ATOC5860 – Application Lab #6 Machine Learning with Weather Data Spring 2022

Note: You will need to use the python environment provided (environment.yml), especially for notebook #2. These notebooks were written by Eleanor Middlemas in 2020 (https://github.com/e-middlemas/ML application lab). They were last adapted/updated for use in ATOC5860 during Spring 2022.

Notebook #1

ATOC5860_applicationlab6_cluster_mesa_data.ipynb

LEARNING GOALS

- 1) Use k-means clustering as an example of unsupervised (grouping events into different categories) machine learning
- 2) Become familiar with the limits and applicability of K-means clustering to detect seasons in Boulder, Colorado
- 3) Assess sensitivity of K-means to standardization, changing the variables used for the clustering (also called "features"), and number of clusters (4 vs. 3).

DATA and UNDERLYING SCIENCE:

You will be working with weather data from the NCAR Mesa Laboratory in Boulder, Colorado. We'll call this dataset the "Mesa dataset". The data go from 2016-2021. Information on the site and the instruments is here: https://www.eol.ucar.edu/content/ncar-foothills-lab-weather-station-information. Real-time data from the site is here: https://archive.eol.ucar.edu/cgi-bin/weather.cgi?site=ml. Note: Each year in this dataset has 365 days. Leap year data (i.e., Feb. 29 data for 2016 and 2020 have been excluded.)

In this notebook, you use K-means clustering to classify the mesa dataset weather data into different clusters. Why would we cluster weather observations? We already know which observations are in which season by looking at the date. But we all know that a day in February sometimes feels like summer and a day in September can feel like winter. We often have multiple seasons in a single week... So this could be quite fun to see how the algorithm decides how to cluster our data and assign each day to a "season". :) Will each cluster will look like a season – On Va Voir (We'll See)!

Questions to guide your analysis of Notebook #1:

1) Start with 4 clusters. Cluster the data at 17 UTC (mid-day in Colorado). What is the seasonal occurrence of the 4 clusters? Do the 4 clusters correspond to Fall, Winter, Spring, and Summer? Why or why not?

One cluster strongly correlates with Summer, two clusters might be described as anti-

summer (points in Spring, Fall, and Winter), and one cluster weakly correlates with Fall/Winter. The reason is that the combination of meteorological variables correlate with a strong summer signal, but the combination of variables do not correspond with the other seasons uniformly.

2) Based on 2D and 3D scatter plots of the cluster centers and the data – Which weather variables help (or NOT help) define the clusters?

Temperature helps differentiate between two clusters, while wind speed differentiates the other two. Wind direction differentiates two clusters from the other two, while relative humidity is high only for a single cluster.

3) What do the clusters show during the time period from September 5-15, 2020 (Labor Day 2020)? Are the cluster assignments consistent with the weather experienced over that time period? Are there other date ranges that you would like to check out?

The cluster assignments for the first day and the last four days are all in the summer cluster. Interestingly, the second day (09/06) is the warmest of the bunch but is not clustered in the summer cluster. This might be due to the relatively high wind speed or, perhaps more likely, the wind direction of 313 degrees, which definitely falls more in the range of a non-summer cluster.

4) Re-run the analysis. But now use three clusters instead of four clusters. Compare your cluster analyses for 4 clusters and 3 clusters. Do the results for 4 clusters or 3 clusters make more sense to you based on your analysis and also your experience living in Boulder, Colorado? Which number of clusters provides a better fit to the data?

The summer cluster still appears, and the other two clusters are still generally antisummer. However, the scatter plot of wind speed vs. temperature reveals the way the seasons are determined: there is a warm season, a cold season, and a windy season. Three seasons appear to provide a better fit to the data.

Notebook #2

OPTION #1: ATOC5860_applicationlab6_supervised_ML.ipynb – Use environment.yml or

OPTION #2: supervised.ipynb – Run in Google CoLabs

Note: You will need to change the google drive paths to match those on your computer.

LEARNING GOALS:

- 1) See an example of the data processing pipeline (workflow) required to utilize supervised machine learning techniques.
- 2) Implement and compare four different supervised learning algorithms
- 3) Understanding two outcomes of supervised learning algorithms: prediction and feature importance.

4) Start building a foundation for future machine learning including the following terms: cross-validation, training vs. testing data, metrics (accuracy, recall, precision, f1 score, etc.), overfitting/underfitting, balancing datasets, hyperparameters, & feature importance. Some future learning resources are provided... but there's a lot available! Share resources you find valuable.

DATA and UNDERLYING SCIENCE:

We will use the Christman dataset which contains weather observations from Fort Collins, Colorado for the year 2016. We will build and train four machine learning models to predict something we already know from the dataset: Is it raining?. The point is not to conduct cutting-edge research or make novel predictions. Instead, the purpose here is to showcase supervised machine learning (ML) models and methods. By the end, we hope you can walk away with more confidence to learn and apply these tools to new problems.

Let's say you want to determine which features or atmospheric variables are the best predictors of rainfall. Often, one simply regresses some metric of precipitation onto various atmospheric variables. Then, you assume that whatever returns the highest regression coefficient is the best predictor. While this approach with linear regression presents a fine first guess, it poses a few problems. Linear regression assumes: 1) atmospheric variables are linearly related to precipitation, 2) atmospheric variables are uncorrelated. Yet, both are false assumptions. While a linear relationship between predictor & predictand is a good first guess, why limit yourself to linearity when you can just as easily relax that assumption using supervised Machine Learning...

DATA PREPARATION Deal with Decide on Encode Perform Rescale Rebalance categorical predictors & missing test-train features dataset predictand values features split **Evaluate** Retune **Extract important Make predictions** with hyper-Train model features parameters metrics MODEL UTILIZATION MODEL BUILDING

This notebook will step through the following Machine Learning model pipeline:

After prepping the data, we will build and train four machine learning models and make predictions with them. The four machine learning models we will implement are: Logistic regression, Random Forest, Singular vector machines/classifier, Neural Network. Finally, we will determine which variable ("feature") is the best predictor, i.e., we will assess "feature importance".

Pros/Cons of these Methods (from Eleanor Middlemas)

- 1. Logistic regression tends to overgeneralize or underfit data, but is easy to implement, to understand and easy to back out feature importance.
- Singular Vector Machines are great at capturing complex relationships, but cannot back out feature importance. Plus, the use of the kernel makes them hard to interpret.
- Random forests are easier to understand, generally do not overfit, and can capture complex relationships, and can provide feature importance, but they can be slow to train and there are a lot of hyperparameters to choose from.
- 4. Neural Networks are great at capturing complex relationships. But they are slow to train and are susceptible to overfitting.

Questions to guide your analysis of Notebook #2 – See also questions at the end of supervised.ipynb:

1) Which machine learning model performs the best to predict rainfall? What metrics did you use to make this assessment?

The Singular Vector Machine (SVM) algorithm performed the best to predict rainfall as evidenced by the highest scores in both accuracy and recall, plus having the highest probability score on the rainfall-positive prediction example.

2) Describe the difference between accuracy and recall. Why did we choose to use accuracy, recall, and predicted precipitation probability as a way to compare models? In forecasting: when is a false positive (you said it would rain, it didn't rain) preferred over a false negative (you said it wouldn't rain, it did rain)?

Accuracy is the proportion of the correctly predicted points to the total number of points, while recall is the proportion of precipitating events that are correctly predicted by the model. In other words, accuracy accounts for correct positive and negatives, while recall only accounts for correct positives. We chose these three metrics to evaluate the model's ability to predict both rainfall and non-rainfall events as well as to look at a single example. We prefer a false positive over a false negative when the impact of a positive event is greater than that of a negative event.

3) One important "gotcha" in a machine learning workflow or pipeline is the order of data preparation. Why should one should perform the train-test split before feature scaling and rebalancing? Hint: think about using a trained model for future predictions. Do you

want your scaling of the testing data to depend on the training data? Why perform a test-train split at all?

If we do not perform a train-test split first, then we may need to rescale and rebalance as our split may not (likely will not) pick up an equal proportion in the test and train dataset. We do not want our scaling to depend on the training data. The test-train split is necessary to validate the model fit and performance on an independent (test) dataset.

4) Collinearity, or non-zero correlation among features, results in a model that is overly complex, reduces the statistical significance of the fit of the model, and prevents one from correctly identifying the importance of features. *Are there features included in our machine learning models to predict rain in the Christman dataset that are collinear?* If so, how do you think we should address this collinearity? A couple of suggestions: If we don't have that many features, we could use our meteorological expertise to simply remove one of the features that shares collinearity with other features. Another way to address collinearity is to use feature regularization, or add weights that penalize features that add noise, ultimately reducing model complexity.

Yes. For example, relative humidity is collinear with both temperature and dewpoint temperature. Another example is wind speed with wind gust. We might address collinearity between temperature, dewpoint temperature, and relative humidity by weighting each less than 1, so that the combined weight of the three variables adds up to some value less than 3. Perhaps we can find out just how collinear the features are before deciding on specific weights.