**Exercise 7.1.** Let  $K = \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $M = \mathbb{Q}(2^{1/3})$  and  $L = \mathbb{Q}(2^{1/3}, \sqrt{3}, i)$ . Prove that L : K and L : M are normal but M : K is not normal.

Solution. We know that a field extension  $F_1: F_2$  is normal iff it is a splitting field extension for some  $f \in F_2[t]$ . Consider the polynomial  $f(t) = (t^2 - 3)(t^2 + 1)$ . Then,

$$f(t) = (t + \sqrt{3})(t - \sqrt{3})(t + i)(t - i),$$

whence L: M is a splitting field extension for f.

Next, consider  $g(t) = (t^2 - 3)(t^2 + 1)(t^3 - 2)$ . Then,

$$f(t) = (t + \sqrt{3})(t - \sqrt{3})(t + i)(t - i)(t - \sqrt[3]{2})(t - \varepsilon_3\sqrt[3]{2})(t - \varepsilon_3\sqrt[3]{2}),$$

where  $\varepsilon_3 = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi}{3}i\right)$ . Notice,

$$\varepsilon_3 = \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{3}\right) + i\sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{3}\right)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} + i\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\left(-1 + i\sqrt{3}\right) \in \mathbb{Q}(2^{1/3}, i, \sqrt{3})$$

$$\varepsilon_3^2 = \cos\left(\frac{4\pi}{3}\right) + i\sin\left(\frac{4\pi}{3}\right)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} - i\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\left(-1 - i\sqrt{3}\right) \in \mathbb{Q}(2^{1/3}, i, \sqrt{3}).$$

Thus L: K is a splitting field extension for f, hence it is normal.

By definition, an extension M: K is normal if  $\forall \alpha \in M$ , the minimum polynomial of  $\alpha$  over K,  $\mu_{\alpha}^{K}(t)$ , splits over M[t]. Obviously,  $\sqrt[3]{2} \in \mathbb{Q}(2^{1/3})$  by construction. However, notice that for  $\alpha = \sqrt[3]{2}$ ,

$$\mu_{\alpha}^{K}(t) = t^{3} - 2$$
  
=  $(t - \sqrt[3]{2})(t - \varepsilon_{3}\sqrt[3]{2})(t - \varepsilon_{3}^{2}\sqrt[3]{2}),$ 

where  $\varepsilon_3 = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi}{3}i\right)$ . However, we just showed that  $\varepsilon_3$  and  $\varepsilon_3^2$  are complex numbers and thus the linear factors  $(t - \varepsilon_3\sqrt[3]{2})$  and  $(t - \varepsilon_3\sqrt[3]{2})$  do not lie in M[t]. Thus M: K is not a normal extension by definition.  $\square$ 

Exercise 7.2.1. Let K-L be algebraic,  $\alpha \in L$  and  $\sigma : K \to \overline{K}$  be a homomorphism. Prove that  $\mu_{\alpha}^K$  is separable over K iff  $\sigma(\mu_{\alpha}^K)$  is separable over  $\sigma(K)$ .

Solution. Since we have a homomorphism from  $K \to \overline{K}$ , we know that the extension  $\overline{K}: K$  exists. Moreover, it is obviously algebraic by definition of  $\overline{K}$ . Thus there exists some isomorphism  $\overline{\sigma}: \overline{K} \to \overline{K}$  extending  $\sigma$ , and we note that  $\overline{\sigma}|_K = \sigma$ . Since K - L is algebraic we know that  $\mu_{\alpha}^K$  exists. Further, since all coefficients of  $\mu_{\alpha}^K$  are in K and  $K \subseteq \overline{K}$ , we can say  $\mu_{\alpha}^K(t) \in \overline{K}[t]$ . By definition of algebraic closure, observe that we can split  $\mu_{\alpha}^K$  over  $\overline{K}[t]$  in the following form:

$$\mu_{\alpha}^{K}(t) = \prod_{i=1}^{d} (t - \alpha_{i})^{r_{i}}, \quad r \in \mathbb{N}$$

Since  $\overline{\sigma}|_K = \sigma$ , we have that  $\overline{\sigma}(\mu_{\alpha}^K) = \sigma(\mu_{\alpha}^K)$  and  $\overline{\sigma}(K) = \sigma(K)$ . We know homomorphisms preserve operations, whence

$$\overline{\sigma}\left(\mu_{\alpha}^{K}(t)\right) = \prod_{i=1}^{d} (t - \overline{\sigma}(\alpha_{i}))^{r_{i}} = \prod_{i=1}^{d} (t - \sigma(\alpha_{i}))^{r_{i}}.$$

Furthermore, any field homomorphism must be injective, so each  $\overline{\sigma}(\alpha_i)$  is necessarily distinct. Hence  $\mu_{\alpha}^K$  has multiple roots  $\iff \overline{\sigma}(\mu_{\alpha}^K) = \sigma(\mu_{\alpha}^K)$  has multiple roots. Moreover by irreducibility of  $\mu_{\alpha}^K$  over K, we have that  $\overline{\sigma}(\mu_{\alpha}^K) = \sigma(\mu_{\alpha}^K)$  is irreducible over the image of K. Thus  $\mu_{\alpha}^K$  is separable over  $K \iff \sigma(\mu_{\alpha}^K)$  is separable over  $\sigma(K)$ .

Exercise 7.2.2. Let L: K be a splitting field for  $f \in K[t]$ . Prove that if f is separable, then L: K is separable.

Solution. We are given that L: K is a splitting field extension for f, and by theorem we know  $L = K(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$  where  $\alpha_j \in L$  is a root of f for  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . Then for each j the minimum polynomial of  $\alpha_j$  must divide f, and thus  $\mu_{\alpha_j}^K$  is separable over K by separability of f and the definition of separable. Then  $\alpha_j$  is separable over K for each f and hence f is separable by theorem.

**Exercise 7.3.** Let L: K be a splitting field extension for a polynomial  $f \in K[t]$ . Then L: K is separable iff f is separable over K.

Solution. We saw in 7.2.2 that separability of f implies separability of L:K. Hence it is enough to show that the separability of L:K implies the separability of f. Similarly to the previous problem, we have that  $L=K(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n)$  where  $\alpha_j\in L$  is a root of f for  $1\leq j\leq n$ . By theorem, the separability of L:K implies that each  $\alpha_j$  is separable over K. Thus by definition of separability of  $\alpha_j$ , we have that  $\mu_{\alpha_j}^K$  is separable. Then since  $\alpha_j$  is a root of f, we know  $\mu_{\alpha_j}^K \mid f$  for all j. Assume ad absurdum that f is not separable. Then upon splitting over L, there must be some linear factor  $(t-\alpha_k)$  raised to the power of at least 2. By uniqueness of  $\mu_{\alpha_k}^K$  this tells us that  $\mu_{\alpha_k}^K$  must also have a repeated root, contradicting the separability of  $\mu_{\alpha_k}^K$ . Hence f must be separable over K.

**Exercise 7.4.** Let K - M - L be an algebraic extension. Prove that K - L is separable iff K - M and M - L are separable.

Solution. ( $\Longrightarrow$ ) Suppose K-L is separable. Then  $\alpha$  is separable (i.e. algebraic and  $\mu_{\alpha}^K$  separable) over K for all  $\alpha \in L$ . Since  $M \subseteq L$ , we have that  $\beta$  is separable over K for all  $\beta \in M$ , whence K-M is separable. It remains to show that M-L is separable. Suppose  $\gamma \in M$ . Since  $\gamma \in L$ , we have that  $\mu_{\gamma}^K$  is separable. Consider  $\mu_{\gamma}^M$ . We have by lemma that  $\mu_{\gamma}^M \mid \mu_{\gamma}^K$  in M[t]. Since  $\mu_{\gamma}^K$  splits into distinct linear factors, this means  $\mu_{\gamma}^M$  must have distinct roots as well. So  $\mu_{\gamma}^M$  is separable and thus  $\gamma$  is separable for all  $\gamma \in L$ . Thus by definition L:M is separable.

(  $\longleftarrow$  ) Assume that both K-M and M-L are separable. We wish to show that L is separable over K. Let  $\alpha \in L$ . By separability of L:M, we have that  $\mu_{\alpha}^{M}(t)$  is separable. Since  $\alpha$  is algebraic over K, its minimal polynomial over K,  $\mu_{\alpha}^{K}(t) \in K[t]$ , exists. Moreover, because  $K \subset M$ , we can view  $\mu_{\alpha}^{K}(t)$  as a polynomial in M[t]. Since  $\mu_{\alpha}^{K}$  and  $\mu_{\alpha}^{M}$  share a root, we have that  $\mu_{\alpha}^{M}(t) \mid \mu_{\alpha}^{K}(t)$  in M[t]. That is, there exists some  $h(t) \in M[t]$  such that  $\mu_{\alpha}^{K}(t) = \mu_{\alpha}^{M}(t)h(t)$ .

Now, assume ad absurdum that  $\mu_{\alpha}^{K}(t)$  is not separable. Then in its factorization over an algebraic closure some linear factor appears with multiplicity  $\geq 2$ . That is, there exists some  $\gamma$  such that  $(t-\gamma)^n$  divides  $\mu_{\alpha}^{K}(t)$  with  $n \geq 2$ . We know  $\mu_{\alpha}^{M}(t)$  has distinct roots, so  $(t-\gamma)$  must be a factor of h(t) with multiplicity  $\geq 1$ . Notice that

$$D(\mu_{\alpha}^{K}(t)) = D(\mu_{\alpha}^{M}(t))h(t) + \mu_{\alpha}^{M}(t)D(h(t)), \tag{1}$$

and if we let  $t = \gamma$ ,

$$D(\mu_{\alpha}^{K}(\gamma)) = D(\mu_{\alpha}^{M}(\gamma))h(\gamma) + \mu_{\alpha}^{M}(\gamma)D(h(\gamma)). \tag{2}$$

Since  $\gamma$  is a repeated root of  $\mu_{\alpha}^{K}$ , we have that  $D(\mu_{\alpha}^{K}(\gamma)) = 0$ . Also since  $\mu_{\alpha}^{K}(\gamma) = \mu_{\alpha}^{M}(\gamma)h(\gamma) = 0$ , either  $\mu_{\alpha}^{M}(\gamma) = 0$  or  $h(\gamma) = 0$  must be true.

Josh Park MA 45401-H01 – Galois Theory Honors Prof. Shkredov Homework 7 (Mar 14)

Spring 2025 Page 3

Case 1  $(\mu_{\alpha}^{M}(\gamma) = 0)$ . In this case, equation (2) simplifies to  $0 = D(\mu_{\alpha}^{M}(\gamma))h(\gamma)$ . If  $h(\gamma) \neq 0$  then  $\gamma$  must be a repeated root of  $\mu_{\alpha}^{M}$ , contradicting its separability.

Case 2  $(h(\gamma) = 0)$ . In this case, equation (2) simplifies to  $0 = \mu_{\alpha}^{M}(\gamma)D(h(\gamma))$ . We know  $\mu_{\alpha}^{M}(\gamma) \neq 0$  otherwise we return to case 1 and reach a contradiction. Thus  $D(h(\gamma)) = 0$  must be true, whence  $\gamma$  is a repeated root of h(t).

Thus a repeated root in  $\mu_{\alpha}^{K}$  forces one of its factors with coefficients in M to have a repeated root and thus be inseparable. But then  $\gamma$  would become inseparable since the minimum polynomial of  $\gamma$  over M must divide h, which contradicts the fact that L:M is separable. Thus  $\mu_{\alpha}^{K}$  must be separable over L for arbitrary  $\alpha$ , whence L:K is separable.