#### 6.885 From ASCII to Answers

The Librarian: Entity Matching Across Media Types

# Joshua Blum, Nolan Eastin {joshblum, neastin}@mit.edu

December 10, 2013

## 1 MOTIVATION

Entity matching is nontrivial and especially difficult when each entity is large. The Librarian aims to perform automatic entity resolution across various media types. Given sets of text, audio, image, and video files, The Librarian can merge the sets of entities by deduplicating the files, gathering metadata from trusted sources, (i.e. IMDB, Rotten Tomato, and Spotify APIs), and categorizing the media based on the metadata that is found.

The motivation behind the system is to be able to handle large dumps of data as well as incremental updates over a large corpus of files with many contributers. The Librarian serves as a background system upon which clients can be built which handler user interaction to add and modify data within the system.

The initial system has been tested with approximately 6 TB of seed data that has been collected from several sources. This data was used as training data for testing and developing our matching algorithms. Only movie entities were categorized from this corpus, although the matching algorithms can be extended to work with other media types such as audio, image, or text files.

In addition to trying to algorithmically resolve two entities, crowd sourcing is used to establish ground truth where necessary. If there are multiple matches for a single entity a user can select the correct metadata for the entity or input their own.

We begin by discussing related work (2), providing a system design overview (3), showing an analysis of the system's performance (4), list goals for future work (5), and conclusions of the project (6).

# 2 RELATED WORK

## 2.1 SHAZAM

Shazam is a widely known service that specializes in identifying songs. Shazam has the ability to create audio fingerprints from given audio files or samples. They initially built a large database of audio fingerprints from thousands of sounds to use as ground truth.

They then query users for a 10 second audio sample, and they are able to generate an audio finger-print from these and cross-match with their large data sample. An important part of their service is that they have the ability to use the service even with background noise. Shazam uses different filtering algorithms to reduce background noise and get better samples.

Additionally, in order to prevent enormous data storage - (think every song times large amount of time sliding windows) they developed a method of fingerprinting audio samples based on tone intensity, which allowed them to get more representative fingerprints.

#### 2.2 AUDIBLE MAGIC

Similar to Shazam, Audible Magic created a large collection of data that allows users to query audio from video files such as TV shows and movies. They also provide certain SDKs for a price, but those prices were too high for us to consider using. Most of their utility comes from having a large collection of data and having large amount of fingerprints that they leverage as a service. Although open source databases containing audio fingerprints are readily available online, video fingerprinting does not have the same amount of open data that is readily available.

## 3 System Overview

The Librarian is architected to accept jobs over a local HTTP server and ingest them into the system. A job consists of a single entity to be identified. Following the flow of Figure **??** a job is first queued and then consumed by a worker process. Worker processes then identify the entity type and apply the handler for this type. The system currently only accepts movie entities, however this can extended to allow multiple handlers by using file extensions to differentiate entity types. A handler type then performs two steps to ingest the entity, first a deduplication step, and if the entity is not a duplicate, an identification step. Deduplication looks at the internal metadata store of The Librarian whereas identification looks at outside sources to establish ground truth. The details of these algorithms are discussed further for the movie entity type.

data added to metastore, job id maps to metadata id

#### 3.1 DEDUPLICATION

Deduplication is the first step in the ingestion process where the system looks for a match internally. Based on the entity type a variety of deduplication methods can be applied. Currently hashing and audio fingerprinting are used to resolve movie entities. These methods were picked for their computational efficiency and ability to identify duplicates without requiring exact matching (hashing vs. fingerprinting).

## 3.1.1 MOVIE ENTITIES

The deduplication process for movie entities consists of two steps. First a md5 hash is computed across the contents of the file and the metadata store is checked for any matches. This approach is brittle since the duplicate entities can still appear if two instances of the same film are added with different resolutions. A more flexible approach is to compute an audio fingerprint. We compute a fingerprint across a subsection of the file (first 10 minutes) since only a short duration is needed and the running time for computing a fingerprint across the entire file increases exponentially with file size.

Audio fingerprinting also has a large potential for identifying files, but requires extensive ground truth data and there are no open sources for such data. During the design stage we looked into fingerprinting movie trailers and checking increments of the films against these fingerprints. After some research however this method did not see either computationally efficient nor feasible since the fingerprint requires a minimum duration of approximately 10 seconds to effectively match an entity.

Although we were not able to use audio fingerprinting for identifying new files (because we lacked ground truth data), we were able to generate our own data and use that for deduplication purposes. We used the open source audio identification system called echoprint to generate audio fingerprints for every file. Echoprint generates unique audio fingerprints given an input audio file.

The ffmpeg package was used for video file manipulation to ensure that each video was in the best format for fingerprint generation. As mentioned, we first cut each video to the first 10 minutes. We made the assumption that if 2 files have the same audio for the first 10 minutes, then they are actually the same entity.

After we generate our 10 minute video sample, we used ffmpeg to extract an audio file from the video. Echoprint was used on this to generate the unique audio fingerprint. These fingerprints were stored as part of our metadata and are used for deduplication.

#### 3.2 Identification

Unlike deduplication, identification is used to establish the identity of a file by looking at outside sources. These sources are assumed to have valid ground truth data by which some computation can be checked. For analysis purposes, all identification methods were when matching an entity, but in future iterations once identity is established the handler can return successfully. This allows the author of a handler to prioritize how different identification methods are run to optimize for precision or runtime. To establish the identity of movies two identifiers were used, title identifiers and credit identifiers.

#### 3.2.1 TITLE IDENTIFIERS

Title identification works in two steps. First, the title is cleaned by splitting the string on alphanumerics and removing stop words. Second, the cleaned title is checked against an internal database of known movie titles. An exact and a fuzzy match are performed. Possible matches are then queries against online sources (such as IMDB) to acquire metadata.

The title identification process was developed using the corpus of seed data. Using the filenames in the seed data a set of stop words was compiled eliminating many false positives. This method is computationally very inexpensive and has a high precision as 4 shows. We also try to extract the year from the title string to find an exact match for film remakes.

Once the string is clean we use an internal database of film names to find potential matches to query against online sources. This is allows an arbitrary amount of fuzzy matching by querying the local database and avoids any rate limiting issues when making requests to online sources. This also allows us to match a cleaned string to a valid string since the local datastore was scraped from the same online sources that are queried.

## 3.2.2 Credit Identifiers

For many files in the initial corpus titles parsed successfully at a high rate since the data was relatively clean, however the method is very brittle and can easily deliver false positives if a file is slightly misnamed or comes from a dirty source. To match more effectively the credit identification method was implemented. This method performs optical character recognition (ORC) on the credits of a film and tries to extract actor names from the text. These names can then be queried against an online source and the intersection of the films the actors appear in can be used to establish identity.

This method proved to be the most computationally expensive and required heavy optimization to get an operational prototype implementation. The film is cut to contain only the last five minutes and then a frame is generated every three seconds. The raw text from each frame is then processed to heuristically clean it and reduce potential search tokens. The OCR, using the Tesseract library, can

produce many false results which cause the runtime of the fuzzy searching to take on the order of minutes. To reduce this runtime tokens are eliminated by several heuristics such as length and removing excluded characters. The fuzzy searching queries an in-memory SQLite database of known actor names and uses the Python FuzzyWuzzy library to score matches.

Some optimizations for this fuzzy matching process were restricting the number of choices by finding the intersection of matches between first and last name instead of searching for a first name last name string together. Additionally, since the credit frames are ordered with the leading actors first, the OCR is performed in a streaming fashion since an intersection of a single film can likely be found within the first several frames which contains the leading actors.

The tokens extracted from the credit frames are queried against a local datastore of actor names for the same performance reasons as the title identifier. This ground truth data was scraped from the TMDB and OMDB apis and contains approximately 400,000 actor names. Moving the implementation to a compiled language may show better results since the performance costs will be lower and some of these optimization methods have the potential to miss potential matches if the heuristic is too strict.

# 4 PERFORMANCE

- 4.1 HANDLERS
- 4.2 Identifiers

### 5 FUTURE WORK

The librarian was designed to be easily extended. Just as we currently have Movie Handlers, the librarian can handle text files, audio files, and TV shows as well.

Although not currently part of the system, crowd sourcing would be very valuable in this kind of system. Having the ability for users to report mistakes would be great in cases where the librarian fails to correctly identify an entity.

Ideally the librarian would have the ability to manage the whole media server. On a very basic level that means having the ability to move files. We would extend that functionality to be able to specify some configuration file which would be able to specify different entity types and the correct way to categorize them.

We also plan to add a client that is able to interact with different sources of files and have the ability to prompt the librarian to handle new files. For example, having a torrent client that downloads files into the system and then have the librarian deal with deduping, identifying, and correctly indexing the file.

In addition to adding more features there is room for optimization. Given enough data on our identifiers, in the future we can prioritize them differently to obtain higher precision.

## 6 CONCLUSIONS