

Functional Programming

CMPT 331 - Spring 2023 | Dr. Labouseur

Josh Seligman | joshua.seligman1@marist.edu

April 21, 2023

1 LOG

1.1 PREDICTON

I am predicting that it will take me around **15 hours (average of 3 hours per programming language)** for me to learn LISP, ML, Erlang, functional JavaScript, and functional Scala and write a Caesar cipher in each language. Although I know that some of this assignment will be more challenging than Programming in the Past because functional programming requires a completely different mindset, I am already familiar with functional JavaScript and can use some of that knowledge to hopefully be able to quickly write the Caesar cipher in each language. Also, since I have already written the Caesar cipher in 5 languages, I know this assignment will be translating that work into a functional manner rather than trying to do it entirely from scratch.

1.2 PROGRESS LOG

Date	Hours Spent	Tasks / Accomplishments / Issues / Thoughts
March 6	3.5 hours	I wrote the Caesar cipher in LISP. It was a bit challenging because the syntax is not the cleanest and it is very difficult to find things online about it because every dialect does basic tasks different from one another.

1.3 FINAL RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

2 COMMENTARY

2.1 LISP

2.1.1 MY THOUGHTS

LISP was a language I do not want to remember because it was nothing special relative to most other programming languages and had some features that were really frustrating to deal with. More specifically, the syntax for the language is too simplistic with intense operator overloading, confusing code organization, and poor online resources.

First, the syntax of LISP is extremely simple because parentheses are used everywhere. In LISP, lists are

the main data structure and are denoted with parentheses. However, lists are used to make function calls, to define functions, and to store data, which makes it really confusing what is being stored within a list as there is nothing to differentiate these structures. Readability is seriously hurt from this as I still struggle to make the distinction between function calls and data after writing the code. Additionally, I found it difficult to write LISP code because the parentheses were challenging to manage and to keep clean. I tried my absolute best to keep parentheses lined up similar to how braces would be lined up in C family languages, but it still was not perfect and had some inconsistencies. Furthermore, messing up on the parentheses was a pain to debug because the LISP interpreter would throw a confusing error that meant absolutely nothing in helping me resolve the real problem.

Next, LISP has a very frustrating way to represent math operations. Since everything is a function in LISP, all math functions will take in 2 arguments, which can be the result of other math function calls. Similar to how a compiler works, the operations you want to do first have to be encapsulated so their output will be used by other functions. However, I had to learn this idea the hard way when I did $(- 90 (+ \text{diff } 1))$ instead of $(+ (- 90 \text{diff}) 1)$ to represent the formula $90 - \text{diff} + 1$. Naturally, I wanted to represent the subtraction first, so I naively placed it in the outermost function call, which was a really bad idea. Although minor, this change in operation order screwed up my program for a while and my friends had to remind me of the order of operations for why the 2 code chunks were different from each other. This syntax for specifying the order of operations for a formula does not make logical sense as the code no longer reads from left to right. Rather, one has to read it middle out (sadly not related to middle out compression in the TV show Silicon Valley) and do a depth-first in-order traversal of a mini syntax tree in their head to be able to actually understand what the code is doing. This amount of brain damage is completely overkill as one already has to treat functional logic completely differently from procedural logic, and this math function ordering does not help LISP in being user-friendly.

Lastly, LISP is a really old language, and, similar to BASIC, its many dialects made good online resources hard to come by. When searching for how to do something in LISP, whatever a website had as an answer was most likely wrong because the dialect that I was using (newLISP) did not support functionalities specific to some of the other dialects. Unfortunately, no website specified what dialect they were referring to, and doing the same search but with "newLISP" instead of "lisp" would just bring up the documentation for newLISP. At the end of the day, this documentation and the corresponding Wikibook were my best friends for writing the Caesar cipher in LISP as they provided me with the basics to get started, which I was able to modify for my own purposes.

Overall, LISP was not a terrible programming language as I really liked the succinctness of its functional style, but its overly simplistic syntax, annoying math functions, and limited resources made it challenging to work with. Relative to the Programming in the Past assignment, I would rank LISP similar to Fortran as they are both the grandfather languages of their respective domains and were not terrible and somewhat useable, but I would never want to use them again if I do not have to.

2.1.2 GOOGLE SEARCH HISTORY

- lisp hello world (https://en.wikibooks.org/wiki/Introduction_to_newLISP)
- comments in lisp
- math functions lisp
- lambda in lisp
- and in lisp

2.1.3 CODE AND TESTS

```

1 (define (encryptStr str shiftAmt)
2   ; Convert the input string to be upper case and split by character
3   (set 'realStr (explode (upper-case str)))
4   ; Get the mod because only need to work within -25 and 25
5   (set 'realShift (mod shiftAmt 26))
6
7   ; Map the transformation to each character
8   (set 'newStr (map (lambda (strChar)
9     ; Begin by getting the ASCII code
10    (set 'newChar (char strChar))
11    ; Only work with letters now
12    (cond ((and (>= newChar 65) (<= newChar 90))
13      ; Perform the shift
14      (set 'newChar (+ newChar realShift))
15
16      ; Check for the Z wraparound
17      (set 'diff (- newChar 90))
18      (cond
19        ((> diff 0)
20         ; Do wraparound so anything beyond Z picks up at A
21         (set 'newChar (- (+ 65 diff) 1))
22        )
23        (true
24         ; Check for A wraparound
25         (set 'diff (- 65 newChar))
26         (cond
27           ((> diff 0)
28            ; Do wraparound so anything beyond A picks up at Z
29            (set 'newChar (+ (- 90 diff) 1))
30           )
31         )
32        )
33      )
34    ))
35   ; Convert to a character and return it
36   (char newChar)
37   ; This is the input to the map function
38   ) realStr))
39   ; Join the exploded string and put it back together
40   (join newStr ""))
41 )
42
43 (define (decryptStr str shiftAmt)
44   ; Decrypt is a negative encrypt
45   (encryptStr str (* -1 shiftAmt))
46 )
47
48 (define (solve str maxShift)
49   ; Make sure the shift is between 0 and 26
50   (set 'realMaxShift maxShift)
51   ; If negative, take absolute value
52   (cond ((< realMaxShift 0) (set 'realMaxShift (* -1 realMaxShift))))
53   ; If greater than 26, then take the mod
54   (cond ((> realMaxShift 26) (set 'realMaxShift (mod realMaxShift 26))))
55   (map (lambda (curShift)
56     ; Call encrypt with the current shift amount
57     (set 'out (encryptStr str curShift))
58     (println "Caesar_" curShift ":_:" out)
59   )
60   ; Generate a sequence from the max down to 0 (inclusive)
61   (sequence realMaxShift 0))
62 )
63 )
64
65 (println "Alan_tests:")

```

```

66 (set 'encryptOut (encryptStr "This_is_a_test_string_from_Alan" 8))
67 (println encryptOut)
68 (set 'decryptOut (decryptStr encryptOut 8))
69 (println decryptOut)
70 (solve "HAL" 26)
71
72 (println "")
73 (println "Encrypt_and_decrypt_tests:")
74 ; Negative shift amount
75 (set 'encryptOut (encryptStr "This_is_a_test_string_from_Alan" -1))
76 (println encryptOut)
77 (set 'decryptOut (decryptStr encryptOut -1))
78 (println decryptOut)
79
80 ; Modulus
81 (set 'encryptOut (encryptStr "This_is_a_test_string_from_Alan" 27))
82 (println encryptOut)
83 (set 'decryptOut (decryptStr encryptOut 27))
84 (println decryptOut)
85
86 ; Empty string
87 (set 'encryptOut (encryptStr "" 7))
88 (println encryptOut)
89 (set 'decryptOut (decryptStr encryptOut 7))
90 (println decryptOut)
91
92 ; Symbols and no letters
93 (set 'encryptOut (encryptStr "1234567890!@#$$%^&*(){}" 7))
94 (println encryptOut)
95 (set 'decryptOut (decryptStr encryptOut 7))
96 (println decryptOut)
97
98 ; Solve tests
99 (println "")
100 (println "Solve_tests:")
101 ; Negative shift amount
102 (solve "HAL" -26)
103 (println "")
104 ; Modulus
105 (solve "HAL" 30)
106
107 ; Needed for newlisp
108 (exit)

```

Listing 1: Caesar Cipher (LISP)

```

1 Alan tests:
2 BPQA QA I BMAB ABZQVO NZWU ITIV
3 THIS IS A TEST STRING FROM ALAN
4 Caesar 26: HAL
5 Caesar 25: GZK
6 Caesar 24: FYJ
7 Caesar 23: EXI
8 Caesar 22: DWH
9 Caesar 21: CVG
10 Caesar 20: BUF
11 Caesar 19: ATE
12 Caesar 18: ZSD
13 Caesar 17: YRC
14 Caesar 16: XQB
15 Caesar 15: WPA
16 Caesar 14: VOZ
17 Caesar 13: UNY
18 Caesar 12: TMX
19 Caesar 11: SLW

```

```

20 Caesar 10: RKV
21 Caesar 9: QJU
22 Caesar 8: PIT
23 Caesar 7: OHS
24 Caesar 6: NGR
25 Caesar 5: MFQ
26 Caesar 4: LEP
27 Caesar 3: KDO
28 Caesar 2: JCN
29 Caesar 1: IBM
30 Caesar 0: HAL
31
32 Encrypt and decrypt tests:
33 SGHR HR Z SDRS RSQHMF EQNL ZKZM
34 THIS IS A TEST STRING FROM ALAN
35 UIJT JT B UFTU TUSJOH GSPN BMBO
36 THIS IS A TEST STRING FROM ALAN
37
38
39 1234567890!@#$$%^&*(){}
40 1234567890!@#$$%^&*(){}
41
42 Solve tests:
43 Caesar 26: HAL
44 Caesar 25: GZK
45 Caesar 24: FYJ
46 Caesar 23: EXI
47 Caesar 22: DWH
48 Caesar 21: CVG
49 Caesar 20: BUF
50 Caesar 19: ATE
51 Caesar 18: ZSD
52 Caesar 17: YRC
53 Caesar 16: XQB
54 Caesar 15: WPA
55 Caesar 14: VOZ
56 Caesar 13: UNY
57 Caesar 12: TMX
58 Caesar 11: SLW
59 Caesar 10: RKV
60 Caesar 9: QJU
61 Caesar 8: PIT
62 Caesar 7: OHS
63 Caesar 6: NGR
64 Caesar 5: MFQ
65 Caesar 4: LEP
66 Caesar 3: KDO
67 Caesar 2: JCN
68 Caesar 1: IBM
69 Caesar 0: HAL
70
71 Caesar 4: LEP
72 Caesar 3: KDO
73 Caesar 2: JCN
74 Caesar 1: IBM
75 Caesar 0: HAL

```

Listing 2: LISP Output

2.2 ML

2.2.1 MY THOUGHTS

2.2.2 GOOGLE SEARCH HISTORY

2.2.3 CODE AND TESTS

2.3 ERLANG

2.3.1 MY THOUGHTS

2.3.2 GOOGLE SEARCH HISTORY

2.3.3 CODE AND TESTS

2.4 FUNCTIONAL JAVASCRIPT

2.4.1 MY THOUGHTS

2.4.2 GOOGLE SEARCH HISTORY

2.4.3 CODE AND TESTS

2.5 FUNCTIONAL SCALA

2.5.1 GOOGLE SEARCH HISTORY

2.5.2 CODE AND TESTS