

$= r n^{2/3}$ and, hence, $C(r)$ is proportional to $r n^{2/3}$. The formula above indicates that the angular motion is multiplied by a factor $k =$

$1 / (n^{1/3})$, so that the apsidal angle ϕ equals $180^\circ / (n^{1/3})$.

This angular scaling can be seen in the apsidal precession, i.e., in the gradual rotation of the long axis of the ellipse (Figure 3). As noted above, the orbit as a whole rotates with a mean angular speed $\dot{\theta} = (k + 1)\dot{\phi}$, where $\dot{\phi}$ equals the mean angular speed of the particle about the stationary ellipse. If the particle requires a time T to move from one apse to the other, this implies that, in the same time, the long axis will rotate by an angle ϕ

$= \dot{\theta} T =$

$(k + 1)\phi$

$= (k + 1) \times 180^\circ$. For an inverse square law such as Newton's law of universal gravitation, where n equals 1, there is no angular scaling ($k =$

1), the apsidal angle ϕ is 180° , and the elliptical orbit is stationary ($\phi =$

0).

As a final illustration, Newton considers a sum of two power laws

<formula>

which multiplies the angular speed by a factor

<formula>

Newton applies both of these formulae (the power law and sum of two power laws) to examine the apsidal precession of the Moon's orbit.

$\phi =$ Precession of the Moon's orbit $=$

The motion of the Moon can be measured accurately, and is noticeably more complex than that of the planets. The ancient Greek astronomers, Hipparchus and Ptolemy, had noted several periodic variations in the Moon's orbit, such as small oscillations in its orbital eccentricity and the inclination of its orbit to the plane of the ecliptic. These oscillations generally occur on a once or twice monthly time scale. The line of its apses precesses gradually with a period of roughly 8.85 years, while its line of nodes turns a full circle in roughly double that time, 18.6 years. This accounts for the roughly 18.6 year periodicity of eclipses, the so-called Saros cycle. However, both lines experience small fluctuations in their motion, again on the monthly time scale.

In 1673, Jeremiah Horrocks published a reasonably accurate model of the Moon's motion in which the Moon was assumed to follow a precessing elliptical orbit. A sufficiently accurate and simple method for predicting the Moon's motion would have solved the navigational problem of determining a ship's longitude; in Newton's time, the goal was to predict the Moon's position to 2' (two arc minutes), which would correspond to a 1° error in terrestrial longitude. Horrocks' model predicted the lunar position with errors no more than 10 arc minutes; for comparison, the diameter of the Moon is roughly 30 arc minutes.

Newton used his theorem of revolving orbits in two ways to account for the apsidal precession of the Moon. First, he showed that the Moon's observed apsidal precession could be accounted for by changing the force law of gravity from an inverse square law to a power law in which the exponent was $2 + 4/243$ (roughly 2.0165)

<formula>

In 1894, Asaph Hall adopted this approach of modifying the exponent in the inverse square law slightly to explain an anomalous orbital precession of the planet Mercury, which had been observed in 1859 by Urbain Le Verrier. Ironically, Hall's theory was ruled out by careful astronomical observations of the Moon. The currently accepted explanation for this precession involves the theory of general relativity, which (to first approximation) adds an inverse quartic force, i.e., one that varies as the inverse fourth power of distance.

As a second approach to explaining the Moon's precession, Newton suggested that the perturbing influence of the Sun on the Moon's motion might be approximately equivalent to an additional linear

force

<formula>

The first term corresponds to the gravitational attraction between the Moon and the Earth , where r is the Moon 's distance from the Earth . The second term , so Newton reasoned , might represent the average perturbing force of the Sun 's gravity of the Earth @-@ Moon system . Such a force law could also result if the Earth were surrounded by a spherical dust cloud of uniform density . Using the formula for k for nearly circular orbits , and estimates of A and B , Newton showed that this force law could not account for the Moon 's precession , since the predicted apsidal angle ϕ was (ϕ 180 @.@ 76 $^{\circ}$) rather than the observed ϕ (ϕ 181 @.@ 525 $^{\circ}$) . For every revolution , the long axis would rotate 1 @.@ 5 $^{\circ}$, roughly half of the observed 3 @.@ 0 $^{\circ}$

= = Generalization = =

Isaac Newton first published his theorem in 1687 , as Propositions 43 ? 45 of Book I of his *Philosophiæ Naturalis Principia Mathematica* . However , as astrophysicist Subrahmanyan Chandrasekhar noted in his 1995 commentary on Newton 's *Principia* , the theorem remained largely unknown and undeveloped for over three centuries .