# Lecture 18: Money in the utility function model

ECON30009/90080 Macroeconomics

Semester 2, 2025

### Goals of today's lecture

- ☐ We want to introduce money into the model
- ☐ Basic building block: RBC model but with money
- $\square$  What we will find: monetary policy (money supply rule) and nominal variables don't matter for real variables in RBC + money

 $\hfill\Box$  2 period economy. Assume measure 1 (continuum) of households in the population, N=1.

- $\square$  2 period economy. Assume measure 1 (continuum) of households in the population, N=1.
- ☐ Government (monetary authority) supplies money to households following some exogenous rule

- $\hfill \square$  2 period economy. Assume measure 1 (continuum) of households in the population, N=1.
- ☐ Government (monetary authority) supplies money to households following some exogenous rule
- ☐ Firms seek to maximize profits

2 period economy. Assume measure 1 (continuum) of households in the population, ${\cal N}=1.$
Government (monetary authority) supplies money to households following some exogenous rule
Firms seek to maximize profits
Households seek to maximize utility

□ 2 period economy. To introduce money into the model, we will assume that households get utility from holding money

- □ 2 period economy. To introduce money into the model, we will assume that households get utility from holding money
- ☐ which in a way means our households are like . . .



2 types of assets: you can invest in physical capital $(a_t)$ or hold money $(M_t)$
Money is a dominated asset. You can't earn interest keeping money in your pocke
This is unlike investing in physical capital which can earn you a real return $R_t$ (in nominal terms $P_t R_t$ )
Notation: we will refer to ${\cal M}_t$ as nominal money balances and $m_t={\cal M}_t/P_t$ as reamoney balances

#### Household

# Household utility function

☐ Household gets utility from consumption in each period and from holding money as an asset:

$$U\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, \frac{M_{1}}{P_{1}}, \frac{M_{2}}{P_{2}}\right) = \ln c_{1} + \gamma \ln \left(\frac{M_{1}}{P_{1}}\right) + \beta \left\{\ln c_{2} + \gamma \ln \frac{M_{2}}{P_{2}}\right\}$$

- ☐ Household still gets utility from real variables (quantities)
- $\square$  No disutility from working. Inelastically supplies 1 unit of labour each period

☐ Budget constraint in period 1 in *nominal* terms:

nominal transfer from govt

$$P_1c_1 + M_1 + P_1a_2 = P_1R_1a_1 + P_1w_1 + P_1\pi_1 + P$$

☐ Budget constraint in period 1 in *nominal* terms:

nominal transfer from govt

$$P_1c_1 + M_1 + P_1a_2 = P_1R_1a_1 + P_1w_1 + P_1\pi_1 + P_1\tau_1 + P$$

☐ Budget constraint period 2 in *nominal* terms:

$$P_2c_2 + M_2 = P_2R_2a_2 + P_2w_2 + P_2\pi_2 + P_2\tau_2 + M_1$$

 $\square$  Budget constraint in period 1 in *nominal* terms:

nominal transfer from govt

$$P_1c_1 + M_1 + P_1a_2 = P_1R_1a_1 + P_1w_1 + P_1\pi_1 + P_1\tau_1 + P$$

☐ Budget constraint period 2 in *nominal* terms:

$$P_2c_2 + M_2 = P_2R_2a_2 + P_2w_2 + P_2\pi_2 + P_2\tau_2 + M_1$$

 $\square$  We can make  $a_2$  the subject of the equation:

$$a_2 = \frac{P_2c_2 + M_2 - [P_2w_2 + P_2\pi_2 + P_2\tau_2 + M_1]}{P_2R_2}$$

☐ Budget constraint in period 1 in *nominal* terms:

nominal transfer from govt

$$P_1c_1 + M_1 + P_1a_2 = P_1R_1a_1 + P_1w_1 + P_1\pi_1 + P$$

☐ Budget constraint period 2 in *nominal* terms:

$$P_2c_2 + \mathbf{M_2} = P_2R_2a_2 + P_2w_2 + P_2\pi_2 + P_2\tau_2 + \mathbf{M_1}$$

 $\square$  We can make  $a_2$  the subject of the equation:

$$a_2 = \frac{P_2c_2 + M_2 - [P_2w_2 + P_2\pi_2 + P_2\tau_2 + M_1]}{P_2R_2}$$

 $\square$  and plug this into period 1 budget constraint, to derive the LBC in nominal terms:

$$P_1c_1 + M_1 + P_1 \left\{ \frac{c_2 + M_2/P_2}{R_2} - \frac{[w_2 + \pi_2 + \tau_2 + M_1/P_2]}{R_2} \right\} = P_1 \left[ R_1a_1 + w_1 + \pi_1 + \tau_1 \right]$$

 $\square$  Can take the nominal LBC and make it real by dividing by  $P_1$ :

$$c_1 + \frac{c_2}{R_2} = R_1 a_1 + w_1 + \frac{w_2}{R_2} + \pi_1 + \frac{\pi_2}{R_2} + \tau_1 - \frac{M_1}{P_1} + \frac{\tau_2}{R_2} - \frac{M_2}{P_2} \frac{1}{R_2} + \frac{M_1}{P_1} \frac{P_1}{P_2 R_2}$$

 $\square$  Can take the nominal LBC and make it real by dividing by  $P_1$ :

$$c_1 + \frac{c_2}{R_2} = R_1 a_1 + w_1 + \frac{w_2}{R_2} + \pi_1 + \frac{\pi_2}{R_2} + \tau_1 - \frac{M_1}{P_1} + \frac{\tau_2}{R_2} - \frac{M_2}{P_2} \frac{1}{R_2} + \frac{M_1}{P_1} \frac{P_1}{P_2 R_2}$$

☐ Looks similar to the real LBC from our RBC model, but now money can be held.

 $\square$  Can take the nominal LBC and make it real by dividing by  $P_1$ :

$$c_1 + \frac{c_2}{R_2} = R_1 a_1 + w_1 + \frac{w_2}{R_2} + \pi_1 + \frac{\pi_2}{R_2} + \tau_1 - \frac{M_1}{P_1} + \frac{\tau_2}{R_2} - \frac{M_2}{P_2} \frac{1}{R_2} + \frac{M_1}{P_1} \frac{P_1}{P_2 R_2}$$

- ☐ Looks similar to the real LBC from our RBC model, but now money can be held.
- Denote  $\Pi_2 = \frac{P_2}{P_1}$ , i.e., the gross inflation rate between period 1 and 2. Denote  $m_t = M_t/P_t$  as real money balances in period t.

$$c_1 + \frac{c_2}{R_2} = R_1 a_1 + w_1 + \frac{w_2}{R_2} + \pi_1 + \frac{\pi_2}{R_2} + (\tau_1 - m_1) + \frac{1}{R_2} \left( \tau_2 - \left[ m_2 - \frac{m_1}{\Pi_2} \right] \right)$$

# Household utility maximization problem

☐ Household problem is given by:

$$\max_{c_1, c_2, m_1, m_2} \ln c_1 + \gamma \ln m_1 + \beta \left\{ \ln c_2 + \gamma \ln m_2 \right\}$$

s.t.

$$c_1 + \frac{c_2}{R_2} = R_1 a_1 + w_1 + \frac{w_2}{R_2} + \pi_1 + \frac{\pi_2}{R_2} + (\tau_1 - m_1) + \frac{1}{R_2} \left( \tau_2 - \left[ m_2 - \frac{m_1}{\Pi_2} \right] \right)$$

# Household utility maximization problem

$$\mathcal{L} = \ln c_1 + \gamma \ln m_1 + \beta \left\{ \ln c_2 + \gamma \ln m_2 \right\}$$

$$+ \lambda \left[ R_1 a_1 + w_1 + \frac{w_2}{R_2} + \pi_1 + \frac{\pi_2}{R_2} + (\tau_1 - m_1) + \frac{1}{R_2} \left( \tau_2 - \left[ m_2 - \frac{m_1}{\Pi_2} \right] \right) \right]$$

$$- \lambda \left[ c_1 + \frac{c_2}{R_2} \right]$$

☐ First order conditions:

$$(c_1):$$
  $\frac{1}{c_1} = \lambda$   
 $(c_2):$   $\frac{\beta}{c_2} = \frac{\lambda}{R_2}$ 

# Household utility maximization problem

$$\mathcal{L} = \ln c_1 + \gamma \ln m_1 + \beta \left\{ \ln c_2 + \gamma \ln m_2 \right\}$$

$$+ \lambda \left[ R_1 a_1 + w_1 + \frac{w_2}{R_2} + \pi_1 + \frac{\pi_2}{R_2} + (\tau_1 - m_1) + \frac{1}{R_2} \left( \tau_2 - \left[ m_2 - \frac{m_1}{\Pi_2} \right] \right) \right]$$

$$- \lambda \left[ c_1 + \frac{c_2}{R_2} \right]$$

☐ First order conditions cont'd:

$$(m_1): \quad \frac{\gamma}{m_1} = \lambda \left(1 - \frac{1}{R_2 \Pi_2}\right)$$
 $(m_2): \quad \frac{\beta \gamma}{m_2} = \frac{\lambda}{R_2}$ 

and FOC wrt  $\lambda$  gives back LBC

 $\sqsupset$  Combine FOC wrt  $c_2$  and  $m_2$  to get optimal money demand in t=2

$$\frac{\gamma}{m_2} = \frac{1}{c_2}$$

 $m_2$  is like a "consumption good". Get utility from it, can't bring it into next period, because economy ends after t=2

 $\square$  Hence optimal money demand comes from the optimal trade-off between  $m_2$  and  $c_2$ 

 $\square$  Combine FOC wrt  $m_1$  and  $c_1$  to get optimal money demand in t=1

$$rac{\gamma}{m_1}=rac{1}{c_1}\left(1-rac{1}{R_2\Pi_2}
ight)$$
 due to store of value role

 $\square$  Combine FOC wrt  $m_1$  and  $c_1$  to get optimal money demand in t=1

$$\frac{\gamma}{m_1} = \frac{1}{c_1} \left( 1 - \underbrace{\frac{1}{R_2 \Pi_2}}_{\text{due to store of value role}} \right)$$

Fisher equation:

$$\underbrace{1+i_t} = \Pi_t R_t$$

Gross nominal interest rate

 $\square$  Combine FOC wrt  $m_1$  and  $c_1$  to get optimal money demand in t=1

$$rac{\gamma}{m_1} = rac{1}{c_1} \left( 1 - \underbrace{rac{1}{R_2\Pi_2}}_{ ext{due to store of value role}} 
ight)$$

Fisher equation:

$$\underbrace{1+i_t} = \Pi_t R_t$$

Gross nominal interest rate

☐ Substituting the Fisher equation into the above and re-arranging:

$$m_1 = \gamma c_1 \frac{1+i_2}{i_2} = \gamma c_1 \left(\frac{1}{i_2} + 1\right)$$

 $\square$  Combine FOC wrt  $m_1$  and  $c_1$  to get optimal money demand in t=1

$$rac{\gamma}{m_1} = rac{1}{c_1} \left( 1 - \underbrace{rac{1}{R_2\Pi_2}}_{ ext{due to store of value role}} 
ight)$$

Fisher equation:

$$\underbrace{1+i_t} = \Pi_t R_t$$

Gross nominal interest rate

☐ Substituting the Fisher equation into the above and re-arranging:

$$m_1 = \gamma c_1 \frac{1+i_2}{i_2} = \gamma c_1 \left(\frac{1}{i_2} + 1\right)$$

 $\square$  Holding all else constant,  $m_1$  declining in  $i_2$ . Money is a dominated asset, opportunity cost to holding money is the nominal interest rate

 $\square$  Combine FOC wrt  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  to get Euler equation (optimal intertemporal trade-off in consumption today vs tomorrow):

$$\frac{1}{c_1} = \frac{\beta R_2}{c_2}$$

☐ Finally, also have LBC.

#### An IS curve

☐ From the household's Euler equation, actually have an IS curve:

$$c_2 = \beta R_2 c_1$$

Taking logs:

$$\Delta \ln c = \ln \beta + \ln R_2 = \ln \beta + i_2 - \pi_2^e$$

where  $\ln(1+i_2) \approx i_2$  and  $\ln \Pi_2 \approx \pi_2^e$ 

- $\circ$   $\Delta \ln c$  is consumption growth (in eqm related to output growth)
- $\circ \ln \beta$  acts like a demand shock. Lower  $\beta$ , more impatient household, wants to consume more today.
- $\circ$   $i_2$  net nominal interest rate
- $\circ$   $\pi_2^e$  expected net inflation rate in period 2.

#### An IS curve

- ☐ From postulated relationship to optimizing behaviour ⇒ we can actually derive an IS curve from our household's optimality condition
  - $\circ$  Since consumption growth is a function of output growth, we can trace out an IS-curve that shows all the combinations of (i,Y).
  - Our model gives us a way to formalize why this occurs.
  - The IS curve we derived came from the household's optimal trade-off of how much to consume today vs. how much to invest so as to consume for tomorrow.
  - How much you want to invest and save depends on the interest rate

#### $\operatorname{FIRM}$

# Firms' profit maximization problem

☐ Firm's profit maximization problem is:

$$\max_{K_t, L_t} P_t z_t K_t^{\alpha} L_t^{1-\alpha} - P_t R_t K_t - P_t w_t L_t$$

which is equivalent to:

$$\max_{K_t, L_t} P_t \{ z_t K_t^{\alpha} L_t^{1-\alpha} - R_t K_t - w_t L_t \}$$

 $P_t$  just scales the firm's problem. So choices of the firm are the same as derived in the RBC model.

## GOVERNMENT/MONETARY AUTHORITY

# Govt/Monetary authority

- ☐ Monetary authority/Govt sets the money supply.
- ☐ For period 1, this is as simple as setting:

$$M_1^s = \underbrace{\bar{M}}_{\text{exogenous money supply target}}$$

☐ For period 2, this money supply rule follows:

$$M_2^s = \theta M_1^s$$

Note that if  $\theta=1$ , money supply is constant. If  $\theta>1$ , money supply is growing.

# Govt/Monetary authority

- $\square$  Govt/monetary authority earns revenue from printing (creating) money  $\Longrightarrow$  seigniorage revenue
- □ No govt spending, so revenue earned from printing money is transferred to households:

$$P_1\tau_1=M_1^s$$

$$P_2 \tau_2 = M_2^s - M_1^s$$

# EQUILIBRIUM

## Equilibrium

Equilibrium is a set of allocations and prices such that: Households choose  $c_1, c_2, m_1, m_2$  to maximize their lifetime utility Firms choose  $K_t$ ,  $L_t$  to maximize their profits each period Govt/monetary authority balances the government budget constraint each period ☐ Prices adjust such that all markets (goods, labour, asset, money) clears

☐ Starting from the household problem: plug the Euler equation into the LBC:

$$c_2 = \beta R_2 c_1$$
 from Euler eqn

and LBC becomes:

$$c_1 + \frac{\beta R_2 c_1}{R_2} = R_1 a_1 + w_1 + \frac{w_2}{R_2} + \pi_1 + \frac{\pi_2}{R_2} + (\tau_1 - m_1) + \left(\frac{\tau_2}{R_2} - \frac{1}{R_2} \left[ m_2 - m_1 \frac{1}{\Pi_2} \right] \right)$$

☐ Thus far, we have:

$$(1+\beta)c_1 = R_1a_1 + w_1 + \frac{w_2}{R_2} + \pi_1 + \frac{\pi_2}{R_2} + (\tau_1 - m_1) + \left(\frac{\tau_2}{R_2} - \frac{1}{R_2} \left[m_2 - m_1\frac{1}{\Pi_2}\right]\right)$$

Thus far, we have:

$$(1+\beta)c_1 = R_1a_1 + w_1 + \frac{w_2}{R_2} + \pi_1 + \frac{\pi_2}{R_2} + (\tau_1 - m_1) + \left(\frac{\tau_2}{R_2} - \frac{1}{R_2} \left[m_2 - m_1\frac{1}{\Pi_2}\right]\right)$$

□ In equilibrium, all markets clear:  $L_t = N$ ,  $K_t = a_t N$ ,  $M_t^s = N M_t$ , and N = 1. Substitute in firm optimality conditions and govt budget constraints into LBC:

$$(1+\beta) c_1 = z_1 k_1^{\alpha} + \frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha} k_2 + \underbrace{(\tau_1 - m_1) + \left(\frac{\tau_2}{R_2} - \frac{1}{R_2} \left[m_2 - m_1 \frac{1}{\Pi_2}\right]\right)}_{\text{from govt budget constraints}}$$

☐ We have from plugging the Euler into LBC and imposing equilibrium:

$$c_1 = \frac{1}{1+\beta} \left( z_1 k_1^{\alpha} + \frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha} k_2 \right)$$

 $\square$   $k_2$  is endogenous, so haven't solved for  $c_1$  yet. But we know from goods market clearing:

$$k_2 = z_1 k_1^{\alpha} - c_1$$

 $\square$  So using goods market clearing and plugging in for  $c_1$ , we have:

$$k_2 = \frac{\alpha\beta}{1 + \alpha\beta} z_1 k_1^{\alpha}$$

and therefore

$$c_1 = \frac{1}{1 + \alpha \beta} z_1 k_1^{\alpha}$$

☐ Looking at the key real variables in the economy:

$$y_1 = z_1 k_1^{\alpha}$$

$$k_2 = \frac{\alpha \beta}{1 + \alpha \beta} z_1 k_1^{\alpha}$$

$$c_1 = \frac{1}{1 + \alpha \beta} z_1 k_1^{\alpha}$$

- $\square$  Real output, investment and consumption (since N=1) do not depend on  $M_1^s/P_1$ .
- ☐ So what does money supply do?

### To be continued next class

So what does money supply do?
Hint: we built on the RBC model and added money to it. Is the prediction surprising that monetary policy (via money supply rules) do not affect real variables?
Next class: what happens to nominal variables in the money-in-the-utility function model?
To come: having a proper role for monetary policy $\implies$ moving to New Keynesian model