

PROJECT Design Documentation

The following template provides the headings for your Design Documentation. As you edit each section make sure you remove these commentary 'blockquotes'; the lines that start with a > character and appear in the generated PDF in italics.

Team Information

- Team name: 10d
- Team members
 - rml1168 (Ryan Lei)
 - cxl8351 (Christopher Lee)
 - jwy4877 (Joshua Yadao)
 - ty3226 (Tydarius Young)

Executive Summary

This is a summary of the project.

Purpose

The purpose of this project is to make a way for friends and strangers from around the world can play Checkers with each other online.

Glossary and Acronyms

Provide a table of terms and acronyms.

Term	Definition
VO	Value Object

Requirements

This section describes the features of the application.

The player must sign in before playing any games.

The player must be able to start a game with another player and be able to play it through to completion or resign midway.

The player must be able to signout at any time they please.

The game must follow the standard Chess rules.

Definition of MVP

The Minimum Viable Product is a WebCheckers Application that allows players to sign-in and find other players to play a match of Checkers. You are allowed to resign if you have to leave mid-game or you can play to victory/defeat. You can sign out whenever you please, however your game will not be saved.

MVP Features

Sign in: - Allows you to sign in and play against other players

- You MUST be signed in to start a match and see other lobbies

Start a game:

- Allows you to click on another player's lobby or have another player click on your lobby and a match will be created.
- This match will be played until either someone resigns, signs out, or there is a victor.

Resign:

- This allows you to resign in the middle of a game, giving the other player a forfeit victory and sending the game to the next player.

End game experience:

- This will display a victory or defeat message depending on the circumstance of the end game and a ready for next match button.

Sign out: Allows you to sign out of the application

Roadmap of Enhancements

Spectator Mode

Replay Mode

Application Domain

This section describes the application domain.

For our domain model we decided to have a Game be the central part of our diagram. Of course, a game is then played on a Board which contains 64 squares (for a standard 8x8 checkers board). There are then anywhere from 1 to 24 pieces on the board at a time, which can either be single pieces or king pieces. A player moves each piece around to play the game. There are anywhere from 1 to 2 players controlling the pieces at a time, depending on player resignation. If we end up doing the spectator enhancement, a spectator will be viewing the Game. Anywhere from 0 to many spectators can be watching.

Architecture and Design

Our application has 3 tiers of code, them being: UI, Model, and Application.

The Application Tier controls all logic in terms of outside of playing the game itself. This includes managing the players and current games running.

The Model Tier handles all logic in terms of the game itself. There are classes that deal with the board, individual pieces, how pieces move, and in general how the game works.

The UI Tier deals with what the player sees and the routes. This handles getting into games, displaying the home page, signing in and out, and resigning. We also had to include some move validation in this tier because the client has to communicate with the server.

Summary

The following Tiers/Layers model shows a high-level view of the webapp's architecture.

As a web application, the user interacts with the system using a browser. The client-side of the UI is composed of HTML pages with some minimal CSS for styling the page. There is also some JavaScript that has been provided to the team by the architect.

The server-side tiers include the UI Tier that is composed of UI Controllers and Views. Controllers are built using the Spark framework and View are built using the FreeMarker framework. The Application and Model tiers are built using plain-old Java objects (POJOs).

Details of the components within these tiers are supplied below.




Figure 1: The WebCheckers Domain Model





Figure 2: The Tiers & Layers of the Architecture

This section describes the web interface flow; this is how the user views and interacts with the WebCheckers application.



The user interface is very user friendly and straight forward. The player will first see the home page when they are not signed in which displays how many users are currently online and will have a sign in button.

If they try to join an active players lobby, they will be returned to the home screen.

Of course, during all of this (except for the very beginning when they are not signed in), the user can sign out at any time and get returned to step 1.

Provide a summary of the Server-side UI tier of your architecture. Describe the types of components in the tier and describe their responsibilities. This should be a narrative description, i.e. it has a flow or “story line” that the reader can follow.

5

You must also provide any dynamic models, such as statechart and sequence diagrams, as is relevant to a particular aspect of the design that you are describing. For example, in WebCheckers you might create a sequence diagram of the POST /validateMove HTTP request processing or you might show a statechart diagram if the Game component uses a state machine to manage the game.

If a dynamic model, such as a statechart describes a feature that is not mostly in this tier and cuts across multiple tiers, you can consider placing the narrative description of that feature in a separate section for describing significant features. Place this after you describe the design of the three tiers.

The main hub of our server side UI Tier is the GetHomeRoute class. This is where players are first directed to when they load up WebCheckers and this is where they are sent after sign in and game finishes.

Next we have GetSignInRoute and PostSignInRoute. In order for players to actually play the game, they must sign in and this is how the server handles and displays validation of signing in.

GetGameRoute is our next big class because this is the route players use to get into games. This route handles starting a new match between players, getting the board from the server to the client, and displaying the end game experience.

Row is a class that represents the rows on a Checkers board. These rows contain all the spaces the game has and helps with move validation.

BoardView works with Row to display the game board to the user. This class deals with who is red and who is white, and in turn deals with which side of the board you see on your side.

PostValidateMoveRoute is the route that deals with the client and the server communicating in order to make sure the player is abiding by the rules of checkers. This route will tell the client if they are allowed to make the move they are trying to make.

PostBackupMoveRoute is one of the two routes that go hand in hand with PostValidateMoveRoute because if the client tried to make an invalid move, they use this route to revert to the beginning of their turn to make a valid move.

PostSubmitTurnRoute is the second of the two routes that works with PostValidateMoveRoute. If the move they made is valid, this is the route that is called to send the move through to the server.

PostCheckTurnRoute is a simple route that checks whose turn it is.

Finally, we have PostSignOutRoute, which is simply a route that handles players signing out, which means it has to check if a player is currently mid match and remove them if they are.

Application Tier

Provide a summary of the Application tier of your architecture. This section will follow the same instructions that are given for the UI Tier above.

The Application Tier contains 2 classes. GameCenter deals with current active games and games that are being created. This class will make a new gameId and store the new game in a HashMap, with the key being the Id and the value being the game instance itself. GameCenter is also the middle man for dealing with turns because it is the class in the Application tier that contains the games themselves.

The PlayerLobby class keeps track of current users online and also deals with validating usernames as players try to sign in. This class contains a HashMap with the key being usernames and the values being user sessions.

Model Tier

The model tier contains many classes, largely dealing with the functional dynamics of the board and player.

Board: The overall structure simulating an 8x8 matrix of alternating black and white spaces. Here can be found a majority of movement validation, as well as the movement of pieces itself. The general rule of thumb is, if it has an association with the board, the board class will deal with it.

Game: The simulation of two (2) players coming together and playing a 'game'. This class largely focused on the dynamics of players, as in a player's basic capabilities (i.e. request to move a piece) or other general player information (i.e. grab a player's color).

ReplayGame: A class that holds all the valid turns for a given game so they can be viewed and iterated through while in Replay Mode. This class keeps track of the time the game ended, the players in the game and the current turn the user is viewing.

Move: This class dealt with the movement of pieces across our board. Also dealing with any jump movement. It is worth noting that any movement validation is not involved within this class. It is simply the movement of pieces.

Piece: This class controlled the status of a piece, or many pieces, on the board.

Player: This is the class that simulates a person actively playing a game of checkers (or a person simply within the lobby). Information such as the player's color or username can be found here. **Position:** This class is used in conjunction of movement concept and the board. It is used to simulate any and all validation.

Space: This class basically places the black and white tiles on the board.

Design Improvements

-Further error checking within routes to ensure the user never sees an error page -Possibly refactor the Game class to hold less instance variables -Provide the user with more information while viewing any page, to make the application easier to learn and nearly eliminate all ambiguity -Refactor methods that seem to repeat themselves or take similar actions as others methods within the domain (which exist from multiple developers attempting to find a different solution to the same problem) -Refactor the PlayerLobby class to hold a HashMap of Players and their names, rather than Players and their Sessions -Refactor joining two players to the same game without using their Sessions and through taking more advantage of the GameCenter class

Discuss design improvements that you would make if the project were to continue. These improvement should be based on your direct analysis of where there are problems in the code base which could be addressed with design changes, and describe those suggested design improvements. After completion of the Code metrics exercise, you will also discuss the resulting metric measurements. Indicate the hot spots the metrics identified in your code base, and your suggested design improvements to address those hot spots.

Testing

We created test classes for all of the model and application tiers. While the testing for the application tier saw a great, if not 100%, code coverage, it was not the same for the other tiers. The model tier posed a completely different situation in terms of testing because of the coupling of classes and the overall design of our project. With enough tests though, we were able to at least meet a somewhat satisfactory standard of code coverage. Testing for the UI tier was not fully completed. The process of building the tests seemed much more abstract than the model tier and application tier. This led to easy mishaps and many null pointers.

Acceptance Testing

The following is breakdown of our user story progress across Sprints 1- 3. These breakdown summaries explain which stories passed their Acceptance Criteria Tests, which stories failed and which stories went untested. All Stories that fail their acceptance criteria tests for a given sprint are put into the preceding Sprint's backlog, and subsequently worked on.

User Story Breakdown for Sprint 1: -1 User Stories Passed All Tests -1 User Story Failed Some Tests (The 'Start a Game' Story) -No User Stories went untested

User Story Breakdown for Sprint 2: -9 User Stories Passed All Tests -1 User Story Failed Some Tests (The 'Submit Turn' Story) -No User Stories went untested

User Story Breakdown for Sprint 3: -10 User Stories Passed All Tests -No Stories Failed there Acceptance Criteria Tests -No Stories went untested

Unit Testing and Code Coverage

The overall code coverage focus was on the model-tier. Mainly because the majority of functionality can be found within the model. This includes algorithms such as movement validation, and the passing of movement information itself. The testing of such tier was very challenging, and full, 100% testing has yet to be fulfilled due to the sheer amount of conditions required and specifics required. The problem with our current design, in order to test the code to its fullest, we would have to start with the board and its already placed pieces. At that point, we would basically recreate the entire process of gameplay, but by code. Movement of pieces, jumping pieces, etc. Testing anything further may require a difference in design in order to relieve stress with the tests.