# MATH 217 (Fall 2021)

Honors Advanced Calculus, I

## Midterm Practice Problems

1. Compute  $\Delta f$  for

$$f: \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{(0,0,0)\} \to \mathbb{R}, \quad (x,y,z) \mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}}.$$

Solution: For  $(x, y, z) \neq (0, 0, 0)$ , we have

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = -\frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}}, \qquad \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = -\frac{y}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}},$$
and
$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = -\frac{z}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}},$$

so that

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}^3} + \frac{3x^2}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}^5}, \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2} &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}^3} + \frac{3y^2}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}^5}, \\ \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z^2} &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}^3} + \frac{3z^2}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}^5}. \end{split}$$

It follows that

$$\Delta f = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z^2}$$

$$= -\frac{3}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}} + 3\frac{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}}$$

$$= -\frac{3}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}} + \frac{3}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}}$$

$$= 0$$

2. Let  $\emptyset \neq D \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ , let  $f: D \to \mathbb{R}^M$  be continuous, and let  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a Cauchy sequence in D. Show that  $(f(x_n))_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{R}^M$  if D is closed or if f is uniformly continuous.

Does this remain true without any additional requirements for D or f?

Solution: Suppose that D is closed. As  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a Cauchy sequence, it converges to a limit  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$  that—due to the closedness of D—must lie in D. As f is continuous, it follows that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} f(x_n) = f(x_0)$ , so that  $(f(x_n))_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is also a Cauchy sequence.

1

Suppose that f is uniformly continuous. Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . By the uniform continuity of f, there is  $\delta > 0$  such that  $||f(x) - f(y)|| < \epsilon$  for all  $x, y \in D$  such that  $||x - y|| < \delta$ . As  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a Cauchy sequence, there is  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $||x_n - x_m|| < \delta$  for all  $n, m \geq n_0$ . From the choice of  $\delta > 0$  it thus follows that  $||f(x_n) - f(x_m)|| < \epsilon$  for all  $n, m \geq n_0$ . Hence,  $(f(x_n))_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{R}^M$ .

Let D = (0, 1], and let

$$f: D \to \mathbb{R}, \quad x \mapsto \frac{1}{x}.$$

Then D is not closed, and f is continuous, but not uniformly continuous. For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , set  $x_n := \frac{1}{n}$ . Then  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a Cauchy sequence in D, but as  $f(x_n) = n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the sequence  $(f(x_n))_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is definitely not a Cauchy sequence.

#### 3. Show that:

- (a) if C is a family of connected subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  such that  $\bigcap_{C \in C} C \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\bigcup_{C \in C} C$  is connected;
- (b) if  $C_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^{N_1}$  and  $C_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^{N_2}$  are connected, then so is  $C_1 \times C_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^{N_1+N_2}$  (*Hint*: Argue that we can suppose that  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are not empty, and fix  $x_2 \in C_2$ ; then apply (a) to  $\mathcal{C} := \{(C_1 \times \{x_2\}) \cup (\{x_1\} \times C_2) : x_1 \in C_1\}.)$ ;
- (c) if  $C_1, C_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  are connected, then so is  $C_1 + C_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ .

Solution:

(a) Assume that there is a disconnection  $\{U,V\}$  for  $\bigcup_{C\in\mathcal{C}}C$ . For any  $C\in\mathcal{C}$ , we then have  $(U\cap C)\cup (V\cap C)=C$  and  $(U\cap C)\cap (V\cap C)=\varnothing$ , and as C is connected, this means that  $C\subset U$  or  $C\subset V$ . It follows that

$$\varnothing = \left(U \cap \bigcup_{C \in \mathcal{C}} C\right) \cap \left(V \cap \bigcup_{C \in \mathcal{C}} C\right) = \bigcap_{\substack{C \in \mathcal{C} \\ C \subset U}} C \cap \bigcap_{\substack{C \in \mathcal{C} \\ C \subset V}} C = \bigcap_{C \in \mathcal{C}} C,$$

which contradicts  $\bigcap_{C \in \mathcal{C}} C \neq \emptyset$ .

(b) Let  $C_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^{N_1}$  and  $C_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^{N_2}$  be connected. If  $C_1 = \emptyset$  or  $C_2 = \emptyset$ , nothing needs to be shown. Hence, suppose that  $C_1 \neq \emptyset \neq C_2$ . Fix  $x_2 \in C_2$ . As  $C_1 \times \{x_2\}$  is the image of  $C_1$  under the continuous map

$$\mathbb{R}^{N_1} \to \mathbb{R}^{N_1+N_2}, \quad x \mapsto (x, x_2),$$

it follows that  $C_1 \times \{x_2\}$  is connected. Analogously, one sees that  $\{x_1\} \times C_2$  is connected for each  $x_1 \in C_1$ . As  $(x_1, x_2) \in (C_1 \times \{x_2\}) \cap (\{x_1\} \times C_2)$ , it follows that  $(C_1 \times \{x_2\}) \cup (\{x_1\} \times C_2)$  is connected for each  $x_1 \in C_1$ . As

$$\emptyset \neq C_1 \times \{x_2\} \subset \bigcap_{x_1 \in C_1} ((C_1 \times \{x_2\}) \cup (\{x_1\} \times C_2)),$$

we conclude that

$$C_1 \times C_2 = \bigcup_{x_1 \in C_1} ((C_1 \times \{x_2\}) \cup (\{x_1\} \times C_2))$$

is connected.

(c) By (b),  $C_1 \times C_2$  is connected. As

$$f: \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (x, y) \mapsto x + y$$

is continuous,  $C_1 + C_2 = f(C_1 \times C_2)$  is connected as well.

4. Show that the Mean Value Theorem becomes false for vector valued functions: Let

$$f: [0, 2\pi] \to \mathbb{R}^2, \quad x \mapsto (\cos(x), \sin(x)).$$

Show that there is  $no \xi \in (0, 2\pi)$  such that

$$f'(\xi) = \frac{f(2\pi) - f(0)}{2\pi}.$$

Solution: Since f is  $2\pi$ -periodic, we have  $f(2\pi) - f(0) = 0$ . Since

$$f'(x) = (-\sin(x), \cos(x))$$

for  $x \in [0, 2\pi]$ , and since  $\sin(x)$  and  $\cos(x)$  have no common zero, there is no  $\xi \in (0, 2\pi)$  such that  $f'(\xi) = 0$ .

5. Let

$$f \colon \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}, \quad (x,y) \mapsto \begin{cases} xy \frac{x^2 - y^2}{x^2 + y^2}, & \text{if } (x,y) \neq (0,0), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Show that f is twice partially differentiable everywhere, but that

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x}(0,0) \neq \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y}(0,0).$$

Is f continuous at (0,0)?

Solution: It is clear that f is twice partially differentiable on  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0,0)\}$ . In order to calculate the second partial derivatives at (0,0), we first need to determine the first partial derivatives of f.

For  $(x,y) \neq (0,0)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x,y) &= y \frac{x^2 - y^2}{x^2 + y^2} + xy \frac{2x(x^2 + y^2) - 2x(x^2 - y^2)}{(x^2 + y^2)^2} \\ &= y \frac{x^2 - y^2}{x^2 + y^2} + \frac{4x^2y^3}{(x^2 + y^2)^2} \end{split}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x,y) = x \frac{x^2 - y^2}{x^2 + y^2} + xy \frac{2y(x^2 + y^2) + 2y(x^2 - y^2)}{(x^2 + y^2)^2}$$
$$= x \frac{x^2 - y^2}{x^2 + y^2} + \frac{4x^3y^2}{(x^2 + y^2)^2}.$$

From the definition of a partial derivative, we obtain furthermore that

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(0,0) = \lim_{\substack{h \to 0 \\ h \neq 0}} \frac{f(h,0) - f(0,0)}{h} = \lim_{\substack{h \to 0 \\ h \neq 0}} 0 = 0,$$

and, similarly,  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(0,0) = 0$ .

Consequently, we have

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(0,0) = \lim_{\substack{h \to 0 \\ h \neq 0}} \frac{1}{h} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(h,0) - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(0,0) \right) = 0$$

and similarly  $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2}(0,0) = 0$ .

Moreover, we have

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y}(0,0) = \lim_{\substack{h \to 0 \\ h \neq 0}} \frac{1}{h} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(h,0) - \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(0,0) \right)$$
$$= \lim_{\substack{h \to 0 \\ h \neq 0}} \frac{1}{h} h \frac{h^2}{h^2}$$
$$= 1$$

and

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x}(0,0) = \lim_{\substack{h \to 0 \\ h \neq 0}} \frac{1}{h} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(0,h) - \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(0,0) \right)$$
$$= \lim_{\substack{h \to 0 \\ h \neq 0}} \frac{1}{h} h \frac{-h^2}{h^2}$$

Hence, f is twice partially differentiable at (0,0), but

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y}(0,0) = 1 \neq -1 = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x}(0,0).$$

As

$$|f(x,y)| = |xy| \frac{|x^2 - y^2|}{x^2 + y^2} \le |xy| \frac{x^2 + y^2}{x^2 + y^2} = |xy|$$

for  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ , it clear that  $\lim_{(x, y) \to (0, 0)} f(x, y) = 0 = f(0, 0)$ , so that f is continuous at (0, 0).

## 6. Show that

$$\mathbb{Q}\left[\sqrt{13}\right] := \left\{p + q\sqrt{13} : p, q \in \mathbb{Q}\right\},\,$$

with + and  $\cdot$  inherited from  $\mathbb{R}$ , is a field.

Solution: Let  $p, q, r, s \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Then

$$\left(p + \sqrt{13}q\right) + \left(r + \sqrt{13}s\right) = (p+r) + (q+s)\sqrt{13} \in \mathbb{Q}\left[\sqrt{13}\right]$$

and

$$\left(p+\sqrt{13}q\right)\left(r+\sqrt{13}s\right)=\underbrace{\left(pr+13qs\right)}_{\in\mathbb{Q}}+\underbrace{\left(qr+ps\right)}_{\in\mathbb{Q}}\sqrt{13}\in\mathbb{Q}\left[\sqrt{13}\right]$$

hold, so that (F 1) is satisfied.

Since (F 2), (F 3), and (F 4) hold for  $\mathbb{R}$ , they also hold for  $\mathbb{Q}\left[\sqrt{13}\right]$ .

Since  $0 = 0 + 0\sqrt{13}$ ,  $1 = 1 + 0\sqrt{13} \in \mathbb{Q}\left[\sqrt{13}\right]$ , (F 5) is satisfied as well.

Let  $p,q\in\mathbb{Q}$ , and let  $x=p+q\sqrt{13}$ . Then  $-x=-p-q\sqrt{13}\in\mathbb{Q}\left[\sqrt{13}\right]$  as well. Suppose that  $x\neq 0$ . Assume that  $p^2-13q^2=0$ . If q=0, this implies that p=0 as well and thus x=0. Suppose therefore that  $q\neq 0$ . Then  $p^2-13q^2=0$  implies  $\sqrt{13}=\frac{|p|}{|q|}\in\mathbb{Q}$ , which is impossible. Hence,  $p^2-13q^2\neq 0$  holds. Let

$$y := \frac{p}{p^2 - 13q^2} - \frac{q}{p^2 - 13q^2} \sqrt{13} \in \mathbb{Q}\left[\sqrt{13}\right].$$

Then we have

$$xy = \frac{p - q\sqrt{13}}{p^2 - 13q^2} \left( p + q\sqrt{13} \right)$$

$$= \frac{\left( p - q\sqrt{13} \right) \left( p + q\sqrt{13} \right)}{p^2 - 13q^2}$$

$$= \frac{p^2 - 13q^2}{p^2 - 13q^2}$$

$$= 1$$

Hence, (F 6) is also satisfied.

# 7. Show that the function

$$f: \mathbb{R}^N \times (\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}) \to \mathbb{R}, \quad (x,t) \mapsto \frac{1}{t^{\frac{N}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{\|x\|^2}{4t}\right)$$

solves the *heat equation* 

$$\Delta f - \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = 0,$$

where  $\Delta$  denotes the *spatial* Laplace operator, i.e.,

$$\Delta f = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_j^2}.$$

Solution: Note that

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_N, t) = \frac{1}{t^{\frac{N}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{x_1^2 + \dots + x_N^2}{4t}\right)$$

for  $x_1, \ldots, x_N, t \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $t \neq 0$ . It follows for  $j = 1, \ldots, N$  that

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(x,t) = \frac{1}{t^{\frac{N}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{x_1^2 + \dots + x_N^2}{4t}\right) \left(-\frac{x_j}{2t}\right)$$
$$= -\frac{x_j}{2t^{\frac{N}{2}+1}} \exp\left(-\frac{x_1^2 + \dots + x_N^2}{4t}\right)$$

and thus

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_j^2}(x,t) &= -\frac{1}{2t^{\frac{N}{2}+1}} \exp\left(-\frac{x_1^2 + \dots + x_N^2}{4t}\right) \\ &- \frac{x_j}{2t^{\frac{N}{2}+1}} \exp\left(-\frac{x_1^2 + \dots + x_N^2}{4t}\right) \left(-\frac{x_j}{2t}\right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2t^{\frac{N}{2}+1}} \exp\left(-\frac{x_1^2 + \dots + x_N^2}{4t}\right) \\ &+ \frac{x_j^2}{4t^{\frac{N+1}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{x_1^2 + \dots + x_N^2}{4t}\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{x_j^2}{4t^{\frac{N+1}{2}}} - \frac{1}{2t^{\frac{N}{2}+1}}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{x_1^2 + \dots + x_N^2}{4t}\right). \end{split}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{split} \Delta f(x,t) &= \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_j^2}(x,t) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^N \left( \frac{x_j^2}{4t^{\frac{N+1}{2}}} - \frac{1}{2t^{\frac{N}{2}+1}} \right) \exp\left( -\frac{x_1^2 + \dots + x_N^2}{4t} \right) \\ &= \left( \frac{\|x\|^2}{4t^{\frac{N+1}{2}}} - \frac{N}{2t^{\frac{N}{2}+1}} \right) \exp\left( -\frac{x_1^2 + \dots + x_N^2}{4t} \right) \end{split}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(x,t) &= -\frac{N}{2} \frac{1}{t^{\frac{N}{2}+1}} \exp\left(-\frac{\|x\|^2}{4t}\right) + \frac{1}{t^{\frac{N}{2}}} \frac{\|x\|^2}{4t^2} \exp\left(-\frac{\|x\|^2}{4t}\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{\|x\|^2}{4t^{\frac{N+1}{2}}} - \frac{N}{2t^{\frac{N}{2}+1}}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{\|x\|^2}{4t}\right) \\ &= \Delta f(x,t), \end{split}$$

so that f solves the heat equation.