEndsWhenBoardFull)
```

179 |

180 |

230

## 4. AddThirdToWin: Add third mark to win

So far, we've specified the rules of the game. Now we start adding tactic rules. This one says that if a player has two marks in a line they should place the third to win.

But we run into a problem: the tactics may be contradictory, and prioritization is required. b-threads can be prioritized, and we could simulate that mechanism with with maps of boolean functions, but that would be overly clever, especially in a simple specification such as this. Instead, we'll order the rules by their priority, and explicitly model priorities. This means that new rules would need to be inserted in the sequence of rules into their right position.

```
Count(mark, line) \triangleq Cardinality(\{i \in 1...N : BoardLine(line)[i] = mark\})
                                  \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \exists line \in Line : \land Count(player, line) = N-1
      CanWin(player)
197
                                                           \wedge Count(Empty, line) = 1
198
                                 \triangleq \exists i \in 1 ... N : \land BoardLine(line)[i] = Empty
      MarkLast(line)
200
201
                                                         \land board'[line[i]]
      \begin{array}{ccc} v4 & \stackrel{\triangle}{=} & v3 \\ Init4 & \stackrel{\triangle}{=} & \text{TRUE} \end{array}
203
204
      Next4 \triangleq CanWin(current) \Rightarrow
                                \exists line \in Line : Count(current, line) = N - 1 \land MarkLast(line)
206
      Priority1 \triangleq CanWin(current)
      AddThirdToWin \triangleq Init4 \wedge \Box [Next4]_{v4}
      TicTacToe4 \triangleq TicTacToe3 \land AddThirdToWin
214
      5. BlockOpponentFromWinning: Block the other player if they're about to win
                \stackrel{\Delta}{=} v4
      v5
219
      Init5 \stackrel{\triangle}{=} TRUE
      Next5 \triangleq CanWin(Opponent) \land \neg Priority1 \Rightarrow
221
                                \exists line \in Line : Count(Opponent, line) = N - 1 \land MarkLast(line)
222
      Priority2 \triangleq Priority1 \lor CanWin(Opponent)
224
      BlockOpponentFromWinning \triangleq Init5 \land \Box [Next5]_{v5}
226
      TicTacToe5 \triangleq TicTacToe4 \land BlockOpponentFromWinning
228
229
```

```
231 |
      6. MarkCenterIfAvailable: Prefer center square
     CenterSquare \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \langle (N+1) \div 2, (N+1) \div 2 \rangle
      CenterFree \triangleq board[CenterSquare] = Empty
239
      Init6 \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \text{TRUE}
240
      Next6 \stackrel{\triangle}{=} (CenterFree \land \neg Priority2) \Rightarrow board'[CenterSquare] = current
      Priority3 \triangleq Priority2 \lor CenterFree
      MarkCenterIfAvailable \stackrel{\Delta}{=} Init6 \wedge \Box [Next6]_{v6}
245
      TicTacToe6 \stackrel{\triangle}{=} TicTacToe4 \land MarkCenterIfAvailable
       Properties we can state at this point:
249
      FirstMarksSquare \stackrel{\Delta}{=} turn = 1 \Rightarrow board[CenterSquare] \neq Empty
      THEOREM TicTacToe6 \Rightarrow \Box(FirstMarksSquare)
254
      7. MarkCornerIfAvailable: Prefer corner square
      CornerSquares \triangleq \{1, N\} \times \{1, N\}
259
      CornerFree \triangleq \exists corner \in CornerSquares : board[corner] = Empty
260
                \stackrel{\triangle}{=} v6
262
      Init7 \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \text{TRUE}
263
      Next7 \stackrel{\triangle}{=} (CornerFree \land \neg Priority3) \Rightarrow
                       \exists corner \in Corner Squares : \land board[corner] = Empty
265
                                                              \land board'[corner] = current
266
      Priority4 \triangleq Priority3 \lor CornerFree
268
      MarkCornerIfAvailable \triangleq Init7 \land \Box [Next7]_{v7}
      TicTacToe7 \triangleq TicTacToe6 \land MarkCornerIfAvailable
       Properties we can state at this point:
274
      SecondMarksCorner \stackrel{\Delta}{=} turn = 2 \Rightarrow \exists corner \in CornerSquares : board[corner] \neq Empty
      THEOREM TicTacToe7 \Rightarrow \Box(SecondMarksCorner)
       The tactics are sufficient to always force a draw
```

 $AlwaysDraw \stackrel{\triangle}{=} (win \notin Player)$ 

282 F

THEOREM  $TicTacToe7 \Rightarrow \Box AlwaysDraw$ 

The conjoined spec. In this particular spec a conjunction of  $WF_{vi}$  (Nexti) would work, but as this is not true in general for BP systems, we only specify liveness for the canonical representation.

```
288 \quad TicTacToe \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \quad TicTacToe7
```

A mechanical translation of TicTacToe into a specification that TLC can handle follows, based on the equivalences  $\Box A \wedge \Box B \equiv \Box (A \wedge B)$ ,  $\Box [A]_x \equiv \Box (A \vee \text{UNCHANGED } x)$  and propositional logic equivalences (distributivity of conjunction over disjunction).

In the case of this particular specification, a simpler composition may have sufficed, but I wanted to see how convenient the general mechanical composition would be.

```
299 Compose(NextA, UnchA, NextB, UnchB) \triangleq \lor NextA \land NextB
300 \lor NextA \land UnchB
301 \lor UnchA \land NextB
302 \lor UnchA \land UnchB
```

UNCHANGED causes an error, as well as the use of variable sequences, as in v2' = v2. If fixed, the previous definition could be made nicer, and the following Unch definitions made redundant.

```
Junch 1 \triangleq board' = board \land pretty_board' = pretty_board 10 Unch 2 \triangleq turn' = turn \land current' = current \land Unch 1 11 Unch 3 \triangleq win' = win \land Unch 2 12 Unch 4 \triangleq Unch 3 13 Unch 5 \triangleq Unch 4 14 Unch 5 15 Unch 4 15 Unch 5 16 Unch 5
```

- 315  $Unch7 \stackrel{\Delta}{=} Unch6$
- 317  $Next12 \triangleq Compose(Next1, Unch1, Next2, Unch2)$
- $318 \quad Unch12 \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \quad Unch1 \land Unch2$
- 319  $Next123 \stackrel{\triangle}{=} Compose(Next12, Unch12, Next3, Unch3)$
- $320 \quad Unch123 \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \quad Unch12 \land Unch3$
- 321  $Next1234 \triangleq Compose(Next123, Unch123, Next4, Unch4)$
- $322 \quad Unch1234 \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \quad Unch123 \land Unch4$
- 323  $Next12345 \stackrel{\triangle}{=} Compose(Next1234, Unch1234, Next5, Unch5)$
- $324 \quad Unch12345 \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \quad Unch1234 \land Unch5$
- $Next123456 \stackrel{\Delta}{=} Compose(Next12345, Unch12345, Next6, Unch6)$
- $326 \quad Unch123456 \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \quad Unch12345 \land Unch6$
- 327 Next1234567  $\stackrel{\triangle}{=}$  Compose(Next123456, Unch123456, Next7, Unch7)
- $328 \quad Unch1234567 \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \quad Unch123456 \land Unch7$
- 330  $vars \triangleq \langle v1, v2, v3, v4, v5, v6, v7 \rangle$
- 331  $Init \triangleq Init1 \land Init2 \land Init3 \land Init4 \land Init5 \land Init6 \land Init7$
- $332 \ Next \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \ Next 1234567$

339

- 334  $TicTacToe0 \triangleq Init \wedge \Box [Next]_{vars} \wedge WF_{vars}(Next)$
- 336 Terminates  $\stackrel{\triangle}{=}$  win  $\neq$  Empty
- 337 THEOREM  $TicTacToe0 \Rightarrow \Diamond Terminates$
- 338 THEOREM  $TicTacToe0 \Rightarrow TicTacToe$  There's a difference in liveness so no  $\equiv$