

**Exercise 12.10** Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a directed graph with  $m$  edges and  $n$  vertices, where each vertex  $v \in V$  is given an integer label  $\ell(v) \in \mathbb{N}$ . The goal is to find the length of the longest path<sup>3</sup> in  $G$  where the labels of the vertices are (strictly) increasing.

<sup>3</sup>Recall that a path is a walk that does not repeat vertices.

**Exercise 12.10.1.** Suppose  $G$  is a DAG. For this problem, either (a) design and analyze a polynomial time algorithm (the faster the better), or (b) prove that a polynomial time algorithm would imply a polynomial time algorithm for SAT.

*Solution.* We claim the following polynomial-time algorithm suffices.

lip-dag(s):

*/\* given  $s \in V$  in a DAG, computes the length of the longest strictly-increasing path starting at vertex  $s$ , measured by the number of edges. \*/*

1. Let  $m \leftarrow 0$ .
2. For  $(s, v) \in \delta^+(s)$  such that  $\ell(v) > \ell(s)$  do
  - A.  $m \leftarrow \max(m, 1 + \text{lip-dag}(v))$
3. return  $m$

We can solve the original problem by computing  $\max_{v \in V} \text{lip-dag}(v)$ .

*Runtime.* If we cache the return value of lip-dag(v) for all  $v \in V$  (as well as the maximum of all these return values), our algorithm has the following runtime complexity:

$$O\left(n \cdot \left(1 + \sum_{v \in V} d^+(v)\right)\right) = O(m + n)$$

■

*Correctness.* The correctness of this algorithm follows from performing induction, in reverse topological order, according to the recursive specification. We claim that for all vertices  $s \in V$ , the algorithm correctly computes the length of the longest strictly increasing path starting with vertex  $s$ , measured in the number of edges.

In the base case,  $s$  is the last vertex in topological order, so  $s$  is a sink and the longest increasing path starting from  $s$  has length 0, as returned in the algorithm.

Now assume that the claim holds for some vertex  $s$  as well as all vertices that follow  $s$  in topological order. Take  $s' \in V$  to be a vertex that immediately precedes  $s$  in topological order, and notice that the claim holds for all  $v$  such that  $(s', v) \in \delta^+(s')$ . If  $s'$  has no out-neighbors with larger weight, then the algorithm correctly returns a maximum increasing path length of 0. Otherwise, the longest path starting at  $s'$  has length

$$\max_{v : (s', v) \in \delta^+(s'), \ell(v) > \ell(s')} \text{lip-dag}(v)$$

as computed in the algorithm.

Hence, by induction, the claim—algorithmic correctness—holds for all  $s \in V$ .

■

□

**Exercise 12.10.2.** Consider now the problem for general graphs. For this problem, either (a) design and analyze a polynomial time algorithm (the faster the better), or (b) prove that a polynomial time algorithm would imply a polynomial time algorithm for SAT.

*Solution.* The intuition here is that, because it is not possible to have a strictly-increasing cycles, we can treat  $G$  just as we would a DAG.

We claim the same polynomial-time algorithm as in 12.10.1 solves this problem.

lip(s):

*/\* given  $s \in V$ , computes the length of the longest strictly-increasing path starting at vertex  $s$ , measured by the number of edges. \*/*

1. Let  $m \leftarrow 0$ .
2. For  $(s, v) \in \delta^+(s)$  such that  $\ell(v) > \ell(s)$  do
  - A.  $m \leftarrow \max(m, 1 + \underline{\text{lip}}(v))$
3. return  $m$

Again, we can solve the original problem by computing  $\max_{v \in V} \underline{\text{lip}}(v)$ .

*Runtime.* If we cache the return value of  $\underline{\text{lip}}(v)$  for all  $v \in V$  (as well as the maximum of all these return values), our algorithm has the following runtime complexity:

$$O\left(n \cdot \left(1 + \sum_{v \in V} d^+(v)\right)\right) = O(m + n)$$

■

*Correctness.* To clarify the DAG-like nature of this traversal (i.e. why there are no cyclic dependencies in the recursive calls), consider calling  $\underline{\text{lip}}$  on some vertex  $s \in V$ . We make recursive calls to adjacent vertices  $v \in V$ ,  $(s, v) \in \delta^+(s)$  only when  $\ell(v) > \ell(s)$ , which creates a sort of topological ordering-by-weight on  $G$ .

Explicitly: we claim that for all vertices  $s \in V$ , the algorithm correctly computes the length of the longest strictly increasing path starting with vertex  $s$ , measured in the number of edges. To prove this, we perform induction based on the weight  $\ell(v)$  of all  $v \in V$ .

In the base case, consider  $s \in V$  with maximal weight; that is,  $\ell(s) \geq \ell(v)$  for all  $v \in V$ . Then since  $\ell(v) > \ell(s)$  is always false,  $\underline{\text{lip}}(s)$  makes no recursive calls, and the algorithm returns 0, as desired.

Now assume that the claim holds for some vertex  $s$  as well as all vertices  $v \in V$  such that  $\ell(v) \geq \ell(s)$ .

Take  $s' \in V$  to be a vertex that immediately precedes  $s$  in weight order (that is,  $\ell(s') \leq \ell(s)$  and there exists no  $v \in V$  for which  $\ell(s') < \ell(v) < \ell(s)$ ), and notice that the claim holds for all  $v$  such that  $(s', v) \in \delta^+(s')$  and  $\ell(v) > \ell(s')$  by assumption.

If  $s'$  has no out-neighbors with larger weight, then the algorithm correctly returns a maximum increasing path length of 0. Otherwise, the longest path starting at  $s'$  has length

$$v : (s', v) \in \delta^+(s'), \ell(v) > \ell(s') \quad \underline{\text{lip}}(v)$$

as computed in the algorithm.

By induction, we can conclude that  $\underline{\text{lip}}(s)$  returns the length of the longest increasing path for all  $s \in V$ . ■

□

**Exercise 12.10.3.** Suppose instead we ask for the length of the longest path in  $G$  where  $G$  is a general graph and the labels of the vertices are weakly increasing.<sup>4</sup> For this problem, either (a) design and analyze a polynomial time algorithm (the faster the better), or (b) prove that a polynomial time algorithm would imply a polynomial time algorithm for SAT.

<sup>4</sup>A sequence  $x_1, \dots, x_k$  is weakly increasing if  $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_k$ .

*Solution.* We claim that a polynomial time solution for the partition problem would imply a polynomial time solution for SAT. To see this, we present a polynomial time reduction from the longest path problem, which is known to be hard, to the longest weakly increasing path problem.

The approach is simple: given a directed graph  $G$ , we label every vertex with the same weight 1 to get a vertex-weighted graph  $G'$ . This is clearly a polynomial-time reduction.

*Correctness.* We claim that  $\text{longest-weakly-increasing-path}(G')$  computes  $\text{longest-path}(G)$ .

If we take any path  $P$  in  $G$ , then the exact same path in  $G'$  is weakly increasing, since  $1 \leq 1 \leq 1 \leq \dots$ . Conversely, take any weakly-increasing path  $P'$  in  $G'$ . Since removing vertex weights does not alter reachability,  $P'$  is also a path in  $G$ .

This correspondence preserves path lengths; hence, the maximum path length  $\text{longest-path}(G)$  is exactly the maximum weakly-increasing path  $\text{longest-weakly-increasing-path}(G')$ . ■

□