|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | None | Poor | Ave. | Good | Excel | Rookie Mistakes |
| Use of Markdown |  |  |  | X |  |  |
| Suitably separate documents |  |  |  | X |  |  |
| Describing your client |  |  |  | X |  | NED |
| Describing your application domain |  |  | X |  |  | NED |
| Problem trying to solve |  |  |  | X |  |  |
| Vision for product |  |  |  | X |  |  |
| List of system stakeholders |  |  |  | X |  |  |
| Description of stakeholders |  |  |  | X |  | NED |
| Use-case diagram |  |  |  | X |  |  |
| Key actors |  | X |  |  |  |  |
| Primary functional goals |  |  | X |  |  | WNG |
| Consideration of non-human actors | X |  |  |  |  |  |
| Goal steps |  |  |  | X |  |  |
| Alternative flow |  |  |  | X |  | NIC |
| Exceptional flow |  |  |  | X |  | NIC |
| Functional requirements |  |  |  | X |  |  |
| Non-Functional requirements |  |  | X |  |  | Some NNF, NED |
| Atomicness of requirements |  |  |  | X |  |  |
| Clear & simple requirement structure |  | X |  |  |  | NID, NED |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| High-level architecture diagram |  | x |  |  |  |  |
| Relevant external systems | x |  |  |  |  |  |
| Explanation of components | x |  |  |  |  |  |
| Static UML modelling aspect |  |  |  | x |  |  |
| Dynamic UML modelling aspect |  |  |  | x |  |  |
| Model context |  |  | x |  |  |  |
| The motivation for choice |  |  | x |  |  |  |
| A reflection on the modelling choices |  |  |  | x |  |  |
| Knowledge gained from models |  |  |  | x |  |  |
| Description of Test strategy |  |  |  | x |  |  |
| Testing frameworks to be used |  |  | x |  |  |  |
| Challenges for testability |  |  | x |  |  |  |
| Overcoming testability challenges |  |  | x |  |  |  |

Architecture:

“*Event Handler*” is much too low level – unless you are describing the architecture of the framework you are using (not your app).

Static Diagram

SD:

Good diagram.

Good reflection.

Testing:

Decent test strategy of the various classes.

Missing “challenges”.