Tableau Visualization: Newspaper Coverage of Clinton/Trump Tweets During the 2016 Presidential Race

Joshua Timm PhD Candidate, University of Southern California

October 15, 2019

1 Josh Knows Tableau and Data Visualization

This document should provide a basic demonstration of data visualization abilities. First, I'll describe a brief research question and then display data visualizations that answer that research question¹.

Research Question

Of course, everyone is quite familiar with the 2016 presidential election and all the strong feelings that went along with it. I was curious about the candidate's use of Twitter during the 2016 presidential campaign and media coverage of the candidate's twitter accounts. I was surprised to learn that during the 2016 presidential election, Hillary Clinton actually tweeted more than Donald Trump. This was surprising because I personally perceived much more media coverage of Donald Trump's tweets than Clinton's tweets. To satiate my curiousity about this issue, I conducted some simple research. learned that despite the fact that during the 2016 presidential election Hillary Clinton tweeted more than Donald Trump, but the media didn't seem to care about her tweets.

The basic facts are that the general election period for the 2016 presidential election is June 8, 2016 to November 9, 2016, because June 8 is the date Hillary Clinton was considered the presumptive nominee for the Democratic Party in 2016 and November 9 is the day the 2016 election was officially decided. I collected the number of tweets made during this period from both Hillary Clinton and Donald trump using the Twitter API. Hillary Clinton tweeted 3,963 times during this period while Donald Trump tweeted 1,804 times. Despite the fact that Clinton tweeted more frequently, newspapers covered Donald Trump's tweets considerably more than Hillary Clinton's tweets. To measure this, I searched for newspaper articles across the Proquest U.S. Newsstream, a database containing articles from 1109 unique publications. Specifically, I searched for the words Trump and Clinton located within 5 words of the terms "Twitter" or "Tweet", all terms being case insensitive. This is of course not a perfect measure, but it works as a broad tool to collect newspaper articles that mention the candidate's twitter usage or dicuss the candidate's tweets.

For the period of the general election, the searches yielded 4,504 articles for Trump and 1,550 articles for Clinton. I isolated the articles published by the state they were published in and created state-by-state coverage maps displaying the newspaper coverage of tweets by Clinton and Trump, as well as a figure displaying the difference in coverage to visualize which candidate received more coverage. Those figures were generated using Tableau and are reported below.

¹I do not include data or code source files here simply for sake of parsimony, but I would be quite happy to share any and all data sources/code files upon request! Email me @ jtimm@usc.edu

Figure 1: Clinton Tweet Coverage Map, June 08, 2016 - Nov 09, 2016






Figure 2: Trump Tweet Coverage Map, June 08, 2016 - Nov 09, 2016



These maps may be interpreted as follows: Take the state of California as an example. The map for Clinton displays the number 182 to show that there were 182 articles published in California publications that contained the word "Clinton" within 5 words of the term "Twitter" or "Tweet" (case-insensitive). Similarly for Trump, the number displays 489 which means there were 489 articles published in California publications that contained the word "Trump" within 5 words of the term "Twitter" or "Tweet" (case-insensitive). In the map that displays the difference between the two candidates, the number for California is 307, representing that there were 307 more newspaper articles ostensibly about Trump's tweets than about Clinton's tweets.

While it is abundantly clear from these two maps that the media covered Donald Trump's tweets more than Hillary Clinton's, I also include a map to clearly display how much more coverage Donald Trump received.

Figure 3: Trump Tweet Coverage Map, June 08, 2016 - Nov 09, 2016



Additionally, I downloaded the full text of each of these articles and used regular expressions to track if any candidate tweets were quoted within the articles. This data makes it even more clear that Newspapers were not including Clinton's tweets as often as they were including Trump's tweets.

		Clinton	Trump
1	Total # of Tweets	3963	1804
2	Total # of unique tweets covered in news stories	11	173
3	Total # of news stories on unique tweets	98	604
4	Total # of news stories on candidate and Twitter/tweet	1550	4504

Hillary Clinton tweeted 3,963 times and newspapers quoted 11 of her tweets across 98 stories. Donald Trump tweeted 1,804 times and newspapers quoted 173 of his tweets across 604 stories. Of course this means that newspapers were covering Donald Trump's tweets at a much higher rate than Hillary Clinton's tweets, offering some insight as to why Donald Trump became so popular: his twitter activity was deemed more newsworthy than Clinton's.

I include similar maps that track the number of tweets posted by each candidate that were covered in newspaper articles across the 48 contiguous states of the U.S. These maps may be interpreted as follows: Again, take the state of California as an example. On the map for Clinton's tweets quoted in newspapers, the number 17 displays across the state of California. That indicates that 17 articles in California-based publications quoted at least one of Clinton's tweets. On the map for Trump's tweets quoted in newspapers, the number 82 indicates that 82 articles in California-based publications quoted at least one of Trump's tweets. And again the difference map shows the number 65, indicating that 65 more articles quoted Trump's tweets than articles quoting Clinton's tweets.

Figure 4: Number of Clinton Tweets Quoted in Newspaper Articles





Figure 5: Number of Trump Tweets Quoted in Newspaper Articles



The picture here is quite clear and I won't spend much time clearly describing the results. In every single state, newspaper articles quoted tweets posted by Donald Trump at considerably higher rates than they quoted tweets posted by Hillary Clinton. This offers further support to the notion that Donald Trump was better at handling the media during his presidential campaign, which contributed to his likelihood of being elected.

Figure 6: Difference in Number of Tweets Quoted in Newspapers, Trump-Clinton

