

5

Forecasting theory & evaluation

Period Errors

1 e_1

2 e_2

\vdots

t^* e_{t^*}

t^*+1 e_{t^*+1}

\vdots

T e_T

T+1 —

\vdots

T+h —

ex-post
in sample
forecast

ex-post at
of sample
forecast

ex-ante
forecast

Mean Error:

$$ME = \frac{1}{T-t^*} \sum_{t=t^*+1}^T e_t$$

+ very simple, preserves UoM & shows if avg. f/cast is above (>0) or below (<0) true values

- assigns same weight to each error, small or large, & pos/neg errors might offset each other

note: ME, MAE & RMSE are

all sensitive to UoM - no good for comparing TS w/ diff. UoMs or intervals.

↳ Find three are relative measures

$$P = \frac{e_t}{y_t} = \frac{y_t - \hat{y}_t}{y_t} \times 100\%$$

f = forecast
y = observation

Mean absolute error:

$$MAE = \frac{1}{T-t^*} \sum_{t=t^*+1}^T |e_t|$$

+ easy to interpret, preserves UoM & overcome offsetting ME issue.

- same as above but offsetting

Mean per cent error:

$$MPE = \frac{1}{T-t^*} \sum_{t=t^*+1}^T P_t$$

Mean absolute per cent error:

$$MAPE = \frac{1}{T-t^*} \sum_{t=t^*+1}^T |P_t|$$

+ same as above but relative measures

- If any $y_t = 0$ they are undefined
∴ only use if measurement scale = ratio

Root mean squared error:

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{T-t^*} \sum_{t=t^*+1}^T e_t^2}$$

+ preserves UoM no offsetting pos/neg errors, large errors are penalised

Mean absolute scaled errors:

$$MASE = \frac{1}{T-t^*} \sum_{t=t^*+1}^T \frac{|e_t|}{MAE_{NF}}, \quad MAE_{NF} = \frac{1}{t^*} \sum_{t=1}^{t^*} |y_t - y_{t-1}|$$

↳ scales f/cast errors to the naive f/cast over training period.

↳ Rules:

↳ MASE is never negative

↳ MASE = 0 if & only if $e_t = 0$, i.e. each out of sample is perf.

↳ MASE < 1 if model performs better than NF

↳ MASE = 1 if model performs like NF

↳ MASE > 1 if model performs worse than NF

Point f/cast → single number → can't verify confidence/reliability

Interval f/cast → range of numbers → size of range indicates confidence

Density f/cast → estimate of prob. dist → i.e. annual GDP = $N(1.80, 2.03)$

External regressors / exogenous predictors

→ Providing an external regressor to ARMA model does a linear regression w/ ARMA errors

↳ Doing this might improve sample regression eqn

↳ To verify if worthwhile, do statistical test on suitability of linear regression, compare resid's etc.