HW3 Josh Cin ta prof Zhav lin 80 allinsis 1. a. Let N= the data matrix Now, let's have a look at let 150= (i) the constraint y. (N; β+ β,)≥/ Let X = (10 1go). Y= for i=1,2,..., & In the objective function Constluct another matrix G = BDB - dB such that G is a deagonal metros, and deag (G) = Y we don't have a linear term for min || | | | | |, Then y. (x; \$ + \$)>/ can be written, with our 3-di so we set $d = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ # Yinip + yip >/ Note that & is has 3 $\begin{array}{c}
\Rightarrow y_i X_i \beta \geqslant / \\
\text{where } \beta = (\beta_2) \text{ as} \\
\beta_0
\end{array}$ elements, and $\beta = \beta_2$ However, because we only want X = restrict \$2+ \$2, GXB > M(1) Therefore, A= (GX) and b= 1

Trans 100 P = 0 For the constraints, we have y' \(= 0 , and $\sum y \cdot \alpha_i = 0$ 80 × 80 matrix At last, we to calculate and all off - degend elements = 1 [marx -1) 10, B Also, let Iso= (; + (Ga) NN Ga = ± d'g'nnga

1-c is similar to 1-6. The Following previous sets up, only two differences are; @ Ynochangel; and win IRG 13 such that 2) One more constraints des C $p \times n'$ duag(G) = Ysull, let & Sox so d=1nmatrix such that B = (GX)N = XG/N diag (G) = Y. an and \$= mean (Gs) And still to 2 bober 12 both descriptive and analytical, accord = mean (GNOGN) B=Q. D=GNNG. enable endonce is needed to demanstrate the - the middle class, is not the evidence of the status i breation" State 'S emphases on fragality and diligence the "normative" aspect

Question 2

 $f(y) = \sum_{i=0}^{5} \beta_{i} x_{i} + \sum_{k=1}^{5} \beta_{k} (x_{i} - \xi_{k}) + \sum_{k=1}^{5} \beta_{k} (x_{i} - \xi_{k$ Therefore: fix=fo+fix+ \(\frac{k}{2}\) \(\frac{k}{2}\)+ = \beta + \beta 10 + \ Since $= \beta_{0} + \beta_{1} + \beta_{2} + \beta_{3} + \beta_{3} + \beta_{4} + \beta_{5} + \beta_$ = Bot Bint & DK (N-EK)+ + B (N-EK-1)++ & (N-EK)+ when $p < \epsilon$ and $p > \epsilon_k$, f(p) is linear in k. That is Because \(\int \theta_k = 0 \) B OK = - K=1 K=- \Sigma \frac{k-2}{k-1} \frac{k-2}{k-2} f(x)= fo+ f1x+ f2x+ f3x3+0 is Because E OKE = 0 Unear in N ⇒ β2= β3=0 BK EK = - E B E E (2) $f(n) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 n + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \theta_k (N - 3n^2 \xi_k + 3n \xi_k^2 - \xi_k^3)$ = -\(\sum_{k-1} \theta_k \xi_k - \theta_{k-1} \theta_k \xi_1 or hash of so and so $\sum_{k=1}^{K} \theta_{k} = 0 \quad \sum_{k=1}^{K} \theta_{k} = 0 = 0 \sum_{k=1}^{K} \theta_{k} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$

It is given that for k=1,2... K=2 $d_{k}(x) = \frac{(x - \xi_{k})_{+}^{3} - (x - \xi_{k})_{+}^{3}}{\xi_{k} - \xi_{k}}$ Therefore, when so < Ex (M-ERWEK-M) FIRS-WIGHT ST $d_{k+1}(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{when } x \in \mathcal{E}_{k-1}, \\ \vdots & \text{replacing } k \text{ with } k-1 \end{cases}$ in $d_k(x)$ $\frac{1}{k} = \frac{1}{k} \left[\frac{(N - \xi_k)_+^3 - (N - \xi_k)_+^3}{\xi_k - \xi_k} - \frac{(N - \xi_k)_+^3}{\xi_k - \xi_{k-1}} - \frac{(N - \xi_k)_+^3}{\xi_k - \xi_{k-1}} \right]$ (N-EK) (E-EK) - E-EK) - K-EK) 5 CK + 5 PK (N-EK) 5 PK (N-EK) 5 CK + 5

