Part A. Determination of the van't Hoff Factor

(0.5 pt) Complete the table below for your NaCl experiment: 01.

Mass of Water	Mass of Ice	Mass of Water + Ice	Mass of NaCl	FP of salt water
99,809	45.009	144.8 9	3.9400 g	-1.74°(

W=1 5= 100mr = 0'3085

- O2. (2 pt) Create the thermogram for this part of the experiment, where your graph must include a proper title and labeled axes. Add a blank page to the end of this lab report, then paste your graph onto that page. A screenshot of your Beyond Labz simulation plot will not count for credit.
- (2 pt) Use the masses of water, ice and NaCl to calculate the molality of your NaCl solution: $M_{N_{\infty}Cl} = 58.4428 \frac{9}{m_{0}} \quad 1. \quad n = \frac{3.4400}{58.44282} = 0.067416 \text{ mol}$ Q3.

: M= 0.067416 mot = 0.4656 m

Q4. (1 pt) If $\Delta T = | FP$ pure water - FP of solution |, calculate ΔT , then use ΔT and your molality from Q3 to calculate the van't Hoff factor of NaCl based on your experimental data:

DI= poc -- 1740(= 1.740 DT = 1/40 M Kennter 2 1.8600 m. 70. 7656 m

1 - DT - 1.74°C KEM 1.86°C . 0.4656m

O5. (2 pt) How does your experimental i-value compare to the literature (ideal) value of i for NaCl? Propose at least one source of error in this experiment, where your error must support whether your experimentally-determined value of i was too high or too low.

Since the literature value of i is 2 because Na(1 disociates into 2 ions, my experimental i -value is very close at i=2.01.

One Possible error could be that I mailed a large amount of time offer the mater reached o'C so the solution could have been slightly warmer causing 3 of 4 DT to increase which would increase the i-valve.

Part B. Determination of Molar Mass

Q6. (0.5 pt) Complete the table below for yourCa(NO₃)₂ experiment:

Mass of Water	Mass of Ice	Mass of Water + Ice	Mass of Ca(NO ₃) ₂	FP of salt water
99.809	45.00 g	144.89	4.2985 9	-1.02 °C

W. N 5- 100WF. 0' CHISO

Q7. (2 pt) Create the thermogram for your Ca(NO₃)₂ data, then paste it onto your added page beneath your NaCl thermogram from Part A.

Q8. (1 pt) Use ΔT to calculate the molality of your aqueous Ca(NO₃)₂ solution, where you may

assume full dissociation of the salt (i.e. an ideal value of i):

$$\Delta T = i \quad k_f \quad m$$

$$i = 3$$

AT= 10+1.02

(1 pt) Use your answer from Q8 and the mass of solvent to calculate the moles of $Ca(NO_3)_2$; Q9. ~ mor solver = 0.183 m = 0.1448 kginter = 0.0265 mol Ca (NO3) 2

Q10. (1 pt) Calculate the molar mass of $Ca(NO_3)_2$ based on your experimental data:

Q11. (2 pt) Calculate the percent error between your experimental molar mass of Ca(NO₃)₂ and its actual molar mass. Next, propose at least one source of error in this experiment, where your error must support whether your experimental molar mass was too high or too low.

ELLOL = West - Wort = 10000 = 10000 = 1000 10 = 1.5 +60.08 +8 1.000 10 = 1.5 +60 Machin - 164.0878 Mexp = 162

· A possible source of error could be using an estimated invalve rather than a calculated one. If the calculated value is greater than the estimated value, then the molality would be smaller, increasing the value of Molarity



