

THE EFFECTS OF BINARITY ON PLANET OCCURRENCE RATES MEASURED BY TRANSIT SURVEYS

L. G. BOUMA,¹ J. N. WINN,¹ AND K. MASUDA¹

¹*Department of Astrophysical Sciences, Princeton University, 4 Ivy Lane, Princeton, NJ 08540, USA*

Submitted to AAS journals.

ABSTRACT

This derives the equations of the paper.

Keywords: methods: data analysis — planets and satellites: detection
— surveys

1. PRELIMINARIES

1.1. *Searchable Distance*

We can detect a signal if

$$\frac{\text{signal}}{\text{noise}} \sim \frac{\delta_{\text{obs}}}{(L/d^2)^{-1/2}}, \quad \delta_{\text{obs}} : \text{observed depth} \quad (1)$$

is above some threshold (it would probably make more sense to include the duration information, but that would anyway be a trivial extension and so we omit it here for brevity). Thus, the maximum searchable distance scales as

$$d(\delta_{\text{obs}}, L_{\text{sys}}) \propto \delta_{\text{obs}} \cdot L_{\text{sys}}^{1/2}. \quad (2)$$

We assume that the signal is detected if and only if a given star is searchable.

Assuming that stars are uniformly distributed in space, the number of searchable stars N_s is then proportional to

$$N_s(\delta_{\text{obs}}, L_{\text{sys}}) \propto n \delta_{\text{obs}}^3 L_{\text{sys}}^{3/2}, \quad (3)$$

where n is the volume density of *e.g.*, single star, or binary systems. We neglect the dependence of n on stellar type.

1.2. *Relation between Apparent and Actual Stellar Properties*

We assume that the apparent properties of an unresolved binary are the same as those of the primary:

$$M_a = M_1, \quad R_a = R_1, \dots \quad (4)$$

We also assume the stellar radius and luminosity is uniquely related to the stellar mass.

Given these assumptions, the total luminosity of a system is

$$L_{\text{sys}} = L_1 + L_2 = L(M_a) + L(qM_a), \quad (5)$$

where $q = M_2/M_1$. Note that L_{sys} is the true value (because M_a is the true primary mass), while an observer would estimate a system luminosity of $L(M_a)$, based on the apparent stellar parameters. We presume the observer has no a priori knowledge of the distance to a given system – they only measure a flux, assume a stellar mass M_a , and use relations for $L(M_a)$ and $R(M_a)$ to estimate system properties.

1.3. *Apparent Number of Searchable Stars*

Given the apparent signal δ_{obs} and stellar mass M_a , the maximum searchable distance for singles and binaries are proportional to $\delta_{\text{obs}} \cdot L(M_a)^{1/2}$ and $\delta_{\text{obs}} \cdot [L(M_a) + L(qM_a)]^{1/2}$. Thus, the apparent number of searchable stars (i.e. points in the sky),

which will be selected by an ignorant observer, can be written

$$N_{s,a}(\delta_{\text{obs}}, M_a) \propto n_s \delta_{\text{obs}}^3 L(M_a)^{3/2} \left[1 + \int dq f(q) \frac{\text{BF}}{1 - \text{BF}} \left(1 + \frac{L(qM_a)}{L(M_a)} \right)^{3/2} \right]$$

$$N_{s,a}(\delta_{\text{obs}}, M_a) \equiv N_s^0(\delta_{\text{obs}}, L(M_a)) [1 + \mu(\text{BF}, M_a)], \quad (6)$$

where N_s^0 is the number of searchable singles (this agrees with the actual value), $f(q)$ is the binary mass ratio distribution, $\text{BF} = n_b/(n_s + n_b)$ is the binary fraction in a volume-limited sample, and n_s is the number density of singles in a volume-limited sample. Proportionality constants subsumed into the definition of N_s^0 include *e.g.*, the telescope area and the survey duration.

2. APPARENT OCCURRENCE RATE — GENERAL FORMULA

A group of astronomers wants to measure the mean number of planets of a certain type per star of a certain type. They observe a set points on the sky and detect N_{det} planets that appear to be of the desired class. They then choose the stars (among those initially selected) around which the planets of interest appeared to be searchable. Finally they compute the apparent occurrence,

$$\Lambda_a(\mathcal{P}_a, \mathcal{S}_a) = \frac{N_{\text{det}}(\mathcal{P}_a, \mathcal{S}_a)}{N_{s,a}(\mathcal{P}_a, \mathcal{S}_a)} \times \frac{1}{p_{\text{tra}}(\mathcal{P}_a, \mathcal{S}_a)}. \quad (7)$$

where $\mathcal{P}_a, \mathcal{S}_a$ are the apparent planetary/stellar parameters.

In the presence of dilution, planets with $(\mathcal{P}_a, \mathcal{S}_a)$ are associated with systems of many different planetary and stellar properties, so $N_{\text{det}}(\mathcal{P}_a, \mathcal{S}_a)$ is given by the convolution of the true occurrence, $\Lambda(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{S})$, and number of searchable stars that give $(\mathcal{P}_a, \mathcal{S}_a)$ when the true system actually has $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{S})$, $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{P}_a, \mathcal{S}_a; \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{S})$:

$$N_{\text{det}}(\mathcal{P}_a, \mathcal{S}_a) = \sum_i N_{\text{det}}^i(\mathcal{P}_a, \mathcal{S}_a) = \sum_i \int d\mathcal{P} d\mathcal{S} \mathcal{N}_s^i(\mathcal{P}_a, \mathcal{S}_a; \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{S}) \cdot \Lambda^i(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{S}) \cdot p_{\text{tra}}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{S}), \quad (8)$$

where i specifies the type of true host stars (0: single, 1: primary, 2: secondary).

So the problem reduces to the evaluation of

$$\mathcal{N}_s^i(\mathcal{P}_a, \mathcal{S}_a; \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{S}) \quad (9)$$

for planets around single stars, primaries in binaries, and secondaries in binaries.

3. EVALUATION OF \mathcal{N}_s^i

Let us explicitly write $\mathcal{P} = r$ and $\mathcal{S} = M$; R and L are uniquely determined from the assumed mass–radius–luminosity relation. We neglect the dependence on planetary orbital period. We proceed by evaluating

$$N_{\text{det}}(r_a, M_a) = \sum_i N_{\text{det}}^i(r_a, M_a) \quad (10)$$

$$N_{\text{det}}(r_a, M_a) = \sum_i \int dr dM \mathcal{N}_s^i(r_a, M_a; r, M) \cdot \Lambda^i(r, M) \cdot p_{\text{tra}}(r, M), \quad (11)$$

term by term.

3.1. *Single Stars*

For $i = 0$,

$$\mathcal{N}_s^0(r_a, M_a; r, M) = \delta(r_a - r) \delta(M_a - M) N_s^0(r, M), \quad (12)$$

so

$$N_{\text{det}}^0(r_a, M_a) = N_s^0(r_a, M_a) \cdot \Lambda^0(r_a, M_a) \cdot p_{\text{tra}}(r_a, M_a). \quad (13)$$

If all the stars are singles, this yields

$$\Lambda_a(r_a, M_a) = \Lambda^0(r_a, M_a), \quad (14)$$

as expected (now $\mu = 0$ and $N_{s,a} = N_s^0$) — the true occurrence is recovered.

3.2. *Primaries in Binaries*

Since we assume $\mathcal{S}_a = \mathcal{S}_1$,

$$\mathcal{N}_s^1(r_a, M_a; r, M) \propto \delta(M_a - M). \quad (15)$$

In this case, \mathcal{N}_s^1 is non-zero only at $r_a = R_a \sqrt{\delta_{\text{obs}}}$, and the observed depth is

$$\delta_{\text{obs}} = \left[\frac{r}{R(M_a)} \right]^2 \times \frac{L(M_a)}{L_{\text{sys}}(M_a, q)}. \quad (16)$$

The normalization of \mathcal{N}_s^1 is given by the number of binaries that are searchable for a signal δ_{obs} :

$$N_s^0(\delta_{\text{obs}}, L(M_a)) \cdot \mu(\text{BF}, M_a). \quad (17)$$

Thus, the number of primaries with apparent parameters (r_a, M_a) given the true parameters (r, M) is

$$\mathcal{N}_s^1(r_a, M_a; r, M) = \int dq f(q) \mathcal{N}_{s,q}^1(r_a, M_a; r, M; q), \quad (18)$$

where $f(q)$ is the binary mass ratio distribution and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_{s,q}^1(r_a, M_a; r, M; q) &= N_s^0(\delta_{\text{obs}}, L(M_a)) \cdot \mu(\text{BF}, M_a) \\ &\times \delta \left(r_a - r \sqrt{\frac{L(M_a)}{L_{\text{sys}}(M_a, q)}} \right) \delta(M_a - M). \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Note that while the observed depth is a function of the true parameters (Eq. 16), since we are counting detected planets of a given *apparent* size, we will not need to worry about this dependence.

3.3. Secondaries in Binaries

In this case, $M = qM_1 = qM_a$, so

$$\mathcal{N}_s^2(r_a, M_a; r, M) \propto \delta\left(M_a - \frac{M}{q}\right). \quad (20)$$

Again \mathcal{N}_s^2 is non-zero only at $r_a = R_a \sqrt{\delta_{\text{obs}}}$, but this time

$$\delta_{\text{obs}} = \left[\frac{r}{R(qM_a)}\right]^2 \times \frac{L(qM_a)}{L_{\text{sys}}(M_a, q)}. \quad (21)$$

The normalization remains the same as the previous case (we are counting the searchable stars at a given observed depth δ_{obs} , total luminosity of the binary is the same). Thus,

$$\mathcal{N}_s^2(r_a, M_a; r, M) = \int dq f(q) \mathcal{N}_{s,q}^2(r_a, M_a; r, M; q), \quad (22)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_s^2(r_a, M_a; r, M; q) &= N_s^0(\delta_{\text{obs}}, L(M_a)) \cdot \mu(\text{BF}, M_a) \\ &\times \delta\left(r_a - r \sqrt{\left[\frac{R(M_a)}{R(qM_a)}\right]^2 \frac{L(qM_a)}{L_{\text{sys}}(M_a, q)}}\right) \delta\left(M_a - \frac{M}{q}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

4. RESULT

4.1. Marginalization over the True Properties

Let's integrate out \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{S} .

$$N_{\text{det}}^0(r_a, M_a) = \int dr dM \mathcal{N}_s^0(r_a, M_a; r, M) \cdot \Lambda^0(r, M) \cdot p_{\text{tra}}(M) \quad (24)$$

$$= \int dr dM N_s^0(\delta_{\text{obs}}, L(M_a)) \delta(r_a - r) \delta(M_a - M) \cdot \Lambda^0(r, M) \cdot p_{\text{tra}}(M) \quad (25)$$

$$= N_s^0(\delta_{\text{obs}}, L(M_a)) \cdot \Lambda^0(r_a, M_a) \cdot p_{\text{tra}}(M_a). \quad (26)$$

$$N_{\text{det}}^1(r_a, M_a) = \int dr dM \mathcal{N}_s^1(r_a, M_a; r, M) \cdot \Lambda^1(r, M) \cdot p_{\text{tra}}(M) \quad (27)$$

$$= \int dq f(q) \int dr dM \mathcal{N}_{s,q}^1(r_a, M_a; r, M; q) \cdot \Lambda^1(r, M) \cdot p_{\text{tra}}(M) \quad (28)$$

$$= N_s^0(\delta_{\text{obs}}, L(M_a)) \cdot p_{\text{tra}}(M_a) \cdot \mu(\text{BF}, M_a) \int \frac{dq}{\alpha} f(q) \Lambda^1(r_a/\alpha, M_a), \quad (29)$$

where

$$\alpha(q, M_a) = \sqrt{\frac{L(M_a)}{L_{\text{sys}}(M_a, q)}}. \quad (30)$$

Finally,

$$N_{\text{det}}^2(r_a, M_a) = \int dr dM \mathcal{N}_s^2(r_a, M_a; r, M) \cdot \Lambda^2(r, M) \cdot p_{\text{tra}}(M) \quad (31)$$

$$= \int dq f(q) \int dr dM \mathcal{N}_{s,q}^2(r_a, M_a; r, M; q) \cdot \Lambda^2(r, M) \cdot p_{\text{tra}}(M) \quad (32)$$

$$= N_s^0(\delta_{\text{obs}}, L(M_a)) \mu(\text{BF}, M_a) \int dr dq q f(q) \delta(r_a - r\beta) \Lambda^2(r, qM_a) p_{\text{tra}}(qM_a) \quad (33)$$

$$= N_s^0(\delta_{\text{obs}}, L(M_a)) \cdot \mu(\text{BF}, M_a) \int \frac{q dq}{\beta} f(q) \Lambda^2(r_a/\beta, qM_a) p_{\text{tra}}(qM_a), \quad (34)$$

where

$$\beta(q, M_a) = \frac{R(M_a)}{R(qM_a)} \sqrt{\frac{L(qM_a)}{L_{\text{sys}}(M_a, q)}}. \quad (35)$$

4.2. Final Formula

Note again that the denominators of Λ_a are the same for singles, primaries, and secondaries: $N_{s,a}(\delta_{\text{obs}}, M_a)$ and $p_{\text{tra}}(M_a)$. This is because we are calculating the occurrence at the same apparent planet/star properties, and the observer can never distinguish binaries from singles (and adopt the primary properties for binaries). Using the results above, the apparent occurrence,

$$\Lambda_a(r_a, M_a) = \frac{1}{N_{s,a}(r_a, M_a) p_{\text{tra}}(r_a, M_a)} \times \sum_i N_{\text{det}}^i(r_a, M_a), \quad (36)$$

evaluates to

$$\Lambda_a(r_a, M_a) = \frac{1}{1 + \mu(\text{BF}, M_a)} \times \left\{ \Lambda^0(r_a, M_a) + \mu(\text{BF}, M_a) \left[\int \frac{dq}{\alpha} f(q) \Lambda^1\left(\frac{r_a}{\alpha}, M_a\right) + \int \frac{q dq}{\beta} f(q) \Lambda^2\left(\frac{r_a}{\beta}, qM_a\right) \frac{R(qM_a)}{R(M_a)} q^{-1/3} \right] \right\}. \quad (37)$$

5. EXAMPLES

5.1. Twin Binary

We have $f(q) = \delta(q - 1)$. Thus

$$\Lambda_a(r_a, M_a) = \frac{1}{1 + \mu(\text{BF}, M_a)} \left\{ \Lambda^0(r_a, M_a) + \mu(\text{BF}, M_a) \cdot \sqrt{2} \left[\Lambda^1(\sqrt{2} r_a, M_a) + \Lambda^2(\sqrt{2} r_a, M_a) \right] \right\}, \quad (38)$$

where

$$\mu(\text{BF}, M_a) = \int dq f(q) \frac{\text{BF}}{1 - \text{BF}} \left[1 + \frac{L(qM_a)}{L(M_a)} \right]^{3/2} = 2^{3/2} \cdot \frac{\text{BF}}{1 - \text{BF}}. \quad (39)$$

5.1.1. *Same Planets*

If $\Lambda^i(r, M) = Z^i \cdot \delta(r - r_0)$ (all the planets have the same radius),

$$\Lambda_a(r_a, M_a) = \frac{1}{1 + \mu(\text{BF})} \left[Z^0 \cdot \delta(r_a - r_0) + (Z^1 + Z^2) \cdot \mu(\text{BF}) \cdot \delta\left(r_a - \frac{r_0}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \right]. \quad (40)$$

This reproduces Eq.(9) of the draft, after correcting for the smaller number of apparently searchable stars for the diluted planets (factor of $(1/2)^3$). In the limit of $\text{BF} \rightarrow 1$ ($\mu \rightarrow \infty$), the above formula yields $\Lambda_a = (Z^1 + Z^2) \delta(r_a - r_0/\sqrt{2})$.

5.2. *Power Law World*

If we assume

$$L(M) \sim M^\alpha \sim R^\alpha, \quad (41)$$

we find

$$A = (1 + q^\alpha)^{-1/2}, \quad B = q^{-1}(1 + q^{-\alpha})^{-1/2}, \quad (42)$$

so

$$\Lambda_a(r_a, M_a) = \dots \quad (43)$$

We may further assume

$$f(q) \sim q^\beta, \quad \Lambda(r) \sim r^\gamma \quad (44)$$

and keep calculating...