

Yoneda Lemma - Category Theory Reading Group (oid)

12018.07.25

Conventions: always assume locally small, write $C(a,b) = \text{Hom}_C(a,b)$.

Defn: A function $F: C \rightarrow \text{Set}$ is representable if there exists a $c \in C$ s.t.
 $C(c, -) \cong F$ (or $C(-, c)$ if F contravariant).

E.g. (a) Forgetful $U: \text{Top} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ is represented by space $\{*\}$. $\text{Top}(*, X) \cong X$ as set.

(b) ~~Forgetful~~ $U: \text{Grp} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ is represented by \mathbb{Z} .

Yoneda generalizes a fact we know ...

E.g. Let G be a group, BG be G viewed as a one-object category.

$F: BG \rightarrow \text{Set}$ sends $*$ to some set X , and g to $F_g: X \rightarrow X$, i.e. a

$g \circ h \xrightarrow{F} F_{gh} = F_g \circ F_h$ group action. (F defines a G -set.)

The represented function $BG(*, *)$ is G ,

w/ F_g being multiplication by G .

- What is a natural transformation $\eta: BG(*, -) \rightarrow F$? It is a G -equivariant

$G \cdot \xrightarrow{\eta} \cdot X$ map $\eta: G \rightarrow X$.

$g \cdot \downarrow F_g$
 $G \cdot \xrightarrow{\eta} \cdot X$

- Any η is defined by $\eta(1)$, b/c $\eta(g) = g \cdot \eta(1) = F_g(\eta(1))$.

- $\eta(1)$ can be any $x \in X$. So $\text{Nat}(BG(*, -), F) \cong X = F(*)$

Lemma: (Yoneda) For any $F: C \rightarrow \text{Set}$ and any $c \in C$, there is a natural

bijection $\text{Nat}(C(c, -), F) \cong F_c$, or $\text{Nat}(C(-, c), F) \cong F_c$.

Note: C is not locally small, so $\text{Nat}(-, -)$ need not be a set! But we will show it is.

Proof: (Sketch) Define $\Phi: \text{Nat}(C(c, -), F) \rightarrow F_c$ by $\Phi(\alpha) = \alpha_c(1_c)$.

$\Psi: F_c \rightarrow \text{Nat}(C(c, -), F)$ by $\Psi(x)_d(f) = F(f(x))$, $f \in C(c, d)$.

(1)

This is more than a generalization...

Corollary: (Yoneda Embedding) The functor $y: C \rightarrow \text{Psh}(C)$ is fully faithful embedding.

Explanation: $\text{Psh}(C) = \text{Set}^{C^{\text{op}}} = \text{functors (contravariant) from } C \rightarrow \text{Set}.$

- $y(c) = C(-, c)$ and $f: c \rightarrow d \mapsto f_*: C(-, c) \rightarrow C(-, d)$ (left comp)
- Full, Faithful = surjective & injective on hom sets, so $C(a, c) \cong \text{Psh}(C(-, a), C(-, c))$
(B/c $\text{Nat}(C(-, a), C(-, c)) \cong C(a, c)$ by Yoneda.)
- Embedding = injective on objects. $C(-, c) \neq C(-, d)$ unless $c = d$.

Covariant also holds.

E.g. Yoneda lets us "expand the universe". Knowing an object = knowing all maps into it.

Take (\mathbb{Q}, \leq) . For any $q \in \mathbb{Q}$, $\mathbb{Q}(\mathbb{P}, q)$ is \emptyset if $p > q$, $\{*\}$ if $p \leq q$.

"Knowing q = knowing all rationals $\leq q$." $\mathbb{Q}(-, q)$ "picks out" q .

What about the presheaf $F: \mathbb{Q}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ by $F(p) = \{*\}$ if $p^2 \leq 2$, \emptyset if $p^2 > 2$?

Not representable. But "picks out" $\sqrt{2}$.

$\text{Psh}(\mathbb{Q}) \cong \text{Dedekind cuts} = \mathbb{R}$!

The "extended universe" is the "free cocompletion" of C (modulo details, size).

Throw in all small colimits. (Think of \mathbb{R} as \mathbb{Q} w/ all limits.)

Research: Met is a bad category. Does $\text{Psh}(\text{Met})$ work better? What is it?

$M_1 \oplus M_2$ does not exist. The "right" answer is $M_1 \sqcup M_2$ w/ $d(m_1, m_2) = \infty$

if $m_1 \in M_1, m_2 \in M_2$. See Lawvere metric spaces.