17. Field of fractions

The rational numbers \mathbb{Q} are constructed from the integers \mathbb{Z} by adding inverses. In fact a rational number is of the form a/b, where a and b are integers. Note that a rational number does not have a unique representative in this way. In fact

$$\frac{a}{b} = \frac{ka}{kb}.$$

So really a rational number is an equivalence class of pairs [a, b], where two such pairs [a, b] and [c, d] are equivalent iff ad = bc.

Now given an arbitrary integral domain R, we can perform the same operation.

Definition-Lemma 17.1. Let R be any integral domain. Let N be the subset of $R \times R$ such that the second coordinate is non-zero.

Define an equivalence relation \sim on N as follows.

$$(a,b) \sim (c,d)$$
 iff $ad = bc$.

Proof. We have to check three things, reflexivity, symmetry and transitivity.

Suppose that $(a, b) \in N$. Then

$$a \cdot b = a \cdot b$$

so that $(a, b) \sim (a, b)$. Hence \sim is reflexive.

Now suppose that (a,b), $(c,d) \in N$ and that $(a,b) \sim (c,d)$. Then ad = bc. But then cb = da, as R is commutative, and so (c,d) = (a,b). Hence \sim is symmetric.

Finally suppose that (a, b), (c, d) and $(e, f) \in R$ and that $(a, b) \sim (c, d)$, $(c, d) \sim (e, f)$. Then ad = bc and cf = de. Then

$$(af)d = (ad)f$$
$$= (bc)f$$
$$= b(cf)$$
$$= (be)d.$$

As $(c,d) \in N$, we have $d \neq 0$. Cancelling d, we get af = be. Thus $(a,b) \sim (e,f)$. Hence \sim is transitive.

Definition-Lemma 17.2. The field of fractions of R, denoted F is the set of equivalence classes, under the equivalence relation defined above. Given two elements [a, b] and [c, d] define

$$[a,b]+[c,d]=[ad+bc,bd]$$
 and $[a,b]\cdot [c,d]=[ab,cd].$

MIT OCW: 18.703 Modern Algebra

With these rules of addition and multiplication F becomes a field. Moreover there is a natural injective ring homomorphism

$$\phi \colon R \longrightarrow F$$

so that we may identify R as a subring of F. In fact ϕ is universal amongs all such injective ring homomorphisms whose targets are fields.

Proof. First we have to check that this rule of addition and multiplication is well-defined. Suppose that [a, b] = [a', b'] and [c, d] = [c', d']. By commutativity and an obvious induction (involving at most two steps, the only real advantage of which is to simplify the notation) we may assume c = c' and d = d'. As [a, b] = [a', b'] we have ab' = a'b. Thus

$$(a'd + b'c)(bd) = a'bd^{2} + bb'cd$$
$$= ab'd^{2} + bb'cd$$
$$= (ad + bc)(b'd).$$

Thus [a'd + b'c, b'd] = [ad + bc, bd]. Thus the given rule of addition is well-defined. It can be shown similarly (and in fact more easily) that the given rule for multiplication is also well-defined.

We leave it is an exercise for the reader to check that F is a ring under addition and that multiplication is associative. For example, note that [0,1] plays the role of 0 and [1,1] plays the role of 1.

Given an element [a, b] in F, where a = 0, then it is easy to see that [b, a] is the inverse of [a, b]. It follows that F is a field.

Define a map

$$\phi\colon R\longrightarrow F$$
,

by the rule

$$\phi(a) = [a, 1].$$

Again it is easy to check that ϕ is indeed an injective ring homomorphism and that it satisfies the given universal property.

Example 17.3. If we take $R = \mathbb{Z}$, then of course the field of fractions is isomorphic to \mathbb{Q} . If R is the ring of Gaussian integers, then F is a copy of a + bi where now a and b are elements of \mathbb{Q} .

If R = K[x], where K is a field, then the field of fractions is denoted K(x). It consists of all rational functions, that is all quotients

$$\frac{f(x)}{g(x)},$$

where f and g are polynomials with coefficients in K.