1. We "pull out" each of the operands of E = (u + v) + ((w + (x + y)) + z). We perform this arbitrarily from left to right.

By the associative law, E can be transformed into u + (v + ((w + (x + y)) + z)). Thus we have $E = u + E_1$ where $E_1 = v + ((w + (x + y)) + z)$. We trivially pull out v from E_1 to get an expression of the form $v + E_2$ where $E_2 = (w + (x + y)) + z$. With the associative law we transform E_2 into an expression of the form $w + E_3$ where $E_3 = (x + y) + z$. Similarly we transform E_3 into an expression of the form $x + E_4$ where $E_4 = y + z$. We transform E_4 into an expression of the form $y + E_5$ where $E_5 = z$. The sequence of transformations is

$$(u+v) + ((w+(x+y)) + z)$$

$$u + (v + ((w+(x+y)) + z))$$

$$u + (v + (w + ((x+y) + z)))$$

$$u + (v + (w + (x + (y + z)))).$$

2.

a) We transform E = w + (x + (y + z)) into F = ((w + x) + y) + z. We do so by "pulling out" one operand a from both expressions, which are equivalent, and then repeating with the next operand until none are left.

We first choose to "pull out" w from both expressions first. This is already so for E. For F we follow the sequence

$$((w+x)+y)+z \to (w+(x+y))+z \to w+((x+y)+z). \tag{1}$$

Now to transform $E_1 = x + (y + z)$ into $F_1 = (x + y) + z$. We "pull out" x which is already accomplished for E_1 . For F_1 we perform the transformation

$$(x+y) + z \to x + (y+z). \tag{2}$$

We "pull out" y next from $E_2 = y + z$ and $F_2 = y + z$. This is done so trivially. We now transform what is left of the expressions E_2 and F_2 without y. Consider the expressions $E_3 = z$ and $F_3 = z$. E_3 naturally transforms into F_3 . Furthermore, $E_2 = y + E_3$ can transform into $F_2 = y + F_3$, and $E_1 = x + E_2$ can transform into $F_1 = x + F_2$. Finally, $E = w + E_1$ can transform into $F = w + F_1$, and we are done. The sequence of transformations is

$$w + (x + (y + z))$$
 Expression E
 $w + ((x + y) + z)$ (2) in reverse
 $(w + (x + y)) + z$ Middle of (1) in reverse
 $((w + x) + y) + z$ Expression F, beginning of (1) in reverse

b) We transform E = (v + w) + ((x + y) + z) into F = ((y + w) + (v + z)) + x. We "pull out" v first from both expressions. The sequences of transformations for E and F respectively are

$$(v+w) + ((x+y)+z) \to v + (w + ((x+y)+z))$$
 (3)

and

$$((y+w)+(v+z))+x \to (((y+w)+v)+z)+x \to ((v+(y+w))+z)+x \to (v+((y+w)+z))+x \to v+(((y+w)+z)+x).$$
(4)

We "pull out" w from the subexpressions w + ((x+y)+z) and ((y+w)+z)+x:

$$((y+w)+z)+x \to ((w+y)+z)+x \to (w+(y+z))+x \to w+((y+z)+x).$$
 (5)

We shall "pull out" x from the subexpressions (x + y) + z and (y + z) + x:

$$(x+y) + z \to x + (y+z) \tag{6}$$

and

$$(y+z) + x \to x + (y+z). \tag{7}$$

We then "pull out" y from the subexpressions y+z and y+z. We are then left with the operand z in both expressions, which means we can transform one expression into the other. Thus $y+A_1$ can transform into $y+B_1$ if we consider $A_1=z=B_1$. By successively letting the subexpressions of E and F (starting with z) being added to y,x,w,v in order, we transform E into F. The sequence of transformations is

$$(v+w)+((x+y)+z) \qquad \text{Expression } E$$

$$v+(w+((x+y)+z)) \qquad (3)$$

$$v+(w+(x+(y+z))) \qquad (6)$$

$$v+(w+((y+z)+x)) \qquad (7) \text{ in reverse}$$

$$v+(((w+(y+z))+x) \qquad \text{Middle-right of (5) in reverse}$$

$$v+(((w+y)+z)+x) \qquad \text{Middle-left of (5) in reverse}$$

$$v+(((y+w)+z)+x) \qquad \text{Beginning of (5) in reverse}$$

$$(v+((y+w)+z)+x) \qquad \text{Middle-right of (4) in reverse}$$

$$((v+(y+w)+z)+x \qquad \text{Middle of (4) in reverse}$$

$$(((y+w)+v)+z)+x \qquad \text{Middle-left of (4) in reverse}$$

$$((y+w)+(v+z))+x \qquad \text{Expression } F, \text{ beginning of (4) in reverse}$$

3. We shall prove the following statement by complete induction on n, the number of occurrences of operators in an expression.

STATEMENT S(n): Let E be an expression with operators +, -, *, and /. If E has n operator occurrences, then E has n+1 operands.

We choose zero as the basis because it is the least nonnegative number. By induction, the intuitive basis of one would be proved as well.

BASIS. Let n = 0. Then E has 1 operand, hence S(0) is true.

INDUCTION. Assume $n \geq 0$ and $S(0), S(1), \ldots, S(n)$ are true. We shall prove S(n+1). We assume that E has at least one operator, therefore E has at least two operands. Let the operands of E be the expressions E_1 and E_2 . Since E has exactly n+1 operators, then either E_1 or E_2 has at most n operators, but not both. We apply the inductive hypothesis to E_2 , meaning it has n+1 operands. Thus E_1 has only one operand, because E_1 has no operators. Together, E has n+2 operands. This proves the inductive step, and we conclude that S(n) for all $n \geq 0$.

We could have written that E_1 has n_1 operator occurrences and E_2 has n_2 operator occurrences and together there are $n_1 + n_2 = n$ operator occurrences. We also could have used a symbol to represent the operator in E, like θ .