Todo list

Describe Catalog	4
what section discusses energy dependent psf?	7
What are the benefits of maximum likelihood	8
How are errors computed using maximum likelihood	8
How is statistical signifiance computed using maximum likelihood	8
Put note about Baysian statistics	8
LINK TO arXiv:1206.1896 for MORE THOUROUGH DISCUSSION OF EFFEC	CTIVE AREA
DISCUSS HOW EFFECTIVE AREA IS A FUNCTION OF DIFFERENT THIN	IGS 10
What is the range of the integrals	10
BETTER DISCUSSION OF PSF OF THE LAT, WHAT ITS SCALE IS	11
Why discard time dispersion	11
WRITE ENERGY DISPERSION AS A DELTA FUNCTION	11
FINISH	11
Figure out how the θ depedence of the IRFs factors into this calcualtion	12
Write Section or Perform simple MC Simulation to demonstrate significance of de	tection 13

OBSERVATIONS OF PWNE WITH THE FERMI GAMMA-RAY SPACE TELESCOPE

A DISSERTATION SUBMITTED TO THE DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS AND THE COMMITTEE ON GRADUATE STUDIES OF STANFORD UNIVERSITY IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

Joshua Jeremy Lande January 2013

© Copyright by Joshua Jeremy Lande 2013 All Rights Reserved I certify that I have read this dissertation and that, in my opinion, it is fully adequate in scope and quality as a dissertation for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

(Stefan Funk) Principal Adviser

I certify that I have read this dissertation and that, in my opinion, it is fully adequate in scope and quality as a dissertation for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

(Elliott Bloom)

I certify that I have read this dissertation and that, in my opinion, it is fully adequate in scope and quality as a dissertation for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

(Roger Romani)

Approved for the University Committee on Graduate Studies

Abstract

Two things fill the mind with ever-increasing wonder and awe, the more often and the more intensely the mind of thought is drawn to them: the starry heavens above me and the moral law within me." – Immanuel Kant

The launch of the *Fermi* Gamma-ray space telescope in 2008 offered an unprecedented view into the γ -ray sky.

All the things we can learn with the Large Area Telescope (LAT)

Development of a new analysis method for studying spatially-extended Pulsar Wind Nebulae (PWNe) using pointlike.

A monte-carlo validation of the analysis method.

Search for new spatially-extended sources with the LAT.

Observations of PWNe in the off-peak region of LAT detected pulsars.

Search for PWNe counterparts to TeV sources.

Using the population of PWNe to understand the radiation mechanism of PWNe.

Acknowledgement

Acknowkege the educational institutes which taught me physics: My high school HB Woodlawn, my undergraduate institution Marlboro College, and my Stanford University.

First, I would like to acknowlege those mentors who inspired me to get a PhD.

- Mark Dodge, my high school physics teacher.
- Ron Turner, my internship adviser at Analytic Services (ANSER) during the GWU Science and Engineering Apprentice Program (SEAP)
- Anthony Tyson at UC Davis for my SULI Internship
- Apurva Mehta and Sam Webb sam Web at SLAC SULI Internship.

During my PhD I was helped by an almost overwhelming large number of people in the LAT collaboration.

People at Stanford/SLAC: Stefan Funk, Elliott Bloom, Markus Ackermann, Tobias Jogler, Junichiro Katsuta, Yasunobu Uchiyama

pointlike collaborators: Matthew Kerr, Toby Burnett, Eric Wallace, Marshall Roth

Pulsar Collaborators: David Smith, Matthew Kerr, Peter den Hartog, Tyrel Johnson, Damien Parent, Ozlem Celik

Careful review of text: Jean Ballet, Johann Cohen-Tanugi

I would like to thank the PWNe people Thank the people in Bordeaux: Marianne Lemoine-Goumard, Romain Rousseau, and Marie-Hélène Grondin

Fermi SLAC Grad Students: Keith Bechtol, Alex Drlica-Wagner, Alice Allafort, Herman Lee Yvonne Edmonds, Bijan Berenji, Ping Wang, Warit Mitthumsiri

Additional Astro Stanford Graduate Students: Helen Craig, Michael Shaw, Adam Van Etten, Kyle Watters

Additonal Graduate Students at Stanford: Dan Riley, Joel Frederico, Ahmed Ismail, Joshua Cogan, Kunal Sahasrabuddhe,

Contents

\mathbf{A}	bstra	ct		iv
A	ckno	wledge	ement	v
Li	st of	Acron	nyms	1
1	Ove	erview		2
2	Gar	nma-r	ay Astrophysics	3
	2.1	The E	History of Gamma-ray Detectors	3
		2.1.1	The Fermi Gamma-ray Space Telescope	3
		2.1.2	H.E.S.S	3
2.2 Galactic Gamma-ray Astrophysics				3
		2.2.1	Pulsars	3
		2.2.2	Pulsar Wind Nebulae	3
		2.2.3	Supernova Remenants	3
2.3 Radiation Processes				3
		2.3.1	Synchrotron	4
		2.3.2	Inverse Compoton	4
		2.3.3	Bremsstrahlung	4
		2.3.4	Pi0 Decay	4
2.4 Modeling the Galactic Diffuse and Isotropic Gamma-ray Bac				4
	2.5	5 Sources Detected by the Fermi LAT		
		251	The Second Fermi-LAT catalog (2FGL)	4

		2.5.2	The Second Fermi Pulsar Catalog	5
		2.5.3	PWNe Detected by the LAT	Ę
3	Ma	ximum	n-likelihood analysis of LAT data	6
	3.1	Motiv	ations for Maximum-Likelihood Analysis of Gamma-ray Data .	7
	3.2	Descri	iption of Maximum-Likelihood Analaysis	8
	3.3	Defini	ng a Model of the Sources in the Sky	Ć
	3.4	The L	AT Instrument Response Functions	Ć
	3.5	Binne	d Maximum-Likelihood of LAT Data with the Science Tools	12
	3.6	Source	e Signficance	13
	3.7	The A	Alternate Maximum-Likelihood Package pointlike	14
4	Ana	alysis o	of Spatially Extended LAT Sources	15
	4.1	Analy	sis Method	15
	4.2	Valida	ation of the TS Distribution	15
	4.3	Exten	ded Source Detection Threshold	15
	4.4	Testin	g Against Source Confusion	15
	4.5	Test o	of 2LAC Sources	15
	4.6	Syster	natic Errors on Extension	15
5	Search for Spatially-extended Sources 1			
	5.1	Exten	ded Source Search Method	16
	5.2	New I	Extended Sources	16
	5.3	Discus	ssion	16
6	Search for PWNe associated with Gamma-loud Pulsars			17
	6.1	Off-pe	eak Phase Selection	17
	6.2	Off-pe	eak Analysis Method	17
	6.3	Off-pe	eak Results	17
	6.4	Off-Pe	eak Individual Source Discussion	17
7	Sea	rch for	PWNe associated with TeV Pulsars	18
	7 1	List o	f Candidates	15

	7.2 Analysis Method	18
	7.3 Sources Detected	18
8	Search for PWNe associated with High Edot Pulsars	19
9	Population Study of LAT-detected PWNe	20

List of Tables

List of Figures

List of Acronyms

The following acronyms are used in this text, and are included here for reference:

 \mathbf{SA} Solid Angle

LAT Large Area Telescope

PWN Pulsar Wind Nebula

 ${f IC}$ Inverse Compoton

 ${\bf 2FGL}\,$ The Second Fermi-LAT catalog

Overview

In Chapter 2, we discuss the history of γ -ray astrophysics, ...

Gamma-ray Astrophysics

- 2.1 The History of Gamma-ray Detectors
- 2.1.1 The Fermi Gamma-ray Space Telescope
- 2.1.2 H.E.S.S.
- 2.2 Galactic Gamma-ray Astrophysics
- 2.2.1 Pulsars
- 2.2.2 Pulsar Wind Nebulae
- 2.2.3 Supernova Remenants
- 2.3 Radiation Processes
 - The non-thermal radiation processes typical in astrophysics are most comonly

2.3.1 Synchrotron

2.3.2 Inverse Compoton

Inverse Compoton (IC) emission is ...

2.3.3 Bremsstrahlung

2.3.4 Pi0 Decay

2.4 Modeling the Galactic Diffuse and Isotropic Gamma-ray Background

- Historical Observations of galactic diffuse emission
- GALPROP model of diffuse emission. Reference: http://arxiv.org/abs/1202.4039
- Emperical Ring model of galactic diffuse emisson.
- The isotropic background: http://arxiv.org/abs/1002.3603
- Galactic diffuse emission is primarily composed of ...
- Something about how great galprop is.
- Something about

2.5 Sources Detected by the Fermi LAT

• A variety of sources detected by the LAT:

2.5.1 The Second Fermi-LAT catalog (2FGL)

2FGL was a catalog by the LAT collaboration containing XXX Sources.

Describe Catalog

- Citation is Nolan et al. (2012)
- Source classification method
- Number of sources detected by the Large Area Telescope (LAT)
- Forward reference Chapter 3, which does a more thorough description of likelihood analysis method.
- Source classes/associations

2.5.2 The Second Fermi Pulsar Catalog

- Process of detecting Pulsars with the LAT
- Number of pulsars detected by the LAT

2.5.3 PWNe Detected by the LAT

Crab

Vela X

MSH 15-52

HESS J1825

HESS J1857

2FGL J1857 + 026

- 1. Reference is Rousseau et al. (2012)
- 2. http://arxiv.org/pdf/1206.3324v1.pdf

Maximum-likelihood analysis of LAT data

In this chapter, we discuss maximum-likelihood analysis, the principle analysis method used to perform spectral and spatial analysis of LAT data. In Section 3.1, we discuss the reasons necessary for employing this analysis procedure compared to other simpler analysis methods. In Section 3.2, we describe the benefits of a maximum-likelihood analysis. In Section 3.3, we discuss the steps invovled in defining a complete model of the sky, a necessary part of any likelihood analysis.

In Section 3.5, we discuss the standard implementation of binned maximum likelihood in the LAT Science Tools and in particular the tool gtlike. In Section 3.7, we then discuss the pointlike pacakge, an alterate package for maximum-likelihood analysis of LAT data. We discuss the similarities and differences between pointlike and gtlike.

In the next chapter (Chapter 4), we will discuss the addition of capability into **pointlike** for studying spatially-extended sources and the analysis method which will be used in this paper to study spatially-extended sources.

We not that much of the notation and formulation of likelihood analysis in this chapter follows Kerr (2011).

3.1 Motivations for Maximum-Likelihood Analysis of Gamma-ray Data

Traditonally, spectral and spatial analysis of astrophysical data relies on a process known as aperture photometry. In this process, a source in the data is analyzed by directly measuring the number of photons coming from the object. This process is done by measuring the counts within a given radius of the source and subtracting from it a background level estimated from a nearby region. Often, the source's flux is calibrated by measurements of nearby objects with known fluxes. Otherwise, the flux can be obtained from by dividing the number of counts from the source by the telescope's size, the observation time, and the telescope's conversion efficiency.

Similarly, for faint sources the statistical significance of the detection can be obtained from the Poission nature of the data. For TeV experiments such as H.E.S.S., this analysis method is described in Li & Ma (1983).

Unfortunately, this simpler analysis method is inadequate for dealing with the complexities introduced in analyzing LAT data.

Most importantly, aperture photometry assumed that the background is istropic so that the background level below the source can be estimated from nearby regions. As was discussed in Section 2.4, the Galactic diffuse emission is highly anistropic, rendering this assumption invalid.

In addition, this method is not optimal due to the high density of sources detected in the Gamma-ray sky. 2FGL reported on the detection of 1873 sources, which corresponds to an average source spacing of $\sim 5^{\circ}$. But within the inner 45° of the galactic plane in longitude and 0.5° of the galactic plane in latitidue, there are 73 sources, corresponding to a source density of ~ 1 source per square degree. The aperature photometry method is unable to effectively fit multiple sources when the tails of the PSF overlap and furthermore make background estimation problematic.

Finally, this method is suboptimal due to the large energy range of LAT observations. A typical spectral analysis studies a source from an energy of 100 MeV to energies above 100 GeV. Similarly, as was shown in , the PSF of the LAT is rather broad ($\gtrsim 1^{\circ}$) at low energy and much narrower ($\sim 0.1^{\circ}$) at higher energies. Therefore,

what
section
discusses
- en-

there is a much higher sensitivty to the higher energy photons coming from a source. But simple aperture photometry method would ignore this improvement by weighting each photon equally.

3.2 Description of Maximum-Likelihood Analaysis

The field of γ -ray astrophysics has generally found maximum-likelihood to be a dependable method to avoid the issues discussed above. For example, see Mattox et al. (1996) for a description on the maximum-likelihood method applied to EGRET data.

In the formulation, one relies upon primarily upon the likelihood function. The likelihood, denoted \mathcal{L} , is quite simply the probability of obtaining the observed data given an assumed model:

$$\mathcal{L} = P(\text{data}|\text{model}) \tag{3.1}$$

Section 3.3 will provide more detail about the components that go into a model of the data.

The term maximum-likelihood refers to the fact that the likelihood function generally depends upon the parameters oa model.

- Analysis of LAT data more compleiated due to:
- Define a model of the sky.

1

- likelihood \mathcal{L} is defiend as $\mathcal{L} = P(data|model)$, where $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(\vec{\lambda})$.
- What are the benefits of maximum likelihood
- How are errors computed using maximum likelihood
- How is statistical signifiance computed using maximum likelihood

Put note about Baysian statistics

3.3 Defining a Model of the Sources in the Sky

- Each source can be characterized by its photon flux density $\mathcal{F}(E,t,\vec{\Omega}|\vec{\lambda})$. This is the number of photons emitted per unit energy, time, into a unit solid angle $d\Omega$ at a given energy, time, and position $\vec{\Omega}$ in the sky.
- Typically, spatial and spectral model's are independent of time with the spatial and spectral component decopuling:

$$\mathcal{F}(E, t, \vec{\Omega} | \vec{\lambda}) = A(E)B(\vec{\Omega}) \tag{3.2}$$

Presumably, A takes in some of the $\vec{\lambda}$ parameters and B takes in the other parameters.

- In situations where there is a time dependence, likelihoood assuming constant source is performed in smaller time bins.
- In situations where spatial and spectral components couple, typical to make multiple spatial templates, each with an indepdnet spectra (e.g. the Puppis A paper's fitting multiple hemispheres).
- Discuss how diffuse background is more completed.
- Show some examples spectral models: point source, extended source.

3.4 The LAT Instrument Response Functions

The performance of the LAT is composted of two effects. The efficiency of the LAT referes to its ability to to reconstruct a photon which comes into the detect. The disperson of the LAT refers to the probability of misreconstructing an event.

The efficiency is typically called the effective area. We write it as $\epsilon(E, t, \vec{\Omega})$. It is a function of energy, time, and Solid Angle (SA). It is measured in units of area (cm²).

LINK TO arXiv:1206.1896 for MORE THOUROUGH DISCUSSION OF EFFECTIVE AREA

DISCUSS HOW EFFECTIVE AREA IS A FUNCTION OF DIFFERENT THINGS

The dispersion is the probability of a photon with true energy E and incoming direction $\vec{\Omega}$ at time t being reconstructed to to have an energy E', an incomming direction $\vec{\Omega}'$ at a time t'. The dispersion is written as $P(E', t', \vec{\Omega}' | E, t, \vec{\Omega})$. It represents a probability and is therefore normalized such that

$$\int \int \int dE d\Omega dt P(E', t', \vec{\Omega}' | E, t, \vec{\Omega}) = 1$$
(3.3)

What is the range of the integrals

Therefore, $P(E', t', \vec{\Omega}' | E, t, \vec{\Omega})$ has units of 1/energy/SA/time

We assume these two factors to decouple and write the LAT's instrument response as

$$R(E', \vec{\Omega}', t'|E, \vec{\Omega}, t) = \epsilon(E, t, \vec{\Omega}) P(E', t', \vec{\Omega}'|E, t, \vec{\Omega})$$
(3.4)

Therefore, the instrument response has units of area/energy/SA/time

The convolution of the flux of a model with the instrument response produces the expected counts per unit energy/time/SA begin reconstructed to have an energy E' at a position $\vec{\Omega}'$ and at a time t':

$$\tau(E', \vec{\Omega}', t'|\vec{\lambda}) = \int \int \int dE \, d\Omega \, dt \, \mathcal{F}(E, t, \vec{\Omega}|\vec{\lambda}) R(E', \vec{\Omega}', t'|E, \vec{\Omega}, t)$$
(3.5)

Here, this integral is performed over all true energies, SAs, and times for which the source model has support.

For LAT analysis, we conventionally make the simplifying assumption that the energy , spatial , and time dispersion decouple:

$$P(E', t', \vec{\Omega}' | E, t, \vec{\Omega}) = PSF(\vec{\Omega}' | E, \vec{\Omega}) \times E_{disp}(E' | E) \times T_{disp}(t' | t)$$
(3.6)

Here, PSF is the point-spread function and represents ...

BETTER DISCUSSION OF PSF OF THE LAT, WHAT ITS SCALE IS...

 $E_{\rm disp}$ represents the energy dispersion of the LAT. The energy dispersion of the LAT is a function of both the incident energy and incident angle of the photon. It varies from $\sim 5\%$ to 20%, degrading at lower energies due to energy losses in the tracker and at higher energy due to electromagnetic shower losses outside the calorimiter. Similarly, it improves for photons with higher incident angles that are allowed a longer path through the calorimieter (Ackermann et al. 2012).

For sources with smoothly-varying spectra, the effects of ignoring the inherent energy dispersion of the LAT are typically small. Ackermann et al. (2012) performed a monte carlo simulation to show that for power-law point-like sources, the bias introduced by ignoring energy dispersion was on the level of a few perect. Therefore, energy dispersion is typicially ignored for standard likelihood analysis:

$$E_{\text{disp}} = \delta(E - E') \tag{3.7}$$

We cauation that for analysis of sources extended to energies below 100 MeV and for sources expected to have spectra that do not smoothly vary, the effects of energy dispersion could be more severe.

- T_{disp} is the time dispersion.
- The timing dispersion is $< 10 \mu s$ Atwood et al. (2009)
- WRITE ENERGY DISPERSION AS A DELTA FUNCTION

Therefore, the instrument response is typically approximated as

$$R(E', \vec{\Omega}', t'|E, \vec{\Omega},) = \epsilon(E, t', \vec{\Omega}) PSF(\vec{\Omega}'|E, \vec{\Omega})$$
(3.8)

The expected count rate is then typically integrated over time to compute the total

Why discard

time disper-

persion

FINIS:

counts. Assuming that the source model is time independent, we get:

$$\tau(E', \vec{\Omega}'|\vec{\lambda}) = \int d\Omega \, \mathcal{F}(E, \vec{\Omega}|\vec{\lambda}) \left(\int dt \, \epsilon(E, t, \vec{\Omega}) \right) \operatorname{PSF}(\vec{\Omega}'|E, \vec{\Omega}) \tag{3.9}$$

This equation essentially says that the counts expected by the LAT for the particular model is the product of the source's flux with the effective area and then convolved with the point-spread function.

Figure out how the θ depedence of the IRFs factors into this calculation

3.5 Binned Maximum-Likelihood of LAT Data with the Science Tools

- For a standard LAT analysis, we perform a binned maximum-likelihood analysis:
- In the standard science tools, the data is binned in position and energy. and integrated in energy.
- For time-serires analysis, typically a time-summed analysyis is performed successivly in multiple time bins.
- The likelihood comes from a sum over each bin
- The likelihood is defined as

$$\mathcal{L} = \prod_{j} \frac{\theta_{j}^{n_{j}} e^{-\theta_{j}}}{n_{j}!} \tag{3.10}$$

- Here, j is a sum over position/energy bins.
- $-\theta_j$ is the counts predicted by the model, which is defiend followign the discussion in Section 3.3.
- $-n_i$ are the observed counts in the spatial/energy bin j

• The model counts are computed by integrating the differential counts defined in Equation 3.5 over the energy bin:

$$\theta_{ij} = \int_{j} dE \, d\Omega \, dt \, \tau(E, \vec{\Omega}, t | \vec{\lambda}_{i})$$
 (3.11)

Here, j represents the integral over the jth position/energy bin, i represents the ith source, and $\vec{\lambda}_i$ refers to the parmeters defining the ith source. The total model counts is computed by summing over all sources:

$$\theta_j = \sum_i \theta_{ij} \tag{3.12}$$

- In the standard Fermi science tools, the binning of photons over position in the sky and energy to compute n_j is done with gtbin.
- In the standard Fermi science tools, the model counts θ_j are computed in several steps . . .
- The instrument response is computed with a combination of gtltcube, gtexpcube.
- Convert a model of the sky into model predicted counts
- poisson likelihood
- Particular implementaion of maximum likelihood anlaysis
- Describe gtbin, gtselect, gtlike

3.6 Source Signficance

Write Section or Perform simple MC Simulation to demonstrate signficance of detection

3.7 The Alternate Maximum-Likelihood Package pointlike

- Developed for Speed
- Sparce Matricies,
- Methods for computing integral model counts.

Analysis of Spatially Extended LAT Sources

- 4.1 Analysis Method
- 4.2 Validation of the TS Distribution
- 4.3 Extended Source Detection Threshold
- 4.4 Testing Against Source Confusion
- 4.5 Test of 2LAC Sources
- 4.6 Systematic Errors on Extension

Search for Spatially-extended Sources

- 5.1 Extended Source Search Method
- 5.2 New Extended Sources
- 5.3 Discussion

Search for PWNe associated with Gamma-loud Pulsars

- 6.1 Off-peak Phase Selection
- 6.2 Off-peak Analysis Method
- 6.3 Off-peak Results
- 6.4 Off-Peak Individual Source Discussion

Search for PWNe associated with TeV Pulsars

- 7.1 List of Candidates
- 7.2 Analysis Method
- 7.3 Sources Detected

Search for PWNe associated with High Edot Pulsars

Population Study of LAT-detected PWNe

Bibliography

Ackermann, M., Ajello, M., Albert, A., et al. 2012, ApJS, 203, 4

Atwood, W. B., Abdo, A. A., Ackermann, M., et al. 2009, ApJ, 697, 1071

Kerr, M. 2011, PhD in physics, University of Washington

Li, T.-P., & Ma, Y.-Q. 1983, ApJ, 272, 317

Mattox, J. R., Bertsch, D. L., Chiang, J., et al. 1996, ApJ, 461, 396

Nolan, P. L., Abdo, A. A., Ackermann, M., et al. 2012, ApJS, 199, 31

Rousseau, R., Grondin, M.-H., Van Etten, A., et al. 2012, A&A, 544, A3