MA 450: Honors Abstract Algebra Notes

Lecturer: Linquan Ma Transcribed by Josh Park

Fall 2024

Contents

10 Group Homomorphisms	2	
11 Fundamental Theorem of Finite Abelian Groups		
24 Sylow's Theorem	9	
12 Introduction to Rings	16	
12.1 Motivation & Definition		
12.2 Examples of Rings	17	
12.3 Properties of Rings	17	
12.4 Subrings	18	

Lecture 24 (10/21)

10 Group Homomorphisms

Definition 1 (homomorphism)

A homomorphism $\phi: G \to \bar{G}$ between two groups is a mapping that preserves the group operation:

$$\phi(ab) = \phi(a)\phi(b) \quad \forall a, b \in G.$$

Definition 2 (kernel)

The *kernel* of a homomorphism $\phi: G \to \bar{G}$ is the set

$$\ker(\phi) = \{ x \in G \mid \phi(x) = \bar{e} \}.$$

Example 1

Any isomorphism is a homomorphism with $\ker \phi = \{e\}.$

Examples $\phi : GL(2, \mathbb{R}) \to (\mathbb{R}^*, \cdot) \text{ where } A \mapsto \det(A).$

Then $\phi(AB) = \det(AB) = \det(A) \det(B) = \phi(A)\phi(B)$ and $\ker \phi = \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$.

• $\phi: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}_n$ where $x \mapsto x \mod n$.

Then $\ker \phi = \langle n \rangle = n\mathbb{Z}$

• $\phi: (\mathbb{R}^*, \cdot) \to (\mathbb{R}^*, \cdot)$ where $x \mapsto x^2$.

Then $\phi(xy) = (xy)^2 = x^2y^2 = \phi(x)\phi(y)$ and $\ker \phi = \{-1, 1\}$

Non-Examples $\phi: (\mathbb{R}, +) \to (\mathbb{R}, +)$ where $x \mapsto x^2$. Notice that

$$\phi(x+y) = (x+y)^2$$

$$\neq \phi(x) + \phi(y) = x^2 + y^2$$

so ϕ is <u>not</u> a homomorphism.

• $\phi: \mathbb{Z}_3 \to \mathbb{Z}_6$ where $x \mapsto 3x \mod 6$

$$\phi(x+y) = [3(x+y \bmod 3)] \bmod 6$$

$$\phi(x) + \phi(y) = [(3x \bmod 6) + (3y \bmod 6)] \bmod 6$$

Now let x = 1 and y = 2. Then $\phi(1+2) = 0$ but $\phi(x) + \phi(y) = (3+0) \mod 6 = 3$. Thus ϕ is <u>not</u> a homomorphism

Theorem 10.1 (Properties of elements under homomorphism)

Let $\phi: G \to \overline{G}$ be a homomorphism. Then

1.
$$\phi(e) = \bar{e}$$

2.
$$\phi(g^n) = \phi(g)^n \quad \forall g \in G$$

3.
$$|g|$$
 finite $\Longrightarrow |\phi(g)| |g|$

4.
$$\ker \phi \leq G$$

5.
$$\phi(a) = \phi(b) \iff a \cdot \ker \phi = b \cdot \ker \phi$$

6.
$$\phi(g) = g' \implies \phi^{-1}(g') = \{x \in G \mid \phi(x) = g'\} = g \cdot \ker \phi$$

Any homomorphism $\phi_i: \mathbb{Z}_3 \to \mathbb{Z}_6$ is determined by $\phi(1)$.

Note that $|\phi(1)|$ | $|1| = 3 \implies |\phi(1)| = 1$ or $|\phi(1)| = 3$

$$|\phi(1)|=1 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \phi(1)=0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \phi(x)=0 \ \forall x \quad \text{(i.e. ϕ is the trivial homomorphism)}$$

$$|\phi(1)| = 3 \implies \phi(1) = 2 \text{ or } \phi(1) = 4$$

$$\phi(1) = 2 \implies \phi(x) = 2x \mod 6$$

$$\phi(1) = 4 \implies \phi(x) = 4x \mod 6$$

Example 3

Any homomorphism $\phi_i : \mathbb{Z}_m \to \mathbb{Z}_n$ is determined by $\phi(1)$.

$$\left. \begin{array}{c|c} |\phi(1)| & m \\ |\phi(1)| & n \end{array} \right\} \implies |\phi(1)| \mid \gcd(m,n)$$

Exercise

For all $g \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ with $|y| \mid \gcd(m, n)$, $\exists \text{hom. } \phi : \mathbb{Z}_m \to \mathbb{Z}_n \text{ sending } 1 \text{ to } y \text{ (so, } \phi(x) = xy \text{ mod } n).$

Theorem 10.2 (Properties of sgps under homomorphisms)

Let $\phi: G \to \bar{G}$ be a homomorphism and $H \leq G$. Then

1.
$$\phi(H) = {\phi(h) \mid h \in H}$$
 is a sgp of \bar{G}

2.
$$H$$
 cyclic $\implies \phi(H)$ cyclic

3.
$$H$$
 abelian $\implies \phi(H)$ abelian

4. H normal
$$\implies \phi(H) \triangleleft \phi(G)$$

5.
$$|\ker \phi| = n \implies \phi$$
 is an n-to-1 mapping from G onto $\phi(G)$

6.
$$|H| = n \implies |\phi(H)| \mid n$$

7.
$$\overline{K} \leq \overline{G} \implies \phi^{-1}(\overline{K}) = \{k \in G \mid \phi(k) \in \overline{K}\} \leq G$$

8.
$$\overline{K} \lhd \overline{G} \implies \phi^{-1}(\overline{K}) \lhd G$$

 $(\implies \mathbf{Cor:} \ker \phi = \phi^{-1}(\overline{e}) \lhd G)$

9.
$$\phi$$
 is injective \iff $\ker \phi = \{e\}$
 ϕ is an isomorphism \iff ϕ is onto and $\ker \phi = \{e\}$

Examples •
$$\phi: \mathbb{Z}_3 \to \mathbb{Z}_6$$
, $\phi(1) = 4 \implies \phi(2) = 2$, $\phi(0) = 0 \implies \ker \phi = \{0\}$. ϕ is 1-1 but not onto.

•
$$\phi: \mathbb{Z}_{12} \to \mathbb{Z}_{12}$$
, $\phi(1) = 3 \implies \phi(x) = 3x \mod 12$
 $\implies \ker \phi = \{0, 4, 8\} \implies \phi \text{ is 3-to-1 mapping e.g.}$

$$\phi(2) = 6 \implies \phi^{-1}(6) = 2 + \{0, 4, 8\}$$

$$= \{2, 6, 10\}$$

$$\phi^{-1}(\langle 6 \rangle) = \phi^{-1}(\{0, 6\}) = \{0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10\}$$

$$= \langle 2 \rangle \leq \mathbb{Z}_{12}$$

Theorem 10.3 (First Isomorphism Theorem)

Let $\phi: G \to \overline{G}$ be a group homomorphism. Then, the mapping $G/\ker \phi \mapsto \phi(G)$ where $g \cdot \ker \phi \mapsto \phi(g)$ is an isomorphism. That is, $G/\ker \phi \cong \phi(G)$.

Lecture 25 (10/23)

Example 4 (N/C Theorem)

Let $H \leq G$. Recall the normalizer of H in G and the centralizer of H in G,

$$N(H) = \{ x \in G \mid xHx^{-1} = H \}$$

$$C(H) = \{ x \in G \mid xhx^{-1} \in H, \ \forall h \in H \}$$

(Note: $H \triangleleft G \implies N(H) = G \implies H \triangleleft N(H)$).

Consider the map $\phi: N(H) \to \operatorname{Aut}(H)$ given by $g \mapsto \phi_g$, where ϕ_g is the inner automorphism of H induced by g. That is, $\phi_g(h) = ghg^{-1}$ for all $h \in H$.

Exercise

Check ϕ_g is an automorphism of H and check ϕ is a homomorphism (i.e. $\phi_{g_1g_2} = \phi_{g_1} \circ \phi_{g_2}$).

Then, $\ker \phi = \{g \in N(H) \mid \phi_g = id_H\} = \{g \in N(H) \mid ghg^{-1} = h, \forall h \in H\} = C(H)$. Note that elements of C(H) commute with all elements of H. Thus by Thm 10.3, N(H)/C(H) is isomorphic to a sgp of $\operatorname{Aut}(G)$.

Theorem 10.4

Every normal sgp of a group G is the kernel of a homomorphism of G. That is,

$$N \lhd G \implies N = \ker(\phi : G \to G/N)$$

Example 5

Let $G = D_4$. Recall that $Z(D_4) = \{R_0, R_{180}\} \triangleleft D_4$. Define

$$\phi: D_4 \to D_4/Z(D_4) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$$

$$\{R_0, R_{180}\} \mapsto (0, 0)$$

$$\{R_{90}, R_{270}\} \mapsto (1, 0)$$

$$\{F_0, F_{90}\} \mapsto (0, 1)$$

$$\{F_{45}, F_{135}\} \mapsto (1, 1)$$

Thus $\ker \phi = Z(D_4)$.

11 Fundamental Theorem of Finite Abelian Groups

Theorem 11.1 (Fundamental Theorem of Finite Abelian Groups)

Every finite abelian group is isomorphic to a direct product of cyclic groups of prime-power order. Moreover, the number of terms in the product and the order of the cyclic groups are uniquely determined by the group. That is, for some group $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{n_1}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_2^{n_2}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_k^{n_k}}$ where the p_i 's are (not necessarily distinct) primes, the prime powers $p_1^{n_1}, p_2^{n_2}, \ldots, p_k^{n_k}$ are uniquely determined by G.

Theorem 11.2 (Abelian groups of order p^k)

There is one abelian group of order p^k for each set of positive integers whose sum is k (called a partition of k)

Let k=2. The abelian groups of order p^2 are \mathbb{Z}_{p^2} (2=2) and $\mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p$ (2 = 1+1)

Example 7

order of G	partitions of k	possible direct products for G
p	1	\mathbb{Z}_p
p^2	2	\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}
	1 + 1	$\mathbb{Z}_p\oplus\mathbb{Z}_p$
p^3	3	\mathbb{Z}_{p^3}
	2 + 1	$\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}\oplus \mathbb{Z}_p$
	1 + 1 + 1	$\mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p$
p^3	4	\mathbb{Z}_{p^4}
	3 + 1	$\mathbb{Z}_{p^3} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p$
	2 + 2	$\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}\oplus\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$
	2 + 1 + 1	$\mathbb{Z}_{p^2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p \stackrel{\cdot}{\oplus} \mathbb{Z}_p$
	1+1+1+1	$\mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p$

Example 8

How many abelian groups are there of order $1176 = 7^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 2^3$?

 $egin{array}{lll} 3: & \mathbb{Z}_3 \ 2^3: & \mathbb{Z}_8 & {
m or} & \mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 & {
m or} & \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \end{array}$

Thus groups of order 1176 are

 $\mathbb{Z}_{49} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_8$

 $\mathbb{Z}_{49} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$

 $\mathbb{Z}_{49} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$

 $\mathbb{Z}_7 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_7 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_8$

 $\mathbb{Z}_7 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_7 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$

 $\mathbb{Z}_7 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_7 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$

so there are 6 possible abelian groups of order 1176.

Thus $\mathbb{Z}_{1176} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{49} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_8$

Lecture 26 (10/25)

If |G| = 8, how do we know whether it is \mathbb{Z}_8 or $\mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ or $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$?

We can use the algorithm for determining an abelian group of order p^n .

- Step 1. Compute the orders of all elements of G
- Step 2. Select an element a_1 of maximum order. Define $G_1 = \langle a_1 \rangle$ and set i = 1.
- Step 3. If $|G| = |G_i|$, we can stop. Otherwise, increment i.
- Step 4. Select an element a_i of maximum order p^k , such that $p^k \leq \frac{|G|}{|G_{i-1}|}$ and none of $a_i, a_i^p, a_i^{p^2}, \dots, a_i^{p^k-1}$ are in G_{i-1} (This guarantees a_iG_{i-1} has order p^k in G/G_{i-1}). Define $G_i = G_{i-1} \times \langle a_i \rangle$
- Step 5. Return to step 3.

Eventually,

$$G = \underbrace{\langle a_1 \rangle \times \langle a_2 \rangle \times \cdots \times \langle a_{i-1} \rangle \times \langle a_i \rangle}_{G_i} \times \cdots \times \langle a_s \rangle$$

Note 1

Observe that $|a_1| \ge |a_2| \ge \cdots \ge |a_s|$

Example 9

Consider the group $U(30) = \{1, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29\}.$

Since $|U(30)| = 8 = 2^3$, possibilities are \mathbb{Z}_8 , $\mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$, and $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$.

Step 1.
$$\langle 7 \rangle = \{1,7,19,13\} \implies |7| = |13| = 4, \quad |19| = 2$$

 $\langle 23 \rangle = \{1,23,19,17\} \implies |23| = |17| = 4, \quad |11| = 2, \quad |29| = 2$

Step 2. $a_1 = 7$, $G_1 = \langle a_1 \rangle = \langle 7 \rangle$

Step 3. $|G_1| = 4 < 8$, $i = 1 \leadsto i = 2$

Step 4. Pick some a_2 such that $|a_2| \leq \frac{|U(30)|}{|G_1|} = 2$ and a_2 is not contained in $G_1 = \langle 7 \rangle$ Set $a_2 = 11$ and define $G_2 = g_1 \times \langle a_2 \rangle = \langle 7 \rangle \times \langle 11 \rangle$

Step 5. $|G_2| = 4 \cdot 2 = 8 = |U(30)|$

 $\implies U(30) = \langle 7 \rangle \times \langle 11 \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \quad \Box$

We can use concrete examples to simplify the identification process

Example 10

|U(30)| = 8

We know it has (4 elements of order 4), (3 elements of order 2), and (1 element of order 1).

Our options are \mathbb{Z}_8 , $\mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$, $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$

We can rule out \mathbb{Z}_8 as we do not have an element of order 8.

We can rule out $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ as all elements here have order 2 (excl. e).

Thus the structure must be $\mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$.

Example 11

If an abelian group G has order $16 = 2^4$

Suppose G has (12 elements of order 4), (3 elements of order 2), (1 elements of order 41)

Our options are \mathbb{Z}_{16} , $\mathbb{Z}_8 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$, $\mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4$, $\mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$, $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$

We don't have any elements of order 16 or 8, so can easily eliminate \mathbb{Z}_{16} and $\mathbb{Z}_8 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$

Not $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$, as it has too many elements of order 2.

Not $\mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$, as it has 8 elements of order 4 (and 7 elements of order 2).

Thus $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4$

Corollary 11.1

Let G be a finite abelian group. If $m \mid |G|$, then G has a subgroup of order m.

So, the converse of Lagrange's Theorem holds for finite abelian groups.

Remark 1

This cor. does not hold if G is not abelian (e.g. A_4 does not have any subgroups of order 6).

Proof of Corollary. By FTFAG,

$$G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{n_1}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_2^{n_2}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_k^{n_k}} \implies |G| = p_1^{n_1} p_2^{n_2} \cdots p_k^{n_k}$$

Now.

$$m \mid |G| \implies m = p_{i_1}^{n_{i_1}} p_{i_2}^{n_{i_2}} \cdots p_{i_k}^{n_{i_k}} \quad \text{where} \quad p_{i_1}^{r_{i_1}} \mid p_{i_1}^{n_{i_1}} \quad \text{(i.e. } r_{i_j} \le n_{i_j})$$

- \implies by FTCG, \exists subgroup $\mathbb{Z}_{p_{i_j}^{n_{i_j}}}$ with order $p_{i_j}^{r_{i_j}}$
- \implies Take their direct product. This yields a subgroup of G of order m.

Example 12

Let $|G| = 72 = 3^2 \cdot 2^3$. Find a subgroup of order $12 = 3^1 \cdot 2^2$.

The possibilities are

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathbb{Z}_8 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_9 & \mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_9 & \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_9 \\ \mathbb{Z}_8 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3 & \mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3 & \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3 \end{array}$$

In $\mathbb{Z}_9 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_8$, a subgroup of order 12 would be the direct product of two subgroups of orders 3 and 4. Thus one subgroup of order 12 is: $\langle 3 \rangle \oplus \langle 2 \rangle$.

In $\mathbb{Z}_9 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$,

Similarly for $\mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$,

Lecture 27 (10/28)

Recall the Fundamental Theorem of Finite Abelian Groups:

Theorem 11.3

Let G be a finite abelian group. Then,

$$G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{n_1}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_2^{n_2}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_k^{n_k}}$$

where the p_i 's are (not necessarily distinct) primes.

Lemma 11.1

Let G be a finite abelian group of order $p^n m$ where gcd(p, m) = 1. Then $G = H \times K$ where

$$H = \{x \in G \mid x^{p^n} = e\}$$
 $K = \{x \in G \mid x^m = e\}$

Moreover, $|H| = p^n$ and |K| = m.

Proof of Lemma 1. $H \triangleleft G$ and $K \triangleleft G$ (e.g. $x^{p^n} = e = y^{p^n} \implies (xy)^{p^n} = x^{p^n}y^{p^n} = e$).

To show $G = H \times K$, ETS

 $\bullet \ H \cap K = \{e\}$

• G = HK

If $x \in H \cap K$ then $x^{p^n} = e$, $x^m = e$.

Since $gcd(p^n, m) = 1$, $\exists a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $ap^n + bm = 1$.

$$x = x^{ap^n + bm} = x^{ap^n} \cdot x^{bm} = e.$$

For any $y \in G$ we can write $y = y^{ap^n + bm} = y^{ap^n} \cdot y^{bm}$.

Then $y^{ap^n} \in K$ because $(y^a)^{p^n m} = e$ because $|G| = p^n m$ and similarly, $y^{bm} \in H$.

Thus we have shown $G = H \times K$.

Finally, $p^n m = |G| = |H| \cdot |K|$ but $p \nmid |K|$ (if $p \mid |K| \xrightarrow{\text{Cauchy}} \exists$ an element of K of order p)

Similarly, we have $m \nmid |H| \implies |H| = p^n$ and |K| = m

Lemma 11.2

Let G be an abelian group such that $|G| = p^n$ and $a \in G$ be an element of maximal order. Then $G = \langle a \rangle \times K$ for some group K.

Proof of Lemma 2. We can show this by induction. If n=1, then |G|=p, then $G=\langle a\rangle=\langle a\rangle\times\langle e\rangle$.

Assume we have proved the lemma for all p^k such that k < n.

Choose $a \in G$ which has maximal order, say p^m for some $m \le n$. Then $x^{p^m} = e$ for all $x \in G$.

If m = n then $G = \langle a \rangle = \langle a \rangle \times \langle e \rangle$ and we are done. So assume $m \neq n$.

Pick b of smallest order such that $b \notin \langle a \rangle$.

Claim 1. $\langle a \rangle \cap \langle b \rangle = \{e\}$

Proof of claim. $|b^p| < |b|$ so by our choice $b^p \in \langle a \rangle$ say $b^p = a^i$.

Then $e = b^{p^m} = (b^p)^{p^{m-1}} = (a^i)^{p^{m-1}}$ so $|a^i| \le p^{m-1} \implies a_i$ is not a generator for $\langle a \rangle$.

 $\implies \gcd(p^m, i) \neq 1 \implies p \mid i \text{ and we can write } i = pj \text{ for some } j.$

Then $b^p = a^i = a^{pj}$, set $c = a^{-j}b$.

Then $c \notin \langle a \rangle$ (because if $c \in \langle a \rangle$, then $b \in \langle a \rangle$ since $b = a^j c$) and $c^p = a^{-jp}b^p = e$.

Thus we have found an element c of order p such that $c \notin \langle a \rangle$.

Since b has the smallest order such that $b \notin \langle a \rangle \implies |b| \leq p$, but then |b| = p.

Then $\langle a \rangle \cap \langle b \rangle = \{e\}$ since otherwise elements in this intersection would generate $\langle b \rangle$ so $b \in \langle a \rangle$ ($\Rightarrow \leftarrow$)

Next, consider the group $\overline{G} = G/\langle b \rangle$ and use \overline{x} to denote $x\langle b \rangle \in \overline{G}$.

If
$$|\overline{a}| < |a| = p^m$$
 then $\overline{a}^{p^{m-1}} = \overline{e} \implies (a\langle b \rangle)^{p^{m-1}} = a^{p^{m-1}} \langle b \rangle = \langle b \rangle$ so $a^{p^{m-1}} \in \langle a \rangle \cap \langle b \rangle = \{e\}$ (\Longrightarrow)

Thus $|\overline{a}| = p^m \implies \overline{a}$ is an element with maximal order in \overline{G} .

By induction, $\overline{G} = \langle \overline{a} \rangle \times \overline{K}$ for some $\overline{K} \triangleleft \overline{G}$.

Let K be the pre-image of \overline{K} under $G \to \overline{G} \atop K \to \overline{K}$ (i.e. $K = \{x \in G \mid \overline{x} \in \overline{K}\}$)

Claim 2. $\langle a \rangle \cap K = \{e\}$

Proof. If $x \in \langle a \rangle \cap K$ then $\bar{x} \in \langle \bar{a} \rangle \cap \overline{K} = \{\bar{e}\} \implies x \in \langle b \rangle \implies x \in \langle a \rangle \cap \langle b \rangle = \{e\}$ by previous claim.

It remains to show that $\langle a \rangle K = G$.

$$|\langle a \rangle K| = |\langle a \rangle| |K| = |\langle \overline{a} \rangle| |\overline{K}| \cdot p = |\overline{G}| \cdot p = |G|$$

Note that $G \to \overline{G}$ is p-to-1 since $|\ker| = p$. Thus, $\langle a \rangle K = G$. Therefore $G = \langle a \rangle \times K$

Lecture 28 (10/30)

To recap last lecture, the Fundamental Theorem of Finite Abelian Groups states:

$$G$$
 finite abelian group $\implies |G| = p_1^{n_1} p_2^{n_2} \cdots p_k^{n_k}$

By **Lemma 1**, $G = G(p_1) \times G(p_2) \times \cdots \times G(p_k)$ where each $G(p_i)$ has order $p_i^{n_i}$.

By **Lemma 2**, each $G(p_i)$ = internal direct product of cyclic groups, each has order of some power of p_i

24 Sylow's Theorem

Definition 3 (Conjugate class of *a*)

 $a,b \in G$ are called conjugate in G if $b = xax^{-1}$ for some $x \in G$.

The conjugate class of a is the set $cl(a) = \{xax^{-1} \mid x \in G\}$.

Remark 2

Conjugacy is an equivalence relation on G.

Example 13

 $D_4 = \{R_0, R_{90}, R_{180}, R_{270}, F_0, F_{45}, F_{90}, F_{135}\}$

$$cl(R_0) = \{R_0\}$$

$$cl(R_{90}) = \{R_{90}, R_{270}\} = cl(R_{270})$$

$$cl(R_{180}) = \{R_{180}\}$$

$$cl(F_0) = \{F_0, F_{90}\} = cl(R_{90})$$

$$cl(F_{45}) = \{F_{45}, F_{135}\}$$

Theorem 24.1 (24.1)

Let G be a finite group and $a \in G$. Then, $|\operatorname{cl}(a)| = [G : C(a)]$.

Proof of Theorem 24.1. Recall $C(a) = \{h \in G \mid ha = ah\}$ is the <u>centralizer of a in G</u> and $C(a) \leq G$.

Consider
$$G \to \operatorname{cl}(a) \atop x \mapsto xax^{-1}$$
 induces a map T : {left cosets of $C(a)$ } $\to \operatorname{cl}(a) \atop xC(a) \mapsto xax^{-1}$.

 \bullet T is well-defined if

$$xC(a) = yC(a) \iff x = yh \text{ for some } h \in Ca$$

 $\implies xax^{-1} = yhah^{-1}y^{-1} = yay^{-1}$

- T is onto (obvious)
- *T* is 1-1:

$$xax^{-1} = yay^{-1} \implies (y^{-1}x)a = a(y^{-1}x)$$

 $\implies y^{-1}x \in C(a)$
 $\implies xC(a) = yC(a)$

Since T is a 1-1 correspondence, we know that

$$|\operatorname{cl}(a)| = \#$$
 of left cosets of $\operatorname{C}(a) = [G : C(a)] = \frac{|G|}{|C(a)|}$

Corollary 24.1

 $|\operatorname{cl}(a)| \mid |G| \text{ for any } a \in G$

9

Proof of Corollary. $|\operatorname{cl}(a)| = \frac{|G|}{|C(a)|} |G|$

Corollary 24.2

For any finite group G,

$$|G| = \sum [G : C(a)]$$

where the sum runs over one element a from each conjugacy class of G.

Proof of Corollary.

$$|G| = \sum_{a} |\operatorname{cl}(a)| \qquad \text{(sum runs over)}$$

$$= \sum_{a} [G:C(a)]$$

Theorem 24.2

Let G be a finite group such that $|G| = p^n$ where $n \ge 1$. Then Z(G) has more than one element.

Proof of Theorem 24.2. Notice that $a \in Z(G) \iff \operatorname{cl}(a) = \{a\}$

Thus we have that

$$|G| = |Z(G)| + \sum [G : C(a)] = \sum |\operatorname{cl}(a)|$$

where the above sum runs over representatives of all conjugacy classes with more than one element

$$\begin{split} [G:C(a)] &= \frac{|G|}{|C(a)|} = p^k \text{ with } k \geq 1 \\ \Longrightarrow & |Z(G)| = |G| - \sum [G:C(a)] = p^n - \sum p^k \text{ divisible by p} \\ \Longrightarrow & |Z(G)| \neq 1 \end{split}$$

Corollary 24.3

If $|G| = p^2$ where p prime, then G abelian.

Proof of Corollary. $|Z(G)| |p^2 \text{ and } |Z(G)| \neq 1 \text{ (by Thm)} \implies |Z(G)| = p \text{ or } p^2$

$$\begin{split} \text{If } & |Z(G)| = p^2 \implies G = Z(G) \\ &\implies G \text{ abelian} \\ \text{If } & |Z(G)| = p \implies |G/Z(G)| = p \\ &\implies G/Z(G) \text{ cyclic} \\ &\implies G \text{ abelian } \implies Z(G) = G \quad (\ggg) \end{split}$$

Theorem 24.3 (Sylow's First Theorem)

Let G be a finite group and let p be a prime. If $p^k \mid |G|$ then G has at least one subgroup of order p^k .

Proof of Sylow's First Theorem. Use induction on |G|. When |G| = 1 it is trivial.

Assume the statement holds for all groups or order less than |G|.

If H < G and $p^k \mid |H|$ then we are done by induction.

Assume p^k does not divide the order of any proper subgroup of G.

Consider $|G| = |Z(G)| + \sum [G:C(a)]$, where we sum over a representative of each conjugacy class cl(a) with $a \notin Z(G)$

By FTFAG (or Cauchy's theorem for abelian groups), $\exists x \in Z(G)$ with |x| = p

Since
$$x \in Z(G) \implies \langle x \rangle \triangleleft Z(G) \triangleleft G \implies \langle x \rangle \triangleleft G$$

So, we can formulate $G/\langle x \rangle$

Since
$$|G/\langle x \rangle| = \frac{|G|}{|\langle x \rangle|} = \frac{|G|}{p} \implies p^{k-1} \left| \ |G/\langle G \rangle \right|$$

Note that $(G \to G/\langle x \rangle \text{ is } p\text{-to-1})$

Then by induction \exists subgroup of order p^{k-1} of $G/\langle x \rangle$ and such a subgroup has form $H/\langle x \rangle$ where $H \leq G$.

But now
$$|H|/\langle x\rangle = p^{k-1}$$
 and $|\langle x\rangle| = p$ so $|H| = p^k$ (\Longrightarrow).

Lecture 29 (11/01)

Definition 4 (Sylow *p*-subgroup)

Let G be a finite group and let p be a prime. A subgroup $H \leq G$ is called a Sylow p-subgroup of G if $|H| = p^k$ and $p^k \mid |G|$ but $p^{k+1} \nmid |G|$.

Example 14

 $|G| = 2^3 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^4 \cdot 7 \implies \exists \text{ subgroups of order:}$

2, 4, 8 (Sylow 2-gp), 3, 9 (sylow 3-gp), 5, 25, 125 (sylow 5-gp), 7 (sylow 7-sgp).

Corollary 24.4 (Cauchy's Thm)

Let G be a finite group and let p be a prime. If $p \mid |G|$ then G has an element of order p.

Corollary 24.5

The converse of Lagrange's theorem holds for finite abelian groups and all finite gps of prime power order (if $|G| = p^k$, then for any $m \le k \ \exists H \le G \ \text{st} \ |H| = p^m$).

Fact 1

 A_4 does not have any subgroup of order 6 ($|A_4| = 12 = 2^2 \cdot 3$)

Theorem 24.4 (Sylow's Second Theorem)

Let G be a finite group and let p be a prime. If $H \leq G$ and $|H| = p^k$ then H is contained in some Sylow p-subgroup of G.

Theorem 24.5 (Sylow's Third Theorem)

Let $|G| = p^k m$ where p prime and $p \nmid m$. Then the number of Sylow p-subgroups of G is congruent to 1 modulo p and divides m. Furthermore, any two Sylow p-subgroups of G are conjugate to each other.

Corollary 24.6

A Sylow p-subgroup of a finite group G is normal iff it is the only SPSGP of G.

$$S_3 = \{(1), (12), (13), (23), (123), (132)\}$$

Sylow 2-sgp:
$$\{(1), (12)\}, \{(1), (13)\}, \{(1), (23)\}$$

$$(13)\{(1),(12)\}(13)^{-1}=\{(1),(23)\}$$

$$(23)\{(1),(12)\}(23)^{-1}=\{(1),(13)\}$$

Sylow 3-sgp: $\{(1), (123), (132)\} \triangleleft S_3$

Example 16

Recall that the group $A_4 = \{\text{even permutations of } S_4\}.$

$$|A_4| = |S_4|/2 = 12 = 2^2 \cdot 3$$

Then $\{(1), (12)(34), (13)(24), (14)(23)\}$ is the unique Sylow 2-sgp of A_4 and is thus normal by cor.

Sylow p-subgroup of order 2: $\{(1), (12)(34)\}, \{(1), (13)(24)\}, \{(1), (14)(23)\}$

Theorem 24.6 (24.6)

|G| = pq, p, q prime st p < q and $p \nmid (q-1)$. Then G is cyclic and $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{pq}$.

Example 17

Any finite group of order 15 is cyclic (i.e. $\cong \mathbb{Z}_{15}$)

Proof of Theorem 24.6. Let H be the Sylow p-subgroup of G. Let K be the Sylow q-subgroup of G.

By Sylow's Third Theorem, # of Sylow p-subgroups of G divides q and $\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.

Since $p \nmid (q-1)$, H is the only Sylow p-subgroup of G.

Similarly K is the only Sylow q-subgroup of G.

Thus $H \triangleleft G$ and $K \triangleleft G$.

Let $H = \langle x \rangle$ and $K = \langle y \rangle$.

$$\implies |x|=p,\, |y|=q,\, H\cap K=\{e\},\, |HK|=\tfrac{|H||K|}{|H\cap K|}=pq=|G|.$$

$$\implies H \cap K = \{e\} \text{ and } HK = G$$

$$\implies G = H \times K \cong \mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \mathbb{Z}_q \cong \mathbb{Z}_{pq}$$

Determine G with $|G| = 99 = 3^2 \cdot 11$.

$$H_3$$
: Sylow 3-sgp H_{11} : Sylow 11-sgp of G

$$n_3 = \#$$
 of Sylow 3-sgps $\implies n_3 \mid 11$ and $n_3 \equiv 1 \mod 3$
 $\implies n_3 = 1 \implies H_3 \triangleleft G$
 $n_{11} = \#$ of Sylow 11-sgps $\implies n_{11} \mid 9$ and $n_{11} \equiv 1 \mod 11$
 $\implies n_{11} = 1 \implies H_{11} \triangleleft G$
 $H_3 \cap H_{11} = \{e\} \implies |H_3H_{11}| = \frac{|H_3| |H_{11}|}{|H_3 \cap H_{11}|} = 99 \implies H_3H_{11} = G$

So, we have
$$H_3 \triangleleft G$$
, $H_{11} \triangleleft G$, $H_3 \cap H_{11} = \{e\}$, $H_3H_{11} = G$

$$\implies G = H_3 \times H_{11} \cong H_3 \oplus H_{11}$$

$$|H_{11}| = 11 \implies H_{11} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{11}$$
 $|H_3| = 3^2 = 9 \implies H_3 \cong \mathbb{Z}_9 \text{ or } \mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3$

$$\implies G \cong \mathbb{Z}_9 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{11} \text{ or } G \cong \mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{11}$$

Lecture 30 (11/04)

Recall

1. If G is a finite group of permutations on a set S and $i \in S$, then

$$\operatorname{orb}_{G}(i) = \{\phi(i) \mid \phi \in G\} \subseteq S$$
$$\operatorname{stab}_{G}(i) = \{\phi \in G \mid \phi(i) = i\} \leq G$$
$$[G : \operatorname{stab}_{G}(i)] = |\operatorname{orb}_{G}(i)|$$

2. (N/C Theorem) Let $H \leq G$. Recall the normalizer of H in G and the centralizer of H in G,

$$N_G(H) = \{ x \in G \mid xHx^{-1} = H \}$$

 $C_G(H) = \{ x \in G \mid xhx^{-1} \in H, \ \forall h \in H \}$
 $N_G(H) / C_G(H) \le \text{Aut}(H)$

Proof of Sylow's Second Theorem. Let $H \leq G$, $|H| = p^k$, $p^k \mid |G|$

Let K be a Sylow p-subgroup of G.

Let $C = \{K_1 = K, K_2, \dots, K_n\}$ be the set of conjugates of K by elements of G (i.e. $K_i = g_i K g_i^{-1}$ for some $g_i \in G$)

Then $|C| = [G : N_G(K)]$

Then the mapping $G \to C$ where $g \mapsto gKg^{-1}$ is surjective.

$$g$$
 and h have the same image $\iff gKg^{-1} = hKh^{-1}$
 $\iff (h^{-1}g)K(h^{-1}g)^{-1} = K$
 $\iff h^{-1}g \in N_G(K)$
 $\iff gN_g(K) = hN_G(K)$
 $\iff 1\text{-1 correspondence between elements of } C \text{ and left coests of } N_G(K)$
 $\implies |C| = [G:N_G(K)]$

Consider the action of H on C given by h acts on K_i by hK_ih^{-1}

Then $|\operatorname{orb}_H(K_i)| = [H : \operatorname{stab}_H(K_i)]$ is a power of p and

$$|\operatorname{orb}_H(K_i)| = 1 \iff \operatorname{stab}_H(K_i) = H$$

 $\iff H \le N_G(K_i)$

Claim 3. $H \leq N_G(K_i) \iff H \leq K_i$

Proof of claim. " \iff " obvious.

" \Longrightarrow " $\forall x \in H, |x| \text{ is a power of } p \text{ (since } |x| \mid |H| = p^k)$

 $\forall y \in N_G(K_i) \le K_i \quad |yK_i| \mid |N_G(K_i) / K_i|$

But $|N_G / K_i| = \frac{|N_G(K_i)|}{|K_i|} |\frac{|G|}{|K_i|} \leftarrow \text{this is rel prime to p since } K_i = \text{sylow p-sgp}$

 $\implies p \nmid |yK_i| \text{ and } |yK_i| \neq 1$

 $\implies |y|$ is not a power of p because $|yK_i| \mid |y|$

Summing up, we see that if $|\operatorname{orb}_H(K_i)| = 1$ then $H \leq K_i$.

Now,
$$|C| = [G: N_G(K)] = \frac{|G|}{|N_G(K_i)|} = \underbrace{\frac{|G|}{|K|}}_{\text{this is not divisible by a}}$$

If no orbit of C under H has size 1, then p divides the size of each orbit

then
$$p$$
 divides $|C| (\Longrightarrow)$
 $(\Longrightarrow \exists K_i \text{ s.t. } |\text{orb}_H(K_i)| = 1)$

Proof of Sylow's Third Theorem. Let $|G| = p^k m$ and $K \leq G$ be a Sylow p-subgroup Let $C = \{K_1 = K, K_2, \dots, K_n\}$ be the set of conjugates of K in G.

Consider the action of K on G by conjugation.

Then

- $|\operatorname{orb}_K(K_i)| = [K : \operatorname{stab}_K(K_i)] \text{ divides } |K| = p^k$
- $|\operatorname{orb}_K(K_i)| = 1 \iff \operatorname{stab}_K(K_1) = K$ $\iff K < N_G(K_i) \stackrel{claim}{\iff} K < K_i \iff K = K_i$

 $\implies n = |C|$ is equal to 1 modulo p

RTS that any Sylow p-subgroup one of the K_i (i.e. conjugate to K)

If K' is another Sylow p-subgroup of G and $K' \notin C$, then consider the action of K' on C by conjugation.

Then the size of each orbit is greater than 1 (since $\operatorname{orb}_{K'}(K_i) = 1 \iff K' = K_i$ which is impossible)

- \implies summing up, $|C| \equiv 0 \mod p$ contradicting $|C| \equiv 1 \mod p$
- \implies any Sylow p-subgroup is a conjugate of K we started with.

Finally, $|C| = \frac{|G|}{|N_G(K)|}$ divides $|G| = p^r m$ and $|C| \equiv 1 \mod p$.

Since $gcd(p, m) = 1 \implies |C| \mid m$

Lecture 31 (11/06)

Applications of Sylow's Theorems

Any group of order 66 contains a subgroup isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_{33} (66 = $2 \cdot 3 \cdot 11$)

 $H_p = \text{Sylow p-sgp}, n_p = \# \text{ of Sylow } p\text{-subgroups}$

Then $n_{11} \mid 6$ and $n_{11} \equiv 1 \mod 11$ (by Sylow's Theorem)

 $\implies n_{11} \implies H_{11}$ is a normal subgroup

Now, $H_3H_{11}=H_{11}H_3$ is a subgroup (since H_{11} is normal)

 $H_3 \cap H_{11} = \{e\} \implies |H_3H_{11}| = \frac{|H_3||H_{11}|}{|H_3\cap H_{11}|} = 3 \cdot 11 = 33 \implies H_3H_{11} \text{ is a subgroup of order } 33.$

Note 2

Any group of order 33 is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_{33} (pq such that $p \leq q$ and $p \nmid (q-1)$)

In fact, we can completely classify all groups of order 66 (Example 7 on pg 420)

There are exactly 4 such groups (up to \cong)

- \mathbb{Z}_{66} $\langle 2 \rangle \leq \mathbb{Z}_{66}$ subgroup of order 33
- D_{33} {rotations} $\leq D_{33}$ ""
- $D_{11} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3$ $\mathbb{Z}_{11} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3 \leq D_{11} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3$ ""
- $\mathbb{Z}_{11} \oplus D_3$ $\mathbb{Z}_{11} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3 \leq \mathbb{Z}_{11} \oplus D_3$ " "

Example 20

Let G be a group of order $20 = 2^2 \cdot 5$ that is not abelian, then G has 5 Sylow 2-sgps.

By Sylow's Theorem, $n_5 \mid 4$ and $n_5 \equiv 1 \mod 5 \implies n_5 = 1$

$$n_2 \mid 5$$
 and $n_2 \equiv 1 \mod 2 \implies n_2 = 1$ or $n_2 = 5$

Suppose $n_2 = 1$, then $H_2 \triangleleft G$ and $H_5 \triangleleft G$

Also
$$H_2 \cap H_5 = \{e\}$$

$$|H_2 H_5| = \frac{|H_2||H_5|}{|H_2 \cap H_5|} = 4 \cdot 5 = 20$$

$$\implies G = H_2 \times H_5 \cong H_2 \oplus H_5$$
 but $|H_2| = 4 \implies H_2 \cong \mathbb{Z}_4$ or $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$
$$|H_5| = 5 \implies H_5 \cong \mathbb{Z}_5$$

$$\implies G = \text{abelian}$$
 (\Longrightarrow)

Therefore $n_2 = 5$.

Classify groups of order $255 = 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 17$

 $n_{17} \mid 15 \text{ and } n_{17} \equiv 1 \text{ mod } 17 \text{ (Sylow's Theorem)}$

$$\implies n_{17} = 1 \implies \mathbb{Z}_{17} \cong H_{17} \lhd G \implies N(H_{17}) = G$$

By N / C Theorem,

$$N(H_{17}) / C(H_{17}) \le \text{Aut}(H_{17})$$

 $| G / C(H_{17}) | | | \text{Aut}(H_{17})| = |U(17)| = 16$
 $| G / C(H_{17}) | | |G| = 255 = 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$
 $\implies | G / C(H_{17}) | | \text{gcd}(16, 255) = 1$
 $\implies C(H_{17}) = G \text{ i.e. elts of } G \text{ comm. with any elt in } H_{17}$
 $\implies H_{17} \le Z(G) \implies 17 | |Z(G)|$

Therefore
$$|Z(G)| = 17, \ 3 \cdot 17, \ 5 \cdot 17, \ 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 17$$
 (\iff $|Z(G)| \ | \ 255$ and $17 \ | \ |Z(G)|$). i.e., $|G/Z(G)| = 15, \ 5, \ 3, \ \text{or} \ 1$

But any group of order 15, 5, 3, or 1 is cyclic $(15 = pq \text{ such that } p \leq q \text{ and } p \nmid (q-1))$.

Recall if G / Z(G) cyclic, then G abelian, so G is abelian.

Now by FTFAG, $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_5 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{17} (\cong \mathbb{Z}_{255})$.

Lecture 32 (11/12)

12 Introduction to Rings

12.1 Motivation & Definition

Definition 5 (Ring)

A ring R is a set with two binary operations: a + b and $a \cdot b = ab$ such that for all $a, b, c \in R$,

- 1. a + b = b + a
- 2. (a+b) + c = a + (b+c)
- 3. \exists an additive identity 0, a + 0 = a
- 4. \exists an element $-a \in R$ such that a + (-a) = 0
- 5. (ab)c = a(bc)
- 6. a(b+c) = ab + ac

$$(b+c)a = ba + ca$$

So a ring is an abelian group under addition, and also has an associative multiplication that is left and right distributive over addition.

- The multiplication need not be commutative. When it is, we say the ring is commutative.
- A unity (or identity): a nonzero element that is an identity under multiplication.
- unit: a nonzero element of a commutative ring with identity that has a multiplicative inverse.
- In R, $a \mid b$ if $\exists c \in R$ such that b = ac.

•
$$n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$$
, $na = \underbrace{a + a + \dots + a}_{n \text{ times}}$

12.2 Examples of Rings

Example 22

 $(\mathbb{Z}, +\times)$ is a commutative ring with identity and units $=\pm 1$

Example 23

 $(\mathbb{Z}_n, +\times)$ is a commutative ring with identity and units = U(n)

Example 24

 $(\mathbb{Z}[x], +\times)$ is a commutative ring with identity

Example 25

 $(\mathbb{M}_2[\mathbb{Z}], +\times)$ is a non-commutative ring with identity

Example 26

 $(2\mathbb{Z} = \{\text{even integers}\}, +\times)$ is a comm ring without identity

Example 27

({continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}, +\times$ }) is a comm ring with identity f(x) = 1

Example 28

({continuous functions on \mathbb{R} whose graphs pass through $(1, 0), +\times$ }) is a comm ring without identity Note f(1) = 0, g(1) = 0, f + g, fg

Definition 6 (Direct sum of rings)

Let R_1, R_2, \ldots, R_n be rings. Construct

$$R_1 \oplus R_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus R_n = \{(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n) \mid r_i \in R_i\}$$

endowed with component-wise addition and multiplication. This is called the <u>direct sum</u> of R_1, R_2, \ldots, R_n .

12.3 Properties of Rings

Theorem 12.1 (Rules of Multiplication)

For all $a, b, c \in R$,

- 1. $a \cdot 0 = 0 \cdot a = 0$
- 2. a(-b) = (-a)b = -(ab)
- 3. (-a)(-b) = ab
- $4. \ a(b-c) = ab ac$

$$(b-c)a = ba - ca$$

- 5. (-1)a = -a
- 6. (-1)(-1) = 1

Note 3

Properties 5 and 6 only hold if R has an identity 1

Proof of property 1. Clearly 0+a0=a0=a(0+0)=a0+a0, so by cancellation 0=a0 and similarly 0a=0

Theorem 12.2 (Uniqueness of the Unity and Inverses)

If a ring R has a unity, it is unique. If a ring element has a multiplicative inverse, it is unique.

Proof. 1, 1' \implies 1=1·1' = 1'

$$a \quad ab = ba = 1$$

$$ac = ca = 1$$

$$c = c \cdot 1 = c(ab) = (ca)b = 1 \cdot b = b$$

Warning

In general, $ab = ac \implies b = c$ (cancellation rule does not hold in general for multiplication).

Example 29

In \mathbb{Z}_6 , notice $2 \cdot 3 = 0 = 3 \cdot 0$ but $2 \neq 0$

12.4 Subrings

Definition 7 (Subring)

A subset $S \subseteq R$ is a subring of R if S is itself a ring with the operations of R

Theorem 12.3 (Subring Test)

A nonempty subset S of a ring R is a subring if S is closed under sutraction and multiplication.

i.e. if $a, b \in S$ then $a - b \in S$ and $ab \in S$

Example 30

 $\{0\}$ and R will always be subrings of any ring R.

```
\{0,2,4\}\subseteq \mathbb{Z}_6 is a subring
```

1 is the identity in \mathbb{Z}_6

4 is the identity in $\{0,2,4\}$ $(0\cdot 4=0,\ 2\cdot 4=2,\ 4\cdot 4=4)$

Example 32

 $n\mathbb{Z} = \{0, \pm n, \pm 2n, \pm 3n, \ldots\}$ is a subring of \mathbb{Z} that does not have any identity (if $n \neq 1$).