

# 1 General Overview

There has been an extensive literature and debate on hotspots in traffic analysis. Our proposed hotspot analysis using hdbscan algorithm is unique and different amongst commonly accepted measures of fatalities/mile across roadways. Fatalities/mile may have a difficult time taking into account the features of the crash while our algorithm focuses specifically on those different features. This allows the user to focus on what is more likely to be connected to crashes and diagnose potential solutions for the area.

Further difficulties in hotspot detection stem from the variability of traffic. As hotspots may randomly disappear from 1 year to the next despite appearing in all other years, we provide a method to find the hotspot that appear across time.

# 2 Clustering Algorithm Selection

There are many clustering algorithms available. The most popular algorithms include K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN), K-Means and Gaussian Mixture Models (GMM). Unfortunately, those popular algorithms were not suitable for the problem posed. For KNN/K-means, the weakness stems from stability. Multiple runs are typically required to assess stability and there are no guarantees of stability within each run for any given data point. For GMM and K-means, the spherical/elliptical constraints for each cluster may not be appropriate for a traffic analysis problem as hotspots may run along an unconstrained road or a geographic feature. Finally, the clustering algorithms provided above are unable to classify points as "not in cluster". Even with modified method of "cutting" off points at a given distance/probability (K-mean/GMM) as unclustered, other weaknesses make the algorithms provided inappropriate for the analysis.

# 3 HDBScan

HDBScan (hierarchical density based scan) was chosen as the clustering algorithm. HDBScan is a clustering algorithm based on density. It is non-parametric and shape-wise unconstrained. The algorithm is also consistent and stable. Repeated runs of the algorithm will always provide the same results unlike some of the algorithms above. Additionally, it can classify data points as not in any cluster based on cluster stability and density. HDBScan can succinctly be described as clustering based on how close the data points are relative to each other in a given area.

HDBScan begins by taking  $n$  samples closest points for each data point. The  $n$  sample circular space radius is called the core distance. After the core distances have been determined, the algorithm computes the reachability for all data points. Reachability is defined as the maximum distance between the 2 point or core distance. A hierarchical tree is built based on reachability metric.

Clusters are determined based on stability of the parent tree structure compared to the children node tree structure.<sup>1</sup>

## 4 Methodology

The clustering methodology based on HDBScan can be described as follows:

1. Perform the clustering for each year from the algorithm below (yearly clustering algorithm).
  - (a) Select all the points where the variable of interest is active and drop all the other points. For example, select all data points where the accident involved a rollover and drop all the other data points.
  - (b) Cluster the selected points based on geographic location using the manhattan distance metric.
  - (c) Create a polygon (convex hull) from each clusters found to determine the problem area.
  - (d) Label all data points to belong to cluster  $x$  if they are inside the boundaries of polygon  $x$ .
  - (e) Perform a significance test for all point inside a cluster polygon against all unclustered points. A  $p - value$  of 0.05 is determined to be significant. If a cluster is not found to be significant, it is relabeled as unclustered.<sup>2</sup>
2. Once all years has been clustered, overlay the cluster polygons on top of each other geographically. Find the intersections of all polygons that overlap more than  $n$  years. The intersections are now time-based cluster polygons.
3. Relabel all points based on time-based cluster polygons for cluster determination.<sup>3</sup>
4. Perform a significance test for all points inside time-based cluster polygons against all unclusterd points. If time-based cluster polygon is not found to be significant, the points are relabeled as unclustered.

---


<sup>1</sup>For a more detailed discussion, please refer to the following link - [https://hdbscan.readthedocs.io/en/latest/how\\_hdbscan\\_works.html](https://hdbscan.readthedocs.io/en/latest/how_hdbscan_works.html).

<sup>2</sup>The significance test used was the wilcoxon ranked sum test. This was chosen over t-test since it was non-parametric. To satisfy the normality constraint of the t-test, hundreds of data points were required. The number of data points and normality was empirically determined using sampling and the shapiro-wilks normality test. The  $\chi^2$ -test was not chosen since the data was not always symmetric.

<sup>3</sup>The time-based cluster polygons were expanded by 0.01% (approximately 1/2 mile in distance) to ensure the inclusion of the polygon vertices itself. The alternative was to iterate through the entire dataset and check for the points on the vertices/edges. This was done to improve the computational speed for efficient visualization.

From a statistical perspective, the yearly clustering algorithm should identify a false positive cluster only 1 out of 20 times if random points from that particular year's dataset to form a cluster. The false positive cluster rate for time-based polygon clusters will be the same assuming random points are selected from all available years.

An example is provided below using an intersection of 2 years. Each colored polygon is a significant cluster polygon for each individual year. However, only the red colored data points are significant clusters across time. Note that the red data points include both rollovers and non-rollover fatalities. The areas with the group of red data points, however, has a statistically significant different mean from all other areas in the state.



From the map above, there appears to be cluster in a rural flat area in West Texas. The West Texas area is extremely flat and low desnity, the cluster appearance is unexpected. Since rollovers are likely to require high speeds in flat areas, a hypothesis of excessive speeding in the area may be further examined. This can easily be contrasted with Austin area, which is hilly, higher density and does not have any rollover clusters.