

JOHANNES SCHNEIDER

Department of Economics
University of Mannheim
L7, 3-5 Room 3.04
D-68161 Mannheim, Germany

☎+49 621 181 1876
📞+49 176 631 579 33
✉josndr@outlook.de
🌐http://josnd.github.io

Research Interests

Primary Microeconomic Theory, Industrial Organisation

Secondary Law and Economics, Information Economics

Education

since 2011 UNIVERSITY OF MANNHEIM, GERMANY
DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMICS *PhD Program in Economics, PhD expected: May 2016*

2006-2011 UNIVERSITY OF FREIBURG, GERMANY
Diplom (Master equivalent) in Economics, June 2011

2008-2009 UNIVERSITY OF BOLOGNA, ITALY
Visiting Graduate Student in Economics and Political Science

Research

Working Papers

Managing a Conflict – Alternative Dispute Resolution in Contests (**Job Market Paper**, with Benjamin Balzer)

Persuasion, Pandering, and Sequential Proposal

Work in Progress

Optimal Mediation with Informational Punishment (with Benjamin Balzer)

Discriminating between models of learning - An experimental study with intra-team communication (with Stefan Penczynski)

Academic Employment

since 2014 UNIVERSITY OF MANNHEIM, CHAIR OF PROF. VOLKER NOCKE, PHD
Research Assistant

2007-2010 UNIVERSITY OF FREIBURG, CHAIR OF PROF. THOMAS GEHRIG, PHD
Student Research Assistant

Teaching Experience

Spring 2016 Advanced Microeconomics III (Mechanism Design) for PhD students in English (scheduled), Teaching Assistant, University of Mannheim

Spring 2016 Introductory Microeconomics (Mikroökonomik A) in German (scheduled), Teaching Assistant, University of Mannheim

- Fall 2012 - 2014 Intermediate Microeconomics (Mikroökonomik B) in German and English, Teaching Assistant, University of Mannheim
- Fall 2010 Introductory Microeconomics (Mikroökonomik I) in German, Teaching Assistant, University of Freiburg

Conferences and Workshops

- 2015 ENTER Jamboree (Mannheim),
SFB-TR15 Young Researcher Workshop (Bonn),
Econometric Society World Congress (Montréal),
EEA Annual Congress (Mannheim, poster),
EARIE Annual Conference (Munich),
TSE MaCCI ENTER Workshop on Competition (Toulouse),
ENTER Seminar (UCL),
SFB-TR15 Young Researcher Workshop (Munich)
Econometric Society European Winter Meeting (Milan) [scheduled]
- 2014 MaCCI Annual Conference (Mannheim),
EEA-ESEM Annual Congress (Toulouse),
EARIE Annual Conference (Milan),
MaCCI IO Day (Mannheim),
Brown Bag Seminar (Toulouse School of Economics)
- 2013 IAREP/SABE Conference (Morrow, GA)

Stipends and Fellowships

- 2012-2013 Fontana Foundation, Fellowship to finance PhD studies
- 2011-2012 German Science Foundation (DFG), PhD Scholarship

Administrative Duties

- 2015 Local Organizer of the ENTER Jamboree in Mannheim
- 2015 Assistant of the Program Chair of the EEA 2015
- 2013-2015 Student Representative at the “Erweiterter Abteilungsrat” (Enlarged Department Council) in Mannheim
- 2013-2015 Student Coordinator of the ENTER Seminar Exchange Program in Mannheim

Competences

Languages: *German (native), English (fluent), Swedish (fluent), Italian (intermediate)*

Software: *Mathematica, Matlab, Stata, Latex, Python*

References

Prof. Volker Nocke
University of Mannheim
☎+49 621 181 1834
✉ nocke@uni-mannheim.de

Prof. Thomas Tröger
University of Mannheim
☎+49 621 181 3423
✉ troeger@uni-mannheim.de

Prof. Martin Peitz
University of Mannheim
☎+49 621 181 1835
✉ martin.peitz@gmail.com

Job Market Paper: **Managing a Conflict – Alternative Dispute Resolution in Contests** (*with Benjamin Balzer*)

We study the optimal design of alternative dispute resolution (ADR) mechanisms by a third-party mediator. ADR takes place before two litigants face each other in court. Litigation is a legal contest with players who are privately informed about the cost of collecting admissible evidence. Players update their beliefs *after* the mediation process, but *before* they decide on evidence collection. Different to standard mechanism design problems, the belief-system post-ADR is thus important for the outcome of the continuation game: Within litigation, choice variables are similar to strategic complements and the evidence supplied is driven by the belief system. There is an incentive for parties to misreport first in ADR to profit from this deviation in litigation should ADR fail to resolve the conflict. We show that optimal ADR has to break down on path in some cases to screen costs of evidence provision. Furthermore, it avoids incentives to misreport by inducing post-breakdown beliefs which are independent of type-reports during ADR. To reduce inefficiency vis-a-vis symmetric litigation, optimal ADR induces asymmetric breakdown beliefs even for ex-ante symmetric types to increase the settlement rate compared to symmetric mechanisms. Independent of the set of parameters, ADR achieves settlement for the majority of cases.

Persuasion, Pandering, and Sequential Proposal

In this paper, I look at the features of a model in which an informed sender can propose a project to an uninformed receiver. The receiver can accept or reject the project's implementation. If the receiver rejects, the sender can propose a different project (if available) to the receiver, which, in turn, may be accepted or rejected. Overall, only one project can be implemented. Both players share an interest in the within-project realization. Across projects, preferences are not aligned. For the case of two projects, I show existence of a robust mixed strategy equilibrium. In it, the sender panders to the unconditionally receiver-preferred project. Increasing the number of periods leads to a second class of equilibria. In this class the sender signals through waiting. The shortest "waiting equilibrium" corresponds in many ways to the mixed strategy equilibrium. Discounting and non-availability play an important role in the evaluation of the equilibria. As an application I consider a firm that needs clearance of a proposed merger by an anti-trust authority. Both players prefer higher synergies. Post merger the authority prefers high competition, the firm prefers low. Merger realization are private information of the firm.