

**Mock Exam:**

MIXING TIMES OF MARKOV CHAINS (Lent 2017).

---

Duration: two hours.

---

*Attempt no more than **THREE** questions.*

*There are **FOUR** questions in total.*

*The questions carry equal weight.*

**Problem 1.**

(a) Define the *total variation distance*  $\|\mu - \nu\|_{\text{tv}}$  for probability distributions  $\mu, \nu$  on a finite set  $S$ . Show that

$$\|\mu - \nu\|_{\text{tv}} = (1/2) \sum_{x \in S} |\mu(x) - \nu(x)| = \sum_{x \in S} (\mu(x) - \nu(x))_+$$

where  $a_+ = \max(a, 0)$ . Show that if  $P$  is the transition matrix of an irreducible, aperiodic Markov chain on a state space  $S$  with invariant distribution  $\pi$ , and if  $d(t) = \sup_x \|P^t(x, \cdot) - \pi(\cdot)\|_{\text{tv}}$  then  $d(t) \leq \bar{d}(t) \leq 2d(t)$  where  $\bar{d}(t) = \sup_x \|P^t(x, \cdot) - P^t(y, \cdot)\|_{\text{tv}}$ .

(b) Define what is meant by a *coupling* of  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ , and show that if  $(X, Y)$  is such a coupling then

$$\|\mu - \nu\|_{\text{tv}} \leq \mathbb{P}(X \neq Y).$$

(c) Using a coupling or otherwise, show that  $\bar{d}(t+s) \leq \bar{d}(t)\bar{d}(s)$ . Hence deduce that  $\rho = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} d(t)^{1/t}$  exists. [*Hint: you can use without proof the following lemma: if  $f$  is subadditive, i.e., if  $f(t+s) \leq f(t) + f(s)$  for all  $s, t \geq 0$  then  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} f(t)/t$  exists in  $\mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ .]*

(d) Assuming also reversibility in the above setting, what is the value of  $\rho$ ? [You can use without proof any result from the course, provided it is clearly stated].

**Problem 2.**

(a) Let  $P$  be the transition matrix of an irreducible, aperiodic and reversible Markov chain on a finite state space  $S$  of size  $n$  with invariant distribution  $(\pi(x))_{x \in S}$ , with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$ . Define the *Dirichlet form*  $\mathcal{E}(f, f)$  associated to  $P$ , and give without proof an equivalent expression. State and prove the *variational characterisation* of the spectral gap in terms of  $\mathcal{E}(f, f)$ . State without proof a similar characterisation for higher order eigenvalues.

(b) Let  $P, \tilde{P}$  be two transitive Markov chains on  $S$ , with corresponding Dirichlet forms  $\mathcal{E}, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}$  respectively. Suppose that if  $A > 0$  is such that  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(f, f) \leq A\mathcal{E}(f, f)$ . State and prove a theorem concerning their respective mixing behaviours in  $L^2$ , defining carefully the expressions you introduce. (You can use without proof a relation between eigenvalues and  $L^2$  distance to stationarity, provided that this is stated clearly).

(c) Define the interchange process on a connected graph  $\mathcal{G} = (V, E)$ . State a theorem giving a bound of mixing time of the interchange on  $\mathcal{G}$  in terms of geometric quantities associated with  $\mathcal{G}$ .

(d) Suppose  $\mathcal{G} = (V, E) = [0, n]^2 \cap \mathbb{Z}^2$  is the  $n \times n$  square, and  $E$  is the set of nearest neighbour edges, so  $(u, v) \in E$  if and only if  $\|u - v\|_1 = 1$  for  $u, v \in V$  (here  $\|u\|_1 = |u_1| + |u_2|$  for  $u = (u_1, u_2)$ ). Show that the interchange process (in continuous time) satisfies  $t_{\text{mix}} = O(n^4 \log n)$ . On the other hand, explain *briefly*, e.g. by considering the position of a single card, why  $t_{\text{mix}} \geq cn^4$  for some  $c > 0$ .

**Problem 3.**

(a) Let  $(X_t, t = 0, 1, \dots)$  be an irreducible, aperiodic and reversible Markov chain on a finite state space  $S$  with invariant distribution  $\pi(y), y \in S$ . Define the notion of *mixing time*  $t_{\text{mix}}(\alpha)$  at level  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ .

Give the definition of the *absolute spectral gap*  $\gamma_*$  of the chain, as well as that of the *relaxation time*  $t_{\text{rel}}$ , and give without proof the statement of a relation between relaxation time and mixing time at level  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

(b) Define the *bottleneck* (or isoperimetric) *ratio*  $\Phi_*$  of an irreducible, reversible Markov chain on a finite state space  $S$ . State Cheeger's inequality, and prove that if  $\gamma$  is the spectral gap, then  $\gamma \leq 2\Phi_*$ .

(c) Let  $S = \{1, \dots, n\}$  be the  $n$ -cycle and consider the Markov chain on  $S$  which is the lazy simple random walk on  $S$ . Show that  $\Phi_* = (1/n)(1 + o(1))$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Deduce that  $\gamma \geq (1 + o(1))2/n^2$ , and hence show that  $t_{\text{mix}}(1/4) \leq O(n^2 \log n)$ .

(d) Compute all the eigenvalues of this Markov chain, and compare the estimate above with the actual value of the spectral gap.

**Problem 4.**

(a) Let  $(X_t, t = 0, 1, \dots)$  be an irreducible, aperiodic and reversible Markov chain on a finite state space  $S$  with invariant distribution  $\pi(y), y \in S$ . Show that if  $P^t(x, y)$  denote the  $t$ -step transition probabilities of the chain,

$$\frac{P^t(x, y)}{\pi(y)} = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j^t f_j(x) f_j(y)$$

where  $\lambda_j$  are the eigenvalues and  $f_j$  are functions which you should specify. [You can assume without proof that there exists an orthonormal basis of eigenfunctions for the inner product associated with the  $\ell^2$  norm  $\|f\|_2 = (\sum_x f(x)^2 \pi(x))^{1/2}$ ].

(b) Define the *relaxation time*  $t_{\text{rel}}$ , and the  $\ell^2$  distance  $d_2(t)$  to equilibrium. Show that  $d(t) \leq (1/2)d_2(t)$  where  $d(t)$  is the total variation distance to equilibrium, and show that

$$t_{\text{mix}}(\varepsilon) \leq \log \left( \frac{1}{2\varepsilon \sqrt{\pi_{\min}}} \right) t_{\text{rel}}$$

where  $\pi_{\min} = \min\{\pi(x) : x \in S\}$ , and  $t_{\text{mix}}(\varepsilon)$  is the mixing time at level  $\varepsilon$ .

(c) Show that  $P^{2t}(x, x)$  is a decreasing sequence (as a function of  $t = 0, 1, \dots$ ). Show however with an example that  $P^t(x, x)$  is not in general monotone, as a function of  $t$ .

(d) Show that  $d_2(t)$  is a contraction: for all  $t, s \geq 0$ :

$$d_2(t + s) \leq d_2(s) e^{-t/t_{\text{rel}}}.$$

[You can use freely without proof the inequality  $1 - x \leq e^{-x}$ , valid for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ].