Wayne Green Victoria Haley Joshua Lilly Math 290 - Group Assignment I 8/31/2016

	$_{-}$ 2a.										
P	Q	R	$(P \vee R)$	$(P \wedge Q)$	$(P \wedge R)$	$(P \wedge (Q \vee R))$	$(P \land Q) \lor (P \land R)$				
F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F				
F	F	Т	Т	F	F	F	F				
F	Т	F	Т	F	F	F	F				
F	Т	Т	Т	F	F	F	F				
T	F	F	F	F	F	F	F				
T	F	Т	Т	F	Т	T	Т				
T	Т	F	T	T	F	T	Т				
Т	Т	Τ	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т				

- 2b. Not possible for this problem since the problem statement is the definition of the distributive property of and over or
- 2c. In order to show that  $P \wedge (Q \vee R)$  is equivalent to  $(P \wedge Q) \vee (P \wedge R)$  we must show two things.

(1) 
$$P \wedge (Q \vee R)$$
 then  $(P \wedge Q) \vee (P \wedge R)$   
(2)  $(P \wedge Q) \vee (P \wedge R)$  then  $P \wedge (Q \vee R)$ 

- (1) Suppose  $P \wedge (Q \vee R)$  is true. In this case we must also show that  $(P \wedge Q) \vee (P \wedge R)$  is true. For  $(P \wedge Q) \vee (P \wedge R)$  to be true either  $(P \wedge Q)$  must be true  $OR (P \wedge R)$  must be true. Since  $P \wedge (Q \vee R)$  is true, P must be true and either Q OR R must be true. Since P must be true and either Q OR R must be true, then it follows that at least  $(P \wedge Q)$  is true  $OR (P \wedge R)$  is true.
- (2) assume (P  $\wedge$  R) is true. If (P  $\wedge$  R) is true then it must be the case that P AND R are both true. Since P AND R are both true, since P AND R must be true, then (Q  $\vee$  R) must be true since R is true. and the statement P  $\wedge$  (Q  $\vee$  R) must also be true since P is also true. Therefore, P  $\wedge$  (Q  $\vee$  R) and (P  $\wedge$  Q)  $\vee$  (P  $\wedge$  R) must be equivalent.

				4a.			
P	Q	R	$(Q \wedge R)$	$(P \implies R)$	$(P \implies Q)$	$(P \implies (Q \land R))$	$(P \implies Q) \land (P \implies R)$
F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F
F	F	Т	Т	F	F	F	F
F	Т	F	Т	F	F	F	F
F	Т	Т	Т	F	F	F	F
Т	F	F	F	F	F	F	F
Т	F	Т	T	F	Т	T	T
T	Т	F	T	Т	F	T	T
Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т

4b 
$$(P \Longrightarrow (Q \land R)) \equiv (Implication removal)$$
  
 $\neg P \lor (Q \land R) \equiv (Distribute)$   
 $(\neg P \lor Q) \land (\neg P \lor R) \equiv (Introduce implications)$   
 $(P \Longrightarrow Q) \land (P \Longrightarrow R)$ 

4c In order to show that  $(P \implies (Q \land R))$  is equivalent to  $(P \implies Q) \land (P \implies R)$  we must show two things.

(1) 
$$(P \implies (Q \land R))$$
 then  $(P \implies Q) \land (P \implies R)$ 

(2) (P 
$$\implies$$
 Q)  $\land$  (P  $\implies$  R) then (P  $\implies$  (Q  $\land$  R))

- (1) Assume  $(P \implies (Q \land R))$  is true since the statement is true it follows that Q AND R must both be true. This says nothing about P however, since Q AND R both must be true it is not possible for either  $(P \implies Q)$  OR  $(P \implies R)$  to ever be false since the only way for the implication to be false is if either Q OR R is also false. Since both  $(P \implies Q)$  AND  $(P \implies R)$  must be true it must be true when  $(P \implies (Q \land R))$  is true.
- (2) Assume  $(P \Longrightarrow Q) \land (P \Longrightarrow R)$  is true. Which means P, Q AND R can be all false, OR Q AND R can be true. When P, Q, AND R are false.  $(Q \land R)$  is false, which means since P is false  $(P \Longrightarrow (Q \land R))$  must be true. Which is consistent with  $(P \Longrightarrow Q) \land (P \Longrightarrow R)$ . When Q AND R are true, it is not possible for  $(P \Longrightarrow Q) \land (P \Longrightarrow R)$  to ever be false since the implication can only evaluate to false when either Q OR R are false. Additionally, since Q AND R are both true,  $(Q \land R)$  must also be true, forcing  $(P \Longrightarrow (Q \land R))$  to also always be true. Therefore,  $(P \Longrightarrow (Q \land R))$  must be equivalent to  $(P \Longrightarrow Q) \land (P \Longrightarrow R)$