Level of	Analysis	<u>Questions</u>	<u>Findings</u>	Root	<u>Ask</u>	<u>Take</u>
				Caus	<u>"Why?</u>	<u>Actio</u>
				<u>e?</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>n</u>
What	Sentinel Event	What are the details of				
happened?		the event? (Brief				
		description)				
		When did the event				
		occur? (Date, day of				
		week, time)				
		What area/service was				
		impacted?				
Why did it	The process or	What are the steps in				
happen?	activity in which	the process, as				
	the event	designed? (A flow				
	occurred.	diagram may be				
		helpful here)				

What were the		What steps were		
most proximate		involved in		
factors?		(contributed to) the		
		event?		
(Typically	Human factors	What human factors		
"special cause"		were relevant to the		
variation)		outcome?		
	Equipment	How did the		
	factors	equipment		
		performance affect the		
		outcome?		
	Controllable	What factors directly		
	environmental	affected the outcome?		
	factors			
	Uncontrollable	Are they truly beyond		
	external factors	the organization's		
		control?		

Other	Are there any other		
	factors that have		
	directly influenced this		
	outcome?		
	What other areas or		
	services are impacted		

This template is provided as an aid in organizing the steps in a root cause analysis. Not all possibilities and questions will apply in every case, and there may be others that will emerge in the course of the analysis. However, all possibilities and questions should be fully considered in your quest for "root cause" and risk reduction.

As an aid to avoiding "loose ends," the three columns on the right are provided to be checked off for later reference:

- "Root cause?" should be answered "yes" of "No" for each finding. A root cause is typically a finding related to a process or system that has a potential for redesign to reduce risk. If a particular finding that is relevant to the event is not a root cause, be sure that it is addressed later in the analysis with a "Why?" question. Each finding that is identified as a root cause should be considered for an action and addressed in the action plan.
- "Ask 'Why?" should be checked off whenever it is reasonable to ask why the particular finding occurred (or didn't occur when it should have) in other words, to drill down further. Each item checked in this column should be addressed later in the analysis with a "Why?" question. It is expected that any significant findings that are not identified as root causes themselves have "roots".
- "Take action?" should be checked for any finding that can reasonably be considered for a risk reduction strategy. Each item checked in this column should be addressed later in the action plan. It will be helpful to write the number of the associated Action Item on page 3 in the "Take Action?" column for each of the findings that requires an action.

Level of	Analysis	<u>Questions</u>	<u>Findings</u>	Root	<u>Ask</u>	<u>Take</u>
				<u>Caus</u>	<u>"Why?</u>	<u>Actio</u>
				<u>e?</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>n</u>
Why did that	Human	To what degree are				
happen? What	Resources	staff properly qualified				
systems and	issues	and currently				
processes		competent for their				
underlie those		responsibilities?				
proximate						
factors?						
(Common		How did actual staffing				
cause variation		compare with ideal				
here may lead		levels?				
to special						
cause variation						
in dependent						
processes)						

		What are the plans for				
		dealing with				
		contingencies that				
		would tend to reduce				
		effective staffing				
		levels?				
		To what degree is staff				
		performance in the				
		operant process(es)				
		addressed?				
Level of	<u>Analysis</u>	Questions	<u>Findings</u>	Root	<u>Ask</u>	<u>Take</u>
				<u>Caus</u>	<u>"Why?</u>	<u>Actio</u>
				<u>e?</u>	<u>"</u>	<u>n</u>
		How can orientation				
		and in-service training				
		be improved?				

Information	To what degree is all		
management	necessary information		
issues	available when		
	needed? Accurate?		
	Complete?		
	Unambiguous?		
	To what degree is		
	communication among		
	participants adequate?		
Environmental	To what degree was		
management	the physical		
issues	environment		
	appropriate for the		
	processes being		
	carried out?		
	What systems are in		
	place to identify		
	environmental risks?		

	What emergency and		
	failure-mode		
	responses have been		
	planned and tested?		
Leadership	To what degree is the		
issues:	culture conducive to		
- Corporate	risk identification and		
culture	reduction?		
-	What are the barriers		
Encouragement	to communication of		
of	potential risk factors?		
communication			
- Clear	To what degree is the		
communication	prevention of adverse		
of priorities	outcomes		
	communicated as a		
	high priority? How?		

Uncontrollable	What can be done to					
factors	protect against the					
	effects of these					
	uncontrollable factors?					
Action Plan	Pi	isk Reduction Strategies	Moasuro	s of Effec	tivonoss	. [
Action Flan	<u>N</u>	isk Reduction Strategies	<u>ivieasure</u>	S OI LIIEC	<u>livelless</u>	2
For each of the findings identified	Action Item #1:					
in the analysis as needing an						
action, indicate the planned action						
expected, implementation date and						
associated measure of						
effectiveness. OR						
If after consideration of such a	Action Item #2:					
finding, a decision is made not to						
implement an associated risk						
reduction strategy, indicate the						
rationale for not taking action at						
this time.						
Check to be sure that the selected	Action Item #3:					
measure will provide data that will						
permit assessment of the						

Consider whether pilot testing of a planned improvement should be conducted. Improvements to reduce risk should ultimately be implemented in all areas where applicable, not just where the event occurred. Identify where the improvements will be implemented. Action Item #5: Action Item #6: Action Item #7: Action Item #8:	effectiveness of the action.		
conducted. Improvements to reduce risk should ultimately be implemented in all areas where applicable, not just where the event occurred. Identify where the improvements will be implemented. Action Item #5: Action Item #5: Action Item #7:	Consider whether pilot testing of a	Action Item #4:	
Improvements to reduce risk should ultimately be implemented in all areas where applicable, not just where the event occurred. Identify where the improvements will be implemented. Action Item #5: Action Item #5: Action Item #5: Action Item #6:	planned improvement should be		
should ultimately be implemented in all areas where applicable, not just where the event occurred. Identify where the improvements will be implemented. Action Item #6: Action Item #7:	conducted.		
should ultimately be implemented in all areas where applicable, not just where the event occurred. Identify where the improvements will be implemented. Action Item #6: Action Item #7:			
in all areas where applicable, not just where the event occurred. Identify where the improvements will be implemented. Action Item #6: Action Item #7:	Improvements to reduce risk	Action Item #5:	
just where the event occurred. Identify where the improvements will be implemented. Action Item #6: Action Item #7:	should ultimately be implemented		
Identify where the improvements will be implemented. Action Item #6: Action Item #7:	in all areas where applicable, not		
Action Item #6: Action Item #7:	just where the event occurred.		
Action Item #6: Action Item #7:	Identify where the improvements		
Action Item #7:	will be implemented.		
		Action Item #6:	
Action Item #8:		Action Item #7:	
Action Item #8:			
Action Item #8:			
Action Item #8:			
		Action Item #8:	

Cite any books or journal articles t	hat were considered in developing	this analysis and action plan:	