Tiger II is the common name of a German heavy tank of the Second World War . The final official German designation was Panzerkampfwagen Tiger Ausf . B , often shortened to Tiger B. The ordnance inventory designation was Sd.Kfz. 182 . It is also known under the informal name Königstiger (the German name for the Bengal tiger) , often translated literally as Royal Tiger , or somewhat incorrectly as King Tiger by Allied soldiers , especially by American forces .

The Tiger II was the successor to the Tiger I , combining the latter 's thick armour with the armour sloping used on the Panther medium tank . The tank weighed almost 70 tonnes , and was protected by 100 to 185 mm ($3\ @. @$ 9 to 7 @. @ 3 in) of armour to the front . It was armed with the long barrelled 8 @.@ 8 cm KwK 43 L / 71 anti @-@ tank cannon . The chassis was also the basis for the Jagdtiger turretless tank destroyer .

The Tiger II was issued to heavy tank battalions of the Army and the Waffen @-@ SS. It was first used in combat with 503rd Heavy Panzer Battalion during the Allied Invasion of Normandy on 11 July 1944; on the Eastern Front, the first unit to be outfitted with Tiger IIs was the 501st Heavy Panzer Battalion, which by 1 September 1944 listed 25 Tiger IIs operational.

= = Development = =

Development of a heavy tank design had been initiated in 1937; the initial design contract was awarded to Henschel . Another design contract followed in 1939, and was given to Porsche. Both prototype series used the same turret design from Krupp; the main differences were in the hull, transmission, suspension and automotive features.

The Henschel version used a conventional hull design with sloped armour resembling the layout of the Panther tank . It had a rear mounted engine and used nine steel @-@ tired , eighty centimeter diameter overlapping road wheels per side with internal springing , mounted on transverse torsion bars , in a similar manner to the original Henschel @-@ designed Tiger I. To simplify maintenance , however , as when the same steel @-@ tired road wheels were used on later Tiger I hulls , the wheels were only overlapping without being interleaved ? the full Schachtellaufwerk rubber @-@ rimmed roadwheel system that had been in use on nearly all German half @-@ tracks used the interleaved design , later inherited by the early production versions of the Tiger I and Panther .

The Porsche hull designs included a rear @-@ mounted turret and a mid @-@ mounted engine . The suspension was the same as on the Elefant tank destroyer . This had six road wheels per side mounted in paired bogies sprung with short longitudinal torsion bars that were integral to the wheel pair ; this saved internal space and facilitated repairs . One Porsche version had a gasoline @-@ electric drive (fundamentally identical to a Diesel @-@ electric transmission , only using a gasoline @-@ fueled engine as the prime mover) , similar to a gasoline @-@ electric hybrid but without a storage battery ; two separate drive trains in parallel , one per side of the tank , each consisting of a hybrid drive train ; gasoline engine ? electric generator ? electric motor ? drive sprocket . This method of propulsion had been attempted before on the Tiger (P) (later Elefant prototypes) and in some US designs , but had never been put into production . The Porsche suspension were later used on a few of the later Jagdtiger tank destroyers . Another proposal was to use hydraulic drives . Dr. Porsche 's unorthodox designs gathered little favour .

= = Design = =

Henschel won the design contract , and all Tiger IIs were produced by the firm . Two turret designs were used in production vehicles . The initial design is often misleadingly called the " Porsche " turret due to the belief that it was designed by Porsche for their prototype; in fact it was the initial Krupp design for both prototypes . This turret had a rounded front and steeply sloped sides , with a difficult @-@ to @-@ manufacture curved bulge on the turret 's left side to accommodate the commander 's cupola . Fifty early turrets were mounted to Henschel 's hull and used in action . The more common " production " turret , sometimes called the " Henschel " turret , was simplified with a

significantly thicker flat face, no shot trap (created by the curved face of the earlier turret), and less @-@ steeply sloped sides, which prevented the need for a bulge for the commander 's cupola, and added additional room for ammunition storage.

The turrets were designed to mount the 8 @.@ 8 cm KwK 43 L / 71 gun . Combined with the Turmzielfernrohr 9d (German " turret telescopic sight ") monocular sight by Leitz , which all but a few early Tiger IIs used, it was a very accurate and deadly weapon. During practice, the estimated probability of a first round hit on a 2 m (6 ft 7 in) high, 2 @.@ 5 m (8 ft 2 in) wide target only dropped below 100 percent at ranges beyond 1 @,@ 000 m (0 @.@ 62 mi), to 95 ? 97 percent at 1 @.@ 500 metres (0 @.@ 93 mi) and 85 ? 87 percent at 2 @.@ 000 m (1 @.@ 2 mi) . depending on ammunition type. Recorded combat performance was lower, but still over 80 percent at 1 @,@ 000 m, in the 60s at 1 @,@ 500 m and the 40s at 2 @,@ 000 m. Penetration of armoured plate inclined at 30 degrees was 202 and 132 mm (8 @.@ 0 and 5 @.@ 2 in) at 100 m (110 yd) and 2 @,@ 000 m (1 @.@ 2 mi) respectively for the Panzergranate 39 / 43 projectile (PzGr ? armour @-@ piercing shell), and 238 and 153 mm (9 @.@ 4 and 6 @.@ 0 in) for the PzGr . 40 / 43 projectile between the same ranges . The Sprenggranate 43 (SpGr) high @-@ explosive round was available for soft targets, or the Hohlgranate or Hohlgeschoss 39 (HIGr? HEAT or High @-@ explosive anti @-@ tank warhead) round, which had 90 mm (3 @.@ 5 in) penetration at any range, could be used as a dual @-@ purpose munition against soft or armoured targets.

Powered turret traverse was provided by the variable speed Boehringer @-@ Sturm L4S hydraulic motor , which was driven from the main engine by a secondary drive shaft . A high and a low speed setting was available to the gunner via a lever on his right . The turret could be rotated 360 degrees in 60 seconds in low gear independent of engine rpm , in 19 seconds with the high speed setting and engine at 2000 rpm , and within 10 seconds at the maximum allowable engine speed of 3000 rpm . The direction and speed of traverse were controlled by the gunner through pedals , or a control lever near his left arm . If power was lost , such as when the tank ran out of fuel , the turret could be slowly traversed by hand , assisted by the loader who had an additional wheel . Two full turns of the wheel were necessary for a one degree turn of the turret , with a total of 720 turns for a full circle . The hydraulic system was not precise and gunners preferred to use the manual system to aim

Like all German tanks , it had a petrol engine ; in this case the same 700 PS (690 hp , 515 kW) V @-@ 12 Maybach HL 230 P30 which powered the much lighter Panther and Tiger I tanks . The Tiger II was under @-@ powered , like many other heavy tanks of World War II , and consumed a lot of fuel , which was in short supply for the Germans . The transmission was the Maybach OLVAR EG 40 12 16 Model B , giving eight forward gears and four reverse , which drove the steering gear . This was the Henschel L 801 , a double radius design which proved susceptible to failure . Transverse torsion bar suspension supported the hull on nine axles per side . Overlapped 800 mm (31 in) diameter road wheels with rubber cushions and steel tyres rode inside the tracks .

Like the Tiger I , each tank was issued with two sets of tracks : a normal " battle track " and a narrower " transport " version used during rail movement . The transport tracks reduced the overall width of the load and could be used to drive the tank short distances on firm ground . The crew were expected to change to normal battle tracks as soon as the tank was unloaded . Ground pressure was $0 @.@ 76 \ kg / cm2 (10 @.@ 8 \ psi)$.

= = = Command variant = = =

The command variant of the Tiger II was designated Panzerbefehlswagen Tiger Ausf . B. It had two versions , Sd.Kfz. 267 and Sd.Kfz. 268 . These carried only 63 rounds of 8 @.@ 8 cm ammunition to provide room to accommodate the extra radios and equipment , and had additional armour on the engine compartment . The Sd.Kfz. 267 was to have used FuG 8 and FuG 5 radio sets , with the most notable external changes being a two metre long rod antenna mounted on the turret roof and a Sternantenne D (" Star antenna D ") , mounted on an insulated base (the 105mm Antennenfuß Nr. 1) , which was protected by a large armoured cylinder . This equipment was located on the rear

decking in a position originally used for deep @-@ wading equipment . The Sd.Kfz. 268 used FuG 7 and FuG 5 radios with a two @-@ metre rod antenna mounted on the turret roof and a 1 @.@ 4 metre rod antenna mounted on the rear deck .

= = Production = =

The Tiger II was developed late in the war and built in relatively small numbers . Orders were placed for 1 @,@ 500 Tiger IIs ? slightly more than the 1 @,@ 347 Tiger I tanks produced ? but Tiger II production was severely disrupted by Allied bombing . Among others , five raids between 22 September and 7 October 1944 destroyed 95 percent of the floor area of the Henschel plant . It is estimated that this caused the loss in production of some 657 Tiger IIs . Only 492 units were produced : one in 1943 , 379 in 1944 , and 112 in 1945 . Full production ran from mid @-@ 1944 to the end of the war .

The Tiger II served as the basis for one production variant , the Jagdtiger casemated tank destroyer , and a proposed Grille 17 / 21 / 30 / 42 self @-@ propelled mount for heavy guns that never reached production .

= = Proposed upgrades = =

The HL234 , an engine born from the developments initiated by attempting to convert the Maybach HL230 to fuel injection , would have increased the power to about 800 to 900 PS (hp) . The Entwicklungskommission Panzer unanimously decided that HL234 be immediately included in the engine design and procurement program . The AK @-@ 7 @-@ 200 was also explored as an alternative to the Olvar @-@ B drive train , but Waffenamt research and development department Wa Prüf 6 found that it offered inferior driving characteristics and so the Olvar @-@ B was retained . Krupp proposed mounting a new main weapon , the 10 @.@ 5 cm KwK L / 68 . Wa Prüf 6 was not supportive of this as the Heer had not accepted the cannon itself . Other suggested improvements included stabilised sights , a stabilised main gun , an automatic ammunition feed , a Zeiss stereoscopic range finder , heated crew compartment , stowage for an additional 12 rounds , and an overpressure and air filtration system to protect against poison gas , but these also never got beyond the proposal stage or did not enter production before the war ended .

= = Specifications = =

Gearbox: Maybach OLVAR EG 40 12 16 B (eight forward and four reverse)

Radio: FuG 5, Befehlswagen (command tank) version: FuG 8 (Sd.Kfz. 267), FuG 7 (Sd.Kfz. 268)

Ammunition:

8 @.@ 8 cm ? 80 rounds (Porsche turret) , 86 rounds (Henschel turret) , usually 50 % PzGr 39 / 43 and 50 % SprGr 43 , sometimes with a limited number of PzGr 40 / 43 , or with the SprGr replaced by HlGrPzGr 39 / 43 (Armour @-@ piercing , tungsten core) (longer range , lower penetration , explosive filler)

PzGr 40 / 43 (Armour @-@ piercing , tungsten core) (shorter range , higher penetration , inert) SprGr 43 (High Explosive)

HIGr 39 (Hollow charge)

7.92mm? up to 5 @,@ 850 rounds

Gun Sight: Turmzielfernrohr 9b / 1 (TZF 9b / 1) binocular to May 1944, then the 9d (TZF 9d) monocular.

= = Operational history = =

```
= = = Organisation = = =
```

Apart from research, training, and a five @-@ tank attachment to the Panzer Lehr, the Tiger II was only issued to heavy tank battalions (schwere Panzer Abteilung) of the German Army (Heer), or Waffen @-@ SS.

A standard battalion (Abteilung) comprised 45 tanks:

Units that used the Tiger II were as follows:

Heer: (s.H.Pz.Abt) 501, 502, 503, 504, 505, 506, 507, 508, 509, 510, 511

SS: (s.SS.Pz.Abt) 501, 502, 503

= = = Reliability and mobility = = =

Early Tiger IIs proved unreliable, owing principally to leaking seals and gaskets, and an overburdened drive train originally intended for a lighter vehicle. The double radius steering gear was initially particularly prone to failure. Lack of crew training could amplify this problem; drivers originally given only limited training on other tanks were often sent directly to operational units already on their way to the front.

The Schwere Heeres Panzer Abteilung 501 (s.H.Pz.Abt. 501) arrived on the Eastern Front with only eight out of 45 tanks operational; these faults were mostly due to drive @-@ train failures. The first five Tiger IIs delivered to the Panzer Lehr Division broke down before they could be used in combat, and were destroyed to prevent capture.

The introduction of modified seals, gaskets and drive train components, as well as improved driver training and sufficient maintenance improved the tank 's mechanical reliability. Statistics from 15 March 1945 show reliability rates of 59 percent for the Tiger, almost equal to the 62 percent of the Panzer IV and better than the 48 percent of the Panther were operational by this period.

Not withstanding its initial reliability problems, the Tiger II was remarkably agile for such a heavy vehicle. Contemporary German records and testing results indicate that its tactical mobility was as good as or better than most German or Allied tanks.

```
= = = Combat history = = =
```

The first combat use of the Tiger II was by the 1st Company of the 503rd Heavy Panzer Battalion (s.H.Pz.Abt. 503) during the Battle of Normandy, opposing Operation Atlantic between Troarn and Demouville on 18 July 1944. Losses were: two from combat, plus the company commander 's tank, which became irrecoverably trapped after falling into a bomb crater created during Operation Goodwood.

On the Eastern Front , it was first used on 12 August 1944 by the 501st Heavy Panzer Battalion (s.H.Pz.Abt. 501) resisting the Lvov ? Sandomierz Offensive . It attacked the Soviet bridgehead over the Vistula River near Baranów Sandomierski . On the road to Ogl?dów , three Tiger IIs were destroyed in an ambush by a few T @-@ 34 @-@ 85s . Because these German tanks suffered ammunition explosions , which caused many crew fatalities , main gun rounds were no longer allowed to be stowed within the turret , reducing capacity to 68 . Up to fourteen Tiger IIs of the 501st were lost in the area between 12 and 13 August to ambushes and flank attacks by Soviet T @-@ 34 @-@ 85 and IS @-@ 2 tanks , and ISU @-@ 122 assault guns in inconvenient sandy terrain .

On 15 October 1944 , Tiger IIs of 503rd Heavy Panzer Battalion played a crucial role during Operation Panzerfaust , supporting Otto Skorzeny 's troops in taking the Hungarian capital of Budapest , which ensured that the country remained with the Axis until the end of the war . The 503rd then took part in the Battle of Debrecen . The 503rd remained in the Hungarian theater of operations for 166 days , during which it accounted for at least 121 Soviet tanks , 244 anti @-@ tank guns and artillery pieces , five aircraft and a train . This was set against the loss of 25 Tiger IIs ; ten were knocked out by Soviet troops and burned out , two were sent back to Vienna for a factory overhaul , while thirteen were blown up by their crews for various reasons , usually to prevent them from falling into enemy hands . Kurt Knispel , the highest scoring tank ace of all time (162 enemy AFVs destroyed) , also served with the 503rd , and was killed in action on 29 April 1945 in his Tiger

Π.

The Tiger II was also present in the four heavy panzer battalions during the Ardennes Offensive of December 1944, the Soviet Vistula? Oder and East Prussian Offensives in January 1945, the German Lake Balaton Offensive in Hungary in March 1945, the Battle of the Seelow Heights in April 1945, and the Battle of Berlin at the end of the war.

The 103rd SS Heavy Panzer Battalion (s.SS Pz.Abt. 503) claimed approximately 500 kills in the period from January to April 1945 on the Eastern Front for the loss of 45 Tiger IIs (most of which were abandoned and destroyed by their own crews after mechanical breakdowns or for lack of fuel)

= = = Gun and armour performance = = =

The heavy armour and powerful long @-@ range gun gave the Tiger II an advantage against all opposing Western Allied and Soviet tanks attempting to engage it from head on . This was especially true on the Western Front where , until the arrival of the few M26 Pershings in 1945 , neither the British nor US forces brought heavy tanks into service . A Wa Prüf 1 report estimated that the Tiger II 's frontal aspect was impervious to the 122 mm D @-@ 25T , the heaviest although not the best penetrating tank gun on the Allied side . On the other hand , an R.A.C 3.d. document of February 1945 estimated that the British QF 17 @-@ pounder (76 @.@ 2 mm) gun , using armour @-@ piercing discarding sabot shot was theoretically capable of penetrating the front of the Tiger II 's turret and nose (lower front hull) at 1 @,@ 100 and 1 @,@ 200 yd (1 @,@ 000 and 1 @,@ 100 m) respectively although , given the lack of a stated angle , this is presumably at the ideal 90 degrees angle . As a result of its thick frontal armour , flanking manoeuvres were most often used against the Tiger II to attempt a shot at the thinner side and rear armour , giving a tactical advantage to the Tiger II in most engagements . Moreover , the main armament of the Tiger II was capable of knocking out any Allied tank frontally at ranges exceeding 2 @.@ 5 kilometres (1 @.@ 6 mi) , well beyond the effective range of Allied tank guns .

= = = Soviet wartime testing = = =

During August 1944, two Tiger Ausf B tanks were captured by the Soviets near Sandomierz, and were soon moved to the testing grounds at Kubinka. During the transfer, the two tanks suffered from various mechanical break downs; the cooling system was insufficient for the excessively hot climatic conditions, where the engine tended to overheat and cause a consequential failure of the gearbox. The right suspension of one of the tanks had to be completely replaced, and its full functionality could not be re @-@ established. The tank broke down again every 10? 15 km. The 8 @.@ 8 cm KwK 43 gave positive results in penetration and accuracy, which were on par with the 122 mm D @-@ 25T. It proved capable of passing completely through its " colleague ", a Tiger Ausf B 's turret at a range of 400 m. The armour of one vehicle was tested by firing at it with shells between 100 and 152 mm calibre. The welding was, despite careful workmanship, significantly worse than on similar designs. As a result, even when shells did not penetrate the armour, there was often a large amount of spalling from the inside of the plates, which damaged the transmission and rendered the tank inoperable. Further testing showed that the armour plate itself exhibited deficiencies in quality compared to earlier German tanks, such as the Tiger I and Panther. Analysis of the Tiger Ausf B armour plate showed an absence of molybdenum (ascribed to a loss of supply, being replaced by vanadium), giving the armour low malleability.

The expanded firing test states that the ?? projectiles from the 100 mm BS @-@ 3 and 122 mm A @-@ 19 gun penetrated a Tiger Ausf B 's turret at ranges of 1000 ? 1500 metres , which suggests a quality factor of 0 @.@ 86 for the Tiger Ausf B 's turret . The firing test against the Tiger B turret front , however , was conducted after removal of the gun and mantlet , and resulted in penetrations close to armour openings , such as vision slits and gun location . The penetrations to the right gun opening were influenced by previous 100 mm projectile penetration hits or armour damage . The tank 's hull and turret side plates were penetrated by ?? shot from domestic 85 mm and American

76 mm guns at ranges of 800 ? 2 @,@ 000 m (2 @,@ 600 ? 6 @,@ 600 ft) . The 100 mm BS @-@ 3 and 122 mm A @-@ 19 could also penetrate the weld joints of the front hull at ranges of 500 ? 600 metres after 3 ? 4 shots .

Despite the wartime testing, there is no reliable evidence that the front armour of the King Tiger had ever been penetrated in combat.

= = Surviving vehicles = =

The only working example is displayed at the Musée des Blindés, Saumur, France. It has the production turret and is accessible to the public. Other survivors include:

Bovington Tank Museum, Dorset, UK. Tiger II with early production turret is on display. This vehicle was the second soft steel prototype made and did not see active service. This Tiger II 's engine was removed for use in the restoration of Tiger 131, the only working example of a Tiger I. A production turret Tiger II is on loan from the Defence Academy, Shrivenham, UK. See below.

Defence Academy of the United Kingdom , Shrivenham , UK . Tiger II (production turret) . This vehicle was from s.SS Pz.Abt. 501 , with hull number 280093 , turret number 104 , and has a comprehensive coating of Zimmerit . It was claimed by Sergeant Roberts of A Squadron , 23rd Hussars , 11th Armoured Division in a Sherman tank near Beauvais , although it had already been disabled and abandoned by its crew following damage to its tracks and final drive . There is a photograph showing this vehicle after its final action in a beet field with its turret turned 90 ° . This vehicle is currently on display at Bovington Tank Museum , in Dorset , UK .

The Wheatcroft Collection , Leicestershire , UK . A private collector , Kevin Wheatcroft , is about to start a restoration / rebuild of a complete Tiger II . The project will include parts from many individual Tiger IIs , but many parts will be of new manufacture . Wheatcroft has stated that he has 70 ? 80 % of the original parts needed for a reconstruction and more parts are sourced continuously . Known and shown parts are a complete front glacis plate , 8 @.@ 8 cm KwK 43 main armament , engine deck plates , approx . 1 / 3 hull (rear) in one part , a set of tracks , and approx . 2 / 3 of the left @-@ side hull plate in two parts . The aim of the project is a complete Tiger II in running order .

Mantes @-@ la @-@ Jolie , France . A more or less complete , but wrecked , Tiger II (production turret) is buried under regional road 913 . Parts of the turret were recovered in a limited exploratory excavation in 2001 . Further excavation is currently halted for financial reasons . There are plans to fully excavate and restore this Tiger II for a Vexin battle memorial .

Kubinka Tank Museum, Russia. Tiger II (production turret) with turret number 002 (502) captured at Ogl?dów by the Red Army. The museum is open to the public.

December 44 Museum , La Gleize , Belgium . A cosmetically restored Tiger II (production turret) Hull number 280273 , built in October 1944 . Turret number 213 from s.SS Pz.Abt 501 . Displayed at the entrance to DECEMBER 44 MUSEUM Collections , a museum devoted entirely to the Battle of the Bulge . This tank was abandoned in La Gleize on 24 December 1944 , where the advance of Kampfgruppe Peiper was halted . The front part , about 1 / 3 , of the gun barrel is restored with a Panther gun barrel and muzzle brake . It also has restored mudguards . It is stripped of exterior and internal fittings and most of the torsion bars are broken , but it still has its gearbox and engine in place .

Deutsches Panzermuseum , Munster , Germany . Tiger II (production turret) displayed in interior location accessible to public on payment of entrance fee . Hull number 280101 . Turret number 121 from s.SS.Pz.Abt 501 .

Patton Museum of Cavalry and Armor , Fort Knox , United States . Tiger II (production turret) . Hull number 280243 , built in September 1944 . Turret number 332 from s.SS Pz.Abt. 501 . Abandoned near Trois @-@ Ponts , it was captured by the US Army on 24 December 1944 . The left side was cut open for educational purposes at the Aberdeen Proving Ground in the late 1940s . This tank left Fort Knox on 14 December 2010 , en route to the proposed US Army armour museum at Fort Benning , United States .

Schweizerisches Militärmuseum Full , Switzerland . This Tiger II (production turret) was previously displayed in the Thun Tank Museum , and is now on loan to the Schweizerisches Militärmuseum

Full (September 2006) . It will be completely restored to running condition in a long @-@ term project . This tank was given to Switzerland by France after the war . Hull number 280215 from s.H.Pz.Abt 506 .

= = = Tanks of comparable role , performance and era = = =