

Functional Programming

CMPT 331 - Spring 2023 | Dr. Labouseur

Josh Seligman | joshua.seligman1@marist.edu

April 21, 2023

1 LOG

1.1 PREDICTON

I am predicting that it will take me around **15 hours (average of 3 hours per programming language)** for me to learn LISP, ML, Erlang, functional JavaScript, and functional Scala and write a Caesar cipher in each language. Although I know that some of this assignment will be more challenging than Programming in the Past because functional programming requires a completely different mindset, I am already familiar with functional JavaScript and can use some of that knowledge to hopefully be able to quickly write the Caesar cipher in each language. Also, since I have already written the Caesar cipher in 5 languages, I know this assignment will be translating that work into a functional manner rather than trying to do it entirely from scratch.

1.2 PROGRESS LOG

Date	Hours Spent	Tasks / Accomplishments / Issues / Thoughts
March 6	3.5 hours	I wrote the Caesar cipher in LISP. It was a bit challenging because the syntax is not the cleanest and it is very difficult to find things online about it because every dialect does basic tasks different from one another.
March 7	3.5 hours	I wrote the Caesar cipher in ML. It was better documented than LISP, but more challenging to write because of its steeper learning curve.
March 8	0.25 hours	I had a lightbulb moment regarding everything I did poorly in ML and fixed it all up. I am much happier now that the code is more concise and flows a lot better.

1.3 FINAL RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

2 COMMENTARY

2.1 LISP

2.1.1 MY THOUGHTS

LISP was a language I do not want to remember because it was nothing special relative to most other programming languages and had some features that were really frustrating to deal with. More specifically, the syntax for the language is too simplistic with intense operator overloading, confusing code organization, and poor online resources.

First, the syntax of LISP is extremely simple because parentheses are used everywhere. In LISP, lists are the main data structure and are denoted with parentheses. However, lists are used to make function calls, to define functions, and to store data, which makes it really confusing what is being stored within a list as there is nothing to differentiate these structures. Readability is seriously hurt from this as I still struggle to make the distinction between function calls and data after writing the code. Additionally, I found it difficult to write LISP code because the parentheses were challenging to manage and to keep clean. I tried my absolute best to keep parentheses lined up similar to how braces would be lined up in C family languages, but it still was not perfect and had some inconsistencies. Furthermore, messing up on the parentheses was a pain to debug because the LISP interpreter would throw a confusing error that meant absolutely nothing in helping me resolve the real problem.

Next, LISP has a very frustrating way to represent math operations. Since everything is a function in LISP, all math functions will take in 2 arguments, which can be the result of other math function calls. Similar to how a compiler works, the operations you want to do first have to be encapsulated so their output will be used by other functions. However, I had to learn this idea the hard way when I did $(- 90 (+ \text{diff } 1))$ instead of $(+ (- 90 \text{diff}) 1)$ to represent the formula $90 - \text{diff} + 1$. Naturally, I wanted to represent the subtraction first, so I naively placed it in the outermost function call, which was a really bad idea. Although minor, this change in operation order screwed up my program for a while and my friends had to remind me of the order of operations for why the 2 code chunks were different from each other. This syntax for specifying the order of operations for a formula does not make logical sense as the code no longer reads from left to right. Rather, one has to read it middle out (sadly not related to middle out compression in the TV show Silicon Valley) and do a depth-first in-order traversal of a mini syntax tree in their head to be able to actually understand what the code is doing. This amount of brain damage is completely overkill as one already has to treat functional logic completely differently from procedural logic, and this math function ordering does not help LISP in being user-friendly.

Lastly, LISP is a really old language, and, similar to BASIC, its many dialects made good online resources hard to come by. When searching for how to do something in LISP, whatever a website had as an answer was most likely wrong because the dialect that I was using (newLISP) did not support functionalities specific to some of the other dialects. Unfortunately, no website specified what dialect they were referring to, and doing the same search but with "newLISP" instead of "lisp" would just bring up the documentation for newLISP. At the end of the day, this documentation and the corresponding Wikibook were my best friends for writing the Caesar cipher in LISP as they provided me with the basics to get started, which I was able to modify for my own purposes.

Overall, LISP was not a terrible programming language as I really liked the succinctness of its functional style, but its overly simplistic syntax, annoying math functions, and limited resources made it challenging to work with. Relative to the Programming in the Past assignment, I would rank LISP similar to Fortran as they are both the grandfather languages of their respective domains and were not terrible and somewhat

useable, but I would never want to use them again if I do not have to.

2.1.2 GOOGLE SEARCH HISTORY

- lisp hello world (https://en.wikibooks.org/wiki/Introduction_to_newLISP)
- comments in lisp
- math functions lisp
- lambda in lisp
- and in lisp

2.1.3 CODE AND TESTS

```
1 (define (encryptStr str shiftAmt)
2   ; Convert the input string to be upper case and split by character
3   (set 'realStr (explode (upper-case str)))
4   ; Get the mod because only need to work within -25 and 25
5   (set 'realShift (mod shiftAmt 26))
6
7   ; Map the transformation to each character
8   (set 'newStr (map (lambda (strChar)
9     ; Begin by getting the ASCII code
10    (set 'newChar (char strChar))
11    ; Only work with letters now
12    (cond ((and (>= newChar 65) (<= newChar 90))
13      ; Perform the shift
14      (set 'newChar (+ newChar realShift))
15
16      ; Check for the Z wraparound
17      (set 'diff (- newChar 90))
18      (cond
19        ((> diff 0)
20         ; Do wraparound so anything beyond Z picks up at A
21         (set 'newChar (- (+ 65 diff) 1))
22        )
23      (true
24       ; Check for A wraparound
25       (set 'diff (- 65 newChar))
26       (cond
27        ((> diff 0)
28         ; Do wraparound so anything beyond A picks up at Z
29         (set 'newChar (+ (- 90 diff) 1))
30        )
31      )
32    )
33  )
34  ))
35  ; Convert to a character and return it
36  (char newChar)
37  ; This is the input to the map function
38  ) realStr))
39  ; Join the exploded string and put it back together
40  (join newStr ""))
41 )
42
43 (define (decryptStr str shiftAmt)
44   ; Decrypt is a negative encrypt
45   (encryptStr str (* -1 shiftAmt))
46 )
47
```

```

48 (define (solve str maxShift)
49   ; Make sure the shift is between 0 and 26
50   (set 'realMaxShift maxShift)
51   ; If negative, take absolute value
52   (cond ((< realMaxShift 0) (set 'realMaxShift (* -1 realMaxShift))))
53   ; If greater than 26, then take the mod
54   (cond ((> realMaxShift 26) (set 'realMaxShift (mod realMaxShift 26))))
55   (map (lambda (curShift)
56         ; Call encrypt with the current shift amount
57         (set 'out (encryptStr str curShift))
58         (println "Caesar_" curShift ":_:" out)
59       )
60       ; Generate a sequence from the max down to 0 (inclusive)
61       (sequence realMaxShift 0)
62   )
63 )
64
65 (println "Alan_tests:")
66 (set 'encryptOut (encryptStr "This_is_a_test_string_from_Alan" 8))
67 (println encryptOut)
68 (set 'decryptOut (decryptStr encryptOut 8))
69 (println decryptOut)
70 (solve "HAL" 26)
71
72 (println "")
73 (println "Encrypt_and_decrypt_tests:")
74 ; Negative shift amount
75 (set 'encryptOut (encryptStr "This_is_a_test_string_from_Alan" -1))
76 (println encryptOut)
77 (set 'decryptOut (decryptStr encryptOut -1))
78 (println decryptOut)
79
80 ; Modulus
81 (set 'encryptOut (encryptStr "This_is_a_test_string_from_Alan" 27))
82 (println encryptOut)
83 (set 'decryptOut (decryptStr encryptOut 27))
84 (println decryptOut)
85
86 ; Empty string
87 (set 'encryptOut (encryptStr "" 7))
88 (println encryptOut)
89 (set 'decryptOut (decryptStr encryptOut 7))
90 (println decryptOut)
91
92 ; Symbols and no letters
93 (set 'encryptOut (encryptStr "1234567890!@#$$%^&*(){}" 7))
94 (println encryptOut)
95 (set 'decryptOut (decryptStr encryptOut 7))
96 (println decryptOut)
97
98 ; Solve tests
99 (println "")
100 (println "Solve_tests:")
101 ; Negative shift amount
102 (solve "HAL" -26)
103 (println "")
104 ; Modulus
105 (solve "HAL" 30)
106
107 ; Needed for newlisp
108 (exit)

```

Listing 1: Caesar Cipher (LISP)

1 Alan_tests:

```

2 BPQA QA I BMAB ABZQVO NZWU ITIV
3 THIS IS A TEST STRING FROM ALAN
4 Caesar 26: HAL
5 Caesar 25: GZK
6 Caesar 24: FYJ
7 Caesar 23: EXI
8 Caesar 22: DWH
9 Caesar 21: CVG
10 Caesar 20: BUF
11 Caesar 19: ATE
12 Caesar 18: ZSD
13 Caesar 17: YRC
14 Caesar 16: XQB
15 Caesar 15: WPA
16 Caesar 14: VOZ
17 Caesar 13: UNY
18 Caesar 12: TMX
19 Caesar 11: SLW
20 Caesar 10: RKV
21 Caesar 9: QJU
22 Caesar 8: PIT
23 Caesar 7: OHS
24 Caesar 6: NGR
25 Caesar 5: MFQ
26 Caesar 4: LEP
27 Caesar 3: KDO
28 Caesar 2: JCN
29 Caesar 1: IBM
30 Caesar 0: HAL
31
32 Encrypt and decrypt tests:
33 SGHR HR Z SDRS RSQHMF EQNL ZKZM
34 THIS IS A TEST STRING FROM ALAN
35 UIJT JT B UFTU TUSJOH GSPN BMBO
36 THIS IS A TEST STRING FROM ALAN
37
38
39 1234567890!@#%&*(){}
40 1234567890!@#%&*(){}
41
42 Solve tests:
43 Caesar 26: HAL
44 Caesar 25: GZK
45 Caesar 24: FYJ
46 Caesar 23: EXI
47 Caesar 22: DWH
48 Caesar 21: CVG
49 Caesar 20: BUF
50 Caesar 19: ATE
51 Caesar 18: ZSD
52 Caesar 17: YRC
53 Caesar 16: XQB
54 Caesar 15: WPA
55 Caesar 14: VOZ
56 Caesar 13: UNY
57 Caesar 12: TMX
58 Caesar 11: SLW
59 Caesar 10: RKV
60 Caesar 9: QJU
61 Caesar 8: PIT
62 Caesar 7: OHS
63 Caesar 6: NGR
64 Caesar 5: MFQ
65 Caesar 4: LEP
66 Caesar 3: KDO

```

```
67 Caesar 2: JCN
68 Caesar 1: IBM
69 Caesar 0: HAL
70
71 Caesar 4: LEP
72 Caesar 3: KDO
73 Caesar 2: JCN
74 Caesar 1: IBM
75 Caesar 0: HAL
```

Listing 2: LISP Output

2.2 ML

2.2.1 MY THOUGHTS

My Caesar cipher in ML will forever be remembered as the hackiest solution to a Caesar cipher. The language itself is just a cleaned up version of LISP with better documentation, but lack of sequential statements within functions and some weird math quirks made it one of the most rewarding challenges I have had in recent memory.

From my knowledge, ML functions do not support chaining multiple statements together. Rather, they only support returning the output from a single function call, which can have many nested function calls used as the input to the main function whose value is being returned. This made modularization difficult because it was always a big dilemma as to which chunks of logic could be put together versus separating them out into their own functions. As a fan of procedural programming, this was difficult to wrap my brain around as I struggled to match the data types together to be able to use the output from one function as the input to another. Furthermore, as an inexperienced functional programmer, I initially forced together a solution that worked but was very inefficient as I made a list of shift amounts and then zipped that list with the characters to create a list of tuples to pass into the performShift function. It was not until after a good night's rest when I realized that I could have just used an anonymous function and only map through the characters because then I could pass the shift amount in consistently without my crazy solution. Although my initial solution worked, I did make the change for code conciseness and because it was a better translation of the logic that was being done. This was also a lesson learned as the first solution that comes to mind when writing a functional program is not always the best answer because the logic has to be thought of in a more abstract way to come up with the best possible solution.

The other weird quirk was the syntax for negative numbers. When I was initially writing my ML program, I did not know how to represent a negative number in ML. Every time I wanted to use a - to represent negation, I was presented with a syntax error, most likely because - was a function for subtraction behind the scenes. Since I was already in the mindset to hack a solution together, I put a Band Aid on the problem by writing a function that negated a number by subtracting itself twice. I originally did not care about this function because it worked, but it did bother me because I knew that there was no way a programming language would make me write a negate function just to be able to represent a negative number. Similar to the previous problem with the hacky map function, I played around in ML's interactive mode for a couple minutes the next day to find out that it uses ~ instead of - to represent negative values. Although this is a tiny quirk of ML, it really bothered me because the writability was harmed due to the language not able to fully represent standard mathematical notation. Fortunately, this did not cause me nearly as much brain damage as the order of operations in LISP or trying to force multiple arguments into a map function in ML, but it was something to note because it was initially a key part in my program until I fixed it for clarity in the code.

Despite my annoyed experience writing the Caesar cipher in ML, I did have some good moments writing it. First, I love the type safety of the language as every variable and function is strictly defined. This is crucial for functional languages because it makes the code self-documenting and I know exactly what data type a function expects and what I will be receiving back as a return value. My other positive note was

specific to the Standard ML of New Jersey, but its interactive mode proved to be extremely useful when I was trying to figure out what functions were available to me. For instance, if I typed the command "open String;", I was presented with a full list of every string function in ML with definitions for the expected data types of the arguments and the return type. I did not dive deeper to find formal function definitions with verbose descriptions of what a given function did, but the basic definitions were enough for me as I was able to find what I was looking for and quickly added it to my code.

ML is in a weird place for me because it was more challenging than LISP because of the lack of sequential statements within a function, but I preferred its syntax and tooling over LISP. In other words, although my experience in LISP was better than ML, I would pick ML over LISP if I had to write a program in a functional language because its syntax is cleaner and significantly more readable and has better tooling and documentation compared to LISP.

2.2.2 GOOGLE SEARCH HISTORY

- standard ml of nj (<https://www.smlnj.org/doc/literature.html#tutorials>)
- ML programming language hello world (<https://www.cs.nmsu.edu/~rth/cs/cs471/sml.html>) (Amazing resource)
- sml merge 2 lists into a list of tuples (https://cs.wellesley.edu/~cs251/s19/slides/sml-lists-solns_4up.pdf) (Another great resource)
- else if sml

2.2.3 CODE AND TESTS

```

1 (* Creates a sequence from num down to 0, inclusive *)
2 fun createSequence(num: int): int list = if num <= 0 then
3     (* Base case is just a 0 *)
4     [0]
5     else
6     (* Append the current number to the rest of
7       the sequence *)
8     [num] @ createSequence(num - 1);
9
10 (* Function that deals with the wraparounds *)
11 fun handleWraparond(charValue: int): int = if charValue > 90 then
12     (* Handle Z wraparound *)
13     65 + charValue - 90 - 1
14     else if charValue < 65 then
15     (* Handle A wraparound *)
16     90 - 65 + charValue + 1
17     else charValue; (* No wraparound *)
18
19 (* Performs a shift on the given character *)
20 fun performShift(c: char, shiftAmt: int): char = if Char.isAlpha(c) then
21     (* Convert to uppercase, get the
22       ordinal value, add the shift,
23       * manage the wraparound, and then
24       convert back to a character *)
25     chr(handleWraparond(ord(Char.toUpper
26       (c)) + shiftAmt))
27     else c; (* Non-letters stay the same *)
28
29 (* Runs the encrypt with the given shift on the string *)
30 fun encrypt(inStr: string, shiftAmt: int): string = implode( (* Puts the list back together
31   into a string *)
32     map (* Run performShift on every
33       element of the list *)

```

```

28                                     (fn (c) => performShift(c, shiftAmt
29                                     mod 26))
30                                     (
31                                     (* Create list of characters *)
32                                     explode(inStr)
33                                     )
34                                     );
35 (* Runs the decrypt (negative encrypt) on a string *)
36 fun decrypt(inStr: string, shiftAmt: int): string = encrypt(inStr, ~shiftAmt);
37
38 (* Creates the shift value for solve *)
39 fun cleanSolveShift(shift: int): int = if Int.abs(shift) > 26 then
40                                     (* Take mod if greater than 26 *)
41                                     Int.abs(shift) mod 26
42                                     else Int.abs(shift); (* Just take abs otherwise *)
43
44 (* Solves the Caesar cipher *)
45 fun solve(inStr: string, maxShiftAmt: int): string list = map
46                                     (* Lambda function that runs
47                                     encrypt with the current
48                                     shift amount *)
49                                     (fn (shift) => "Caesar:_"^Int.
50                                     toString(shift)^":_"^encrypt
51                                     (inStr, shift))
52                                     (
53                                     (* Create a sequence from
54                                     the max shift down to 0
55                                     *)
56                                     createSequence(
57                                     cleanSolveShift(
58                                     maxShiftAmt))
59                                     );
60
61 print("Alan_tests:\n");
62 val encryptOut: string = encrypt("This_is_a_test_string_from_Alan", 8);
63 val decryptOut: string = decrypt(encryptOut, 8);
64 val solveOut: string list = solve("HAL", 26);
65 print(String.concatWith("\n")(solveOut)^"\n");
66
67 print("Encrypt_and_decrypt_tests:\n");
68 (* Negative shift *)
69 val encryptOut: string = encrypt("This_is_a_test_string_from_Alan", ~1);
70 val decryptOut: string = decrypt(encryptOut, ~1);
71 (* Mod shift *)
72 val encryptOut: string = encrypt("This_is_a_test_string_from_Alan", 27);
73 val decryptOut: string = decrypt(encryptOut, 27);
74 (* Empty string *)
75 val encryptOut: string = encrypt("", 7);
76 val decryptOut: string = decrypt(encryptOut, 7);
77 (* All numbers and symbols (no letters) *)
78 val encryptOut: string = encrypt("1234567890!@#%&*(){} ", 7);
79 val decryptOut: string = decrypt(encryptOut, 7);
80
81 print("Solve_tests:\n");
82 (* Negative shift *)
83 val solveOut: string list = solve("HAL", ~26);
84 print(String.concatWith("\n")(solveOut)^"\n");
85 (* Mod shift *)
86 val solveOut: string list = solve("HAL", 30);
87 print(String.concatWith("\n")(solveOut)^"\n");
88
89 (* Needed for SMLNJ *)

```



```
82 OS.Process.exit(OS.Process.success);
```

Listing 3: Caesar Cipher (ML)

```
1 Standard ML of New Jersey (64-bit) v110.99.3 [built: Thu Jul 28 00:35:16 2022]
2 [opening caesar.sml]
3 val createSequence = fn : int -> int list
4 val handleWraparond = fn : int -> int
5 [autoloading]
6 [library $SMLNJ-BASIS/basis.cm is stable]
7 [library $SMLNJ-BASIS/(basis.cm):basis-common.cm is stable]
8 [autoloading done]
9 val performShift = fn : char * int -> char
10 val encrypt = fn : string * int -> string
11 val decrypt = fn : string * int -> string
12 val cleanSolveShift = fn : int -> int
13 val solve = fn : string * int -> string list
14 Alan tests:
15 val it = () : unit
16 val encryptOut = "BPQA QA I BMAB ABZQVO NZWU ITIV" : string
17 val decryptOut = "THIS IS A TEST STRING FROM ALAN" : string
18 val solveOut =
19   ["Caesar: 26: HAL", "Caesar: 25: GZK", "Caesar: 24: FYJ", "Caesar: 23: EXI",
20    "Caesar: 22: DWH", "Caesar: 21: CVG", "Caesar: 20: BUF", "Caesar: 19: ATE",
21    "Caesar: 18: ZSD", "Caesar: 17: YRC", "Caesar: 16: XQB", "Caesar: 15: WPA",
22    "Caesar: 14: VOZ", "Caesar: 13: UNY", "Caesar: 12: TMX", "Caesar: 11: SLW",
23    ...] : string list
24 Caesar: 26: HAL
25 Caesar: 25: GZK
26 Caesar: 24: FYJ
27 Caesar: 23: EXI
28 Caesar: 22: DWH
29 Caesar: 21: CVG
30 Caesar: 20: BUF
31 Caesar: 19: ATE
32 Caesar: 18: ZSD
33 Caesar: 17: YRC
34 Caesar: 16: XQB
35 Caesar: 15: WPA
36 Caesar: 14: VOZ
37 Caesar: 13: UNY
38 Caesar: 12: TMX
39 Caesar: 11: SLW
40 Caesar: 10: RKV
41 Caesar: 9: QJU
42 Caesar: 8: PIT
43 Caesar: 7: OHS
44 Caesar: 6: NGR
45 Caesar: 5: MFQ
46 Caesar: 4: LEP
47 Caesar: 3: KDO
48 Caesar: 2: JCN
49 Caesar: 1: IBM
50 Caesar: 0: HAL
51 val it = () : unit
52 Encrypt and decrypt tests:
53 val it = () : unit
54 val encryptOut = "SGHR HR Z SDRS RSQHMF EQNL ZKZM" : string
55 val decryptOut = "THIS IS A TEST STRING FROM ALAN" : string
56 val encryptOut = "UIJT JT B UFTU TUSJOH GSPN BMBO" : string
57 val decryptOut = "THIS IS A TEST STRING FROM ALAN" : string
58 val encryptOut = "" : string
59 val decryptOut = "" : string
60 val encryptOut = "1234567890!@#%^&*(){}" : string
61 val decryptOut = "1234567890!@#%^&*(){}" : string
```

```

62 Solve tests:
63 val it = () : unit
64 val solveOut =
65   ["Caesar: 26: HAL", "Caesar: 25: GZK", "Caesar: 24: FYJ", "Caesar: 23: EXI",
66    "Caesar: 22: DWH", "Caesar: 21: CVG", "Caesar: 20: BUF", "Caesar: 19: ATE",
67    "Caesar: 18: ZSD", "Caesar: 17: YRC", "Caesar: 16: XQB", "Caesar: 15: WPA",
68    "Caesar: 14: VOZ", "Caesar: 13: UNY", "Caesar: 12: TMX", "Caesar: 11: SLW",
69    ...] : string list
70 Caesar: 26: HAL
71 Caesar: 25: GZK
72 Caesar: 24: FYJ
73 Caesar: 23: EXI
74 Caesar: 22: DWH
75 Caesar: 21: CVG
76 Caesar: 20: BUF
77 Caesar: 19: ATE
78 Caesar: 18: ZSD
79 Caesar: 17: YRC
80 Caesar: 16: XQB
81 Caesar: 15: WPA
82 Caesar: 14: VOZ
83 Caesar: 13: UNY
84 Caesar: 12: TMX
85 Caesar: 11: SLW
86 Caesar: 10: RKV
87 Caesar: 9: QJU
88 Caesar: 8: PIT
89 Caesar: 7: OHS
90 Caesar: 6: NGR
91 Caesar: 5: MFQ
92 Caesar: 4: LEP
93 Caesar: 3: KDO
94 Caesar: 2: JCN
95 Caesar: 1: IBM
96 Caesar: 0: HAL
97 val it = () : unit
98 val solveOut =
99   ["Caesar: 4: LEP", "Caesar: 3: KDO", "Caesar: 2: JCN", "Caesar: 1: IBM",
100    "Caesar: 0: HAL"] : string list
101 Caesar: 4: LEP
102 Caesar: 3: KDO
103 Caesar: 2: JCN
104 Caesar: 1: IBM
105 Caesar: 0: HAL
106 val it = () : unit
107 caesar.sml:82.1-82.36 Warning: type vars not generalized because of
108   value restriction are instantiated to dummy types (X1,X2,...)

```

Listing 4: ML Output

2.3 ERLANG

2.3.1 MY THOUGHTS

2.3.2 GOOGLE SEARCH HISTORY

2.3.3 CODE AND TESTS

2.4 FUNCTIONAL JAVASCRIPT

2.4.1 MY THOUGHTS

2.4.2 GOOGLE SEARCH HISTORY

2.4.3 CODE AND TESTS

2.5 FUNCTIONAL SCALA

2.5.1 GOOGLE SEARCH HISTORY

2.5.2 CODE AND TESTS