## FIRST ASSIGNMENT ASSESSMENT FORM (Ver 1.13)

	Unacceptable	Deficient	Acceptable	Good	Excellent	Comment
Design and Implementation: Executable, Source Code	<ul> <li>executable does not work or lacks basic functionality</li> <li>code is hardly or not object-oriented</li> <li>constraints re: gaming framework/windows platform violated</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>working executable with basic functionality</li> <li>code is understandable with effort</li> <li>poor choice of abstractions</li> <li>modifiability is not taken into account</li> </ul>	working executable with basic functionality     code is understandable and commented     acceptable choice of abstractions     design is slightly modifiable	<ul> <li>working executable with basic functionality</li> <li>code is easily understandable and well commented</li> <li>good choice of abstractions</li> <li>design is largely modifiable</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>working executable with basic functionality</li> <li>code is easily understandable and well commented</li> <li>good choice of abstractions</li> <li>design is highly modifiable</li> </ul>	
Presentation of Design: Report	<ul> <li>presentation of design lacks sufficient detail to allow a judgement to be made regarding its quality</li> <li>presentation of design does not match implementation</li> </ul>	presentation of design lacks detail in key areas     object responsibilities and/or collaborations are poorly described	key areas of design are presented at the appropriate level of detail     object responsibilities and collaborations are described	<ul> <li>key areas of design are well presented at the appropriate level of detail</li> <li>object responsibilities and collaborations are well described</li> <li>design decisions are motivated</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>key areas of design are well presented at the appropriate level of detail</li> <li>object responsibilities and collaborations are well described</li> <li>strong motivation of key design decisions, with reference to discarded alternatives and trade-offs</li> </ul>	
Discussion on Modifiability Issues: Report	<ul> <li>not all modifiability scenarios are discussed</li> <li>scenario analysis is largely unacceptable</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>not all modifiability scenarios are discussed</li> <li>analysis is poor for the majority of scenarios</li> </ul>	all modifiability scenarios are discussed     analysis is reasonable for the majority of scenarios but poor for some	all modifiability scenarios are discussed     analysis is good for the majority of scenarios and reasonable for the rest	<ul> <li>all modifiability scenarios are discussed</li> <li>analysis is astute for all scenarios</li> <li>additional scenarios are presented and analysed</li> </ul>	
Technical Communication: Report	<ul> <li>report deviates significantly from the school's standards</li> <li>use of language, style or tone is unacceptable</li> </ul>	report does not conform to the school's standards     use of language, style or tone is poor     report structure is poor     report does not have at least 3 citations/references     format of citations and references is unacceptable	<ul> <li>report conforms to the school's standards: page numbering, section numbering, captions, title, citations, and references</li> <li>use of language, style and tone is acceptable</li> <li>report structure is acceptable</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>report conforms to the school's standards</li> <li>report has an acceptable abstract</li> <li>use of language, style and tone is good</li> <li>report is well structured</li> <li>good use of diagrams to communicate ideas</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>report fully conforms to the school's standards</li> <li>report has a good abstract</li> <li>use of language, style and tone is excellent</li> <li>report is well-structured</li> <li>excellent use of diagrams to communicate ideas</li> </ul>	

All categories are weighted equally If any category receives a score of "unacceptable" then the student's mark is capped at 40%