

Name:

AMATH 515

Homework Set 1

**Due: Monday Jan 23rd, by midnight.**

- (1) Let  $g : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a twice differentiable function,  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  any matrix, and  $h$  is the composition  $g(Ax)$ , then we have two simple generalizations of the chain rule that combine linear algebra with calculus:

$$\nabla h(x) = A^T \nabla g(Ax)$$

and

$$\nabla^2 h(x) = A^T \nabla^2 g(Ax) A.$$

- (a) Show what happens when you apply the above chain rules to the special case

$$h(x) = g(a^T x)$$

where  $a$  is a vector.

Suppose  $A = a^T$  where  $a \in 1 \times m$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla h(x) &= \nabla g(a^T x) \\ &= \nabla g(Ax) \\ (1) \quad &= A^T \nabla g(Ax) \\ &= a \nabla g(Ax) \\ &= a \nabla g(a^T x) \end{aligned}$$

- (b) Compute the gradient and hessian of the regularized logistic regression objective:

$$\left( \sum_{i=1}^n \log(1 + \exp(a_i^T x)) - b^T Ax \right) + \lambda \|x\|^2$$

where  $a_i$  denote the rows of  $A$ .

Let  $h(x)$  denote our given function. Suppose  $g(x) = \log(1 + \exp(x))$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} g(a_i^T x) &= \log(1 + \exp(a_i^T x)) \\ (1) \quad \nabla g(a_i^T x) &= a_i \nabla g(a_i^T x) \\ &= a_i \frac{\exp(a_i^T x)}{1 + \exp(a_i^T x)} \end{aligned}$$

And the hessian of  $g(a_i^T x)$  is,

$$(2) \quad \nabla^2 g(a_i^T x) = a_i a_i^T \frac{\exp(a_i^T x)}{(1 + \exp(a_i^T x))^2}$$

Taking the second term of the summation,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla(b^T Ax) &= bA^T \\ \nabla^2(b^T Ax) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Taking the last term,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \lambda \|x\|^2 &= \nabla \lambda (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + \dots + x_n^2) \\ &= 2\lambda x \\ \nabla^2 \lambda \|x\|^2 &= \nabla 2\lambda x \\ &= 2\lambda I \end{aligned}$$

Finally, putting our terms together

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla h(x) &= \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \frac{\exp(a_i^T x)}{1 + \exp(a_i^T x)} - bA^T + 2\lambda x \\ \nabla^2 h(x) &= \sum_{i=1}^n a_i a_i^T \frac{\exp(a_i^T x)}{(1 + \exp(a_i^T x))^2} + 2\lambda I \end{aligned}$$

- (c) Compute the gradient and hessian of the regularized poisson regression objective:

$$\left( \sum_{i=1}^n \exp(a_i^T x) - b^T Ax \right) + \lambda \|x\|^2$$

where  $a_i$  denote the rows of  $A$ .

Let  $h(x)$  denote the poisson regression objective. Suppose  $g(x) = \exp(x)$ . By rule 1,

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla g(a_i^T x) &= \nabla \exp(a_i^T x) \\ &= a_i \exp(a_i^T x) \\ \nabla^2 g(a_i^T x) &= \nabla a_i \exp(a_i^T x) \\ &= \nabla a_i a_i^T \exp(a_i^T x) \end{aligned}$$

Using our results from (b) and putting our terms together,

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla h(x) &= \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \exp(a_i^T x) - bA^T + 2\lambda x \\ \nabla^2 h(x) &= \sum_{i=1}^n a_i a_i^T \exp(a_i^T x) + 2\lambda I\end{aligned}$$

- (d) Compute the gradient and hessian of the regularized ‘concordant’ regression objective

$$\|Ax - b\|_2 + \lambda \|x\|_2.$$

Give conditions on a point  $x$  that ensure the gradient and Hessian exist at  $x$ .

Let  $h(x)$  denote our function. Suppose  $g(k) = \|k - b\|$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla g(k) &= \frac{k - b}{\|k - b\|} \\ \nabla g(Ax) &= A^T \frac{Ax - b}{\|Ax - b\|} \\ \nabla^2 g(Ax) &= A^T \left( \frac{1}{\|Ax - b\|} - \frac{(Ax - b)}{\|Ax - b\|^2} \right) A\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla f(x) &= \lambda \frac{x}{\|x\|} \\ \nabla^2 f(x) &= \frac{1}{\|x\|} - \frac{x}{\|x\|^2}\end{aligned}$$

Putting the above values together,

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla h(x) &= A^T \frac{Ax - b}{\|Ax - b\|} + \lambda \frac{x}{\|x\|} \\ \nabla^2 h(x) &= A^T \left( \frac{1}{\|Ax - b\|} - \frac{(Ax - b)}{\|Ax - b\|^2} \right) A + \frac{1}{\|x\|} - \frac{x}{\|x\|^2}\end{aligned}$$

Note that the values of  $\|x\|$  and  $\|Ax - b\|$  should be different from 0.

- (2) Show that each of the following functions is convex.

(a) Indicator function to a convex set:  $\delta_C(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in C \\ \infty & \text{if } x \notin C. \end{cases}$

Suppose  $y, z \in C$ . Let  $x$  be a convex combination of  $y$  and  $z$  given by  $x = \lambda y + (1 - \lambda)z$ , where  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ . For the indicator function to be convex, we want  $\delta_C(x) \leq \lambda \delta_C(y) + (1 - \lambda) \delta_C(z)$ . Since  $\delta_C(y), \delta_C(z) = 0$ , our indicator function gives 0 for  $x \in C$ , we get  $0 = 0$ . Hence the indicator function is convex.

(b) Support function to any set:

$$\sigma_C(x) = \sup_{c \in C} c^T x.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_C(x) &= \sigma_C(\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2) \\ &= \sup_{c \in C} c^T (\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2) \\ &\leq \lambda \sup_{c \in C} c^T x_1 + (1 - \lambda) \sup_{c \in C} c^T x_2 \\ &= \lambda \sigma_C(x_1) + (1 - \lambda) \sigma_C(x_2) \end{aligned}$$

(c) Any norm (see Chapter 1 for the definition of a norm).

For all points  $x, y$ , the norm in the vector space holds  $\|x\| \geq 0$ ,  $\|\alpha x\| = \alpha \|x\|$  and satisfies the triangle inequality.

(3) Convexity and composition rules. Suppose that  $f$  and  $g$  are  $\mathcal{C}^2$  functions from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ , with  $h = f \circ g$  their composition, defined by  $h(x) = f(g(x))$ .

(a) If  $f$  and  $g$  are convex, show it is possible for  $h$  to be nonconvex (give an example). Give additional conditions that ensure the composition is convex.

Proof by counter example: let  $f(x) = x^2$  and  $g(x) = x^2 - 1$ . Then  $h(x) = f(g(x)) = (x^2 - 1)^2 = x^4 - 2x^2 + 1$ . Computing  $h'(x) = 4x^3 - 4x$  and  $h''(x) = 12x^2 - 4$ . For  $x = 0$ ,  $h''(x) < 0$  hence it is possible for  $h(x)$  to be nonconvex.  $f(x)$  should be a non-decreasing function for  $h(x)$  to be convex.

(b) If  $f$  is convex and  $g$  is concave, what additional hypothesis that guarantees  $h$  is convex?

$f(x)$  should be non-increasing function to guarantee that  $h(x)$  is a convex function.

(c) Show that if  $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is convex and  $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  affine, then  $h$  is convex.

Since  $g$  is affine, it can be represented by  $g(x) = Ax + b$ . Let  $h(x) = f(g(x))$ . For any  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Computing,

$$\begin{aligned} h(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) &= f(g(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y)) \\ &= f(\lambda Ax + (1 - \lambda)Ay + b) \\ &\leq \lambda f(Ax + b) + (1 - \lambda)f(Ay + b) \\ &= \lambda h(x) + (1 - \lambda)h(y) \end{aligned}$$

hence by definition of convex function,  $h$  is convex.

(d) Show that the following functions are convex:

- (i) Logistic regression objective:  $\sum_{i=1}^n \log(1 + \exp(a_i^T x)) - b^T Ax$

Suppose  $f(x) = \log(1 + \exp(x))$ .  $g(x)$  is a convex since  $f''(x) \geq 0 \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ .  $a_i^T x$  is an affine function and let  $g(x) = a_i^T x$ . Hence by (c),  $f \circ g$  is convex function. Convexity is also preserved in matrix operation. Therefore, logistic regression objective is convex.

- (ii) Poisson regression objective:  $\sum_{i=1}^n \exp(a_i^T x) - b^T Ax$ .

Let  $f(x) = \exp(x)$ . Then  $f''(x) = e^x \geq 0, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Hence  $f(x)$  is a convex function. Similar to (i),  $a_i^T x$  is an affine representation and let  $g(x) = a_i^T x$ .  $f \circ g$  is a convex function by (c). Again convexity is preserved under linear matrix operations. Hence Poisson regression objective is convex.

- (4) A function  $f$  is *strictly convex* if

$$f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) < \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y), \quad \lambda \in (0, 1).$$

- (a) Give an example of a strictly convex function that does not have a minimizer.

$$f(x) = e^x$$

- (b) Show that a sum of a strictly convex function and a convex function is strictly convex.

Assume that  $f(x)$  is a strictly convex function and  $g(x)$  is a convex function, then computing their sum

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) + g(x) &= f(\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2) + g(\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda)x_2) \\ &< \lambda f(x_1) + (1 - \lambda)f(x_2) + g(\lambda(x_1) + (1 - \lambda)(x_2)) \\ &\leq \lambda f(x_1) + (1 - \lambda)f(x_2) + \lambda g(x_1) + (1 - \lambda)g(x_2) \\ &= \lambda h(x_1) + (1 - \lambda)h(x_2) \end{aligned}$$

hence their sum is a strictly convex function.

- (c) Characterize all solutions to the problem

$$\min_x \frac{1}{2} \|Ax - b\|^2$$

Setting  $\nabla f(x) = 0$ , we have  $A^T(Ax - b) = 0$ . Hence any  $x$  that satisfies  $A^T Ax = A^T b$  will be a solution to the problem.

- (5) A function  $f$  is  $\beta$ -smooth when its gradient is  $\beta$ -Lipschitz continuous.

- (a) Find a global bound for  $\beta$  of the least-squares objective  $\frac{1}{2} \|Ax - b\|^2$ .

$$\beta = \lambda_{\max}(A^T A)$$

- (b) Find a global bound for  $\beta$  of the regularized logistic objective

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \log(1 + \exp(\langle a_i, x \rangle)) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|x\|^2.$$

$$\beta \leq \frac{1}{4} \lambda_{\max}(A^T A)$$

- (c) Do the gradients for Poisson regression admit a global Lipschitz constant?

No, since Poisson regression does not have a single  $\beta$ .

- (6) Please complete the coding homework (starting with the notebook uploaded to Canvas).