

Deep Convolution Neural Networks for the CHIPS Experiment

Josh Chalcraft Tingey
of University College London

A dissertation submitted to University College London
for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Abstract

LHCb is a b-physics detector experiment which will take data at the 14 TeV LHC accelerator at CERN from 2007 onward...

Declaration

This dissertation is the result of my own work, except where explicit reference is made to the work of others, and has not been submitted for another qualification to this or any other university. This dissertation does not exceed the word limit for the respective Degree Committee.

Andy Buckley

Acknowledgements

Of the many people who deserve thanks, some are particularly prominent, such as my supervisor...

Preface

This thesis describes my research on various aspects of the LHCb particle physics program, centred around the LHCb detector and LHC accelerator at CERN in Geneva.

For this example, I'll just mention Chapter ?? and Chapter ??.

Contents

1. Introduction	1
2. Theory	3
2.1. Neutral meson mixing	3
3. The CHIPS experiment	5
3.1. The LHC	5
3.2. The LHCb experiment	5
3.3. The Čerenkov mechanism	6
3.4. Trigger system	6
4. DAQ	7
5. CVN	9
6. Results	11
7. Cosmics	13
8. Conclusion	15
A. Pointless extras	17
A.1. Like, duh	17
A.2. $y = \alpha x^2$	17
List of figures	21
List of tables	23

*“Writing in English is the most ingenious torture
ever devised for sins committed in previous lives.”*

— James Joyce

Chapter 1.

Introduction


blah blah blah

Chapter 2.

Theory

“Laws were made to be broken.”

— Christopher North, 1785–1854



Symmetries, either intact or broken, have proved to be at the heart of how matter interacts. The Standard Model of fundamental interactions (SM) is composed of three independent continuous symmetry groups denoted $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$, representing the strong force, weak isospin and hypercharge respectively [?, ?, ?].

2.1. Neutral meson mixing

We can go a long way with an effective Hamiltonian approach in canonical single-particle quantum mechanics. To do this we construct a wavefunction from a combination of a generic neutral meson state $|X^0\rangle$ and its anti-state $|\bar{X}^0\rangle$:

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = a(t)|X^0\rangle + b(t)|\bar{X}^0\rangle \quad (2.1)$$

which is governed by a time-dependent matrix differential equation,

$${}^i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} M_{11} - \frac{i}{2}\Gamma_{11} & M_{12} - \frac{i}{2}\Gamma_{12} \\ M_{12}^* - \frac{i}{2}\Gamma_{12}^* & M_{22} - \frac{i}{2}\Gamma_{22} \end{pmatrix}}_{\mathbf{H}} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.2)$$

Chapter 3.

The CHIPS experiment

“There, sir! that is the perfection of vessels!”

— Jules Verne, 1828–1905

3.1. The LHC

The Large Hadron Collider (LHC) at CERN is a new hadron collider, located in the same tunnel as the Large Electron-Positron collider (LEP) [?]. Where LEP’s chief task was the use of 90–207 GeV e^+e^- collisions to establish the precision physics of electroweak unification...

3.2. The LHCb experiment

Since both b-hadrons are preferentially produced in the same direction and are forward-boosted along the beam-pipe, the detector is not required to have full 4π solid-angle coverage. LHCb takes advantage of this by using a wedge-shaped single-arm detector with angular acceptance 10–300 mrad in the horizontal (bending) plane [?].

⋮

The detector is illustrated in Figure ??, showing the overall scale of the experiment and the surrounding cavern structure.

The single-sided detector design was chosen in preference to a two-armed design since the detector dimensions are restricted by the layout of the IP8 (ex-Delphi) cavern in which LHCb is located. Using all the available space for a single-arm spectrometer more than compensates in performance for the $\sim 50\%$ drop in luminosity.

3.3. The Čerenkov mechanism

A Huygens construction in terms of spherical shells of probability for photon emission as the particle progresses along its track shows an effective “shock-front” of Čerenkov emission. This corresponds to an emission cone of opening angle θ_C around the momentum vector for each point on the track,

$$\cos \theta_C = \frac{1}{n\beta} + \frac{\hbar k}{2p} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n^2} \right) \quad (3.1a)$$

$$\sim \frac{1}{n\beta} \quad (3.1b)$$

where $\beta \equiv v/c$, the relativistic velocity fraction.

3.4. Trigger system

An overview of the LHCb trigger characteristics broken down by level is shown in Table 3.1.

	L0	L1	HLT
Input rate	40 MHz	1 MHz	40 kHz
Output rate	1 MHz	40 kHz	2 kHz
Location	On detector	Counting room	Counting room

Table 3.1.: Characteristics of the trigger levels and offline analysis.

Chapter 4.

DAQ

Here are some funky floats using “continued captions”, i.e. for a semantically collected group of float contents which are too numerous to fit into a single float, such as the pretty circles in the following figure:




Figure 4.1.: Demonstration of subfig continued captions.

This mechanism means that the same float label is used for both pages of floats. Note that we can refer to Figure 4.1 in general, or to Figure 4.1g on page 8 in particular!

Just for the hell of it, let’s also refer to Section 2.1.




Figure 4.1.: Demonstration of subfig continued captions (continued).

Chapter 5.

CVN

Here are some funky floats using “continued captions”, i.e. for a semantically collected group of float contents which are too numerous to fit into a single float, such as the pretty circles in the following figure

Chapter 6.

Results

Here are some funky floats using “continued captions”, i.e. for a semantically collected group of float contents which are too numerous to fit into a single float, such as the pretty circles in the following figure

Chapter 7.

Cosmics

Here are some funky floats using “continued captions”, i.e. for a semantically collected group of float contents which are too numerous to fit into a single float, such as the pretty circles in the following figure blah blah blah

Chapter 8.

Conclusion

Here are some funky floats using “continued captions”, i.e. for a semantically collected group of float contents which are too numerous to fit into a single float, such as the pretty circles in the following figure

Appendix A.

Pointless extras

*“Le savant n’étudie pas la nature parce que cela est utile;
il l’étudie parce qu’il y prend plaisir,
et il y prend plaisir parce qu’elle est belle.”*
— Henri Poincaré, 1854–1912

Appendixes (or should that be “appendices”?) make you look really clever, ’cos it’s like you had more clever stuff to say than could be fitted into the main bit of your thesis. Yeah. So everyone should have at least three of them. . .

A.1. Like, duh

Padding? What do you mean?

A.2. $y = \alpha x^2$

See, maths in titles automatically goes bold where it should (and check the table of contents: it *isn’t* bold there!) Check the source: nothing needs to be specified to make this work. Thanks to Donald Arsenau for the teeny hack that makes this work.

Colophon

This thesis was made in $\text{\LaTeX}2_\epsilon$ using the “hepthesis” class [?].

List of figures

4.1. Demonstration of subfig continued captions.	7
--	---

List of tables

3.1. Characteristics of the trigger levels and offline analysis.	6
--	---