

CMPSC 465 – Spring 2021 — Solutions to Homework 5

Josiah Kim, SID 948500821, juk483

February 25, 2021

1. Getting started

- (a) I did not work in a group.
- (b) I did not consult with any of my group members.
- (c) I did not consult any non-class materials.

2. DFS Basics

(a) A, B, D, E, G, F, C, H, I

(b) A(1, 12), B(2, 11), D(3, 6), E(4, 5), G(7, 10), F(8, 9), C(13, 18), H(14, 17), I(15, 16)

(c) $A \rightarrow B = \text{Tree}$

$B \rightarrow D = \text{Tree}$

$D \rightarrow E = \text{Tree}$

$E \rightarrow D = \text{Back}$

$A \rightarrow E = \text{Forward}$

$B \rightarrow G = \text{Tree}$

$G \rightarrow F = \text{Tree}$

$G \rightarrow D = \text{Cross}$

$B \rightarrow D = \text{Tree}$

$C \rightarrow H = \text{Tree}$

$H \rightarrow I = \text{Tree}$

$C \rightarrow I = \text{Forward}$

3.Pre and Post Processing

(a) CLAIM:

if u, v is an edge in an undirected graph, and during depthfirst search $post(u) < post(v)$, then v is an ancestor of u in the DFS tree.

PROOF:

There are only two cases in which $post(u) < post(v)$. Using nested interval notation we can depict the relationship between vertices.

Case 1: $[pre(u), post(u)][pre(v), post(v)]$

Case 2: $[pre(v), [pre(u), post(u)], post(v)]$

Both cases represent a descendant-ancestor relationship. The first case is possible only if every other vertex has been visited and there is no edge between u and v . However, we know that there is an edge between those two vertices. Therefore, only the second case is possible which means that v is an ancestor of u .

(b) We know that for u to be an ancestor of v , v would have to be the descendant of u . This is the case where u is discovered prior to v which means $[pre(u), [pre(v), post(v)], post(u)]$. More importantly, $post(u) > post(v)$.

Therefore, in T , we would get the pre and post processing numbers of node v and compare it with node u 's pre and post processing numbers to see if $pre(u) < pre(v) < post(v) < post(u)$ holds true. If so, then u is an ancestor of v . Else, it is not.

This preprocessing would take constant time because the algorithm would always rely on the comparison of two nodes no matter the number of nodes in a path.

4.Application of DFS

Let G^R be the reverse graph of G .

```
function smallest_j(G):
    while there are vertices unvisited in G:
        run DFS on  $G^R$  starting with the unvisited vertex
            with the smallest i
        for every vertex visited:
             $m(j) = i$ 
```

The values $m(1), \dots, m(n)$ can be computed in $O(|V| + |E|)$ time. We know this because we know that the time complexity for DFS is $O(|V| + |E|)$ for any graph. We are essentially just running a DFS on the reverse graph.