

Exercise 8.1. Let $K \subseteq L$ be a splitting field extension for some $f \in K[t] \setminus K$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) f has a repeated root over L ;
- (ii) $\exists \alpha \in L$ s.t. $0 = f(\alpha) = (\mathcal{D}f)(\alpha)$;
- (iii) $\exists g \in K[t]$, $\deg g \geq 1$ s.t. g divides both f and $\mathcal{D}f$.

Solution. ((i) \implies (ii)) Suppose $f \in K[t] \setminus K$ has a repeated root in L . That is, $f = \prod_{i=0}^d (t - \alpha_i)^{r_i}$ where $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_d \in L$ are roots of f , $r_j = n \geq 2$ for some j , and without loss of generality we can say $j = 0$. Then $f = gh$ over L where $g, h \in L[t] \setminus L$ of strictly smaller degree such that $g = (t - \alpha_0)^n$ and $h = \prod_{i=1}^d (t - \alpha_i)^{r_i}$, whence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}f &= \mathcal{D}(g)h + g\mathcal{D}(h) \\ &= n(t - \alpha_0)^{n-1}h + (t - \alpha_0)^n h' \\ &= (t - \alpha_0)[n(t - \alpha_0)^{n-2}h + (t - \alpha_0)^{n-1}h']. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $f(\alpha_0) = \mathcal{D}f(\alpha_0) = 0$.

((ii) \implies (iii)) Suppose $f \in K[t] \setminus K$ does *not* have repeated a root in L . That is, $f = \prod_{i=0}^d (t - \alpha_i)$ where $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_d \in L$ are distinct roots of f . Let $R_f = \{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_d\}$ be the set of all roots of f . Then it is easy to see that

$$\mathcal{D}f(t) = \sum_{i=1}^d \left(\prod_{j \neq i} (t - \alpha_j) \right) \implies \mathcal{D}f(\alpha_k) = \prod_{j \neq k} (\alpha_k - \alpha_j) \neq 0, \quad \forall \alpha_k \in R_f$$

since $\alpha_j \neq \alpha_k$ for all $j \neq k$, so $\nexists \alpha \in L$ such that $0 = f(\alpha) = (\mathcal{D}f)(\alpha)$.

((ii) \implies (iii)) Suppose $\exists \alpha \in L$ such that $\mathcal{D}f(\alpha) = f(\alpha) = 0$ for some $f \in K[t] \setminus K$. By definition of formal derivative, we know $\mathcal{D}f \in K[t]$. Moreover we are given that L is a splitting field extension for f , so $L : K$ must be finite and hence algebraic. Thus $\exists \mu_\alpha^K \in K[t]$, and by theorem we have that $\mu_\alpha^K \mid f$ and $\mu_\alpha^K \mid \mathcal{D}f$.

((iii) \implies (ii)) Suppose $\exists g \in K[t]$ with $\deg g \geq 1$ such that g divides both f and $\mathcal{D}f$. We know that $f = \prod_{i=0}^d (t - \alpha_i)^{r_i}$ where $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_d \in L$ are roots of f and $r_i \in \mathbb{N}$ for all i . Thus for g to divide f it must be divisible by some factor $(t - \alpha_j)$ of f for some j . It follows that $\mathcal{D}f$ must also be divisible by $(t - \alpha_j)$, whence α_j is a root of both $\mathcal{D}f$ and f .

Thus we have that (i) \iff (ii) \iff (iii). □

Exercise 8.2. Let K be a field, $\text{char } K = p > 0$ and $f \in K[t^p]$ is an irreducible polynomial over K . Prove that f is inseparable.

Solution. Suppose $f = \sum_{i=0}^d a_i t^{ip} \in K[t^p]$ is irreducible over K . By definition of the formal derivative, $\mathcal{D}f = \sum_{i=1}^d a_i p i t^{i(p-1)} = p \sum_{i=1}^d a_i i t^{i(p-1)} = 0$. Then by exercise 8.1 it follows that f is inseparable over K . □

Exercise 8.3. Let K be a field, $\text{char } K = p > 0$ and $f \in K[t^p]$ is an irreducible polynomial over K . Prove that there is $g \in K[t]$ and a non-negative n such that $f(t) = g(t^{p^n})$ and g is an irreducible and separable polynomial.

Solution. We first notice that by Exercise 8.2, we have that f is inseparable over K . We know $f = \sum_{i=0}^d a_i t^{ip} \in K[t^p]$ so let $g(t^{p^n}) = \sum_{i=0}^d a_i (t^{p^n})^i$, which is obviously equivalent to f for $n = 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Thus $f(t) = g(t^{p^n})$ for some $g \in K[t]$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Suppose then that g is reducible in $K[t]$, which is to say that $g = \bar{g}_1 \bar{g}_2$ for $\bar{g}_1, \bar{g}_2 \in K[t] \setminus K$ of strictly lesser degree than g , and without loss of generality $\deg \bar{g}_1 \geq \deg \bar{g}_2 \geq 1$. Then

$$f(t) = g(t^p) = \bar{g}_1(t^p) \bar{g}_2(t^p) = f_1(t) f_2(t)$$

where $f_i(t) = \bar{g}_i(t^p) \in K[t^p]$ for $i = 1, 2$. Hence f is reducible if g is reducible, and by contrapositive the irreducibility of f implies irreducibility of g .

If $\mathcal{D}g(t) \neq 0$, then g is separable and we are done. Else, assume we have shown that $f(t) = g_n(t^{p^{n+1}}) \in K[t^p]$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$. If $\mathcal{D}g_n(t) \neq 0$, then g_n is separable and we are done. Else, $g_n(t) = g_{n+1}(t^p)$ by Exercise 8.1.

Notice that $\deg f = \deg g_n(t^{p^n}) = (\deg g_n) \cdot p^n \in \mathbb{N}$ and we know $p \neq 0$, so obviously $\deg f > \deg g > \deg g_1 > \dots > \deg g_n$ and $\deg g_n = \frac{\deg f}{p^n}$. Eventually we must have either $\mathcal{D}g_n \neq 0$ or $\deg g_n = 1$ and we note that in the latter case, $g_n \in K[t^p]$ contradicts that f is irreducible.

Hence our inductive procedure necessarily ends in some g_n with $\mathcal{D}g_n \neq 0$, whence g_n is separable over K . \square

Exercise 8.4. Prove that $\prod_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \alpha = -1$

Solution. By theorem, we have that every element of \mathbb{F}_q satisfies the equality $t^q = t$. Then $t^q - t = t(t^{q-1} - 1) = 0$ and we can factor out the zero root to see that every nonzero element of \mathbb{F}_q satisfies the relationship $t^{q-1} = 1$. Thus, every element of \mathbb{F}_q^* is a root of the polynomial $x^{q-1} - 1 = 0$. Moreover, we know \mathbb{F}_q is a splitting field for $t^q - t$, so it follows that \mathbb{F}_q^* is also a splitting field for $x^{q-1} - 1$. Hence $x^{q-1} - 1 = \prod_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} (x - \alpha)$. Then by comparing constant terms, we can see that

$$-1 = \prod_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} (-\alpha) = (-1)^{q-1} \prod_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \alpha.$$

We know $q = p^n$ so obviously for $p > 2$ we have that $q - 1$ must be even, and hence $(-1)^{q-1} = 1$. If $p = 2$, then we have $q - 1$ must be odd and $(-1)^{q-1} = -1$, but we know $-1 = 1$ in characteristic 2. Hence we have $\prod_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \alpha = -1$. \square

Exercise 8.5.1. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and $\alpha = \beta - \beta^p$ for some $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Prove that $\text{Tr}(\alpha) = 0$.

Solution. By definition of trace, we have

$$\text{Tr}(\alpha) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha^{p^i} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (\beta - \beta^p)^{p^i}.$$

Since we are working in characteristic p , this simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(\alpha) &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \beta^{p^i} - (\beta^p)^{p^i} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \beta^{p^i} - \beta^{p^{i+1}} \\ &= (\beta - \beta^p) + (\beta^p - \beta^{p^2}) + \dots + (\beta^{p^{n-2}} - \beta^{p^{n-1}}) + (\beta^{p^{n-1}} - \beta^{p^n}). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that all intermediate terms immediately cancel out, leaving us with $\text{Tr}(\alpha) = \beta - \beta^{p^n}$. Recall that every $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_q$ satisfies the equality $\gamma = \gamma^q$, and since $q = p^n$ we have $\beta^{p^n} = \beta$ over \mathbb{F}_q . Thus $\text{Tr}(\alpha) = \beta - \beta = 0$. \square

Exercise 8.5.2. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and $\alpha = \gamma^{1-p}$ for some nonzero $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Prove that $\text{Norm}(\alpha) = 1$.

Solution. By definition of norm we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Norm}(\alpha) &= \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha^{p^i} = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (\gamma^{1-p})^{p^i} = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\gamma^i}{\gamma^{p^{i+1}}} \\ &= \left(\frac{\gamma}{\gamma^p} \right) \cdot \left(\frac{\gamma^p}{\gamma^{p^2}} \right) \cdot \left(\frac{\gamma^{p^2}}{\gamma^{p^3}} \right) \cdots \left(\frac{\gamma^{p^{n-1}}}{\gamma^{p^n}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly to before, everything cancels out except the numerator of the first term and the denominator of the last. Thus, $\text{Norm}(\alpha) = \frac{\gamma}{\gamma^{p^n}}$ and we know $\gamma^{p^n} = \gamma$ so $\text{Norm}(\alpha) = \frac{\gamma}{\gamma} = 1$. \square

Exercise 8.5.3. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_p \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$. Prove that $\text{Tr}(\alpha) = n\alpha$.

Solution. Given that $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_p$ we know $\alpha^p = \alpha$, so $\alpha^{p^k} = (\alpha^p)^{p^{k-1}} = \alpha^{p^{k-1}}$ and by induction, we find that $\alpha^{p^k} = \alpha$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus $\text{Tr}(\alpha) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha^{p^i} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha = n\alpha$. \square

Exercise 8.5.4. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_p \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$. Prove that $\text{Norm}(\alpha) = \alpha^n$.

Solution. By the same reasoning as Exercise 8.5.3, we have $\text{Norm}(\alpha) = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha^{p^i} = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha = \alpha^n$. \square