= 0 @.@ 012987012987? and 012 + 987 = 999.

E. Midy proved a general result about such fractions, now called Midy 's theorem, in 1836. The publication was obscure, and it is unclear if his proof directly involved 0 @.@ 999?, but at least one modern proof by W. G. Leavitt does. If it can be proved that a decimal of the form 0.b1b2b3? is a positive integer, then it must be 0 @.@ 999?, which is then the source of the 9s in the theorem. Investigations in this direction can motivate such concepts as greatest common divisors, modular arithmetic, Fermat primes, order of group elements, and quadratic reciprocity.

Returning to real analysis, the base @-@ 3 analogue 0 @.@ 222 ? = 1 plays a key role in a characterization of one of the simplest fractals, the middle @-@ thirds Cantor set:

A point in the unit interval lies in the Cantor set if and only if it can be represented in ternary using only the digits 0 and 2.

The nth digit of the representation reflects the position of the point in the nth stage of the construction. For example, the point 2? 3 is given the usual representation of 0 @.@ 2 or 0 @.@ 2000?, since it lies to the right of the first deletion and to the left of every deletion thereafter. The point 1? 3 is represented not as 0 @.@ 1 but as 0 @.@ 0222?, since it lies to the left of the first deletion and to the right of every deletion thereafter.

Repeating nines also turn up in yet another of Georg Cantor 's works . They must be taken into account to construct a valid proof , applying his 1891 diagonal argument to decimal expansions , of the uncountability of the unit interval . Such a proof needs to be able to declare certain pairs of real numbers to be different based on their decimal expansions , so one needs to avoid pairs like 0 @.@ 2 and 0 @.@ 1999? A simple method represents all numbers with nonterminating expansions ; the opposite method rules out repeating nines . A variant that may be closer to Cantor 's original argument actually uses base 2 , and by turning base @-@ 3 expansions into base @-@ 2 expansions , one can prove the uncountability of the Cantor set as well .

## = = Skepticism in education = =

Students of mathematics often reject the equality of  $0\ @. @. @. 999$ ? and  $1\ ,$  for reasons ranging from their disparate appearance to deep misgivings over the limit concept and disagreements over the nature of infinitesimals . There are many common contributing factors to the confusion :

Students are often "mentally committed to the notion that a number can be represented in one and only one way by a decimal . "Seeing two manifestly different decimals representing the same number appears to be a paradox, which is amplified by the appearance of the seemingly well @-@ understood number 1.

Some students interpret " 0 @.@ 999 ? " ( or similar notation ) as a large but finite string of 9s , possibly with a variable , unspecified length . If they accept an infinite string of nines , they may still expect a last 9 " at infinity " .

Intuition and ambiguous teaching lead students to think of the limit of a sequence as a kind of infinite process rather than a fixed value , since a sequence need not reach its limit . Where students accept the difference between a sequence of numbers and its limit , they might read " 0 @ .@ 999?" as meaning the sequence rather than its limit .

These ideas are mistaken in the context of the standard real numbers, although some may be valid in other number systems, either invented for their general mathematical utility or as instructive counterexamples to better understand 0 @.@ 999?

Many of these explanations were found by David Tall , who has studied characteristics of teaching and cognition that lead to some of the misunderstandings he has encountered in his college students . Interviewing his students to determine why the vast majority initially rejected the equality , he found that " students continued to conceive of 0 @.@ 999 ? as a sequence of numbers getting closer and closer to 1 and not a fixed value , because ' you haven 't specified how many places there are ' or ' it is the nearest possible decimal below 1 ' " .