= 1 (in the reals) and? 999 =

? 1 (in the 10 @-@ adics) , then by " blind faith and unabashed juggling of symbols " one may add the two equations and arrive at ? 999 @.@ 999 ? = 0 . This equation does not make sense either as a 10 @-@ adic expansion or an ordinary decimal expansion , but it turns out to be meaningful and true if one develops a theory of " double @-@ decimals " with eventually repeating left ends to represent a familiar system : the real numbers .

= = = Ultrafinitism = = =

The philosophy of ultrafinitism rejects as meaningless concepts dealing with infinite sets , such as the summation of infinitely many numbers <formula> corresponding to the positional values of the decimal digits in <formula> . In this approach to mathematics , only some particular (fixed) number of finite decimal digits are meaningful . Instead of " equality " , one has " approximate equality " , which is equality up to the number of decimal digits that one is permitted to compute . Doron Zeilberger , a proponent of this philosophy , calls the equality <formula> " not even wrong " , for this reason . Instead , he argues that there is an algorithm that can check that the sequence obtained by truncating the decimal expansion of 0 @.@ 999 ... is approximately equal to 1 within a specified error . Although Katz and Katz (2010a) argue that ultrafinitism may capture the student intuition that 0 @.@ 999 ... ought to be less than 1 , the ideas of ultrafinitism do not enjoy widespread acceptance in the mathematical community , and the philosophy lacks a generally agreed @-@ upon formal mathematical foundation .

= = Related questions = =

Zeno 's paradoxes , particularly the paradox of the runner , are reminiscent of the apparent paradox that 0 @.@ 999? and 1 are equal . The runner paradox can be mathematically modelled and then , like 0 @.@ 999? , resolved using a geometric series . However , it is not clear if this mathematical treatment addresses the underlying metaphysical issues Zeno was exploring .

Division by zero occurs in some popular discussions of $0\ @.@\ 999\ ?$, and it also stirs up contention. While most authors choose to define $0\ @.@\ 999\ ?$, almost all modern treatments leave division by zero undefined, as it can be given no meaning in the standard real numbers. However, division by zero is defined in some other systems, such as complex analysis, where the extended complex plane, i.e. the Riemann sphere, has a "point at infinity". Here, it makes sense to define $1\ ?\ 0$ to be infinity; and, in fact, the results are profound and applicable to many problems in engineering and physics. Some prominent mathematicians argued for such a definition long before either number system was developed.

Negative zero is another redundant feature of many ways of writing numbers . In number systems , such as the real numbers , where " 0 " denotes the additive identity and is neither positive nor negative , the usual interpretation of " ? 0 " is that it should denote the additive inverse of 0 , which forces ? 0=0 . Nonetheless , some scientific applications use separate positive and negative zeroes , as do some computing binary number systems (for example integers stored in the sign and magnitude or ones ' complement formats , or floating point numbers as specified by the IEEE floating @-@ point standard) .