

Supplementary Appendix

Identifying Siblings

We use the Berkeley Unified Numident Database (BUNMD) for this analysis. To identify siblings, we match brothers who share a common mother and father’s first and last name. We have confidence in the accuracy of sibling matches because of the high rate of being born in the same state (90.6%), although we did not use this as a matching criterion.

Sibling			Father		Mother	
First (standardized)	Last	Birthplace	First	Last	First	Last
Ernest	Cottman	Ohio	Royal	Cottman	Leona	Jones
Royal	Cottman	Ohio	Royal	Cottman	Leona	Jones
James	Mason	Georgia	Jonas	Mason	Nettie	Jackson
Arthur	Mason	Georgia	Jonas	Mason	Nettie	Jackson
Oscar	Watson	Louisiana	Louis	Watson	Marinda	White
Spencer	Watson	Louisiana	Louis	Watson	Marinda	White

Table 1: Establishing siblingship based on exact matches on parents’ names. For exact matches, birthplace was not used as a matching field.

We further identify additional siblings to account for common misspellings and transcription errors. For these additional siblings, we (conservatively) require birthplace to match. We use the following procedure:

1. Identify potential pairs of brother (restricted to 2) who reported the same place of birth, mother’s last name, father’s last name, but **different** father’s first names and/or mother’s first name.
2. If both potential siblings reported the same father’s first name but different mother’s first names, establish as sibling pair if string distance between the two discrepant mother’s first names > 0.7 (based on the Levenshtein distance).
3. If both potential siblings reported the same mother’s first name but different father’s first names, establish as sibling pair if string distance between the two discrepant father’s first names > 0.7 (based on the Levenshtein distance).
4. If neither mother nor father’s first name match exactly, establish as a sibling pair if both string distance between the two discrepant father’s first names and between the two discrepant mother’s first names > 0.8 (based on the Levenshtein distance).

Sibling			Father			Mother	
First (Standardized)	Last	BPL	First*	Lev Distance	Last	First	Last
Harrison	Jones	Tennessee	Ernest	0.857	Jones	Carrie	Fields
James	Jones	Tennessee	Earnest	0.857	Jones	Carrie	Fields
George	Pittman	Georgia	Deillie	0.714	Pittman	Mattie	Henry
Dwellie	Pittman	Georgia	Dwellie	0.714	Pittman	Mattie	Henry
Arthur	Mitchell	Mississippi	Matthew	0.875	Mitchell	Beatrice	Johnson
Jarvis	Mitchell	Mississippi	Matthews	0.875	Mitchell	Beatrice	Johnson

Table 2: Illustration of establishing sibling pairs allowing for flexibility in father’s first name. The same procedure was conducted for mother’s first name. A minimum Levenshtein string distance of > 0.7 was used as a threshold to establish a sibling pair.

Estimated Effect on BNI

The estimated BNI gradient for additional siblings (model 2) is smaller than for the exact-matched siblings (model 1). This may reflect incorrectly-identified sibling pairs biasing results toward population-wide estimates (i.e., our pooled OLS model coefficient). For the combined sample, both the BNI gradient and standard error decrease slightly.

Table 3

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>		
	death_age		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
	bni.exact.sibs	bni.add.sibs	bni.all.sibs
bni_std	−0.582** (0.286)	−0.385 (0.639)	−0.544** (0.261)
Observations	24,278	4,894	29,155
R ²	0.595	0.618	0.598
Adjusted R ²	0.214	0.232	0.217
Residual Std. Error	4.879 (df = 12519)	4.867 (df = 2435)	4.878 (df = 14954)

Note:

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 4: Models include FEs dummies for birth year, birth order, and family.

Sensitivity Analysis: Birth Cohorts

For our main analysis, we used the BUNMD birth cohorts of 1915-1925. The plot below shows the estimated BNI gradients ($\beta_1 \pm 1.96 \times SE(\beta_1)$), where the 10 or 15-year window of birth cohorts was systematically varied. The results are robust across the birth cohort windows corresponding to BUNMD high death coverage period.

