

Literature Review

Joshua Torrance

May 5, 2012

1 Introduction

The University of Melbourne’s cold-atom electron source aims to be able to create high-brightness, high-coherence electron bunches for use in coherent electron diffractive imaging. Imaging of nanoscale objects such as biological molecules [1, 2] and defects in solid-state devices [3] by ultrafast, single-shot electron diffractive imaging would provide important information about structure and dynamic processes of nanoscale objects.

Membrane proteins, for example, are very important for some reason (*ASK VIVIEN get some references*). Determining the structure of these molecules is a key step in understanding their chemical and biological function. The importance of knowing the atomic structure of biomolecules is exemplified by the enormous progress made in various fields of biology once the double-helical structure of DNA was determined from x-ray images in 1953 [4]. Once a protein’s structure and function are known then it becomes possible to design drugs [5] where needed and to more fully understand how the protein behaves in its biological system.

In order to determine the structure of these biological molecules atomic, sub-nanometre imaging resolution is required. A number of techniques are available for determining these structures [6–8] however the most successful to date has been x-ray crystallography [9, 10]. Unfortunately the process of crystallising these membrane proteins is difficult and to date relatively few have been crystallised [11].

New imaging techniques and light sources such as x-ray free electron lasers and ultrafast single-shot diffraction have been driven by the goal of overcoming the limitations of x-ray crystallography. Ultrafast single-shot diffraction imaging also has the potential to determine dynamic structure of biological molecules. The Melbourne cold-atom electron source is aims to produce bright, coherent bunches of electrons for use in diffractive imaging.

1.1 Ultrafast, single-shot, coherent diffractive imaging with electrons

X-ray diffraction from crystals was first observed a century ago [12] and resulted in a Nobel prize being awarded to William Bragg and his son. Since then coherent diffractive imaging (CDI) has been performed on a myriad of different samples with coherent beams of x-rays and electrons.

Electrons have a shorter wavelength than x-rays thus allowing a higher limit on the attainable resolution for CDI. [REFERENCE would be nice]

1.1.1 Single-shot diffractive imaging

Single-shot diffractive imaging with an x-ray source of sufficient brightness should be able to produce a diffraction pattern from scattered x-rays from a single molecule before the molecule is destroyed by the Coulomb explosion which follows photoionisation within the molecule [13,14]. Single-shot imaging aims to avoid the need for crystallisation with x-ray imaging since with a sufficiently bright source should allow imaging of any molecule.

With femtosecond timescale single-shot imaging it becomes possible to observe such things as molecular vibration and dynamic chemical processes [15].

1.2 Melbourne cold-atom electron source

The University of Melbourne’s cold-atom electron source project aims to produce an electron source for coherent diffractive imaging. If bright, coherent, femtosecond long bunches of electrons can be produced then CDI can be performed on a range of structures.

1.2.1 Brightness

Brightness is important in CDI because...

The transverse brightness at the source is given by [16]

$$B_{\perp} = \frac{I_p m_e c^2}{4\pi^2 \sigma_x \sigma_y k_B T} \quad (1)$$

where σ is the root mean squared source size and I_p is the peak electron current.

A pulse of electron’s brightness can be increased by a reduction in the length of the bunch or by increasing the density of the source. A short bunch is necessary for ultrafast electron diffraction.

Increasing the density of the source can be achieved with an optical dipole trap which is the focus of this project.

aim to get

- brightness (give definition)

- coherence

- bunches

problems

- coulomb explosion (bunchshaping)
- bunch length
- brightness (dipole trap and other stuff)

1.2.2 Coherence

For a quasi-homogeneous source [17], the transverse coherence length L_c can be related to the transverse momentum spread, and hence the temperature, through [18]

$$L_c = \hbar / \sqrt{m_e k_B T} \quad (2)$$

The transverse coherence is determined solely from the temperature of the electrons which is proportional to the temperature of the electron source and the ionisation energy.

uniform density ellipsoidal bunches -; coulomb explosion reversal [19]

bunch shaping [20]

2 Optical Dipole Trapping

The use of optical dipole trapping in cold-atom electron sources will allow greater stability of the atom cloud during ionisation and extraction as well as increasing the density of the atom cloud during these phases with corresponding increases in density.

2.1 History of dipole trapping

The use of the optical dipole force as a confining mechanism was first proposed by Askar'yan in 1962 [21] for plasmas and neutral atoms. Ashkin successfully demonstrated the trapping of micron-size latex spheres suspended in water using a focussed gaussian lasers in 1970 [22]. The first optical trapping of atoms was demonstrated by Chu et. al. in 1986 [23] where a optical dipole trap was used to trap sodium atoms.

Since then optical dipole traps have been used extensively for such things as all-optical bose-einstein condensation [24].

****Any other modern stuff worth mentioning?****

2.2 Theory of Dipole Trapping

2.3 How we'll use a dipole trap

That title is terrible by the way.

2.3.1 Wavelength

'Close' to 780nm vs. 1064nm both are red detuned

780nm

- tapered amplilfier
- 2W
- nanometres below resonance (need to work out exacly how far)

1064nm

- fibre laser
- 20W

2.3.2 Configurations

single axis vs. crossed

2.3.3 Waist size

2.4 Optical lattice

Dipole trap used as initial trap

2.5 All optical trapping

References

- [1] Jason R Dwyer, Christoph T Hebeisen, Ralph Ernstorfer, Maher Harb, Vatche B Deyirmenjian, Robert E Jordan, and R. J Dwayne Miller. Femtosecond electron diffraction: ‘making the molecular movie’. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 364(1840):741–778, March 2006.
- [2] J. Charles Williamson, Jianming Cao, Hyotcherl Ihee, Hans Frey, and Ahmed H. Zewail. Clocking transient chemical changes by ultrafast electron diffraction. , *Published online: 13 March 1997*; | doi:10.1038/386159a0, 386(6621):159–162, March 1997.
- [3] Bradley J Siwick, Jason R Dwyer, Robert E Jordan, and R. J. Dwayne Miller. An Atomic-Level view of melting using femtosecond electron diffraction. *Science*, 302(5649):1382–1385, November 2003.
- [4] J. D. Watson and F. H. C. Crick. Molecular structure of nucleic acids: A structure for deoxyribose nucleic acid. , *Published online: 25 April 1953*; | doi:10.1038/1711737a0, 171(4356):737–738, April 1953.
- [5] Lawrence H. Pinto, Leslie J. Holsinger, and Robert A. Lamb. Influenza virus m2 protein has ion channel activity. *Cell*, 69(3):517–528, May 1992.
- [6] Joanne E Nettleship, James Brown, Matthew R Groves, and Arie Geerlof. Methods for protein characterization by mass spectrometry, thermal shift (ThermoFluor) assay, and multiangle or static light scattering. *Methods in Molecular Biology (Clifton, N.J.)*, 426:299–318, 2008. PMID: 18542872.
- [7] Dmitri I Svergun and Michel H J Koch. Small-angle scattering studies of biological macromolecules in solution. *Reports on Progress in Physics*, 66(10):1735–1782, October 2003.
- [8] Stanley J Opella and Francesca M Marassi. Structure determination of membrane proteins by NMR spectroscopy. *Chemical Reviews*, 104(8):3587–3606, August 2004. PMID: 15303829.
- [9] J. C. Kendrew, G. Bodo, H. M. Dintzis, R. G. Parrish, H. Wyckoff, and D. C. Phillips. A Three-Dimensional model of the myoglobin molecule obtained by X-Ray analysis. , *Published online: 08 March*

- 1958; | doi:10.1038/181662a0, 181(4610):662–666, March 1958.
- [10] I Usón and G M Sheldrick. Advances in direct methods for protein crystallography. *Current Opinion in Structural Biology*, 9(5):643–648, October 1999. PMID: 10508770.
 - [11] Arie Geerlof, J Brown, B Coutard, M P Egloff, F J Enguita, M J Fogg, R J C Gilbert, M R Groves, A Haouz, J E Nettleship, P Nordlund, R J Owens, M Ruff, S Sainsbury, D I Svergun, and Matthias Wilmanns. The impact of protein characterization in structural proteomics. *Acta Crystallographica. Section D, Biological Crystallography*, 62(Pt 10):1125–1136, October 2006. PMID: 17001090.
 - [12] W. H. Bragg. X-rays and crystals. *Nature*, 90:219, October 1912.
 - [13] R Henderson. The potential and limitations of neutrons, electrons and x-rays for atomic resolution microscopy of unstained biological molecules. *Quarterly Reviews of Biophysics*, 28(2):171–193, May 1995. PMID: 7568675.
 - [14] Richard Neutze, Remco Wouts, David van der Spoel, Edgar Weckert, and Janos Hajdu. Potential for biomolecular imaging with femtosecond x-ray pulses. *Nature*, 406(6797):752–757, August 2000.
 - [15] Ahmed H. Zewail. 4d ultrafast electron diffraction, crystallography, and microscopy. *Annual Review of Physical Chemistry*, 57(1):65–103, 2006.
 - [16] Martin Reiser. *Theory and Design of Charged Particle Beams*. John Wiley & Sons, August 2008.
 - [17] Keith A. Nugent. Coherent methods in the x-ray sciences. *Advances in Physics*, 59(1):1–99, 2009.
 - [18] T. van Oudheusden, E. F de Jong, S. B van der Geer, W. P. E. M. Op ’t Root, O. J Luiten, and B. J Siwick. Electron source concept for single-shot sub-100 fs electron diffraction in the 100 keV range. *Journal of Applied Physics*, 102(9):093501–093501–8, November 2007.
 - [19] S. B van der Geer, M. P Reijnders, M. J de Loos, E. J. D Vredenburg, P. H. A Mutsaers, and O. J Luiten. Simulated performance of an ultracold ion source. *Journal of Applied Physics*, 102(9):094312–094312–7, November 2007.

- [20] A. J. McCulloch, D. V. Sheldudko, S. D. Saliba, S. C. Bell, M. Junker, K. A. Nugent, and R. E. Scholten. Arbitrarily shaped high-coherence electron bunches from cold atoms. *Nature Physics*, 7(10):785–788, July 2011.
- [21] Gurgen Askar’yan. Effects of the gradient of a strong electromagnetic beam on electrons and atoms. *Soviet Physics - Journal of Experimental and Theoretical Physics*, 15(1088), 1962. INSPEC:10786990.
- [22] A. Ashkin. Acceleration and trapping of particles by radiation pressure. *Physical Review Letters*, 24(4):156–159, January 1970.
- [23] Steven Chu, J. E. Bjorkholm, A. Ashkin, and A. Cable. Experimental observation of optically trapped atoms. *Physical Review Letters*, 57(3):314–317, July 1986.
- [24] M. D. Barrett, J. A. Sauer, and M. S. Chapman. All-Optical formation of an atomic Bose-Einstein condensate. *Physical Review Letters*, 87(1):010404, June 2001.