SOLUTIONS ARCHITECT POSITIONING

Why I Stopped Calling Myself an "AI Automator" and Started Charging 3X More

By Josh | Amalfi AI

THE POSITIONING SHIFT THAT CHANGED EVERYTHING

September 2024 - My LinkedIn:
"AI Automation Specialist | Building Cool AI Solutions"

What I charged: $1,500-3,000 per project
Close rate: 10-15%
Perceived value: "Tech guy who builds stuff"

December 2024 - My LinkedIn:
"AI Solutions Architect | Solving $10K+ Monthly Problems for Growing Businesses"

What I charge: $5,000/month retainers
Close rate: 60-70%
Perceived value: "Strategic partner who solves expensive problems"

Same person. Same skills. Different positioning. 3X the revenue.

This guide breaks down the exact positioning shift that took me from project worker to strategic partner.

WHY "AI AUTOMATOR" IS A RACE TO THE BOTTOM

THE COMMODITIZATION TRAP

When you call yourself an "AI Automator":

• You're competing with 10,000 other people
• Clients compare you on price
• You're seen as a tool operator, not strategic advisor
• They expect cheap, fast, commodity work
• You can't command premium pricing

The market for "AI automation" is saturated. Everyone and their dog took a YouTube course and now claims expertise.

You can't win in a crowded market by being better. You win by being different.

THE POSITIONING HIERARCHY

Bottom Tier: The Tool Operator
"I build AI chatbots / I do automation / I create workflows"
Value: Execution
Price range: $500-2,000 per project
Competition: Extreme

Middle Tier: The Specialist
"I build voice AI for medical practices / I automate recruiting processes"
Value: Industry-specific execution
Price range: $2,000-5,000 per project
Competition: Moderate

Top Tier: The Solutions Architect
"I diagnose expensive business problems and design AI-native solutions"
Value: Strategic problem-solving
Price range: $5,000-10,000/month retainers
Competition: Low

The shift from operator $\rightarrow$ architect is a mindset change, not a skill change.

THE SOLUTIONS ARCHITECT FRAMEWORK

PILLAR 1: Lead With Problems, Not Tools

DON'T SAY:
"I build AI chatbots using Claude and Make.com"

DO SAY:
"I help growing businesses solve $5-10K monthly operational bottlenecks using AI-native solutions"

The first positions you as a builder. The second positions you as a problem-solver.

Clients don't care about Claude or Make.com. They care about saving $10K monthly.

PILLAR 2: Diagnose Before You Prescribe

Tool operators pitch solutions immediately:

"I can build you a voice AI system for $3K."

Solutions architects ask questions first:

"Walk me through your current process. Where are the bottlenecks? What's this costing you monthly? What have you tried?"

Only after understanding the problem do you propose a solution.

Diagnosis separates architects from operators.

PILLAR 3: Speak Business Language, Not Tech Language

Tool operators talk features:

"It uses Claude Sonnet for natural language processing, integrated via webhook to your CRM, with automated email triggers via Make.com..."

Solutions architects talk outcomes:

"This saves your team 15 hours weekly, which is $3K monthly in labor costs. Plus you stop missing urgent emails, so customer satisfaction improves and churn drops."

Nobody cares about webhooks. They care about $3K monthly savings and lower churn.

PILLAR 4: Position as Strategic Partner, Not Vendor

Vendors get one-off projects. Partners get retainers.

The language shift:

Vendor language: "I'll build this for $5K"
Partner language: "I'll solve this for $5K monthly, and as your business evolves, I'll optimize the solution continuously"

Partners are retained. Vendors are hired and fired.

THE COMPLETE REBRAND CHECKLIST

YOUR BIO/POSITIONING STATEMENT

Before:

"AI Automation Expert | Building Custom Solutions | Make.com & Claude Specialist"

After:

"AI Solutions Architect | Helping [Industry] Businesses Solve $10K+ Monthly Operational Problems"

Formula: [Title] | Helping [Target Market] [Solve Specific Expensive Problem]

YOUR SERVICE DESCRIPTION

Before:

"I build AI chatbots, automation workflows, and integration solutions using cutting-edge tools."

After:

"I diagnose operational bottlenecks costing growing businesses $5-15K monthly, then design and deploy AI-native solutions that eliminate the problem while your team focuses on revenue-generating work."

YOUR DISCOVERY CALL INTRO

Before:

"So, tell me what you're looking to automate."

After:

"Before we discuss solutions, help me understand: What's the most expensive operational problem you're dealing with right now? Walk me through the current process."

YOUR PROPOSAL FRAMING

Before:

"Project Scope: Build AI Chatbot
Deliverables: Chatbot, Integration, Testing
Price: $5,000
Timeline: 3 weeks"

After:

"Problem: Manual CV screening costing $8K monthly in recruiter time
Solution: AI-powered CV intelligence system

Expected ROI: $8K monthly savings, 20 hours weekly reclaimed
Investment: $5K/month
Delivery: 21 days to deployment, ongoing optimization included"

HOW TO CHARGE LIKE A SOLUTIONS ARCHITECT

THE PRICING FRAMEWORK

Tool operators charge for time and deliverables.

Solutions architects charge for value and outcomes.

Value-Based Pricing Formula:
Your fee = 30-50% of the annual value you create

Example:
• Problem: Company wastes $8K/month on manual process
• Annual waste: $96K
• Your solution saves $8K/month
• Your fee: $4K/month (50% of monthly savings)

They net $4K monthly in savings. You earn $48K annually. Win-win.

THE RETAINER MODEL

Stop selling projects. Start selling retainers.

Retainer structure:
• Month 1: Discovery, design, build, deploy ($5K)
• Month 2+: Optimization, monitoring, updates ($5K/month)

Why retainers win:
• Predictable revenue for you
• Continuous value for client
• Positions you as strategic partner
• Compounds over time (harder to replace)

HANDLING "THAT'S TOO EXPENSIVE"

When they balk at $5K/month:

"Let me make sure I understand - you're currently spending $8K monthly on this problem. I'm proposing to solve it for $5K monthly. That's a $3K monthly net savings, or $36K annually. Which part feels too expensive?"

Then shut up. Let them answer.

If the ROI math works, price isn't the issue. Belief is the issue.

DELIVERING LIKE A SOLUTIONS ARCHITECT

THE STRATEGIC ENGAGEMENT MODEL

Week 1: Deep Diagnosis
Don't jump to building. Spend week 1 understanding:

• Current process (shadow their team, watch workflows)
• Pain points (what breaks, what's slow, what's expensive)
• Failed solutions (what they've tried, why it didn't work)
• Success criteria (what does "solved" look like)

Week 2: Solution Design
Present the architecture before building:

• Here's the problem as I understand it
• Here's why it's happening
• Here's my proposed solution
• Here's how it solves each pain point
• Here's the expected ROI

Get buy-in BEFORE you code. Architects design. Operators jump to execution.

Week 3: Build & Deploy
Now you build. Fast. Because you already have buy-in and clarity.

Week 4+: Optimize & Expand
This is where retainers shine:
• Monitor performance
• Fix edge cases
• Add features based on real usage
• Identify next bottleneck to solve

THE COMMUNICATION CADENCE

Operators go dark during builds. Architects over-communicate:

• **Daily:** Slack/email updates during build week
• **Weekly:** Check-in calls showing progress
• **Monthly:** ROI report (hours saved, cost savings, metrics)

Consistent communication = perception of value = easier renewals.

EXPANDING THE ENGAGEMENT

THE LAND-AND-EXPAND MODEL

Start with one problem. Expand to adjacent problems.

Real example from my business:

Month 1-3: CV Screening Solution
Client: Recruitment agency
Fee: $5K/month
Problem solved: Manual CV processing

Month 4: Identify next bottleneck
After solving CVs, they're drowning in candidate communication

Month 5-6: Add Email Automation
New module: Automated candidate follow-ups
Fee increases: $5K → $7K/month

Month 7: Identify next bottleneck
Now interview scheduling is the pain point

Month 8: Add Scheduling Automation
Fee increases: $7K → $9K/month

One client, three solutions, $9K MRR. That's the land-and-expand model.

THE STRATEGIC ROADMAP

After solving problem #1, present a roadmap:

"Here's what we've solved. Here are the three next bottlenecks I see in your operation. We can tackle these in sequence or I can prioritize based on ROI. What makes most sense?"

You're not selling. You're consulting. That's the architect mindset.

THE RESULTS OF REPOSITIONING

MY BEFORE/AFTER

Before (AI Automator):
• Average deal size: $2,500
• Project-based work
• Competing on price
• 10-15% close rate
• Clients viewed me as vendor
• Feast or famine revenue

After (Solutions Architect):
• Average deal size: $5K/month
• Retainer-based work
• Competing on value
• 60-70% close rate
• Clients view me as strategic partner
• Predictable recurring revenue

Same person. Same technical ability. **Different positioning.**

THE MINDSET SHIFT

This isn't about learning new skills. It's about reframing what you already do.

You're not an AI automator. You're a business problem solver who happens to use AI.

You're not a tool operator. You're a strategic architect who designs solutions.

You're not a vendor. You're a partner who drives measurable business outcomes.

Change the story. Change the price. Change the business.

WANT THE COMPLETE POSITIONING TEMPLATES?

Join the Amalfi AI Mentorship: $97 one-time

• Complete positioning statement templates
• Discovery call scripts (architect-style)
• Value-based pricing calculator
• ROI report templates
• Retainer proposal templates

- Land-and-expand playbook

www.amalfiai.com/mentorship