```
1.
void inorder(TREE T)
{
    if (T != NULL) {
        inorder(T->leftchild);
        printf("%c\n", T->label);
        inorder(T->rightchild);
    }
}
2.
BOOLEAN isoperator(char sym) {
    if (sym == '+' || sym == '-' || sym == '*' || sym == '/')
        return TRUE;
    else return FALSE;
}
void inorder(TREE T)
    if (T != NULL) {
        if (isoperator(T->label)) {
            putchar('(');
            inorder(T->leftchild);
            printf("%c", T->label);
            inorder(T->rightchild);
            putchar(')');
        }
        else {
            inorder(T->leftchild);
            printf("%c", T->label);
            inorder(T->rightchild);
        }
    }
}
3.
BOOLEAN isoperator(char sym) {
    if (sym == '+' || sym == '-' || sym == '*' || sym == '/')
        return TRUE;
    else return FALSE;
}
BOOLEAN need_parentheses(char sym) {
    if (sym == '*' || sym == '/')
        return TRUE;
    else return FALSE;
```

```
}
void inorder(TREE T)
    if (T != NULL) {
        if (need_parentheses(T->label)) {
            if (T->leftchild != NULL && isoperator(T->leftchild->label)) {
                putchar('(');
                inorder(T->leftchild);
                putchar(')');
            }
            else inorder(T->leftchild);
            printf("%c", T->label);
            if (T->rightchild != NULL && isoperator(T->rightchild->label)) {
                putchar('(');
                inorder(T->rightchild);
                putchar(')');
            else inorder(T->rightchild);
        }
        else {
            inorder(T->leftchild);
            printf("%c", T->label);
            inorder(T->rightchild);
        }
    }
}
4.
int max(int a, int b) {
    if (a >= b) return a;
    else return b;
}
BOOLEAN isleaf(TREE T) {
    if (T->leftchild == NULL && T->rightchild == NULL)
        return TRUE;
    else return FALSE;
}
int height(TREE T) {
    if (T == NULL) return 0;
    else if (isleaf(T)) return 0;
    else return 1 + max(height(T->leftchild), height(T->rightchild));
}
```

5. We prove the following statement by structural induction.

STATEMENT S(T): The number of full nodes in T is 1 fewer than the number of leaves

BASIS. Where T is a single node n, n is a leaf, and there are zero full nodes.

INDUCTION. Let n be the root of T. Assume the inductive hypothesis holds for the children of n. Let fn(c) be the number of full nodes in the binary tree rooted at c. Let leaves(c) be the number of leaves in the binary tree rooted at c.

Suppose n is a full node. Then by the inductive hypothesis we must have

$$1 + fn(c_1) + fn(c_2) = 1 + (leaves(c_1) - 1) + (leaves(c_2) - 1)$$
$$= leaves(c_1) + leaves(c_2) - 1$$

full nodes in T, which is 1 fewer than the number of leaves.

Suppose n is not a full node. Then n must have one and only one child. Therefore by the inductive hypothesis we must have

$$fn(c_1) = leaves(c_1) - 1$$

full nodes in T, which gives the same result. This proves the inductive step. \blacklozenge

The following proof serves as an example on how to correctly prove a statement of this type.

6. We shall prove the following statement by structural induction.

STATEMENT S(T): The number of NULL pointers in T is 1 greater than the number of nodes.

BASIS. Where T is a single node n, then n has 2 NULL pointers.

INDUCTION. Let n be the root of T having at least one child. Let np(t) be the number of NULL pointers in the binary tree t. Let nodes(t) be the number of nodes in the binary tree t.

Consider the case where n has exactly one child c_1 . Since c_1 is the root of a binary tree t_1 , then the inductive hypothesis holds for t_1 . We know that there are $1 + np(t_1)$ NULL pointers in T. By the inductive hypothesis we must have

$$1 + np(t_1) = 1 + (nodes(t_1) + 1) = 2 + nodes(t_1) = 1 + nodes(T)$$

NULL pointers in T.

Consider the case where n has exactly two children c_1 and c_2 . Since both children are each the root of a binary tree, respectively t_1 and t_2 , the inductive hypothesis holds for these trees. We know that there are $np(t_1) + np(t_2)$ NULL pointers in T because n has zero NULL pointers. By the inductive hypothesis we must have

$$np(t_1) + np(t_2) = (nodes(t_1) + 1) + (nodes(t_2) + 1)$$

= 2 + nodes(t_1) + nodes(t_2)
= 1 + nodes(T)

NULL pointers in T. This proves the inductive step. \blacklozenge