

Lecture 17: Time

- ***Operating Systems and Networks***
 - Behzad Bordbar

Recap

- How a computer work?
 - CPU, Kernel, system call,
 - hands on: shell programming
 - how two process on the same machine interact? (to do useful things)
- interprocess communication across machines?
 - IP (IP Address, mask , subnet, IPv)
 - UDP, TCP
 - sockets... finally answered? RMI (semantics) and RPC
- Lots of things are different when going from local to remote communication
 - there is no global time!

Overview

- Time service
 - requirements and problems
 - sources of time
- Clock synchronisation algorithms
 - clock skew & drift
 - Cristian algorithm
 - Berkeley algorithm
 - Network Time Protocol
- Logical clocks
 - Lamport's timestamps


Time service

- Why needed?
 - to measure **delays** between distributed components
 - to **synchronise streams**, e.g. sound and video
 - to establish **event ordering**
 - ❑ **causal ordering** (did A happen before B?)
 - ❑ **concurrent/overlapping execution** (no causal relationship)
 - for accurate **timestamps** to identify/authenticate
 - ❑ business transactions
 - ❑ serializability in distributed databases
 - ❑ security protocols

Clocks

- Internal hardware clock
 - built-in electronic device
 - counts **oscillations** occurring in a quartz crystal at a definite frequency
 - store the result in a **counter register**
 - **interrupt** generated at regular intervals
 - interrupt handler reads the counter register, scales it to convert to time units (seconds, nanoseconds) and updates **software clock**

Clock skew and drift



- Clock skew
 - difference between the readings of two clocks
- Clock drift
 - difference in reading between a clock and a nominal perfect reference clock per unit of time of the reference clock
 - typically 10^{-6} seconds/second = 1 sec in 11.6 days

Sources of time

- Universal Coordinated Time (UTC, from French)
 - based on **atomic** time but leap seconds inserted to keep in phase with astronomical time (Earth's orbit)
 - UTC signals broadcast every second from **radio** and **satellite** stations
 - ❑ land station accuracy 0.1-10ms due to atmospheric conditions
- Global Positioning System (GPS)
 - broadcasts UTC
- Receivers for UTC and GPS
 - available commercially
 - used to synchronise local clocks

Clock synchronisation

- **External:** synchronise with authoritative source of time
 - the absolute value of difference **between the clock and the source** is **bounded above** by D at every point in the synchronisation interval
 - time **accurate** to within D
- **Internal:** synchronise clocks with each other
 - the absolute value of difference **between the clocks** is bounded above by D at every point in the synchronisation interval
 - clocks **agree** to within D (not necessarily accurate time)

Clock compensation

- Assume 2 clocks can each drift at rate R msec/sec
 - maximum difference $2R$ msec/sec
 - must **resynchronise** every $D/2R$ to agree within D
- Clock correction
 - get UTC and correct software clock
- Problems!
 - what happens if local clock is 5 secs fast and it is set right?
 - timestamped versions of files get confused
 - time must **never** run backwards!
 - better to **scale** the value of internal clock in software without changing the clock rate


Synchronisation methods

- Synchronous systems
 - simpler, relies on known time bounds on system actions
- Asynchronous systems
 - intranets
 - ❑ Cristian's algorithm
 - ❑ Berkeley algorithm
 - Internet
 - ❑ The Network Time Protocol

Synchronous systems case

- Internal synchronisation between two processes
 - know bounds MIN, MAX on message delay
 - also on clock drift, execution rate
- Assume One sends message to Two with time t
 - Two can set its clock to $t + (MAX+MIN)/2$ (estimate of time taken to send message)
 - then the skew is at most $(MAX-MIN)/2$
 - why not $t + MIN$ or $t + MAX$?
 - maximum skew is larger, could be $MAX-MIN$

Cristian's algorithm



Time Server with UTC receiver gives **accurate current** time

Estimate **message propagation** time by $p = (T_1 - T_0 - h) / 2$ (=half of **round-trip** of request-reply)

Set clock to $UTC + p$

Make **multiple requests**, at spaced out intervals, **measure** $T_1 - T_0$


- ❑ but discard any that are over a threshold (could be congestion)
- ❑ or take minimum values as the most accurate

Cristian's algorithm

- Probabilistic behaviour
 - achieves synchronisation only if round-trip short compared to required accuracy
 - high accuracy only for message transmission time close to minimum
- Problems
 - single point of failure and bottleneck
 - could multicast to a group of servers, each with UTC
 - an impostor or faulty server can wreak havoc
 - ❑ use authentication
 - ❑ agreement protocol for $N > 3f$ clocks, f number of faulty clocks

The Berkeley algorithm

- Choose **master** co-ordinator which periodically **polls slaves**
- Master estimates slaves' local time based on round-trip
- Calculates **average** time of **all**, ignoring readings with exceptionally large propagation delay or clocks out of synch
- Sends message to each slave indicating clock **adjustment**



Synchronisation feasible to within 20-25 msec for 15 computers, with drift rate of 2×10^{-5} and max round trip propagation time of 10 msec.


The Berkeley algorithm

- Accuracy
 - depends on the round-trip time
- Fault-tolerant average:
 - eliminates readings of faulty clocks - probabilistically
 - average over the subset of clocks that differ by up to a specified amount
- What if master fails?
 - elect another leader

How?

Network Time Protocol (NTP)

- **Multiple** time servers across the Internet
- **Primary** servers: directly connected to UTC receivers
- **Secondary** servers: synchronise with primaries
- Tertiary servers: synchronise with secondary, etc
- Scales up to large numbers of servers and clients



Copes with **failures** of servers
– e.g. if primary's UTC source fails it becomes a secondary, or if a secondary cannot reach a primary it finds another one.

Authentication used to check that time comes from trusted sources

NTP Synchronisation Modes

- Multicast
 - one or more servers periodically multicast to other servers on high speed LAN
 - they set clocks assuming small delay
- Procedure Call Mode
 - similar to Cristian's algorithm: client requests time from a few other servers
 - used for higher accuracy or where no multicast
- Symmetric protocol
 - used by master servers on LANs and layers closest to primaries
 - highest accuracy, based on pairwise synchronisation


Logical time

- For many purposes it is sufficient to **agree** on the same time (e.g. internal consistency) which need not be UTC time
- Can deduce **causal event ordering**
 $a \rightarrow b$ (a occurs before b)
- Logical time denotes causal relationships
- but the \rightarrow relationship may not reflect **real** causality, only **accidental**

Event ordering

- **Define** $a \rightarrow b$ (a occurs before b) if
 - a and b are events in the same process and a occurs before b, or
 - a is the event of message sent from process A and B is the event of message receipt by process B
- If $a \rightarrow b$ and $b \rightarrow c$ then $a \rightarrow c$.
- \rightarrow is partial order.
- For events such that **neither** $a \rightarrow b$ nor $b \rightarrow a$ we say a, b are **concurrent**, denoted $a \parallel b$.

Example of causal ordering




- $a \rightarrow b, c \rightarrow d$
- $b \rightarrow c, d \rightarrow f$
- $a || e$

Logical clocks [Lamport]

- **Logical clock** = monotonically increasing software counter (**not** real time!)
 - one for each process P, used for **timestamping**
- **How it works**
 - L_p **incremented** before assigning a timestamp to an event
 - when P sends message m, P timestamps it with current value t of L_p (after incrementing it), **piggybacking** t with m
 - on receiving message (m,t), Q sets its own clock L_Q to **maximum** of L_Q and t, then increments L_Q before timestamping the message receive event
- **Note** $a \rightarrow b$ implies $T(a) < T(b)$

What about converse?

Totally ordered logical clocks




- Problem: $T(a) = T(e)$, and yet a, e distinct.
- Create **total** order by taking account of process ids.
- Then $(T(a), pid) < (T(b), qid)$ iff $T(a) < T(b)$ or $T(a) = T(b)$ and $pid < qid$.

Vector clocks

- Totally ordered logical clocks
 - arbitrary event order, depends on order of process ids
 - i.e. $(T(a), pid) < (T(b), qid)$ does not imply $a \rightarrow b$, see a, e
- Vector clocks
 - array of N logical clocks in each process, if N processes
 - vector timestamps piggybacked on the messages
 - rules for incrementing similar to Lamport's, except
 - processes own component in array modified
 - componentwise maximum and comparison
- Problems
 - storage requirements

Vector timestamps



- $VT(b) < VT(c)$, hence $b \rightarrow c$
- neither $VT(b) < VT(e)$, nor $VT(e) < VT(b)$, hence $b || e$

Summary

- Local clocks
 - drift!
 - but needed for timestamping
- Synchronisation algorithms
 - must handle variable message delays
- Clock compensation estimate average delays
 - adjust clocks
 - can deal with faulty clocks
- Logical clocks
 - sufficient for causal ordering