

1 Renewables, Energy Storage, and Power Markets:
2 Optimization of plant design and operation to maximize
3 net present value and minimize emissions

4 Joshua E. Hammond¹, Michael Baldea^{1,1}, Brian A. Korgel^{1,1,1},

*^aMcKetta Department of Chemical Engineering, The University of Texas at Austin, 200
East Dean Keeton St., Stop C0400, Austin, 78712, TX, United States*

*^bEnergy Institute, The University of Texas at Austin, 2304 Whitis Ave., Stop
C2400, Austin, 78712, TX, United States*

*^cInstitute for Computational Engineering and Sciences, The University of Texas at
Austin, 201 E. 24th St., POB 4.102, Stop C0200, Austin, 78712, TX, United States*

*^dTexas Materials Institute, The University of Texas at Austin, 204 E. Dean Keeton St.,
Stop C2201, Austin, 78712, TX, United States*

5 **Abstract**

6 Existing work in optimizing renewable energy systems has generally fo-
7 cused on minimizing the levelized cost of power or green hydrogen. In con-
8 trast, we present a bi-level optimization framework for the design and oper-
9 ation of renewable energy systems to maximize net present value (NPV) and
10 minimize emissions. The framework uses a user-specified location to acquire
11 historical weather and power market data. Design considerations include the
12 type and relative generation capacity of implemented renewable generation
13 and energy storage technologies. Operational considerations include power
14 storage and dispatch decisions during the lifetime of the system. Sensitivity
15 analysis consider the impact of technological, weather, market, and policy
16 uncertainties on the NPV and emissions of the system. The code to repro-
17 duce and extend the results presented in this work is available at .

18 *Keywords:*

19 **1. Introduction**

20 Advances in technology have made renewable energy sources increasingly
21 competitive with traditional fossil fuels—an important step in the overarching
22 energy transition. While renewable energy sources such as wind and solar

23 power have low marginal generation costs, increased penetration of renew-
24 ables is associated with a cannibalistic effect on the price of energy. The
25 levelized cost of renewable energy is largely dominated by capital costs .

26 1.1. Related Literature

- 27 • [?] integrate waste heat from a PEM electrolyzer to desalinate and
28 deionize water before electrolysis. This heat integration allows cost
29 parity with SMR at an average electricity price of \$0.03 /kWh.

30 2. Modeling Approach

31 2.1. Objective Function

32 2.1.1. Net Present Value Calculations

33 2.1.2. Emissions Calculations

34 2.2. Data Acquisition

35 2.2.1. Climate and Weather Data

36 2.2.2. Market Data

37 2.3. Renewable Generation

38 2.3.1. Photovoltaic Power Generation

39 2.3.2. Concentrated Solar Generation

40 2.3.3. Wind Power Generation

41 2.4. Energy Storage

42 2.4.1. Battery Storage

43 2.4.2. Thermal Energy Storage

44 2.4.3. Electrolysis and Fuel Cells

45 2.5. External Integration

46 2.6. Final Problem Statement

47 3. Results

48 3.1. Sensitivity Analysis

49 3.2. Discussion

50 4. Conclusions

51 References