

## 14. RINGS

We introduce the main object of study for the second half of the course.

**Definition 14.1.** A **ring** is a set  $R$ , together with two binary operations addition and multiplication, denoted  $+$  and  $\cdot$  respectively, which satisfy the following axioms. Firstly  $R$  is an abelian group under addition, with zero as the identity.

(1) (Associativity) For all  $a, b$  and  $c$  in  $R$ ,

$$(a + b) + c = a + (b + c).$$

(2) (Zero) There is an element  $0 \in R$  such that for all  $a$  in  $R$ ,

$$a + 0 = 0 + a.$$

(3) (Additive Inverse) For all  $a$  in  $R$ , there exists  $b \in R$  such that

$$a + b = b + a = 0.$$

$b$  will be denoted  $-a$ .

(4) (Commutativity) For all  $a$  and  $b$  in  $R$ ,

$$a + b = b + a.$$

Secondly multiplication is also associative and there is a multiplicative identity  $1$ .

(5) (Associativity) For all  $a, b$  and  $c$  in  $R$ ,

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot c = a \cdot (b \cdot c).$$

(6) (Unit) There is an element  $1 \neq 0 \in R$  such that for all  $a$  in  $R$ ,

$$a \cdot 1 = 1 \cdot a.$$

Finally we require that addition and multiplication are compatible in an obvious sense.

(7) (Distributivity) For all  $a, b$  and  $c$  in  $R$ , we have

$$a \cdot (b + c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c,$$

$$(b + c) \cdot a = b \cdot a + c \cdot a.$$

Unfortunately there is no standard definition of a ring. In particular some books do not require the existence of unity, or if they do require it, then they do not necessarily require that it is not equal to zero.

**Example 14.2.** The complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$  form a ring, with the obvious multiplication and addition.

**Definition 14.3.** Let  $R$  be a ring and let  $S$  be a subset. We say that  $S$  is a **subring** of  $R$ , if  $S$  becomes a ring, with the induced addition and multiplication.

**Lemma 14.4.** Let  $R$  be a ring and let  $S$  be a subset that contains 1.

Then  $S$  is a subring iff  $S$  is closed under addition, additive inverses and multiplication.

*Proof.* Similar proof as for groups. □

Note that we require  $S$  to contain 1. Since we don't necessarily have multiplicative inverses, just because  $S$  is non-empty, does not force  $S$  to contain 1.

**Example 14.5.** The following tower of subsets

$$\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{C}$$

is in fact a tower of subrings. A more interesting example is given by taking all rational numbers of the form  $a/b$ , where  $a$  and  $b$  are integers and  $b$  is odd. This set is a subring of the rational numbers. Indeed it contains 1 and it is easy to see that it is closed under addition and multiplication.

Finally consider the Gaussian integers, defined as all complex numbers of the form

$$a + bi,$$

where  $a$  and  $b$  are integers. It is easy to see that the Gaussian integers form a subring of the complex numbers.

**Example 14.6.** Let  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  denote the left cosets of  $n\mathbb{Z}$  inside  $\mathbb{Z}$ , or what comes to the same thing, the integers modulo  $n$ . We showed that the law of addition and multiplication descends from  $\mathbb{Z}$  down to  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ . With these rules of addition and multiplication,  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  becomes a ring. Indeed  $[0]$  plays the role of zero and  $[1]$  plays the role of the identity. In fact we proved that  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  is a group under addition and it is not much more work to prove that  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  is in fact a ring. Moreover we will see later that this is an example of a much more general phenomena.

It is interesting to see what happens in a specific example. Suppose that  $n = 6$ . In this case  $0 = [0]$  and  $1 = [1]$ . However note that one curious feature is that

$$[2][3] = [2 \cdot 3] = [6] = [0],$$

so that the product of two non-zero elements of  $R$  might in fact be zero.

**Definition-Lemma 14.7.** *Let  $X$  be any set and let  $R$  be any ring. Then the set  $R$  of functions from  $X$  into  $R$  becomes a ring, with addition and multiplication defined pointwise. That is to say, given  $f$  and  $g \in R$ , define  $f + g$  by the rule,*

$$(f + g)(x) = f(x) + g(x) \in R,$$

*where  $x \in X$  and addition is in  $R$ . Similarly define the product  $f \cdot g$  of  $f$  and  $g$  by the rule,*

$$(f \cdot g)(x) = f(x) \cdot g(x) \in R.$$

*Then the zero function  $f$ , defined by the rule*

$$f(x) = 0 \in R,$$

*for all  $x \in X$ , plays the role of zero and the function  $g$ , defined by the rule*

$$g(x) = 1 \in R,$$

*plays the role of 1.*

*Proof.* Again, all of this is easy to check. We check associativity of addition and leave the rest to the reader. Suppose that  $f$ ,  $g$  and  $h$  are three functions from  $X$  to  $R$ . We want to prove

$$(f + g) + h = f + (g + h).$$

Since both sides are functions from  $X$  to  $R$ , it suffices to prove that they have the same effect on any element  $x \in X$ .

$$\begin{aligned} ((f + g) + h)(x) &= (f + g)(x) + h(x) \\ &= (f(x) + g(x)) + h(x) \\ &= f(x) + (g(x) + h(x)) \\ &= f(x) + (g + h)(x) \\ &= (f + (g + h))(x). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Here is a very interesting example of this type.

**Example 14.8.** *Let  $X = [0, 1]$  and  $R = \mathbb{R}$ . Then we are looking at the collection of all functions from  $X$  into the reals. In this case there are lots of interesting subrings. For example consider  $C[0, 1]$ , the set of all continuous functions from  $[0, 1]$  into  $\mathbb{R}$ . Since the sum and product of two continuous functions is continuous, it follows that this is a subring of the set of all functions. Similarly we could look at the space of all differentiable (or twice, thrice, up to infinitely differentiable) functions.*

**Definition-Lemma 14.9.** Let  $R$  be a ring and let  $n$  be a positive integer.  $M_n(R)$  denotes the set of all  $n \times n$  matrices with entries in  $R$ . Given two such matrices  $A = (a_{ij})$  and  $B = (b_{ij})$ , we define  $A + B$  as  $(a_{ij} + b_{ij})$ . The product of  $A$  and  $B$  is also defined in the usual way. That is the  $ij$  entry of  $AB$  is the dot product of the  $i$ th row of  $A$  and the  $j$ th column of  $B$ .

With this rule of addition and multiplication  $M_n(R)$  becomes a ring, with zero given as the zero matrix (every entry equal to zero) and 1 given as the matrix with ones on the main diagonal and zeroes everywhere else.

*Proof.* Most of this has already been proved and that which has not, is left as an exercise for the reader.  $\square$

Note that if  $n = 1$ , then  $M_1(R)$  is simply a copy of  $R$ . To fix ideas, let us consider an easy example.

**Example 14.10.** Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}_6$  be the ring of integers modulo six and take  $n = 2$ . Take

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 2 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 5 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then

$$AB = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Definition-Lemma 14.11.** Let  $R$  be a ring and let  $x$  be an indeterminate. The **polynomial ring**  $R[x]$  is defined to be the set of all formal sums

$$a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 x + a_0 = \sum a_i x^i$$

where each  $a_i \in R$ . Given two polynomials

$$f = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 x + a_0 = \sum a_i x^i$$

$$g = b_m x^m + b_{m-1} x^{m-1} + \dots + b_1 x + b_0 = \sum b_i x^i$$

in  $R[x]$  the sum of  $f$  and  $g$ ,  $f + g$ , is defined as,

$$f + g = (a_n + b_n)x^n + (a_{n-1} + b_{n-1})x^{n-1} + \dots + (a_1 + b_1)x + (a_0 + b_0) = \sum (a_i + b_i)x^i,$$

(where we have implicitly assumed that  $m \leq n$  and we set  $b_i = 0$ , for  $i > m$ ) and the product as

$$fg = c_{m+n}x^{m+n} + c_{m+n-1}x^{m+n-1} + \dots + c_1x + c_0 = \sum_i c_i x^i = \sum_i \left( \sum_j a_j b_{i-j} \right) x^i.$$

With this rule of addition and multiplication,  $R[x]$  becomes a ring, with zero given as the polynomial with zero coefficients and 1 given as the

polynomial whose constant coefficient is one and whose other terms are zero.

*Proof.* A long and completely uninformative check.  $\square$

Note that a polynomial, determines a function  $R \rightarrow R$  in an obvious way. If one takes  $R$  to be the real numbers, then it is well known that a polynomial is determined by the corresponding function. In general, however, this is far from true. For example take  $R = \mathbb{Z}_2$  (the smallest ring possible, since a ring must contain at least two elements). Then there are four functions from  $R$  to  $R$  and there are infinitely many polynomials. Thus two different polynomials will often determine the same function.

**Example 14.12.** *The final example is a famous and beautiful generalisation of the complex numbers. The complex numbers are obtained by adding a formal number  $i$  to the real numbers and decreeing that  $i^2 = -1$ .*

*The quaternions are obtained from the real numbers by adding three new numbers,  $i$ ,  $j$  and  $k$ . Thus the set of all quaternions is equal to the set of all formal sums*

$$a + bi + cj + dk,$$

*where  $a$ ,  $b$ ,  $c$  and  $d$  are real numbers. It is obvious how to define addition,*

$$(a+bi+cj+dk)+(a'+b'i+c'j+d'k) = (a+a')+(b+b')i+(c+c')j+(d+d')k.$$

*Multiplication is a little more complicated. The basic idea is to define how to multiply any two of  $i$ ,  $j$  and  $k$  and from there extend by using the associative and distributive laws. Thus we define*

$$i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = -1, ij = k, jk = i, ki = j, ji = -k, kj = -i, ik = -j.$$

*In this case, we define the multiplication as,*

$$\begin{aligned} (a + bi + cj + dk)(a' + b'i + c'j + d'k) &= (aa' - bb' - cc' - dd') \\ &+ (ab' + b'a + cd' - dc')i + (ac' + ca' + db' - bd')j + (ad' + da' + bc' - b'c)k. \end{aligned}$$

*Again it is not so hard to check that this does give us a group.*

If one look at the real numbers, then the numbers  $\pm 1$  form a group under multiplication, isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ . Similarly the complex numbers  $\pm 1, \pm i$  form a group under multiplication, isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_4$ . It is in fact not hard to see that the quaternion numbers,  $\pm 1, \pm i, \pm j$  and  $\pm k$  form a group of order eight under multiplication (if you like, think of the multiplication rule above as giving generators and relations).