$= 99 \times 99 : 396 =$

 4×99) and is related to the fact that

<formula>

This might be compared to Heegner numbers, which have class number 1 and yield similar formulae.

Ramanujan 's series for ? converges extraordinarily rapidly (exponentially) and forms the basis of some of the fastest algorithms currently used to calculate ? . Truncating the sum to the first term also gives the approximation 9801 ? 2 / 4412 for ? , which is correct to six decimal places . See also the more general Ramanujan ? Sato series .

One of Ramanujan 's remarkable capabilities was the rapid solution of problems . Once , a roommate of his , P. C. Mahalanobis , posed the following problem :

"Imagine that you are on a street with houses marked 1 through n. There is a house in between (x) such that the sum of the house numbers to the left of it equals the sum of the house numbers to its right . If n is between 50 and 500 , what are n and x? " This is a bivariate problem with multiple solutions . Ramanujan thought about it and gave the answer with a twist : He gave a continued fraction . The unusual part was that it was the solution to the whole class of problems . Mahalanobis was astounded and asked how he did it . ? It is simple . The minute I heard the problem , I knew that the answer was a continued fraction . Which continued fraction , I asked myself . Then the answer came to my mind ? , Ramanujan replied .

His intuition also led him to derive some previously unknown identities , such as <formula>

for all ?, where ? (z) is the gamma function , and related to a special value of the Dedekind eta function . Expanding into series of powers and equating coefficients of ?0 , ?4 , and ?8 gives some deep identities for the hyperbolic secant .

In 1918 Hardy and Ramanujan studied the partition function P (n) extensively . They gave a non @-@ convergent asymptotic series that permits exact computation of the number of partitions of an integer . Hans Rademacher , in 1937 , was able to refine their formula to find an exact convergent series solution to this problem . Ramanujan and Hardy 's work in this area gave rise to a powerful new method for finding asymptotic formulae called the circle method .

In the last year of his life, Ramanujan discovered mock theta functions. For many years these functions were a mystery, but they are now known to be the holomorphic parts of harmonic weak Maass forms.

= = = The Ramanujan conjecture = = =

Although there are numerous statements that could have borne the name Ramanujan conjecture , there is one that was very influential on later work . In particular , the connection of this conjecture with conjectures of André Weil in algebraic geometry opened up new areas of research . That Ramanujan conjecture is an assertion on the size of the tau @-@ function , which has as generating function the discriminant modular form ? (q) , a typical cusp form in the theory of modular forms . It was finally proven in 1973 , as a consequence of Pierre Deligne 's proof of the Weil conjectures . The reduction step involved is complicated . Deligne won a Fields Medal in 1978 for that work .

In his paper On certain arithmetical functions , Ramanujan defined the so @-@ called Delta @-@ function whose coefficients are called ? (n) (the Ramanujan tau function) . He proved many congruences for these numbers such as ? (p) ? 1 + p11 mod 691 for primes p . This congruence (and others like it that Ramanujan proved) inspired Jean @-@ Pierre Serre (1954 Fields Medalist) to conjecture that there is a theory of Galois representations which " explains " these congruences and more generally all modular forms . Delta (z) is the first example of a modular form to be studied in this way . Pierre Deligne (in his Fields Medal winning work) proved Serre 's conjecture . The proof of Fermat 's Last Theorem proceeds by first reinterpreting elliptic curves and modular forms in terms of these Galois representations . Without this theory there would be no proof of Fermat 's Last Theorem .

While still in Madras , Ramanujan recorded the bulk of his results in four notebooks of loose @-@ leaf paper . They were mostly written up without any derivations . This is probably the origin of the misperception that Ramanujan was unable to prove his results and simply thought up the final result directly . Mathematician Bruce C. Berndt , in his review of these notebooks and Ramanujan 's work , says that Ramanujan most certainly was able to prove most of his results , but chose not to .

That may have been for several reasons . Since paper was very expensive , Ramanujan would do most of his work and perhaps his proofs on slate , and then transfer just the results to paper . Using a slate was common for mathematics students in the Madras Presidency at the time . He was also quite likely to have been influenced by the style of G. S. Carr 's book , which stated results without proofs . Finally , it is possible that Ramanujan considered his workings to be for his personal interest alone and therefore recorded only the results .

The first notebook has 351 pages with 16 somewhat organised chapters and some unorganised material. The second notebook has 256 pages in 21 chapters and 100 unorganised pages, with the third notebook containing 33 unorganised pages. The results in his notebooks inspired numerous papers by later mathematicians trying to prove what he had found. Hardy himself created papers exploring material from Ramanujan 's work, as did G. N. Watson, B. M. Wilson, and Bruce Berndt. A fourth notebook with 87 unorganised pages, the so @-@ called "lost notebook", was rediscovered in 1976 by George Andrews.

Notebooks 1, 2 and 3 were published as a two @-@ volume set in 1957 by the Tata Institute of Fundamental Research (TIFR), Mumbai, India. This was a photocopy edition of the original manuscripts, in his own handwriting.

In December 2011, as part of the celebrations of the 125th anniversary of Ramanujan 's birth, TIFR republished the notebooks in a coloured two @-@ volume collector 's edition. These were produced from scanned and microfilmed images of the original manuscripts by expert archivists of Raja Muthiah Research Library, Chennai.

= = Hardy ? Ramanujan number 1729 = =

The number 1729 is known as the Hardy? Ramanujan number after a famous visit by Hardy to see Ramanujan at a hospital. In Hardy 's words:

I remember once going to see him when he was ill at Putney . I had ridden in taxi cab number 1729 and remarked that the number seemed to me rather a dull one , and that I hoped it was not an unfavorable omen . ' No ' , he replied , ' it is a very interesting number ; it is the smallest number expressible as the sum of two cubes in two different ways.'

Immediately before this anecdote, Hardy quoted Littlewood as saying, " Every positive integer was one of [Ramanujan 's] personal friends."

The two different ways are