

UNIVERSITY OF
CAMBRIDGE
MATHEMATICS TRIPOS

Part III

Combinatorics

Example Sheet I

November 16, 2019

Solutions by
JOSHUA SNYDER

Introduction

These are written solutions to Combinatorics Example Sheet I. Solutions are written based on those seen in examples classes and may contain errors, likely due to the author. Solutions may be incomplete and do not usually include starred questions. These are to be used as a reference for revision **after** examples classes and should never be used beforehand. Doing so will severely impair your ability to learn and study mathematics.

Questions

Question (Question 1). Let $P = (V, <)$ be a finite poset. Recall that a subset $U \subset V$ is a chain if any two elements of U are comparable, and it is an antichain if no two elements of U are comparable. Show that the maximal size of an antichain in P is equal to the minimal number of chains in P that cover V .

Solution. Let N_1 = maximum size of antichain, N_2 = minimum number of chains that cover V .

$N_2 \geq N_1$ Given A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{N_2} minimal number of chains covering V . Any antichain B can contain at most one element from each A_i so $N_1 \geq |B| \geq N_2$.

$N_1 \geq N_2$ We prove this by induction on n , the size of the partially ordered set. If P is empty the theorem is vacuously true. Thus, assume P has at least one element and let a be a maximal element in P which exists since P is finite. By induction, assume $\exists k : P' := P \setminus a$ can be covered by k disjoint chains C_1, \dots, C_k and there is an antichain A_0 of size at least k . Have $A_0 \cap C_i \neq \emptyset$. Let x_i be the maximal element of C_i belonging to an antichain of length at least k .

Remark (Claim). Let $A_0 = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k\}$, then A is an antichain

Proof of Claim. Let A_i be an antichain of size k that contains x_i , fix $i \neq j$ arbitrarily. Then $A_i \cup C_j \neq \emptyset$. Suppose $y \in A_i \cup C_j$. Then $y \leq x_j$ since x_j is maximal in C_j . Thus $x_i \not\leq x_j$ since $x_i \not\leq y$. Exchanging i, j gives $x_j \not\leq x_i$.

Now suppose $a \geq x_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq k$. Then set

$$K = \{a\} \cup \{z \in C_i : z \leq x_i\}$$

Then by choice of x_i , $P \setminus K$ does not have an antichain of size k and so by induction $P \setminus K$ can be covered by $k - 1$ disjoint chains as $A \setminus x_i$ is an antichain of size $k - 1$ in $P \setminus K$. Thus P can be covered by k disjoint chains.

Else, suppose instead that $a \not\geq x_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. The $A \cup \{a\}$ is an antichain of size $k + 1$ in P and P can be covered by $k + 1$ chains $\{a\}, C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k$.

□

Remark. This proof is tedious and a very difficult Question 1. The ideas are, however, important and should be understood.

Question (Question 2). Let $(V, <)$ be a finite ranked poset with non-empty level sets V_0, V_1, \dots, V_n . Suppose for $0 < i \leq n$ every $v \in V_i$ dominates exactly $d_i \geq 1$ elements of V_{i-1} , for $0 \leq i < n$ every $v \in V_i$ is dominated by exactly $e_i \geq 1$ elements of V_{i+1} , and the partial order on $V = \cup_0^n V_i$ is induced by these relations.

Show that if $U \subset V$ is an antichain then

$$\sum_0^n \frac{|U \cap V_i|}{|V_i|} \leq 1$$

Idea. Count number of chains of maximal length in two ways

Solution. Must have $|V_i|e_i = |V_{i+1}|d_{i+1}$ for all $0 \leq i < n$. Thus there are $|V_0|e_0e_1\dots e_{n-1} = d_1\dots d_n|V_n|$ chains of maximal length in V . For each maximal chain C we have $|C \cap U| \leq 1$ as U is an antichain. Every element in V_k is contained in exactly $(d_k d_{k-1} \dots d_1)(e_k \dots e_{n-1})$ maximal chains. Putting both of these together gives:

$$\sum_0^n |U \cap V_k|(d_k \dots d_1)(e_k \dots e_{n-1}) = \#\text{maximal chains} = |V_0|e_0 \dots e_{n-1}$$

which upon dividing the LHS by the RHS yields the required result.

□

Remark. Counting arguments like these are popular. The counting itself is not difficult, but knowing what to count often is.