

## Schedule

Please note this schedule is tentative. I reserve the right to change it or add or subtract readings or assignments.

### Week 1: Syllabus, Introduction, and Background

- James A. Stimson. Professional writing in political science: A highly opinionated essay. Working Paper, ND
- Douglas L. Kriner and Andrew Reeves. The influence of federal spending on presidential elections. *American Political Science Review*, 106(2):348–366, 2012

### Week 2: Institutions in Political Science and Approaches

- Gabriel A. Almond and Stephen J. Genco. Clouds, clocks, and the study of politics. *World Politics*, 29(4):489–522, 1977
- Jonathan Bendor, Terry M. Moe, and Kenneth W. Shotts. Recycling the garbage can: An assessment of the research program. *American Political Science Review*, 95(1):169–190, 2001
- Daniel Diermeier and Keith Krehbiel. Institutionalism as a methodology. *Journal of Theoretical Politics*, 15(2):123–144, 2003
- R. Harrison Wagner. Who’s afraid of rational choice theory? Working Paper, October 2001

### Week 3: New Institutionalism

- Kevin A. Clarke and David M. Primo. Modernizing political science: A model based approach. Unpublished Manuscript, 2005
- Kenneth A Shepsle. Studying institutions: Some lessons from the rational choice approach. *Journal of Theoretical Politics*, 1(2):131–147, 1989
- Kenneth A Shepsle. Institutional equilibrium and equilibrium institutions. In Herbert Weisberg, editor, *Political Science: The Science of Politics*, pages 51–82. New York: Agathon, 1986
- Barry R. Weingast and William Marshall. The industrial organization of congress; or, why legislatures, like firms, are not organized as markets. *Journal of Political Economy*, 91(1):132–163, 1988

### Week 4: Congress—Legislative Organization

- Kenneth Shepsle and Barry Weingast. Institutional foundations of committee power. *The American Political Science Review*, 81:85–103, 1987
- Keith Krehbiel. Where’s the party? *British Journal of Political Science*, 23(2):235–266, 1993

- Matthew J. Lebo, Adam J. McGlynn, and Gregory Koger. Strategic party government: Party influence in congress, 1789-2000. *American Journal of Political Science*, 51(3):464–481, 2007
- E. Scott Adler and John Wilkerson. A governing theory of legislative organization. Prepared for the annual meetings of the American Political Science Association, August 29th-Sept. 2nd, 2007, Chicago, IL, 2007

#### Week 5: Representation and Elections

- Barbara Sinclair. Question: What’s wrong with congress? answer: It’s a democratic legislature. *Boston University Law Review*, 89:387–398, 2009
- Justin Grimmer. Appropriators not position takers: The distorting effects of electoral incentives on congressional representation. *American Journal of Political Science*, 57(3):624–642, 2013
- Brandice Canes-Wrone, David W. Brady, and John F. Cogan. Out of step, out of office: Electoral accountability and house members’ voting. *The American Political Science Review*, 96(1):127–140, 2002
- Jamie L. Carson, Gregory Koger, Matthew J. Lebo, and Everett Young. The electoral costs of party loyalty in congress. *American Journal of Political Science*, 54(3):598–616, 2010

#### Week 6: The Presidency—Institutional Design and Extra-Institutional Power

- George C. Edwards III and B. Dan Wood. Who influences whom? the president, congress, and the media. *The American Political Science Review*, 93(2):327–344, 1999
- Nolan McCarty. Proposal rights, veto rights and political bargaining. *American Journal of Political Science*, 44(3):506–522, 2000
- Charles Cameron and Nolan McCarty. Models of vetoes and veto bargaining. *Annual Review of Political Science*, 7:409–435, 2004
- Jon C. Rogowski. Presidential influence in an era of congressional dominance. *American Political Science Review*, 110(2):325–341, 2016

#### Week 7: The Bureaucracy

- Terry M. Moe. The new economics of organization. *American Journal of Political Science*, 28(4):739–777, 1984
- Joshua D. Clinton, Anthony Bertelli, Christian R. Grose, David E. Lewis, and David C. Nixon. Separated powers in the united states: The ideology of agencies, presidents, and congress. *American Journal of Political Science*, 52(2):341–354, 2012

- Kenneth J. Meier, Robert D. Wrinkle, and Jerry L. Polinard. Representative bureaucracy and distributional equity: Addressing the hard question. *The Journal of Politics*, 61(4):1025–1039, 1999
- John D. Huber, Charles R. Shipan, and Madelaine Pfahler. Legislatures and statutory control of bureaucracy. *American Journal of Political Science*, 45(2):330–345, 2001

#### Week 8: The Courts and Judicial Politics

- Tom S. Clark. The separation of powers, court curbing, and judicial legitimacy. *American Journal of Political Science*, 53(4):971–989, 2009
- Melinda Gann Hall. Electoral politics and strategic voting in state supreme courts. *The Journal of Politics*, 54(2):427–446, 1992
- Michael A. Bailey and Forrest Maltzman. Does legal doctrine matter? unpacking law and policy preferences on the us supreme court. *American Political Science Review*, 102(3):369–384, 2008
- Gregory A. Caldeira and James L. Gibson. The etiology of public support for the supreme court. *American Journal of Political Science*, 36(3):635–664, 1992

#### Week 9: Citizen Competence and Political Knowledge

- Jason Barabas, Jennifer Jerit, William Pollock, and Carlisle Rainey. The question(s) of political knowledge. *American Political Science Review*, 108(4):840–855, 2014
- Richard Lau and David P. Redlawsk. Voting correctly. *American Political Science Review*, 91(3):585–598, 1997
- Larry M. Bartels. Uninformed votes: Information effects in presidential elections. *American Journal of Political Science*, 40(1):194–230, 1996
- Philip E. Converse. The nature of belief systems in mass publics. In David Apter, editor, *Ideology and Discontent*. New York, NY: The Free Press, 1964

#### Week 10: Partisanship

- Christopher H. Achen. Parental socialization and rational party identification. *Political Behavior*, 24(2):151–170, 2002
- Luke Keele and Nathan J. Kelly. Dynamic models for dynamic theories: The ins and outs of lagged dependent variables. *Political Analysis*, 14(2):186–205, 2006
- Joseph Bafumi and Robert Y. Shapiro. A new partisan voter. *The Journal of Politics*, 71(1):1–24, 2009

- Angus Campbell, Philip E. Converse, Warren E. Miller, and Donald E. Stokes. *The American Voter*. New York, NY: Wiley, 1960

#### Week 11: Political Reasoning and Persuasion

- Paul Allen Beck, Russell J. Dalton, Steven Greene, and Robert Huckfeldt. The social calculus of coting: Interpersonal, media, and organizational influences on presidential choices. *American Political Science Review*, 96(1):57–73, 2002
- Andrew Gelman and Gary King. Why are presidential election campaign polls so variable when votes are so predictable? *British Journal of Political Science*, 23(4):409–451, 1993
- Milton Lodge, Marco R. Steenbergen, and Shawn Brau. The responsive voter: Campaign information and the dynamics of candidate evaluation. *American Political Science Review*, 89(2):309–326, 1995
- Scott J. Basinger and Howard Lavine. Ambivalence, information, and electoral choice. *American Political Science Review*, 99(2):169–184, 2005

#### Week 12: Priming, Framing, and Media Effects

- Shanto Iyengar, Mark D. Peters, and Donald R. Kinder. Experimental demonstrations of the “not-so-minimal” consequences of television news programs. *American Political Science Review*, 76(4):848–858, 1982
- James N. Druckman. The implications of framing effects for citizen competence. *Political Behavior*, 23(3):225–256, 2001
- Thomas E. Nelson, Rosalee A. Clawson, and Zoe M. Oxley. Media framing of a civil liberties conflict and its effect on tolerance. *American Political Science Review*, 91(3):567–583, 1997
- Michael. Tesler. Priming predispositions and changing policy positions: An account of when mass opinion is primed or changed. *American Journal of Political Science*, 59(4):806–824, 2015

#### Week 13: Political Talk and Deliberation

- Diana C. Mutz. Cross-cutting social networks: Testing democratic theory in practice. *American Political Science Review*, 96(1):111–126, 2002
- Michael A. Neblo, Kevin M. Esterling, Ryan P. Kennedy, David M.J. Lazer, and Anand E. Sokhey. Who wants to deliberate-and why? *American Political Science Review*, 104(3):566–583, 2010
- Casey A. Klofstad. Talk leads to recruitment: How discussions about politics and current events increase civic participation. *Political Research Quarterly*, 60(2):180–191, 2007

- David W. Nickerson. Is voting contagious? evidence from two field experiments. *American Political Science Review*, 102(1):49–57, 2008

#### Week 14: Voting and Political Participation

- Henry E. Brady, Sidney Verba, and Kay Lehman Schlozman. Beyond ses: A resource model of political participation. *American Political Science Review*, 89(2):271–294, 1995
- Alan S. Gerber, Donald P. Green, and Christopher W. Larimer. Social pressure and voter turnout: Evidence from a large-scale field experiment. *American Political Science Review*, 102(1):33–48, 2008
- Paul Allen Beck and M. Kent Jennings. Pathways to participation. *American Political Science Review*, 76(1):94–108, 1982
- John E. McNulty, Conor M. Dowling, and Margaret H. Ariotti. Driving saints to sin: How increasing the difficulty of voting dissuades even the most motivated voters. *Political Analysis*, 17(4):435–455, 2009

#### Week 15: Presentations

## References

- [Ach02] Christopher H. Achen. Parental socialization and rational party identification. *Political Behavior*, 24(2):151–170, 2002.
- [AG77] Gabriel A. Almond and Stephen J. Genco. Clouds, clocks, and the study of politics. *World Politics*, 29(4):489–522, 1977.
- [AW07] E. Scott Adler and John Wilkerson. A governing theory of legislative organization. Prepared for the annual meetings of the American Political Science Association, August 29th–Sept. 2nd, 2007, Chicago, IL, 2007.
- [Bar96] Larry M. Bartels. Uninformed votes: Information effects in presidential elections. *American Journal of Political Science*, 40(1):194–230, 1996.
- [BDGH02] Paul Allen Beck, Russell J. Dalton, Steven Greene, and Robert Huckfeldt. The social calculus of voting: Interpersonal, media, and organizational influences on presidential choices. *American Political Science Review*, 96(1):57–73, 2002.
- [BJ82] Paul Allen Beck and M. Kent Jennings. Pathways to participation. *American Political Science Review*, 76(1):94–108, 1982.
- [BJPR14] Jason Barabas, Jennifer Jerit, William Pollock, and Carlisle Rainey. The question(s) of political knowledge. *American Political Science Review*, 108(4):840–855, 2014.
- [BL05] Scott J. Basinger and Howard Lavine. Ambivalence, information, and electoral choice. *American Political Science Review*, 99(2):169–184, 2005.
- [BM08] Michael A. Bailey and Forrest Maltzman. Does legal doctrine matter? unpacking law and policy preferences on the us supreme court. *American Political Science Review*, 102(3):369–384, 2008.
- [BMS01] Jonathan Bendor, Terry M. Moe, and Kenneth W. Shotts. Recycling the garbage can: An assessment of the research program. *American Political Science Review*, 95(1):169–190, 2001.
- [BS09] Joseph Bafumi and Robert Y. Shapiro. A new partisan voter. *The Journal of Politics*, 71(1):1–24, 2009.
- [BVS95] Henry E. Brady, Sidney Verba, and Kay Lehman Schlozman. Beyond ses: A resource model of political participation. *American Political Science Review*, 89(2):271–294, 1995.

- [CBG<sup>+</sup>12] Joshua D. Clinton, Anthony Bertelli, Christian R. Grose, David E. Lewis, and David C. Nixon. Separated powers in the united states: The ideology of agencies, presidents, and congress. *American Journal of Political Science*, 52(2):341–354, 2012.
- [CCMS60] Angus Campbell, Philip E. Converse, Warren E. Miller, and Donald E. Stokes. *The American Voter*. New York, NY: Wiley, 1960.
- [CG92] Gregory A. Caldeira and James L. Gibson. The etiology of public support for the supreme court. *American Journal of Political Science*, 36(3):635–664, 1992.
- [CKLY10] Jamie L. Carson, Gregory Koger, Matthew J. Lebo, and Everett Young. The electoral costs of party loyalty in congress. *American Journal of Political Science*, 54(3):598–616, 2010.
- [Cla09] Tom S. Clark. The separation of powers, court curbing, and judicial legitimacy. *American Journal of Political Science*, 53(4):971–989, 2009.
- [CM04] Charles Cameron and Nolan McCarty. Models of vetoes and veto bargaining. *Annual Review of Political Science*, 7:409–435, 2004.
- [Con64] Philip E. Converse. The nature of belief systems in mass publics. In David Apter, editor, *Ideology and Discontent*. New York, NY: The Free Press, 1964.
- [CP05] Kevin A. Clarke and David M. Primo. Modernizing political science: A model based approach. Unpublished Manuscript, 2005.
- [CWBC02] Brandice Canes-Wrone, David W. Brady, and John F. Cogan. Out of step, out of office: Electoral accountability and house members’ voting. *The American Political Science Review*, 96(1):127–140, 2002.
- [DK03] Daniel Diermeier and Keith Krehbiel. Institutionalism as a methodology. *Journal of Theoretical Politics*, 15(2):123–144, 2003.
- [Dru01] James N. Druckman. The implications of framing effects for citizen competence. *Political Behavior*, 23(3):225–256, 2001.
- [EIW99] George C. Edwards III and B. Dan Wood. Who influences whom? the president, congress, and the media. *The American Political Science Review*, 93(2):327–344, 1999.
- [GGL08] Alan S. Gerber, Donald P. Green, and Christopher W. Larimer. Social pressure and voter turnout: Evidence from a large-scale field experiment. *American Political Science Review*, 102(1):33–48, 2008.

- [GK93] Andrew Gelman and Gary King. Why are presidential election campaign polls so variable when votes are so predictable? *British Journal of Political Science*, 23(4):409–451, 1993.
- [Gri13] Justin Grimmer. Appropriators not position takers: The distorting effects of electoral incentives on congressional representation. *American Journal of Political Science*, 57(3):624–642, 2013.
- [Hal92] Melinda Gann Hall. Electoral politics and strategic voting in state supreme courts. *The Journal of Politics*, 54(2):427–446, 1992.
- [HSP01] John D. Huber, Charles R. Shipan, and Madelaine Pfahler. Legislatures and statutory control of bureaucracy. *American Journal of Political Science*, 45(2):330–345, 2001.
- [IPK82] Shanto Iyengar, Mark D. Peters, and Donald R. Kinder. Experimental demonstrations of the “not-so-minimal” consequences of television news programs. *American Political Science Review*, 76(4):848–858, 1982.
- [KK06] Luke Keele and Nathan J. Kelly. Dynamic models for dynamic theories: The ins and outs of lagged dependent variables. *Political Analysis*, 14(2):186–205, 2006.
- [Klo07] Casey A. Klofstad. Talk leads to recruitment: How discussions about politics and current events increase civic participation. *Political Research Quarterly*, 60(2):180–191, 2007.
- [KR12] Douglas L. Kriner and Andrew Reeves. The influence of federal spending on presidential elections. *American Political Science Review*, 106(2):348–366, 2012.
- [Kre93] Keith Krehbiel. Where’s the party? *British Journal of Political Science*, 23(2):235–266, 1993.
- [LMK07] Matthew J. Lebo, Adam J. McGlynn, and Gregory Koger. Strategic party government: Party influence in congress, 1789–2000. *American Journal of Political Science*, 51(3):464–481, 2007.
- [LR97] Richard Lau and David P. Redlawsk. Voting correctly. *American Political Science Review*, 91(3):585–598, 1997.
- [LSB95] Milton Lodge, Marco R. Steenbergen, and Shawn Brau. The responsive voter: Campaign information and the dynamics of candidate evaluation. *American Political Science Review*, 89(2):309–326, 1995.
- [McC00] Nolan McCarty. Proposal rights, veto rights and political bargaining. *American Journal of Political Science*, 44(3):506–522, 2000.



- [MDA09] John E. McNulty, Conor M. Dowling, and Margaret H. Ariotti. Driving saints to sin: How increasing the difficulty of voting dissuades even the most motivated voters. *Political Analysis*, 17(4):435–455, 2009.
- [Moe84] Terry M. Moe. The new economics of organization. *American Journal of Political Science*, 28(4):739–777, 1984.
- [Mut02] Diana C. Mutz. Cross-cutting social networks: Testing democratic theory in practice. *American Political Science Review*, 96(1):111–126, 2002.
- [MWP99] Kenneth J. Meier, Robert D. Wrinkle, and Jerry L. Polinard. Representative bureaucracy and distributional equity: Addressing the hard question. *The Journal of Politics*, 61(4):1025–1039, 1999.
- [NCO97] Thomas E. Nelson, Rosalee A. Clawson, and Zoe M. Oxley. Media framing of a civil liberties conflict and its effect on tolerance. *American Political Science Review*, 91(3):567–583, 1997.
- [NEK<sup>+</sup>10] Michael A. Neblo, Kevin M. Esterling, Ryan P. Kennedy, David M.J. Lazer, and Anand E. Sokhey. Who wants to deliberate and why? *American Political Science Review*, 104(3):566–583, 2010.
- [Nic08] David W. Nickerson. Is voting contagious? evidence from two field experiments. *American Political Science Review*, 102(1):49–57, 2008.
- [Rog16] Jon C. Rogowski. Presidential influence in an era of congressional dominance. *American Political Science Review*, 110(2):325–341, 2016.
- [She86] Kenneth A Shepsle. Institutional equilibrium and equilibrium institutions. In Herbert Weisberg, editor, *Political Science: The Science of Politics*, pages 51–82. New York: Agathon, 1986.
- [She89] Kenneth A Shepsle. Studying institutions: Some lessons from the rational choice approach. *Journal of Theoretical Politics*, 1(2):131–147, 1989.
- [Sin09] Barbara Sinclair. Question: What’s wrong with congress? answer: It’s a democratic legislature. *Boston University Law Review*, 89:387–398, 2009.
- [StiND] James A. Stimson. Professional writing in political science: A highly opinionated essay. Working Paper, ND.
- [SW87] Kenneth Shepsle and Barry Weingast. Institutional foundations of committee power. *The American Political Science Review*, 81:85–103, 1987.

- [Tes15] Michael. Tesler. Priming predispositions and changing policy positions: An account of when mass opinion is primed or changed. *American Journal of Political Science*, 59(4):806–824, 2015.
- [Wag01] R. Harrison Wagner. Who’s afraid of rational choice theory? Working Paper, October 2001.
- [WM88] Barry R. Weingast and William Marshall. The industrial organization of congress; or, why legislatures, like firms, are not organized as markets. *Journal of Political Economy*, 91(1):132–163, 1988.