**ECON90080 – Assignment 1**

**Josh Copeland (SID: 1444772)**

**Question 1**

1. **Calculate the growth rate of real GDP for each quarter from 1960q1 onwards using the explicit growth formula.**

After converting to percentages, the average quarterly real GDP growth rate is 0.8211%.

1. **Repeat the exercise above, but use the difference in logs growth rate formula.**

After converting to percentages, the average quarterly real GDP growth rate is 0.8112%. Figure 1 compares the growth rate profiles between calculation methods. There is very minimal difference between them and is only observable when growth is extremely high (i.e. during the large spikes during the mid-1970s and COVID-19 periods).

*Figure 1: Real GDP quarterly growth by calculation method*

1. **Calculate the correlation in growth rates produced in part (a) and (b).**

The correlation between the two growth rate time series is 0.9985.

**Question 2**

1. **Compute the ratio of consumption spending to after-tax income for each reference age.**

The fourth column of Table 1indicates the ratio of consumption after spending to after-tax income for each reference age group.

*Table 1: Consumption spending, after-tax income and their ratio across age*

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Age | Annual Consumption Expenditure | After-tax Income | Ratio |
| < 25 years | 49560 | 51278 | 0.97 |
| 25-34 years | 71867 | 84939 | 0.85 |
| 35-44 years | 90939 | 109075 | 0.83 |
| 45-54 years | 97319 | 115653 | 0.84 |
| 55-64 years | 83379 | 97276 | 0.86 |
| 65-74 | 65149 | 65461 | 1.00 |
| ≥ 75 years | 53031 | 49981 | 1.06 |

1. **Provide intuition for why the ratio of consumption spending to after-tax income is less than one during the prime-age (25-54) working years. Also provide some intuition for the observed consumption spending to after-tax income ratio for individuals aged 65 and older.**

The ratio of consumption spending to after-tax income is less than one during prime-age because these households are saving for the future when they can no longer work. If this ratio was greater than 1 whilst working, they risk not having enough savings to retire with. At this point, households are earning more than they are consuming.

Conversely, this ratio is greater than one after prime-age because this is generally when households retire, implying they need to use the savings they have spent their working life accumulating to fund their consumption decisions. At this point, households are consuming more than they are earning.

**Question 3**

1. **Set up the household problem and derive the household’s optimality conditions.**

To derive optimality conditions, we must solve the Lagrangian for all its first order conditions (FOCs):

Then, to derive the Euler our equation, we must divide the first two FOCs by each other.

This final expression is our Euler equation and one of our optimality conditions. The other is the lifetime budget constraints, noted above as the constraint for the household’s optimisation problem.

1. **Set up the firm’s problem and derive the firm’s optimality conditions.**

The representative firm has the following Cobb-Douglas production function:

This firm is also profit-maximising and has the following optimisation problem:

For the firm, the optimality conditions are the marginal product of labour (MPL) and marginal product of capital (MPK). Practically, this means equating the marginal products to the wage rate ( and the capital rental rate () respectively. If this wasn’t the case, the firm would change its productions inputs.

For these expressions we express them in per capita terms :

1. **Using your equilibrium conditions, derive an equation the expresses in terms of the predetermined variable, parameters , , and exogenous variable .**

Doing this takes a few steps. First, we need to insert the Euler equation into the lifetime budget constraint:

1. **Derive the transition equation, i.e., an equation that shows how evolves as a function of , parameters of the model and exogenous variable . Explain in one or two sentences why knowing this transition equation is sufficient to describe how the key aggregate macroeconomic variables evolve over time in this model economy.**
2. **Write down what the long-run steady state capital per person is in this economy.**
3. **In class, we’ve largely assumed for simplicity. Now suppose that there is a production externality and productivity is instead endogenous and affected by the level of capital stock in the economy (you can think of this as the more capital is produced and used in production, the more productive and adept we become at using this capital). Each household and firm, however, thinks that they are individually too small to affect the capital stock and thus they take as given.**

**Let the production externality take that form the has an increasing relationship with , that is:**

**Are the firm’s and households’ optimality conditions any different? What about the transition equation? If yes, derive the new transition equation. Finally, is there a steady state in this economy?**