#### Lecture 1.3

# **Phylogenetic Data**

### Phylogenetic data

#### 1. Data preparation

- Taxon and gene sampling
- Sequence alignment (if needed)
- Data filtering

#### 2. Phylogenetic inference

- Model selection
- Estimation of tree
- Further analysis and interpretation

### Phylogenetic data

- Select data to optimise signal:noise
  - Slowly evolving markers for deep evolutionary events
  - Rapidly evolving markers for recent evolutionary events
- Homoplasy
  - Taxa share similarities that do not reflect evolutionary history
- Take advantage of existing resources





#### Data types

- Sequence data
  - Nucleotides
  - Amino acids
- Binary data (presence/absence of genomic features)
- Microsatellites (repeat numbers)
- Single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs)
- Reduced-representation sequences

#### Morphological data

Morphological characters from extant and extinct taxa

# **Current Biology**

Volume 25, Issue 19, 5 October 2015, Pages R922–R929

Review

Morphological Phylogenetics in the Genomic Age

Michael S.Y. Lee<sup>1, 2, ≜, ™, Alessandro Palci<sup>1, 2</sup></sup>

### Sequence data

#### Coding sequences

- Ribosomal RNA
- Protein-coding genes
- Non-coding sequences
  - Intergenic sites
  - Introns
- Amino acid sequences




# Sequence data

#### protein-coding gene

		M	R	E	P	Y	S	R	
bat	CGTTAGC	AT	GAG	GGA	ACC	CTA	CTC	TAGG	
		M	R	E	P	Y	S	R	
whale	CGATAG-TC	AT(	GAG	GGA	ACC	CTA	CTC	TAGG	
		M	R	E	S	Y	P	R	
rabbit	CGTTAG-TI	AT(	GAG	GGA	ATC	CTA	CCC	TAGG	
						_			
elephant	CAGGTTI	AT(	GAG	GCA	TTC	<b>C</b>	-TC	TAGG	
		M	R	H	S	_	_	R	
kangaroo	CAGGT	AT(	GAG	GCA	TTC	<b>C</b>		-AGG	

## Data partitioning

- Sites evolve at different rates
- Separate substitution model for each gene and codon position?



#### Biological

- Genome
- Genes
- Codon positions
- RNA stems vs loops
- Hydrophobic vs hydrophilic

#### Statistical

#### PartitionFinder

- Too many possible partitioning schemes
  - 15 schemes for 4 genes
  - 52 schemes for 5 genes
  - 203 schemes for 6 genes

#### PartitionFinder 2: New Methods for Selecting Partitioned Models of Evolution for Molecular and Morphological Phylogenetic Analyses □

Robert Lanfear , Paul B. Frandsen, April M. Wright, Tereza Senfeld, Brett Calcott

Molecular Biology and Evolution, Volume 34, Issue 3, March 2017, Pages 772–773,

### Gaps and missing data

#### Delete sites with any missing data

- Potential loss of informative data
- Problematic in analyses of data supermatrices

#### Treat gaps as unresolved data


- Gap is simultaneously A, C, G, and T
- Most common approach

#### Treat gaps as a 5th (nucleotide) or 21st (amino acid) state

- Not appropriate when there are long gaps
- Code gaps as binary characters

### Gaps and missing data

- Impact of missing data remains poorly understood
- Filter data according to chosen threshold of missing data




#### Mutational saturation

- Some sites can evolve very rapidly
  - 3rd codon positions
  - Loop regions in RNA
- Multiple hits can erode phylogenetic signal
- Various ways of testing for saturation (e.g., Xia's test in DAMBE, PhyloMAd)


Saturated sites can be removed to improve signal:noise

High-Throughput Data



### Mitochondrial genomes

- Maternally inherited
- Protein-coding genes (e.g., COI)
- RNA genes (e.g., 12S, 16S)
- Control region



# Single-nucleotide polymorphisms

- Single sites sampled from throughout the genome
- More common in intraspecific (population) studies
- Issues to consider:
  - Recombination

SNPs are usually unlinked so they are likely to have different (gene) trees

Ascertainment bias

SNPs are selected for variability and this can mislead estimates of population sizes, rates, and other parameters

### Reduced-representation sequences

- Markers identified by cutting genome with restriction enzymes
- Process creates binary data and short sequences
- Examples include RADseq and DArTseq
- Issues to consider:
  - Recombination
     Markers are usually unlinked so they are likely to have different (gene) trees
  - Missing data
     Typically a large proportion of missing data



#### Transcriptomes and exon capture

- Large panels of protein-coding loci
- Sequences are easier to align
- Good for inferring deep relationships

- Issues to consider:
  - Variability
     Might not be much variation at the population level
  - Selection
     Differences in selection will lead to rate differences across exons


# Whole genome sequencing

- Typically NOT (yet) the entire genome
- Many challenges: Jarvis et al Science 2014 >400 years of computing using a single processor
- Issues to consider
  - Single-copy genes
  - Selectively neutral
  - Unlinked loci




### **Analysing Large Data Sets**

### Large data sets




- Calculation of likelihood is expensive
  - Speed up by grouping sites with identical patterns
  - Approximate likelihood calculation
  - Multithreading/parallelisation




48 taxa 8,295 genes Jarvis *et al*. (2014) *Science* 

### Large data sets




- Tree-space is extremely large
  - Efficient tree-searching heuristics



32,223 taxa7 genes

Zanne et al. (2014) Nature

### Large data sets



- Analysis is computationally expensive
- Consider filtering the data
  - Phylogenetic signal
  - Mutational saturation
  - Missing data
  - Model fit

#### Useful references

