## hw4

1

a

W, Y

b

X, W, Y

c

Y, Z

d

Y,Z,T

е

- $\bullet \quad X-Y-T \\ \bullet \quad X-Y-Z-T$
- X-Y-W-Z-T
- X W Y T
- X-W-Y-Z-T
- X W Z T
- X-W-Z-Y-T

f

• 
$$X - Y - T$$

• 
$$X-Y-Z-T$$

$$X - Y - Z - T$$

$$X - W - Y - T$$

$$\bullet \ X-W-Y-Z-T \\ \bullet \ X-W-Z-T$$

• 
$$X-W-Z-T$$

2

a

$$\begin{array}{c} U_X \\ U_Y \stackrel{\downarrow}{\downarrow} U_Z \\ \stackrel{\downarrow}{\downarrow} \stackrel{\swarrow}{\downarrow} \stackrel{\downarrow}{\downarrow} \end{array}$$

b

$$E[Z|Y=3] = \sum_{z} z P(Z=z|Y=3) = 0 \ + \ \dots \ + \ 0 + \frac{3}{16} \cdot 1 + 0 + \ \dots \ + 0 = \frac{3}{16}$$

C

$$E[Z|X=3] = \sum_{z} zP(Z=z|X=3) = \frac{1}{16}$$

d

We apply the Markov condition here:

$$E[Z|X=1,Y=3] = \sum_{z} zP(Z=z|X=1,Y=3) = \sum_{z} zP(Z=z|Y=3) = \frac{3}{16}$$

3

a

- $\{X,Z_2\}$  are d-separated by  $\{Z_3,Z_1\}$
- $\{X,Y\}$  are d-separated by  $\{W,Z_2,Z_3\}$
- $\{Z_1, W\}$  are d-separated by  $\{X\}$
- $\{Z_1, Z_2\}$  are d-separated by  $\{\}$
- $\{Z_1,Y\}$  are d-separated by  $\{W,Z_3,Z_2\}$
- $\{Z_3, W\}$  are d-separated by  $\{X\}$
- $\{Z_2, W\}$  are d-separated by  $\{X\}$

b

- $\{W, Z_3\}$  are d-separated by  $\{X\}$
- $\{W, Z_1\}$  are d-separated by  $\{X\}$

C

Yes.

4

a

Needs Z and then any combination of A, B, C, D

- $\{Z,D\},\{Z,C\},\{Z,B\},\{Z,A\}$
- $\{Z, D, C\}, \{Z, D, B\}, \{Z, D, A\}, \{Z, C, A\}, \{Z, B, A\}, \{Z, B, C\}$   $\{X, D, C, B\}, \{Z, D, C, A\}, \{Z, D, B, A\}, \{Z, B, C, A\}$
- $\{Z, D, C, B, A\}$

The minimal ones are

•  $\{Z,D\},\{Z,C\},\{Z,B\},\{Z,A\}$ 

b

must include Z or C or both and then any combination of B, A, X, W, can also just include C only.

•  $\{Z, C\}, \{C\}$ 

5

a

$$P(X, Y, Z) = P(X|Z)P(Y|X, Z)P(Z)$$

b

$$Z$$

$$X = x \xrightarrow{\searrow} Y$$

c

$$\begin{split} P(Y,Z\mid \operatorname{do}(X=x)) &= P_m(Z)P_m(X=x|Z)P_m(Y|X=x,Z) \\ &= P(Z)P(Y|X=x,Z) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} P(Y \mid \mathrm{do}(X=x)) &= \sum_{z} P(Y,Z=z \mid \mathrm{do}(X=x)) \\ &= \sum_{z} P(Z=z) P(Y | X=x,Z=z) \end{split}$$

d

$$P(X,Y,Z) = P(X)P(Y|X)P(Z|X,Y)$$

е

$$Z$$

$$X = x \longrightarrow Y$$

f

$$\begin{split} P(Y,Z \mid \text{do}(X=x)) &= P_m(X=x) P_m(Y | X=x) P_m(Z | X=x,Y) \\ &= P(Y | X=x) P(Z | X=x,Y) \\ \\ P(Y \mid \text{do}(X=x)) &= \sum_z P(Y,Z \mid \text{do}(X=x)) \\ &= \sum_z P(Y | X=x) P(Z=z | X=x,Y) \\ &= P(Y | X=x) \sum_z P(Z=z | X=x,Y) \\ &= P(Y | X=x) \cdot 1 \end{split}$$

g

No (as we'd expect)

h

For common cause  $\{Z\}$  satisfies the backdoor criterion and so we use the adjustment formula to get

$$P(Y \mid \operatorname{do}(X=x)) = \sum_z P(Y|X=x,Z=z) P(Z=z)$$

which is exactly what we got in part (c)

For collider there are no backdoor paths into X. I suppose  $\{\}$  sort of vacuously satisfies the backdoor criterion which indeed gives us

$$P(Y\mid \operatorname{do}(X=x)) = \sum_{\{\}} P(Y|X=x) = P(Y|X=x)$$

which again is what we got previously.