

= duck + Greek sauros =

lizard ) , had as its type species Marsh 's old *Claosaurus annectens* . Also assigned to this genus were *Thespesius edmontoni* , *T. saskatchewanensis* , a large lower jaw that Marsh had named *Trachodon longiceps* in 1890 , and a new species , *Anatosaurus copei* , for two skeletons on display at the American Museum of Natural History that had long been known as *Diclonius mirabilis* ( or variations thereof ) . Thus , the various species became *Anatosaurus annectens* , *A. copei* , *A. edmontoni* , *A. longiceps* , and *A. saskatchewanensis* . *Anatosaurus* would come to be called the " classic duck @-@ billed dinosaur . "

This state of affairs persisted for several decades , until Michael K. Brett @-@ Surman reexamined the pertinent material for his graduate studies in the 1970s and 1980s . He concluded that the type species of *Anatosaurus* , *A. annectens* , was actually a species of *Edmontosaurus* and that *A. copei* was different enough to warrant its own genus . Although theses and dissertations are not regarded as official publications by the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature , which regulates the naming of organisms , his conclusions were known to other paleontologists , and were adopted by several popular works of the time . Brett @-@ Surman and Ralph Chapman designated a new genus for *A. copei* ( *Anatotitan* ) in 1990 . Of the remaining species , *A. saskatchewanensis* and *A. edmontoni* were assigned to *Edmontosaurus* as well , and *A. longiceps* went to *Anatotitan* , as either a second species or as a synonym of *A. copei* . Because the type species of *Anatosaurus* ( *A. annectens* ) was sunk into *Edmontosaurus* , the name *Anatosaurus* is abandoned as a junior synonym of *Edmontosaurus* .

The conception of *Edmontosaurus* that emerged included three valid species : the type *E. regalis* , *E. annectens* ( including *Anatosaurus edmontoni* , emended to *edmontonensis* ) , and *E. saskatchewanensis* . The debate about the proper taxonomy of the *A. copei* specimens continues to the present : returning to Hatcher 's argument of 1902 , Jack Horner , David B. Weishampel , and Catherine Forster regarded *Anatotitan copei* as representing specimens of *Edmontosaurus annectens* with crushed skulls . In 2007 another " mummy " was announced ; nicknamed " Dakota " , it was discovered in 1999 by Tyler Lyson , and came from the Hell Creek Formation of North Dakota .

In a 2011 study by Nicolás Campione and David Evans , the authors conducted the first ever morphometric analysis to compare the various specimens assigned to *Edmontosaurus* . They concluded that only two species are valid : *E. regalis* , from the late Campanian , and *E. annectens* , from the late Maastrichtian . Their study provided further evidence that *Anatotitan copei* is a synonym of *E. annectens* ; specifically , that the long , low skull of *A. copei* is the result of ontogenetic change and represents mature *E. annectens* individuals .

= = Species and distribution = =

*Edmontosaurus* is currently regarded as having two valid species : type species *E. regalis* , and *E. annectens* . *E. regalis* is known only from the Horseshoe Canyon Formation of Alberta , dating from the late Campanian stage of the late Cretaceous period . At least a dozen individuals are known , including seven skulls with associated postcrania , and five to seven other skulls . The species formerly known as *Thespesius edmontoni* or *Anatosaurus edmontoni* represents immature individuals .

*E. annectens* is known from the Frenchman Formation of Saskatchewan , the Hell Creek Formation of Montana , and the Lance Formation of South Dakota and Wyoming . It is limited to late Maastrichtian rocks , and is represented by at least twenty skulls , some with postcranial remains . One author , Kraig Derstler , has described *E. annectens* as " perhaps the most perfectly @-@ known dinosaur to date [ 1994 ] . " *Anatosaurus copei* and *E. saskatchewanensis* are now thought to be growth stages of *E. annectens* : *A. copei* as adults , and *E. saskatchewanensis* as juveniles . *Trachodon longiceps* may be a synonym of *E. annectens* as well . *Anatosaurus edmontoni* was mistakenly listed as a synonym of *E. annectens* in both reviews of the Dinosauria , but this does not appear to be the case .

*E. annectens* differed from *E. regalis* by having a longer , lower , less robust skull . Although Brett

@-@ Surman regarded *E. regalis* and *E. annectens* as potentially representing males and females of the same species , all *E. regalis* specimens come from older formations than *E. annectens* specimens .

Edmontosaurin specimens from the Prince Creek Formation of Alaska formerly assigned to *Edmontosaurus* sp. have been given their own genus and species name , *Ugrunaaluk kuukpikensis* . *Edmontosaurus* was also reported from the Javelina Formation of Big Bend National Park , western Texas , but the remains in question were later referred to *Kritosaurus* cf. *navajovius* by Wagner ( 2001 ) , and one specimen has been described as the new species *Gryposaurus alsatei* .

= = Paleoecology = =

*Edmontosaurus* was a wide @-@ ranging genus in both time and space . The rock units from which it is known can be divided into two groups by age : the older Horseshoe Canyon and St. Mary River formations , and the younger Frenchman , Hell Creek , and Lance formations . The time span covered by the Horseshoe Canyon Formation and equivalents is also known as Edmontonian , and the time span covered by the younger units is also known as Lancian . The Edmontonian and Lancian time intervals had distinct dinosaur faunas .

The Edmontonian land vertebrate age is defined by the first appearance of *Edmontosaurus regalis* in the fossil record . Although sometimes reported as of exclusively early Maastrichtian age , the Horseshoe Canyon Formation was of somewhat longer duration . Deposition began approximately 73 million years ago , in the late Campanian , and ended between 68 @.@ 0 and 67 @.@ 6 million years ago . *Edmontosaurus regalis* is known from the lowest of five units within the Horseshoe Canyon Formation , but is absent from at least the second to the top . As many as three quarters of the dinosaur specimens from badlands near Drumheller , Alberta may pertain to *Edmontosaurus* .

The Lancian time interval was the last interval before the Cretaceous ? Paleogene extinction event that eliminated non @-@ avian dinosaurs . *Edmontosaurus* was one of the more common dinosaurs of the interval . Robert Bakker reports that it made up one @-@ seventh of the large dinosaur sample , with most of the rest ( five @-@ sixths ) made up of the horned dinosaur *Triceratops* . The coastal plain *Triceratops* ? *Edmontosaurus* association , dominated by *Triceratops* , extended from Colorado to Saskatchewan .

The Lance Formation , as typified by exposures approximately 100 kilometres ( 62 mi ) north of Fort Laramie in eastern Wyoming , has been interpreted as a bayou setting similar to the Louisiana coastal plain . It was closer to a large delta than the Hell Creek Formation depositional setting to the north and received much more sediment . Tropical araucarian conifers and palm trees dotted the hardwood forests , differentiating the flora from the northern coastal plain . The climate was humid and subtropical , with conifers , palmettos , and ferns in the swamps , and conifers , ash , live oak , and shrubs in the forests . Freshwater fish , salamanders , turtles , diverse lizards , snakes , shorebirds , and small mammals lived alongside the dinosaurs . Small dinosaurs are not known in as great of abundance here as in the Hell Creek rocks , but *Thescelosaurus* once again seems to have been relatively common . *Triceratops* is known from many skulls , which tend to be somewhat smaller than those of more northern individuals . The Lance Formation is the setting of two edmontosaur " mummies " .

= = Paleobiology = =

= = = Growth = = =

In a 2011 study , Campione and Evans recorded data from all known " edmontosaur " skulls from the Campanian and Maastrichtian and used it to plot a morphometric graph , comparing variable features of the skull with skull size . Their results showed that within both recognized *Edmontosaurus* species , many features previously used to classify additional species or genera were directly correlated with skull size . Campione and Evans interpreted these results as strongly

suggesting that the shape of Edmontosaurus skulls changed dramatically as they grew . This has led to several apparent mistakes in classification in the past . The Campanian species *Thespesius edmontoni* , previously considered a synonym of *E. annectens* due to its small size and skull shape , is more likely a subadult specimen of the contemporary *E. regalis* . Similarly , the three previously recognized Maastrichtian edmontosaur species likely represent growth stages of a single species , with *E. saskatchewanensis* representing juveniles , *E. annectens* subadults , and *Anatotitan copei* fully mature adults . The skulls became longer and flatter as the animals grew .

== Brain and nervous system ==

The brain of Edmontosaurus has been described in several papers and abstracts through the use of endocasts of the cavity where the brain had been . *E. annectens* and *E. regalis* , as well as specimens not identified to species , have been studied in this way . The brain was not particularly large for an animal the size of Edmontosaurus . The space holding it was only about a quarter of the length of the skull , and various endocasts have been measured as displacing 374 millilitres ( 13 US fl oz ) to 450 millilitres ( 15 US fl oz ) , which does not take into account that the brain may have occupied as little as 50 % of the space of the endocast , the rest of the space being taken up by the dura mater surrounding the brain . For example , the brain of the specimen with the 374 millilitre endocast is estimated to have had a volume of 268 millilitres ( 9 US fl oz ) . The brain was an elongate structure , and as with other non @-@ mammals , there would have been no neocortex . Like Stegosaurus , the neural canal was expanded in the hips , but not to the same degree : the endosacral space of Stegosaurus had 20 times the volume of its endocranial cast , whereas the endosacral space of Edmontosaurus was only 2 @.@ 59 times larger in volume .

== Diet ==

=== Feeding adaptations ===

As a hadrosaurid , Edmontosaurus was a large terrestrial herbivore . Its teeth were continually replaced and packed into dental batteries that contained hundreds of teeth , only a relative handful of which were in use at any time . It used its broad beak to cut loose food , perhaps by cropping , or by closing the jaws in a clamshell @-@ like manner over twigs and branches and then stripping off the more nutritious leaves and shoots . Because the tooth rows are deeply indented from the outside of the jaws , and because of other anatomical details , it is inferred that Edmontosaurus and most other ornithischians had cheek @-@ like structures , muscular or non @-@ muscular . The function of the cheeks was to retain food in the mouth . The animal 's feeding range would have been from ground level to around 4 metres ( 13 ft ) above .

Before the 1960s and 1970s , the prevailing interpretation of hadrosaurids like Edmontosaurus was that they were aquatic and fed on aquatic plants . An example of this is William Morris 's 1970 interpretation of an edmontosaur skull with nonbony beak remnants . He proposed that the animal had a diet much like that of some modern ducks , filtering plants and aquatic invertebrates like mollusks and crustaceans from the water and discharging water via V @-@ shaped furrows along the inner face of the upper beak . This interpretation of the beak has been rejected , as the furrows and ridges are more like those of herbivorous turtle beaks than the flexible structures seen in filter @-@ feeding birds .

Between the mid @-@ 1980s and the first decade of the 2000s , the prevailing interpretation of how hadrosaurids processed their food followed the model put forward in 1984 by David B. Weishampel . He proposed that the structure of the skull permitted motion between bones that resulted in backward and forward motion of the lower jaw , and outward bowing of the tooth @-@ bearing bones of the upper jaw when the mouth was closed . The teeth of the upper jaw would grind against the teeth of the lower jaw like rasps , processing plant material trapped between them . Such a motion would parallel the effects of mastication in mammals , although accomplishing the effects in

a completely different way . Work in the early 2000s has challenged the Weishampel model . A study published in 2008 by Casey Holliday and Lawrence Witmer found that ornithomimids like *Edmontosaurus* lacked the types of skull joints seen in those modern animals that are known to have kinetic skulls ( skulls that permit motion between their constituent bones ) , such as squamates and birds . They proposed that joints that had been interpreted as permitting movement in dinosaur skulls were actually cartilaginous growth zones . An important piece of evidence for Weishampel 's model is the orientation of scratches on the teeth , showing the direction of jaw action . Other movements could produce similar scratches , though , such as movement of the bones of the two halves of the lower jaw . Not all models have been scrutinized under present techniques . Vincent Williams and colleagues ( 2009 ) published additional work on hadrosaurid tooth microwear . They found four classes of scratches on *Edmontosaurus* teeth . The most common class was interpreted as resulting from an oblique motion , not a simple up @-@ down or front @-@ back motion , which is consistent with the Weishampel model . This motion is thought to have been the primary motion for grinding food . Two scratch classes were interpreted as resulting from forward or backward movement of the jaws . The other class was variable and probably resulted from opening the jaws . The combination of movements is more complex than had been previously predicted .

Weishampel developed his model with the aid of a computer simulation . Natalia Rybczynski and colleagues have updated this work with a much more sophisticated three @-@ dimensional animation model , scanning a skull of *E. regalis* with lasers . They were able to replicate the proposed motion with their model , although they found that additional secondary movements between other bones were required , with maximum separations of 1 @.@ 3 to 1 @.@ 4 centimetres ( 0 @.@ 51 to 0 @.@ 55 in ) between some bones during the chewing cycle . Rybczynski and colleagues were not convinced that the Weishampel model is viable , but noted that they have several improvements to implement to their animation . Planned improvements include incorporating soft tissue and tooth wear marks and scratches , which should better constrain movements . They note that there are several other hypotheses to test as well . Further research published in 2012 by Robin Cuthbertson and colleagues found the motions required for Weishampel 's model to be unlikely , and favored a model in which movements of the lower jaw produced grinding action . The lower jaw 's joint with the upper jaw would permit anterior ? posterior motion along with the usual rotation , and the anterior joint of the two halves of the lower jaw would also permit motion ; in combination , the two halves of the lower jaw could move slightly back and forth as well as rotating slightly along their long axes . These motions would account for the observed tooth wear and a more solidly constructed skull than modeled by Weishampel .

Because scratches dominate the microwear texture of the teeth , Williams et al. suggested *Edmontosaurus* was a grazer instead of a browser , which would be predicted to have fewer scratches due to eating less abrasive materials . Candidates for ingested abrasives include silica @-@ rich plants like horsetails and soil that was accidentally ingested due to feeding at ground level . The tooth structure indicates combined slicing and grinding capabilities .

Reports of gastroliths , or stomach stones , in the hadrosaurid *Claosaurus* are actually based on a probable double misidentification . First , the specimen is actually of *Edmontosaurus annectens* . Barnum Brown , who discovered the specimen in 1900 , referred to it as *Claosaurus* because *E. annectens* was thought to be a species of *Claosaurus* at the time . Additionally , it is more likely that the supposed gastroliths represent gravel washed in during burial .

== = Gut contents == =

Both of the " mummy " specimens collected by the Sternbergs were reported to have had possible gut contents . Charles H. Sternberg reported the presence of carbonized gut contents in the American Museum of Natural History specimen , but this material has not been described . The plant remains in the Senckenberg Museum specimen have been described , but have proven difficult to interpret . The plants found in the carcass included needles of the conifer *Cunninghamites elegans* , twigs from conifer and broadleaf trees , and numerous small seeds or fruits . Upon their description in 1922 , they were the subject of a debate in the German @-@ language journal

Paläontologische Zeitschrift . Kräusel , who described the material , interpreted it as the gut contents of the animal , while Abel could not rule out that the plants had been washed into the carcass after death .

At the time , hadrosaurids were thought to have been aquatic animals , and Kräusel made a point of stating that the specimen did not rule out hadrosaurids eating water plants . The discovery of possible gut contents made little impact in English @-@ speaking circles , except for another brief mention of the aquatic @-@ terrestrial dichotomy , until it was brought up by John Ostrom in the course of an article reassessing the old interpretation of hadrosaurids as water @-@ bound . Instead of trying to adapt the discovery to the aquatic model , he used it as a line of evidence that hadrosaurids were terrestrial herbivores . While his interpretation of hadrosaurids as terrestrial animals has been generally accepted , the Senckenberg plant fossils remain equivocal . Kenneth Carpenter has suggested that they may actually represent the gut contents of a starving animal , instead of a typical diet . Other authors have noted that because the plant fossils were removed from their original context in the specimen and were heavily prepared , it is no longer possible to follow up on the original work , leaving open the possibility that the plants were washed @-@ in debris .

= = = Isotopic studies = = =

The diet and physiology of Edmontosaurus have been probed by using stable isotopes of carbon and oxygen as recorded in tooth enamel . When feeding , drinking , and breathing , animals take in carbon and oxygen , which become incorporated into bone . The isotopes of these two elements are determined by various internal and external factors , such as the type of plants being eaten , the physiology of the animal , salinity , and climate . If isotope ratios in fossils are not altered by fossilization and later changes , they can be studied for information about the original factors ; warmblooded animals will have certain isotopic compositions compared to their surroundings , animals that eat certain types of plants or use certain digestive processes will have distinct isotopic compositions , and so on . Enamel is typically used because the structure of the mineral that forms enamel makes it the most resistant material to chemical change in the skeleton .

A 2004 study by Kathryn Thomas and Sandra Carlson used teeth from the upper jaw of three individuals interpreted as a juvenile , a subadult , and an adult , recovered from a bone bed in the Hell Creek Formation of Corson County , South Dakota . In this study , successive teeth in columns in the edmontosaurs ' dental batteries were sampled from multiple locations along each tooth using a microdrilling system . This sampling method takes advantage of the organization of hadrosaurid dental batteries to find variation in tooth isotopes over a period of time . From their work , it appears that edmontosaur teeth took less than about 0 @. @ 65 years to form , slightly faster in younger edmontosaurs . The teeth of all three individuals appeared to show variation in oxygen isotope ratios that could correspond to warm / dry and cool / wet periods ; Thomas and Carlson considered the possibility that the animals were migrating instead , but favored local seasonal variations because migration would have more likely led to ratio homogenization , as many animals migrate to stay within specific temperature ranges or near particular food sources .

The edmontosaurs also showed enriched carbon isotope values , which for modern mammals would be interpreted as a mixed diet of C3 plants ( most plants ) and C4 plants ( grasses ) ; however , C4 plants were extremely rare in the Late Cretaceous if present at all . Thomas and Carlson put forward several factors that may have been operating , and found the most likely to include a diet heavy in gymnosperms , consuming salt @-@ stressed plants from coastal areas adjacent to the Western Interior Seaway , and a physiological difference between dinosaurs and mammals that caused dinosaurs to form tissue with different carbon ratios than would be expected for mammals . A combination of factors is also possible .

= = = Pathologies and health = = =

In 2003 , evidence of tumors , including hemangiomas , desmoplastic fibroma , metastatic cancer ,

and osteoblastoma , was described in Edmontosaurus bones . Rothschild et al. tested dinosaur vertebrae for tumors using computerized tomography and fluoroscope screening . Several other hadrosaurids , including Brachylophosaurus , Gilmoreosaurus , and Bactrosaurus , also tested positive . Although more than 10 @, @ 000 fossils were examined in this manner , the tumors were limited to Edmontosaurus and closely related genera . The tumors may have been caused by environmental factors or genetic propensity .

Osteochondrosis , or surficial pits in bone at places where bones articulate , is also known in Edmontosaurus . This condition , resulting from cartilage failing to be replaced by bone during growth , was found to be present in 2 @. @ 2 % of 224 edmontosaur toe bones . The underlying cause of the condition is unknown . Genetic predisposition , trauma , feeding intensity , alterations in blood supply , excess thyroid hormones , and deficiencies in various growth factors have been suggested . Among dinosaurs , osteochondrosis ( like tumors ) is most commonly found in hadrosaurids .

= = = Locomotion = = =

Like other hadrosaurids , Edmontosaurus is thought to have been a facultative biped , meaning that it mostly moved on four legs , but could adopt a bipedal stance when needed . It probably went on all fours when standing still or moving slowly , and switched to using the hind legs alone when moving more rapidly . Research conducted by computer modeling in 2007 suggests that Edmontosaurus could run at high speeds , perhaps up to 45 kilometres per hour ( 28 mph ) . Further simulations using a subadult specimen estimated as weighing 715 kilograms ( 1 @, @ 576 lb ) when alive produced a model that could run or hop bipedally , use a trot , pace , or single foot symmetric quadrupedal gait , or move at a gallop . The researchers found to their surprise that the fastest gait was kangaroo @-@ like hopping ( maximum simulated speed of 17 @. @ 3 metres per second ( 62 km / h ; 39 mph ) ) , which they regarded as unlikely based on the size of the animal and lack of hopping footprints in the fossil record , and instead interpreted the result as indicative of an inaccuracy in their simulation . The fastest non @-@ hopping gaits were galloping ( maximum simulated speed of 15 @. @ 7 metres per second ( 57 km / h ; 35 mph ) ) and running bipedally ( maximum simulated speed of 14 @. @ 0 metres per second ( 50 km / h ; 31 mph ) ) . They found weak support for bipedal running as the most likely option for high @-@ speed movement , but did not rule out high @-@ speed quadrupedal movement .

While long thought to have been aquatic or semiaquatic , hadrosaurids were not as well @-@ suited for swimming as other dinosaurs ( particularly theropods , who were once thought to have been unable to pursue hadrosaurids into water ) . Hadrosaurids had slim hands with short fingers , making their forelimbs ineffective for propulsion , and the tail was also not useful for propulsion because of the ossified tendons that increased its rigidity , and the poorly developed attachment points for muscles that would have moved the tail from side to side .

= = = Interactions with theropods = = =

The time span and geographic range of Edmontosaurus overlapped with Tyrannosaurus , and an adult specimen of E. annectens on display in the Denver Museum of Nature and Science shows evidence of a theropod bite in the tail . Counting back from the hip , the thirteenth to seventeenth vertebrae have damaged spines consistent with an attack from the right rear of the animal . One spine has a portion sheared away , and the others are kinked ; three have apparent tooth puncture marks . The top of the tail was at least 2 @. @ 9 metres ( 9 @. @ 5 ft ) high , and the only theropod species known from the same rock formation that was tall enough to make such an attack is T. rex . The bones are partially healed , but the edmontosaur died before the traces of damage were completely obliterated . The damage also shows signs of bone infection . Kenneth Carpenter , who studied the specimen , noted that there also seems to be a healed fracture in the left hip which predated the attack because it was more fully healed . He suggested that the edmontosaur was a target because it may have been limping from this earlier injury . Because it survived the attack ,

Carpenter suggested that it may have outmaneuvered or outrun its attacker , or that the damage to its tail was incurred by the hadrosaurid using it as a weapon against the tyrannosaur .

Another specimen of *E. annectens* , pertaining to a 7 @. @ 6 metres ( 25 ft ) long individual from South Dakota , shows evidence of tooth marks from small theropods on its lower jaws . Some of the marks are partially healed . Michael Triebold , informally reporting on the specimen , suggested a scenario where small theropods attacked the throat of the edmontosaur ; the animal survived the initial attack but succumbed to its injuries shortly thereafter . Some edmontosaur bone beds were sites of scavenging . *Albertosaurus* and *Saurornitholestes* tooth marks are common at one Alberta bone bed , and *Daspletosaurus* fed on *Edmontosaurus* and fellow hadrosaurid *Saurolophus* at another Alberta site .

= = = Social behavior = = =

Extensive bone beds are known for *Edmontosaurus* , and such groupings of hadrosaurids are used to suggest that they were gregarious , living in groups . Three quarries containing *Edmontosaurus* remains are identified in a 2007 database of fossil bone beds , from Alberta ( Horseshoe Canyon Formation ) , South Dakota ( Hell Creek Formation ) , and Wyoming ( Lance Formation ) . One edmontosaur bone bed , from claystone and mudstone of the Lance Formation in eastern Wyoming , covers more than a square kilometre , although *Edmontosaurus* bones are most concentrated in a 40 hectares ( 0 @. @ 15 sq mi ) subsection of this site . It is estimated that disassociated remains pertaining to 10 @, @ 000 to 25 @, @ 000 edmontosaurs are present here .

Unlike many other hadrosaurids , *Edmontosaurus* lacked a bony crest . It may have had soft @- @ tissue display structures in the skull , though : the bones around the nasal openings had deep indentations surrounding the openings , and this pair of recesses are postulated to have held inflatable air sacs , perhaps allowing for both visual and auditory signaling . *Edmontosaurus* may have been dimorphic , with more robust and more lightly built forms , but it has not been established if this is related to sexual dimorphism .

*Edmontosaurus* has been considered a possibly migratory hadrosaurid by some authors . A 2008 review of dinosaur migration studies by Phil R. Bell and Eric Snively proposed that *E. regalis* was capable of an annual 2 @, @ 600 kilometres ( 1 @, @ 600 mi ) round @- @ trip journey , provided it had the requisite metabolism and fat deposition rates . Such a trip would have required speeds of about 2 to 10 kilometres per hour ( 1 to 6 mph ) , and could have brought it from Alaska to Alberta . In contrast to Bell and Snively , Anusuya Chinsamy and colleagues concluded from a study of bone microstructure that polar *Edmontosaurus* overwintered .