

Example Sheet 1

- 1.** Let P be the transition matrix of a Markov chain with values in E and let μ and ν be two probability distributions on E . Show that

$$\|\mu P - \nu P\|_{\text{TV}} \leq \|\mu - \nu\|_{\text{TV}}.$$

Deduce that $d(t) = \max_x \|P^t(x, \cdot) - \pi\|_{\text{TV}}$ is decreasing as a function of t , where π is the invariant distribution.

- 2.** Let $\Omega = \prod_{i=1}^n \Omega_i$, where Ω_i are finite sets. For each i , let μ_i and ν_i be probability distributions on Ω_i and set $\mu = \prod_{i=1}^n \mu_i$ and $\nu = \prod_{i=1}^n \nu_i$. Show that

$$\|\mu - \nu\|_{\text{TV}} \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \|\mu_i - \nu_i\|_{\text{TV}}.$$

- 3.** Let X and Y be Poisson random variables with parameters λ and μ respectively. Writing $\mathcal{L}(X)$ and $\mathcal{L}(Y)$ for their laws, prove that

$$\|\mathcal{L}(X) - \mathcal{L}(Y)\|_{\text{TV}} \leq |\lambda - \mu|.$$

- 4.** Let Y be a random variable with values in \mathbb{N} which satisfies

$$\mathbb{P}(Y = j) \leq c, \text{ for all } j > 0 \text{ and } \mathbb{P}(Y = j) \text{ is decreasing in } j,$$

where c is a positive constant. Let Z be an independent random variable with values in \mathbb{N} . Prove that

$$\|\mathbb{P}(Y + Z = \cdot) - \mathbb{P}(Y = \cdot)\|_{\text{TV}} \leq c\mathbb{E}[Z].$$

- 5.** Let X be a Markov chain and let W and V be random variables taking values in \mathbb{N} and suppose they are independent of X . Prove that

$$\|\mathbb{P}(X_W = \cdot) - \mathbb{P}(X_V = \cdot)\|_{\text{TV}} \leq \|\mathbb{P}(W = \cdot) - \mathbb{P}(V = \cdot)\|_{\text{TV}}$$

- 6.** Let $G = (V, E)$ be a finite connected graph with maximal distance between any two vertices equal to D . Suppose that X is a lazy simple random walk on G . Prove that for all $\varepsilon < 1/2$ we have

$$t_{\text{mix}}(\varepsilon) \geq D/2.$$

- 7.** Let X be a Markov chain in E with transition matrix P and invariant distribution π . Let $A \subseteq E$ be a subset with $\pi(A) \geq 1/8$. Let $\tau_A = \inf\{t \geq 0 : X_t \in A\}$. Prove that there exists a positive constant c so that

$$t_{\text{mix}}(1/4) \geq c \max_x \mathbb{E}_x[\tau_A].$$

1. Let P be the transition matrix of a Markov chain with values in E and let μ and ν be two probability distributions on E . Show that

$$\|\mu P - \nu P\|_{\text{TV}} \leq \|\mu - \nu\|_{\text{TV}}.$$

Deduce that $d(t) = \max_x \|P^t(x, \cdot) - \pi\|_{\text{TV}}$ is decreasing as a function of t , where π is the invariant distribution.

$$\text{Let } A = \{x : \mu P(x) > \nu P(x)\} \quad B = \{x : \mu(x) > \nu(x)\}$$

$$\|\mu P - \nu P\|_{\text{TV}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_x |\mu P(x) - \nu P(x)|$$

$$= \sum_{x \in A}$$

$$\mu P(A) - \nu P(A) \leq \mu P^{-1}(A) - \nu P^{-1}(A)$$

?

$$\text{Let } t, s > 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} d(t+s) &= \max_x \|P^{t+s}(x, \cdot) - \pi\|_{\text{TV}} = \max_x \|P^t(x, \cdot) P^s - \pi P^s\|_{\text{TV}} \\ &\leq \max_x \|P^t(x, \cdot) - \pi\|_{\text{TV}} \quad \text{from before} \\ &= d(t) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Hence } d(t+s) \leq d(t) \quad \forall t, s > 0.$$

2. Let $\Omega = \prod_{i=1}^n \Omega_i$, where Ω_i are finite sets. For each i , let μ_i and ν_i be probability distributions on Ω_i and set $\mu = \prod_{i=1}^n \mu_i$ and $\nu = \prod_{i=1}^n \nu_i$. Show that

$$\|\mu - \nu\|_{\text{TV}} \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \|\mu_i - \nu_i\|_{\text{TV}}.$$

By induction it suffices to prove the result for $n=2$. Let (X_1, Y_1) be distributed with optimal coupling of (μ_1, ν_1) and (X_2, Y_2) with optimal coupling of (μ_2, ν_2) .

$$\text{Let } X = (X_1, X_2) \quad Y = (Y_1, Y_2) \quad \text{Then } (X, Y) \text{ has law } (\mu_1 \times \mu_2, \nu_1 \times \nu_2).$$

$$\|\mu_1 \times \mu_2 - \nu_1 \times \nu_2\|_{\text{TV}} = \|P(X \neq Y)\|_{\text{TV}} \leq \|P(X_1 \neq Y_1)\|_{\text{TV}} + \|P(X_2 \neq Y_2)\|_{\text{TV}} = \|\mu_1 - \nu_1\|_{\text{TV}} + \|\mu_2 - \nu_2\|_{\text{TV}}.$$

3. Let X and Y be Poisson random variables with parameters λ and μ respectively. Writing $\mathcal{L}(X)$ and $\mathcal{L}(Y)$ for their laws, prove that

$$\|\mathcal{L}(X) - \mathcal{L}(Y)\|_{\text{TV}} \leq |\lambda - \mu|.$$

WLOG $\lambda \geq \mu$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{L}(X) - \mathcal{L}(Y)\|_{\text{TV}} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_n |\mathbb{P}(n) - \mathbb{P}(n)| \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \geq 1} \left| \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^n}{n!} - \frac{e^{-\mu} \mu^n}{n!} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{e^{-\lambda}}{n!} (\lambda^n - \mu^n) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\lambda - \mu) \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{e^{-\lambda}}{n!} (\lambda^{n-1} + \lambda^{n-2}\mu + \dots + \mu^{n-1}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} (\lambda - \mu) \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{e^{-\lambda}}{n!} (n \lambda^{n-1}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} (\lambda - \mu) \left(1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \mathbb{P}(n)\right) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot 2 (\lambda - \mu) \\ &= \lambda - \mu \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

(From examples class)

Alternate proof: Take a coupling of (X, Y) by taking first $Y \sim \text{Pois}(\mu)$ and then obtaining $Z \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda - \mu)$ independently and set $X = Y + Z$.

$$\|\mathcal{L}(X) - \mathcal{L}(Y)\| \leq \mathbb{P}(X \neq Y) = \mathbb{P}(Z \neq 0) = 1 - e^{-(\lambda - \mu)} \leq |\lambda - \mu| \quad \text{Neat!}$$

4. Let Y be a random variable with values in \mathbb{N} which satisfies

$$\mathbb{P}(Y = j) \leq c, \text{ for all } j > 0 \text{ and } \mathbb{P}(Y = j) \text{ is decreasing in } j,$$

where c is a positive constant. Let Z be an independent random variable with values in \mathbb{N} . Prove that

$$\|\mathbb{P}(Y + Z = \cdot) - \mathbb{P}(Y = \cdot)\|_{\text{TV}} \leq c\mathbb{E}[Z].$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbb{P}(Y + Z = \cdot) - \mathbb{P}(Y = \cdot)\|_{\text{TV}} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_m |\mathbb{P}(Y + Z = m) - \mathbb{P}(Y = m)| \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_m \left| \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \mathbb{P}(Y = n) \mathbb{P}(Z = m-n) - \mathbb{P}(Y = m) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_m |\mathbb{P}(Y = m)| \left| \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \frac{\mathbb{P}(Y = n)}{\mathbb{P}(Y = m)} \mathbb{P}(Z = m-n) - 1 \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_m c \left| \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \mathbb{P}(Z = m-n) - 1 \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_m c |1 - \mathbb{P}(Z \leq m-1)| \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_m c \mathbb{P}(Z \geq m) = \frac{1}{2} c \mathbb{E}[Z] \end{aligned}$$

assuming $\exists m' > m$ s.t. $\mathbb{P}(Y = m') > 0$.

Suppose not, let $m = \max\{n > 0 : \mathbb{P}(Y = n) > 0\}$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \|\mathbb{P}(Y + Z = \cdot) - \mathbb{P}(Y = \cdot)\| &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_n |\mathbb{P}(Y + Z = n) - \mathbb{P}(Y = n)| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^m c \mathbb{P}(Z \geq n) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(Y + Z = n) \\ &\quad \text{From before} \quad \text{since } \mathbb{P}(Y + Z = n) = 0 \text{ here} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} c \sum_{n=1}^m \mathbb{P}(Z \geq n) + \frac{1}{2} c \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(Z \geq n) \\ &\leq c \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(Z \geq n) = c\mathbb{E}[Z] \end{aligned}$$

Examples Class solution: $\eta(n) = \mathbb{P}(Y = n)$ $\zeta(n) = \mathbb{P}(Z = n)$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(Y = n) - \mathbb{P}(Y + Z = n) &= \eta(n) - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \zeta(k) \eta(n-k) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \zeta(k) \eta(n) - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \zeta(k) \eta(n-k) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \zeta(k) [\eta(n) - \eta(n-k)] \\ &= \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \zeta(k) \eta(n) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \zeta(k) [\eta(n) - \eta(n-k)] \leq \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \zeta(k) \eta(n) = \mathbb{P}(Z \geq n) \mathbb{P}(Y = n) \\ &\leq c \mathbb{P}(Z \geq n) \quad \text{since } \eta \text{ non-negative} \\ &\leq c \mathbb{P}(Z \geq n) \end{aligned}$$

... Then done

5. Let X be a Markov chain and let W and V be random variables taking values in \mathbb{N} and suppose they are independent of X . Prove that

$$\|\mathbb{P}(X_W = \cdot) - \mathbb{P}(X_V = \cdot)\|_{\text{TV}} \leq \|\mathbb{P}(W = \cdot) - \mathbb{P}(V = \cdot)\|_{\text{TV}}$$

\exists a coupling of $\nu = \mathbb{P}(W = \cdot)$ and $\nu = \mathbb{P}(V = \cdot)$ such that

$$\|\mathbb{P}(W \neq V) = \|\mathbb{P}(W = \cdot) - \mathbb{P}(V = \cdot)\|_{\text{TV}}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbb{P}(X_W = \cdot) - \mathbb{P}(X_V = \cdot)\|_{\text{TV}} &\stackrel{\text{From lectures}}{\leq} \|\mathbb{P}(X_W \neq X_V)\|_{\text{TV}} \stackrel{\text{since } W=V \Rightarrow X_W=X_V}{\leq} \|\mathbb{P}(W \neq V)\|_{\text{TV}} \\ &= \|\mathbb{P}(W = \cdot) - \mathbb{P}(V = \cdot)\|_{\text{TV}} \end{aligned}$$

6. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a finite connected graph with maximal distance between any two vertices equal to D . Suppose that X is a lazy simple random walk on G . Prove that for all $\varepsilon < 1/2$ we have

$$t_{\text{mix}}(\varepsilon) \geq D/2.$$

Examples class: (idea: Consider \bar{d} rather than d for lower bounds.)

If $k < \frac{1}{2}D$ and $\text{dist}(x, y) = D$ then $\text{supp}(\rho^k(x, \cdot)) \cap \text{supp}(\rho^k(y, \cdot)) = \emptyset \leftarrow \text{i.e. They never meet}$

$\curvearrowleft = 1$ since they never meet

Then $d(k) \geq \frac{1}{2}\bar{d}(k) = \frac{1}{2}$ and the result follows

7. Let X be a Markov chain in E with transition matrix P and invariant distribution π . Let $A \subseteq E$ be a subset with $\pi(A) \geq 1/8$. Let $\tau_A = \inf\{t \geq 0 : X_t \in A\}$. Prove that there exists a positive constant c so that

$$t_{\text{mix}}(1/4) \geq c \max_x \mathbb{E}_x[\tau_A].$$

Idea: Haven't hit a set of size $1/8$, know you are lying in only $7/8$
so you can't be mixed (take as your distinguishing set the $1/8$ th)

let $t = t_{\text{mix}}(1/16)$ Then $d(t) \leq 1/16$ and hence for all sets A
we have $P^t(x, A) \geq \pi(A) - 1/16$

let A be such that $\pi(A) = 1/8$, Then $P^t(x, A) \geq \frac{1}{16}$.

Hence $\tau_A \leq t \text{Geom}(1/16)$ since $\tau_A \leq \inf\{t > 0 : X_{t+1} \in A\}$
stochastically dominated by
 $\leq \text{Geom}(1/16)$ from the above.

Hence by submultiplicative property of \mathbb{E} and using $\mathbb{E}(t) \leq 2d(t)$, the claim follows.

Note: $\tau_A := \inf\{s > 0 : X_s \in A\}$ $\tau'_A = \inf\{s > 0 : X_{s+t} \in A\}$.
 $\Rightarrow \tau_A \leq \tau'_A$

$P(X_{(t+1)t} \in A | X_{t+1} = x) = P^t(x, A) \geq 1/16$ By Markov Property

Define $I_k = \{X_{kt} \in A\}$ Then $P(I_{k+1} | I_k^c) \geq \frac{1}{16}$ by conditioning on each $x \in I_k^c$

This gives that $\tau_A \leq \text{Geom}(1/16)$

Define $X^{(i)}, Y^{(i)}$, $i = 1, \dots, d$. At each step, toss fair coin indep. of all previous. If Heads X moves, Y stays same. Tails \Rightarrow vice-versa.

Let U_1, U_2, \dots be iid uniform RVs on $\{1, \dots, d\}$. Given $t > 0$
 let $N_i(t) = \sum_{j=1}^t I(U_j = i)$ and let $X_t = (X_{1,t}^{(1)}, \dots, X_{d,t}^{(d)})$.

This is a fancy way of saying pick a component uniformly at random.

Let $\bar{\tau}_i$ be coupling time of $(X^{(i)}, Y^{(i)})$ Then this coupling is coalescent.

Let $\tau_i = \min\{t > 0 : N_i(t) > \bar{\tau}_i\}$ and $\tau = \max\{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_d\}$ (Coupling time for (X, Y))

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(t) &\leq \max_{x,y} \mathbb{P}_{x,y}(X_t \neq Y_t) = \max_{x,y} \mathbb{P}_{x,y}(\tau > t) \\ &\leq \max_{x,y} \frac{\mathbb{E}[\tau]}{t} \text{ by Chebyshev} \\ &\leq \max_{x,y} \frac{\mathbb{E}[\tau_1 + \dots + \tau_d]}{t} \end{aligned}$$

$\boxed{\mathbb{E}[\tau_i] = \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\tau_i | \bar{\tau}_i]]}_{\delta \cdot \bar{\tau}_i} = \delta k(n-k)} \text{ where } \ell \text{ is clockwise distance between } x_i, y_i$

(from lectures)]

$$\leq \frac{\delta^2 n^2}{4t} \leq \frac{1}{4} \quad \text{for } t = n^2 \delta^2$$

Looks fine

(a)

Note $n - n^\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[T] = \infty$.

Else let τ_i denote the number of coupons acquired in order to get $i+1$ distinct coupons from i distinct ones. Then $\tau_i \sim \text{Geom}\left(\frac{n-i}{n}\right)$

$$\text{Thus } \mathbb{E}[T] = \mathbb{E}[\tau_0 + \dots + \tau_{n-n^\alpha-1}] = \sum_{i=0}^{n-n^\alpha-1} \frac{n}{n-i} = n \sum_{i=0}^{n-n^\alpha-1} \frac{1}{n-i} = n \sum_{i=n^\alpha+1}^n \frac{1}{i}$$

(b) $T = \tau_0 + \dots + \tau_{n-n^\alpha-1}$ where $\tau_i \sim \text{Geom}\left(\frac{n-i}{n}\right)$

$$\text{Thus } \text{Var}(T) \stackrel{\text{iid}}{=} \sum_{i=0}^{n-n^\alpha-1} \text{Var}(\tau_i) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-n^\alpha-1} \frac{1 - \left(\frac{n-i}{n}\right)}{\left(\frac{n-i}{n}\right)^2} = n \sum_{i=0}^{n-n^\alpha-1} \frac{\frac{i}{n}}{(n-i)^2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Var}\left(\frac{T}{\mathbb{E}[T]}\right) = \frac{\text{Var}(T)}{(\mathbb{E}[T])^2} = \frac{n \sum_{i=1}^{n-n^\alpha-1} \frac{i}{(n-i)^2}}{n^2 \left(\sum_{i=n^\alpha+1}^n \frac{1}{i}\right)^2} = \frac{1}{n} \cdot \left(\underbrace{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n-n^\alpha-1} \frac{i}{(n-i)^2}}{\left(\sum_{i=n^\alpha+1}^n \frac{1}{i}\right)^2}}_A \right)$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-n^\alpha-1} \frac{i}{(n-i)^2} = \sum_{i=n^\alpha+1}^n \frac{n-i}{i^2} \leq \sum_{i=n^\alpha+1}^n \frac{1}{i^2} \leq \sum_{i=n^\alpha+1}^n \frac{1}{i} \Rightarrow A \leq 1$$

$$\text{so } \text{Var}\left(\frac{T}{\mathbb{E}[T]}\right) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ and } \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{T}{\mathbb{E}[T]}\right] \rightarrow 1$$

and by Chebyshev

$$\mathbb{P}\left(|\frac{T}{\mathbb{E}[T]} - 1| > \varepsilon\right) \leq \varepsilon \left[\text{Var}\left(\frac{T}{\mathbb{E}[T]}\right)\right]^2 \leq \varepsilon \frac{1}{n^2} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

$$(a) \# \text{ permutations} = n!$$

$$\# \text{ permutations with } \geq 1 \text{ FP} = (n-1)!$$

Examples class (a): $x = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{I}_{\{\sigma(i)=i\}}$ Then

$$\mathbb{E}[x] = \sum_{i=1}^n p(\sigma(i)=i) = n p(\sigma(i)=i) = n \cdot \frac{1}{n} = 1$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[x^2] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{I}_{\{\sigma(i)=i\}} + 2 \sum_{i < j} \mathbb{I}_{\{\sigma(i)=i, \sigma(j)=j\}}\right] \\ &= 1 + 2 \cdot \binom{n}{2} \frac{(n-2)!}{n!} = 2 \end{aligned}$$

Prove by induction on n

$$\text{that } \mathbb{E}[x] = 1 \quad \mathbb{E}[x^2] = 2 \quad \mathbb{E}[x] = 2 \times \frac{1}{2} = 1 \quad \mathbb{E}[x^2] = 4 \times \frac{1}{2} = 2$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Var}(x) = 2 - 1^2 = 1$$

$$\underline{n-1 \rightarrow n} \quad \mathbb{E}[x] = \mathbb{E}[x | X > 1] p(X > 1) = \frac{(n-1)!}{n!} \mathbb{E}[x | X > 1] = \frac{(n-1)!}{n!} \binom{n}{n-1} \cdot 1$$

$$\mathbb{E}[x^2] = \mathbb{E}[x^2 | X > 1] p(X > 1) = \frac{(n-1)!}{n!} \binom{n}{n-1} \cdot 2 = 2$$

$$\text{Thus } \mathbb{E}[x] = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Var}(x) = 2 - 1^2 = 1 \quad \forall n.$$

$$(b) \text{ Idea: let } A \text{ be the set of } \sigma \text{ with atleast one FP. Then } P(A) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 1 - \frac{1}{e} \text{ (Exclusion-Inclusion)}$$

$$\text{Show } P^t(id, A) \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{for } t = (\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon) \log n$$

Formally, let τ be the first time where all cards have been selected to go either left or right.

Then $P^t(id, A) \geq p(\tau > t)$. Let τ' be the first time that all cards selected in time slowed down by $1/2$ (to account for moving left and right).

Then $P(\tau > t) = P(\tau' > 2t)$ but τ' is a coupon collector with

$$\tau' = \text{Geom}\left(\frac{n}{n}\right) + \text{Geom}\left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right) + \dots \quad \mathbb{E}[\tau'] = n(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n}) = n \log(n+1) > n \log n$$

$$\text{Var}(\tau') < n^2 \pi^2 / 6. \quad \text{Set } t = (\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon) \log n \quad \text{Then } P(\tau' \leq 2t) \rightarrow 0$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Pr(\tau' \leq 2t) &= \Pr(\tau' - \mathbb{E}[\tau'] \leq 2t - \mathbb{E}[\tau']) \leq \Pr(\tau' - \mathbb{E}[\tau'] \leq 2t - n \log n) \\
 &= \Pr(\tau' - \mathbb{E}[\tau'] \leq -2 \varepsilon n \log n) \\
 &\leq \frac{\text{Var}(\tau')}{4\varepsilon^2 (n \log n)^2} \xleftarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi^2}{24\varepsilon^2 (\log n)^2} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Hence } P^e(\text{id}, A) &\geq 1 - \Pr(\tau' \leq 2t) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 1 \\
 \Rightarrow d(t) &\geq |P^e(\text{id}, A) - \pi(A)| \xrightarrow{\rightarrow 1} \xrightarrow{\rightarrow 1 - 1/e} e^{-1} > 1/4
 \end{aligned}$$

$$(a) \text{ Let } \lambda \leftrightarrow v \text{ for } P \text{ then } Pv = \lambda v \Rightarrow \sum_j p_{ij}v_j = \lambda v_i \quad \forall \quad (1 \leq i \leq \dim P = n)$$

$$|\sum_j p_{ij}v_j| \leq \sum_j p_{ij}|v_j| \leq \max_i |v_i| = |v|$$

$$\Rightarrow |\lambda||v| = |\sum_j p_{ij}|v_j| \leq |v| \Rightarrow |\lambda| \leq 1$$

$$(b) \text{ Let } \lambda = -1 \rightarrow v. \text{ Then } Pv = -v \Rightarrow P^{2t-1}v = -v \text{ & } P^{2t}v = v \quad \forall t \geq 1.$$

$P^{2t+1}v = -v$: P^{2t+1} stochastic $\Rightarrow 1$ is eigenvalue w/ eigenvector $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}$

$$\sum_j p_{ij}v_j = -v_i \quad \forall i$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_i \sum_j p_{ij}v_j = -\sum_i v_i$$

$$Pv = -v \Rightarrow P^2v = v \Rightarrow (P^2 + P)v = 0 \quad \dots$$

(a) We note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_y \mathbb{E}_a \left[\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \mathbb{I}(X_t = y) P_{yx} \right] \\ & \quad \text{Markov Property} \\ & = \mathbb{E}_a \left[\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \sum_y \mathbb{I}(X_t = y) P_{yx} \right] \\ & = \mathbb{E}_a \left[\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \sum_y \mathbb{I}(X_t = y) \right] \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{I}(X_{t+1} = x) | X_t = y] \\ & = \mathbb{E}_a \left[\sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{I}(X_t = x) \right] \\ & \text{Shifting sum } \rightarrow = \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E}_a [\mathbb{I}(X_t = x)] \\ & \text{by } \mathbb{I} \text{ is sum of exp.} \end{aligned}$$

?? $\mathbb{I}(X_t = x) = \mathbb{I}(X_0 = x) = \delta_{ax}$ by construction so done

(b) Let $\tau_x^m = \inf \{ t \geq m : X_t = x \}$.

(a) $\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}_x \left[\sum_{t=0}^{\tau_x^m - 1} \mathbb{I}(X_t = x) \right] = \pi(x) \mathbb{E}_x [\tau_x^m]$

Consider $\mathbb{E}_\pi \left[\sum_{t=0}^{\tau_x^m - 1} \mathbb{I}(X_t = x) \right]$.

If $X_0 \sim \pi$ Then $X_t \sim \pi$ for all $t \geq 0$, hence:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_\pi \left[\sum_{t=0}^{\tau_x^m - 1} \mathbb{I}(X_t = x) \right] &= \sum_{t=0}^{m-1} \mathbb{P}_\pi(X_t = x) + \mathbb{E}_\pi \left[\sum_{t=0}^{\tau_x^m - 1} \mathbb{I}(X_t = x) \right] \\ &= \pi(x)m \text{ since } \pi \text{ invariant.} \end{aligned}$$

This is the start of it, proof goes on for a while, c.f. solutions

Thus $\mathbb{E}_x \left[\sum_{t=0}^{\tau_x^m - 1} \mathbb{I}(X_t = x) \right] - \mathbb{E}_\pi \left[\sum_{t=0}^{\tau_x^m - 1} \mathbb{I}(X_t = x) \right] = \pi(x) (\mathbb{E}_x [\tau_x^m] - m)$

From before

$$\begin{aligned} &= \pi(x) (\mathbb{E}_{\pi^m(x, \cdot)} [\tau_x^m]) \\ &\text{by Markov Property} \end{aligned}$$

For the second part:

Spectral Method:

$$\frac{P^{2t}(x, x)}{\pi(x)} = \sum_{i=1}^{|S|} f_i^2(x) \lambda_i^{2t} \quad |\lambda_i| \leq 1$$
$$\geq \sum_{i=1}^{|S|} f_i^2(x) \lambda_i^{2t+2}$$
$$= \frac{P^{2t+2}(x, x)}{\pi(x)}$$

These *are not* model solutions. There will likely be typos, and maybe even logical errors. These *are not* for redistribution without my explicit permission.

Question 1 Since P is a stochastic matrix, any eigenvalue λ of P satisfies $|\lambda| \leq 1$. Indeed, suppose that $Pv = \lambda v$. Suppose that $|v_k| = \max\{|v_1|, \dots, |v_n|\}$; so $|v_k| > 0$. Then

$$|\lambda v_k| = \left| \sum_1^n P_{k,j} v_j \right| \leq |v_k|$$

since the row-sums of P are 1 and its entries are non-negative. Thus $|\lambda| \leq 1$.

We hence know that P has matrix-norm $\|P\| \leq 1$. The result follows from the inequality $\|\xi P\|_1 \leq \|P\| \|\xi\|_1$ for any row-vector ξ . (The usual inequality is for column-vectors, but it holds for row-vectors too since the eigenvalues of P are the same as those of its transpose.)

The final claim simply uses the fact that $\pi P = P$.

Question 2 Use the coupling-representation of total variation. For each $i = 1, \dots, n$, let (X_i, Y_i) be an optimal coupling of (μ_i, ν_i) . Let $X := (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ and $Y := (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$. Then (X, Y) is a coupling of (μ, ν) . Thus

$$\|\mu - \nu\|_{\text{TV}} \leq \mathbb{P}(X \neq Y) \leq \sum_1^n \mathbb{P}(X_i \neq Y_i) = \sum_1^n \|\mu_i - \nu_i\|_{\text{TV}}.$$

Question 3 We can express $\text{Po}(\lambda)$ the number of arrivals in a Poisson process of rate λ , written $\text{PP}(\lambda)$, in time 1. Assume that $\lambda \geq \mu$. Couple two Poisson processes: sample a $\text{PP}(\lambda)$; create a $\text{PP}(\mu)$ by filtering this process using acceptance probability μ/λ .

Suppose that there are N arrivals (by time 1) in $\text{PP}(\lambda)$. The probability that the two processes differ is, by the union bound, at most $N(1 - \mu/\lambda)$. Taking expectation over this, using the fact that $\mathbb{E}(N) = \lambda$, gives

$$\mathbb{P}(\text{PP}(\lambda) = \text{PP}(\mu)) \leq \lambda(1 - \mu/\lambda) = \lambda - \mu.$$

Using the coupling-representation of total variation completes the proof.

Question 4 Since the law of Y is decreasing, for all $k \geq 0$ we have $\mathbb{P}(Y = j) \geq \mathbb{P}(Y + k = j)$ for all $j \geq 0$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbb{P}(Y + k = \cdot) - \mathbb{P}(Y = \cdot)\|_{\text{TV}} &= \sum_{j: \mathbb{P}(Y=j) \geq \mathbb{P}(Y+k=j)} (\mathbb{P}(Y = j) - \mathbb{P}(Y + k = j)) \\ &= \sum_j (\mathbb{P}(Y = j) - \mathbb{P}(Y + k = j)) \\ &= 1 - \mathbb{P}(Y \geq k) = \mathbb{P}(Y \leq k) \leq ck. \end{aligned}$$

The claim now follows from the fact that Z is independent of Y .

Question 5 Sample the infinite path $(X_k)_{k \geq 0}$, and sample V and W (independently of X). Using the independence, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(X_V = X_W) = \sum_{v,w} \mathbb{P}(X_v = X_w) \mathbb{P}(V = v, W = w).$$

We lower bound $\mathbb{P}(X_v = X_w) \geq 0$ when $v \neq w$, but $\mathbb{P}(X_v = X_w) = 1$ when $v = w$. Hence

$$\mathbb{P}(X_V = X_W) \geq \sum_u \mathbb{P}(V = u = W) = \mathbb{P}(V = W).$$

Using the coupling-representation of total variation completes the proof.

Question 6 Consider \bar{d} , rather than d . Note that if $k < D/2$ and x and y are at (maximal) graph distance D , then $P^k(x, \cdot)$ and $P^k(y, \cdot)$ are positive on disjoint sets. Hence $\bar{d}(k) = 1$ for $k < \frac{1}{2}D$. Thus $d(k) \geq \frac{1}{2}\bar{d}(k) = \frac{1}{2}$ for $k < \frac{1}{2}D$. Hence $t_{\text{mix}}(\varepsilon) \geq \frac{1}{2}D$ for all $\varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$.

Question 7 Let $t := t_{\text{mix}}(\frac{1}{16})$. Then $d(t) \leq \frac{1}{16}$, so for all sets A and starting points x we have

$$P^t(x, A) \geq \pi(A) - \frac{1}{16}.$$

Let A be a set with $\pi(A) \geq \frac{1}{8}$. Then, for all x , we have

$$P^t(x, A) \geq \frac{1}{16}.$$

Hence this shows that $\tau_A \lesssim t \cdot \text{Geom}(\frac{1}{16})$. Hence $\mathbb{E}_x(\tau_A) \lesssim t$.

Finally, from the submultiplicativity of \bar{d} and the inequality $d(t) \leq 2\bar{d}(t)$, we deduce the claim.

Question 8 Define a coupling in the following way:

- Choose a coordinate, from $\{1, \dots, d\}$ uniformly at random.
- If the positions in the chosen coordinates of the two walks agree, then move the walks together in this coordinate.
- If they disagree, choose one of the chains uniformly to move and keep the other fixed.

Let $X_t := (X_t^1, \dots, X_t^d)$ and $Y_t := (Y_t^1, \dots, Y_t^d)$, and let

$$\tau_i := \inf\{t \geq 0 \mid X_t^i = Y_t^i\} \quad \text{for each } i = 1, \dots, d.$$

Then the coupling time $\tau_c = \max_i \tau_i$.

For each coordinate i , the difference $|X_t^i - Y_t^i|$, viewed at times when coordinate i is selected, behaves as a (non-lazy) SRW on \mathbb{Z}_n with 0 absorbing. It is known that the expected time for a SRW to traverse a distance n is $\Theta(n^2)$ —in fact, it is upper bounded by $\frac{1}{4}n^2$; see [Levin–Peres–Wilmer, Proposition 2.1]. Since each coordinate is selected with probability $1/d$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}(\tau_i) \leq \frac{1}{4}dn^2 \quad \text{for any starting state.}$$

By the union bound and Markov's inequality, we obtain

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau_c > t) \leq \sum_1^d \mathbb{P}(\tau_i > t) \leq \frac{1}{4}d^2n^2/t.$$

Hence $t_{\text{mix}}(\frac{1}{4}) \leq d^2n^2$. (So take $c := d^2$.)

Extension. Prove that we may take $c \asymp d \log d$; in fact, $c := d[\log_4(d/\varepsilon)]$ works for $t_{\text{mix}}(\varepsilon)$.

Question 9 (a) The transition rates for X are given by

$$p(x, x+1) = 1 - x/n \quad \text{and} \quad p(x, x) = x/n \quad \text{for } x = 0, \dots, n.$$

Write $G_\alpha \sim \text{Geo}_1(\alpha)$ for a geometric random variable (with support $\{1, 2, \dots\}$) with success probability α ; then $\mathbb{E}(G_\alpha) = 1/\alpha$. Write

$$\tau_k := \inf\{t \geq 0 \mid X_t = k\}$$

for the time it takes to collect k coupons. Then, by the strong Markov property, we may write

$$\tau_k = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} G_{1-i/n}, \quad \text{and hence} \quad \mathbb{E}(\tau_k) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} 1/(1-i/n) = n \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} 1/(n-i).$$

Taking $k := n - n^\alpha$ gives $\mathbb{E}(T) = \mathbb{E}(\tau_k)$. For general k , we may write

$$\frac{1}{n}\mathbb{E}(\tau_k) = \sum_{j=1}^n 1/j - \sum_{j=1}^{n-k} 1/j.$$

Using expression for harmonic sums, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{n}\mathbb{E}(\tau_k) = \log\left(\frac{n}{n-k}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n-k}\right).$$

If $k = n - n^\alpha$, then this becomes

$$\mathbb{E}(T) = n(\log(n^{1-\alpha}) + \mathcal{O}(n^{-\alpha})) = (1-\alpha)n \log n + \mathcal{O}(n^{1-\alpha}).$$

(b) Write $I_i(t)$ for the indicator that coupon i has not been collected by time t . Let $Y_t := \sum_1^n I_i(t)$ be the number not collected by time t ; so $X_t + Y_t = n$. It is straightforward to show, by direct calculation, that $\{I_j(t)\}_1^n$ are negatively correlated and, writing $p_t := (1 - 1/n)^t$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}(Y_t) = np_t \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Var}(Y_t) \leq np_t(1-p_t) \leq np_t.$$

(See [Levin–Peres–Wilmer, Lemma 7.13].) Take

$$t := c(1-\alpha)n \log n; \quad \text{then} \quad p_t = \exp(-c(1-\alpha) \log n + o(1)) = n^{-c(1-\alpha)+o(1)}$$

Since $\text{Var}(Y_t) \ll \mathbb{E}(Y_t)^2$, the random variable Y_t concentrates. This implies that

$$\mathbb{P}(Y_t > n^{1-\alpha}) = \begin{cases} 1 - o(1) & \text{when } c > 1, \\ o(1) & \text{when } c < 1, \end{cases}$$

and that the same inequality holds for $\mathbb{P}(Y_t < n^{1-\alpha})$ with $c > 1$ and $c < 1$ reversed. This implies concentration, in probability, of T around its expectation.

Question 10 (a) Consider $\sigma(1)$. By uniformity, it is uniformly distributed over $\{1, \dots, n\}$. Hence, by linearity of expectation, the expected number of fixed points is

$$\mathbb{E}(X) = \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_1^n \mathbf{1}(\sigma(i) = i)\right) = n \cdot 1/n = 1.$$

To calculate the variance, we use the representation

$$\mathbb{E}(X^2) = \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n \mathbf{1}(\sigma(i) = i, \sigma(j) = j)\right).$$

Now, if $\sigma(i) = i$, then $\sigma_{\setminus i}$, which is σ but with i removed, is a uniform permutation on $\{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i\}$. Hence, for $i \neq j$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(\sigma(i) = i, \sigma(j) = j) = \mathbb{P}(\sigma(j) = j \mid \sigma(i) = i)\mathbb{P}(\sigma(i) = i) = \frac{1}{n-1} \frac{1}{n}.$$

If $i = j$, then $\mathbb{P}(\sigma(i) = i, \sigma(j) = j) = 1/n$. Hence we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}(X^2) = n \cdot \frac{1}{n} + 2 \binom{n}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{n-1} \frac{1}{n} = n \cdot \frac{1}{n} + 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} n(n-1) \cdot \frac{1}{n-1} \frac{1}{n} = 1 + 1.$$

Hence $\text{Var}(X) = \mathbb{E}(X^2) - \mathbb{E}(X)^2 = (1+1) - 1^2 = 1$.

(b) Start from the identity permutation. We use as our distinguishing statistic the number of fixed points; even more restrictively, we look only at which cards have not been touched, as they must be fixed points. After t steps, a total of $2t$ (not necessarily distinct) cards have been touched.

The same argument as used in **Question 9(b)** applies here.

Question 11 (a) We showed this at the start of **Question 1**.

(b) Suppose that -1 is an eigenvalue of P and let f be the corresponding eigenfunction. Then $Pf = -f$, which means that, for all x , we have

$$f(x) = -\sum_y P(x,y)f(y).$$

Now choose x so that $|f(x)| = \max_y |f(y)|$. Taking absolute values above, we obtain

$$|f(x)| \leq \sum_y P(x,y)|f(y)| \leq |f(x)|, \quad \text{and hence} \quad |f(x)| = \sum_y P(x,y)|f(y)|.$$

So if $P(x,y) > 0$ then $|f(x)| = |f(y)|$, since $|f(x)|$ is maximal. By irreducibility, this implies that $|f(x)| = |f(y)|$ for all y . By rescaling, suppose that $f(x) = 1$.

For every y with $P(x,y) > 0$, we then have $f(y) = -1$, since $f(x) = -\sum_y P(x,y)f(y)$ and $\sum_y P(x,y) = 1$. Since $P^2f = f$, it follows that if x and y are such that $P^2(x,y) > 0$ then $f(x) = f(y)$, by the same reasoning. So we can partition the space into two sets:

$$A := \{y \mid f(y) = +1\} = \{y \mid P^t(x,y) > 0 \text{ for some even } t\};$$

$$B := \{y \mid f(y) = -1\} = \{y \mid P^t(x,y) > 0 \text{ for some odd } t\}.$$

This proves that the chain is periodic with period 2, ie $T(x) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$, since if w and z belong to the same set of the partition then $P^t(w,z) = 0$ for all t odd.

Question 12 (a) Write $\rho_x := \mathbb{E}_a(\sum_{t=0}^{\tau} \mathbf{1}(X_t = x))$. We show that ρ is an invariant measure:

$$\sum_j \rho_x p_{x,y} = \rho_y \quad \forall y.$$

Then, by uniqueness of the invariant measure up to constants,

$$\rho(\cdot) = c \cdot \pi(\cdot) \quad \text{for } c = \sum_y \rho_y = \mathbb{E}_a(\tau).$$

We use direct calculation:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_a &= \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}_a(X_t = a, \tau > t) \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}_a(X_{t+1} = a, \tau > t) \quad \text{since } \mathbb{P}_a(X_{\tau} = a) = 1 \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_x \mathbb{P}_a(X_t = x, \tau > t, X_{t+1} = a) \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_j p_{x,a} \mathbb{P}_a(X_t = x, \tau > t) \quad \text{by the Markov property} \\ &= \sum_x \rho_x p_{x,a}. \end{aligned}$$

Question 13 (a) Using reversibility and Cauchy-Schwarz, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{P^{2t}(x,y)}{\pi(y)} &= \sum_z P^t(x,z) \frac{P^t(z,y)}{\pi(y)} = \sum_z \frac{P^t(x,z)}{\pi(z)} \frac{P^t(y,z)}{\pi(z)} \pi(z) \\ &\leq \sqrt{\sum_z \pi(z) \frac{P^t(x,z)}{\pi(z)} \frac{P^t(z,x)}{\pi(x)}} \cdot \sqrt{\sum_z \pi(z) \frac{P^t(y,z)}{\pi(z)} \frac{P^t(z,y)}{\pi(y)}} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{P^{2t}(x,x)}{\pi(x)} \cdot \frac{P^{2t}(y,y)}{\pi(y)}}. \end{aligned}$$

(b) Since $P^{2t+2}(x,x) = \sum_{y,z} P^t(x,y) P^2(y,z) P^t(z,x)$, using reversibility we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(x) P^{2t+2}(x,x) &= \sum_{y,z} P^t(y,x) \pi(y) P^2(y,z) P^t(z,x) = \sum_{y,z} \psi(y,z) \psi(z,y) \\ \text{where } \psi(y,z) &:= P^t(y,x) \sqrt{\pi(y) P^2(y,z)}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Cauchy-Schwarz and using reversibility, we obtain

$$\pi(x) P^{2t+2}(x,x) \leq \sum_{y,z} \psi(y,z)^2 = \sum_y (P^t(y,x))^2 \pi(y) = P^{2t}(x,x).$$

8. Let X be a lazy simple random walk on the d -dimensional discrete torus \mathbb{Z}_n^d . Show that there exists a positive constant c (depending on the dimension d) so that

$$t_{\text{mix}}(1/4) \leq cn^2.$$

9. A company issues n different coupons. In order to win the prize, a collector needs all n coupons. We suppose that each coupon he acquires is equally likely to be each of the n types. Let X_t denote the number of different types represented among the collector's first t coupons. For $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, define $T = \min\{t \geq 0 : X_t = n - n^\alpha\}$.

(a) What is $\mathbb{E}[T]$?

(b) Show that $T/\mathbb{E}[T] \rightarrow 1$ in probability as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

10. (a) Let S_n be the symmetric group and let $\sigma \in S_n$ be a uniform random permutation. Let X denote the number of fixed points of σ , i.e. the number of $1 \leq i \leq n$ such that $\sigma(i) = i$. Show that $\mathbb{E}[X] = 1$ and $\text{Var}(X) = 1$.

(b) Consider the random transposition shuffle as a method of shuffling a deck of n cards. At each step, the shuffler chooses two cards, L_t and R_t , independently and uniformly at random. If L_t and R_t are different, then transpose them. Otherwise, do nothing. Prove that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and all n sufficiently large we have

$$t_{\text{mix}}(1/4) \geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon\right) n \log n.$$

11. (a) Let P be a transition matrix. Show that if λ is an eigenvalue, then $|\lambda| \leq 1$.

(b) Suppose that P is irreducible and for every x consider the set $T(x) = \{t : P^t(x, x) > 0\}$. Show that $T(x) \subseteq 2\mathbb{Z}$ if and only if -1 is an eigenvalue of P .

12. (a) Let τ be a stopping time for a finite and irreducible Markov chain satisfying $\mathbb{E}[\tau] < \infty$ and $\mathbb{P}_a(X_\tau = a) = 1$. Show that for all x

$$\mathbb{E}_a \left[\sum_{t=0}^{\tau} \mathbf{1}(X_t = x) \right] = \pi(x) \mathbb{E}_a[\tau].$$

(b) Consider a finite, irreducible and aperiodic Markov chain. Prove that for all x

$$\pi(x) \mathbb{E}_\pi[\tau_x] = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} (P^t(x, x) - \pi(x)).$$

Hint: Count the number of visits to x up until $\tau_x^m = \inf\{t \geq m : X_t = x\}$ in two different ways: using part (a) and also using the convergence to equilibrium theorem.

13. Let P be the transition matrix of a finite reversible chain with invariant distribution π .

Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality or otherwise prove that for all x, y and all t

$$\frac{P^{2t}(x, y)}{\pi(y)} \leq \sqrt{\frac{P^{2t}(x, x)}{\pi(x)} \cdot \frac{P^{2t}(y, y)}{\pi(y)}} \quad \text{and} \quad P^{2t+2}(x, x) \leq P^{2t}(x, x).$$