

Paleoenvironmental Reconstruction of Two Paleoindian Sites in North-Central New Mexico

Joshua Vallejos



Introduction

Hypotheses

Carbon

H₀: The C₃ and C₄ grasses ratio remained stable between the Younger Dryas and the Early Holocene
H₁: The ratio of C₄ grasses to C₃ grasses was higher in the Early Holocene than in the Younger Dryas

Oxygen


H₀: δ¹⁸O will remain stable between the Younger Dryas and the Early Holocene
H₁: δ¹⁸O will differ between the Younger Dryas and the Early Holocene

Carbon

Carbon exists in two stable forms in nature (12C and 13C)
Plants have evolved different photosynthetic pathways for observing carbon from the atmosphere depending on the habitat
C₃, C₄, and CAM
Bison do not feed on CAM (crassulacean acid metabolism) Plants (e.g., succulents)

Oxygen

Oxygen exists in three naturally occurring stable isotopes (18O, 17O, and 16O)
17O is not used in paleoenvironmental analyses
16O is the most common isotope
18O to 16O ratios can provide proxy evidence for an animal's environment
There is no specific fractionation factor to determine an environment based on δ¹⁸O
Environmental factors affect the value:
Precipitation, temperature, altitude, latitude...
Lakes will have an average δ¹⁸O across the seasons while δ¹⁸O in rivers varies seasonally



Isotopic analysis results comparing the bison at Water Canyon (blue) and Boca Negra Wash (orange) in ‰

The statistics show that the δ¹³C values for both sites are similar, while Water Canyon has more negative δ¹⁸O values than Boca Negra Wash on average. The statistics also show that sample 5 from Water Canyon clusters with five samples from Boca Negra Wash. At Boca Negra Wash, only fragmentary enamel samples were analyzed due to preservation, so individual teeth could not be identified precisely. This same problem occurred at Water Canyon, with several samples too fragmentary to identify a specific tooth type.

Gadbury et al. (2000), documented that the M1 teeth of ungulates had a “light” δ¹⁸O compared to the M2 and M3 of the same animal, most likely due to the M1’s utero enamel formation. I created Population A and B based on where they fell on the scatter plot and ran a Mann-Whitney U.



Background



Map showing Boca Negra Wash and Water Canyon

Methods

Center for Stable Isotopes



Bison tooth before and after drilling of the surface

The average and range for δ¹³C and δ¹⁸O values for bison at Water Canyon and Boca Negra Wash

	Average		Range	
	δ ¹³ C	δ ¹⁸ O	δ ¹³ C	δ ¹⁸ O
Water Canyon	-1.20‰	-6.92‰	-5.83‰ – 0.71‰	-8.40‰ – -4.49‰
Boca Negra Wash	-1.89‰	-4.41‰	-8.38‰ – 1.12‰	-10.47‰ – -0.60‰

C₃-browsers vs C₄-grazers according to Cerling et al. 2015

Water Canyon

•53% C₄ grazers and 47% C₃/C₄ grazers

Boca Negra Wash

•52% C₄ grazers and 48% C₃/C₄ grazers

Carbon		Oxygen	
Mann-Whitney U	202	Mann-Whitney U	55.5
z	0.52455	z	4.0991
p	0.5999	p	< 0.01

Results

Carbon
H₀: The C₃ and C₄ grasses ratio remained stable between the Younger Dryas and the Early Holocene
H₁: The ratio of C₄ grasses to C₃ grasses was higher in the early Holocene than in the Younger Dryas

Oxygen
H₀: δ¹⁸O will remain stable between the Younger Dryas and the Early Holocene
H₁: δ¹⁸O will differ between the Younger Dryas and the Early Holocene

Statistics

Population A vs. B from Boca Negra Wash

Carbon	
Mann-Whitney U	0
z	3.4191
p	< 0.01

Results comparing Boca Negra Wash vs Water Canyon

Conclusion

Carbon
Statistically similar
Water Canyon:
53% C₄-grazers and 47% mixed C₃/C₄-grazers
Boca Negra Wash:
52% C₄-grazers and 48% mixed C₃/C₄-grazers
C₃ and C₄ grasses habitat remained unchanged from the Young Dryas into the Early Holocene

Oxygen
Statistically different
Water Canyon had “lighter” δ¹⁸O compared to Boca Negra Wash
Bison had a different source of water during the Younger Dryas and Early Holocene

The Stable Isotopes

12C vs 13C

• These are taken up at a different rate by C₃ and C₄ plants based on the environment

18O vs 16O

• A higher ratio of 16O to 18O may indicate a wetter climate

Carbon = Habitat

Oxygen = Climate

Results

FS		LA	
Sample Number	Number	Project	Number weight (mg) δ ¹³ C‰ δ ¹⁸ O‰
1	5029	41.901	134764 6.468 -0.44 -7.18
2	5121	WC field school	134764 6.212 -2.63 -7.91
3	5171	UNM field school	134764 6.561 -0.70 -5.47
4	5140	WC field school	134764 6.114 -1.07 -6.64
5	5080	41.901	134764 6.336 -5.83 -8.22
6	5140	WC field school	134764 6.242 -2.78 -8.40
7	5102	41.901	134764 6.428 -0.38 -8.24
8	5118	41.901	134764 6.994 -1.40 -7.09
9	5125	WC field school/41.901	134764 6.178 0.71 -7.29
10	5037	41.901	134764 6.45 0.01 -6.25
11	5055	WC field school	134764 6.499 0.11 -4.64
12	5013	41.901	134764 6.896 -1.69 -8.07
13	5145	WC field school	134764 6.075 -1.33 -7.00
14	5148	WC field school	134764 6.261 0.07 -4.49
15	5151	WC field school	134764 6.575 -1.46 -5.47
16	1198	WC 2012	134764 5.184 -2.13 -7.17
17	1276	WC 2012	134764 6.89 0.24 -6.67

References Cited



Acknowledgments

I heartily acknowledge Dr. Bruce Huckell and Dr. Sherry Nelson, my Co-chairs for this Master's Project. Both were key in framing this project and seeing it through to its completion. I also thank my committee member, Dr. Emily Jones. Gratitude is extended to the Hibben Fellowship and the Moore Research Grant for the funding to pursue this research. Gratitude is also extended to the UNM Office of Contract Archaeology for letting me use their material for my research. Thanks to the Center for Stable Isotopes UNM, specifically Dr. Nelson, for using her lab to conduct my research. I especially thank Dr. Viorel Atudorei (Department of Earth and Planetary Science) for running my samples. And to my wonderful “cohort,” who has always been there and has suffered through graduate school with me. We leaned hard on each other, and because of that, none of us fell. My excellent partner Katherine Peck for supporting me and making the maps. Lastly, to Paul and Brenda Vallejos, my loving and caring parents, encouraging me in everything I do and never thinking anything, even dyslexia, could stop me from following my dreams.