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From: ees.egy.0.2a12ea.23d15a19@eesmail.elsevier.com [mailto:ees.egy.0.2a12ea.23d15a19@eesmail.elsevier.com] On Behalf Of Energy  
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To: New, Joshua Ryan  
Cc: EGYMette@plan.aau.dk  
Subject: Your submission

Ms. Ref. No.: EGY-D-14-02070

Title: Scalable Tuning of Building Models to Hourly Data ENERGY

Dear Dr. New,

An initial screening of your paper has shown that it is not likely to pass the scientific peer-review process for ENERGY. This screening is designed to speed up the decision-making process and enables our authors to avoid an unnecessary wait.

For future submissions, please note that ENERGY considers papers that present state-of-the-art research and include relevant references to support this research, begin with a clear objective, and demonstrate a consistence between objective and conclusion. We recommend looking at recently published articles to get a better understanding of the types of papers that are accepted and published in ENERGY.

Please visit the ENERGY website for more information concerning the scope of the journal and Author Guidelines for submission: <http://ees.elsevier.com/egy>.

I am sorry to reject your paper. However, I do hope you appreciate the fast response which has saved you time in the long run.

Thank you very much for expressing your interest in ENERGY.

Sincerely,

Henrik Lund

Editor-in-Chief

Energy

Comments: The paper contains the valuable research however the authors may take into account several basic comments and upgrade the paper and send it again as new paper for further consideration.

As EGY is an archival journal, the paper should mainly cite the archival papers in order go establish research gap. The paper mainly cite the reports and conference proceedings.

In order to prove the scope and to make easy for EGY reader, the paper should cite some of the papers already published in EGY with similar topic.